

Comparison of Crossing Structures

Crossing Structure Type		Material	Cost	Life Span (years)	Advantages	Disadvantages
Bridge A		Steel-reinforced concrete abutments (poured in-place) and decking on steel I-beam stringers	\$\$\$	50-75	Natural bottom, durability, snow-plowable	High cost
Bridge B		Waste-block concrete abutments with steel I-beam stringers and timber deck (possibly paved or alternate decking)	\$	50-75; 5-10	Natural bottom, low cost; simplicity	Limited abutment height; snow plowing limited
Bridge C (3-Sided Box Culvert)		Steel-reinforced concrete, galvanized steel or aluminum	\$\$	50-75	Natural bottom, simplicity	Weight of concrete structures can limit installation options
Open Bottom Arch		Galvanized Steel, aluminum, steel-reinforced concrete	\$\$	50-75	Natural bottom, ease of transport, can be low profile	Care must be taken to install and protect footings, assembly required for metal plate structures
Embedded Box Culvert		Steel-reinforced concrete	\$\$	50-75	Natural bottom if spans stream; variety of configurations	Must span stream and be set below stream elevation to avoid outlet perch
Embedded Pipe Arch		Galvanized steel, steel-reinforced concrete	\$ - \$\$	20-75	Natural bottom if spans stream; wide for given volume; low cost of steel	Steel short life span; not for use with ledge
Embedded Pipe	Round	Galvanized steel, plastic, steel-reinforced concrete	\$	20-75	Natural bottom if spans stream; lowest cost	Limited to smaller sizes; not for use with ledge
Round Pipe (at stream grade)		Galvanized steel, plastic, steel-reinforced concrete	\$	20-75	May allow fish passage over time if spans stream; lowest cost	Rarely adequate for fish passage at less than stream width (develops outlet perch); limited to smaller sizes