

School IPM Inspection Summary

08-Jul-11

Number of Inspections	153	Number of Different Schools Included in Inspections	330
2010 Inspections: 89	2011 Inspections: 64	(Note that some schools were inspected twice)	
Routine Inspections	153		
Inspections as a Result of a Complaint	0		

B. Required of all schools, regardless if pesticides are used or not used.

	Percent of total inspections			
	Yes		No	
IPM Policy adopted	152	99%	0	0%
IPM Coordinator on staff	152	99%	0	0%
Annual notification sent within first two weeks of school year	148	97%	3	2%

Did notification include the information:

- IPM Policy can be reviewed by contacting IPM Coordinator
- A statement that pesticides may periodically be applied
- The method of notification to be used

(Percent of those who sent notification)

145	98%	2	1%
146	99%	2	1%
147	99%	2	1%

Of those who indicated a method:

All staff/parents/guardians	123	95%
Only those on a registry	6	5%

Reports of prior applications are available for review	97	66%	11	7%
The "Pesticides In Schools Regulations" is available for review	142	96%	3	2%

C. Has the school used pesticides in the last 2 years?

	Percent of total inspections				(Percent of those who used low risk pesticides)	
	Yes		No			
Has the school used pesticides in the last 2 years?	143	93%	9	6%		
Pesticides Uses Exempt from License and Notice Requirements	135	88%	11	7%		
Pesticides with low risk, req. IPM, CA, with no specific notification	75	49%	71	46%		
Liquids injected into cracks, crevices or wall voids	27	18%	14	9%	36%	19%
Baits, gels, pastes and granulars in areas inaccessible to students	48	31%	10	7%	64%	13%
Applications when classes not in session, plus required re-entry	45	29%	12	8%	60%	16%
Pesticides with higher risk, req. IPM, CA, and specific notification	18	12%	113	74%		

D. Were the following steps taken, and recorded, prior to any (non-exempt) pesticide application?

	Percent of total inspections					
	Yes		No		No Application	
Monitoring for pests or for conditions conducive to a pest outbreak	120	78%	1	1%	29	19%
Identification of the specific pest	119	78%	1	1%	30	20%
Determination that the IPM thresholds were exceeded	118	77%	1	1%	30	20%
Utilization of practical, effective and affordable non-pesticide control measures	124	81%	1	1%	25	16%

E. Application of all (non-exempt) pesticides when classes are regularly scheduled

	Yes	No	Not Applicable
Was notification made	13	1	125
Of those who made notification:	(Percent of those who sent notification)		
5 days prior?	12	1	8%
Required Information?	12	1	8%
Were signs posted?	38	0	101
Of those who posted signs:	(Percent of those who posted signs)		
2 days before and after	26	2	5%
Required format and content	26	2	5%
Were people in immediate area	3	67	0

F. Record Keeping

	Percent of total inspections				(Percent of those who used pesticides in last 2 years)	
	Yes		No		Yes	No
Does school have records?	107	70%	4	3%	75%	3%
IPM Records	104	68%	48	31%	73%	34%
Label(s)	61	40%	91	59%	43%	64%
MSDS	64	42%	88	58%	45%	62%
Commercial Applicator records	69	45%	83	54%	48%	58%