

Wiscasset Route 1 Corridor Study

Phase II Alternatives Analysis Lincoln County, Maine

Prepared for: **Maine Department of Transportation**
16 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333
(207) 624-3011

Prepared by: **Gannett Fleming**
207 Senate Avenue
Camp Hill, PA 17011
(717) 732-8150

November 2008

Contents

1.0 Purpose and Need	1
1.1 Purpose	2
1.1.1 Purpose from the Draft Environmental Impact Statement/ Section 4(f) Evaluation	2
1.1.2 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Basic Purpose Statement	2
1.2 Needs	4
1.2.1 Traffic Congestion	4
1.2.2 Safety Concerns	10
1.2.3 High Traffic Volume Threatens Wiscasset's Community Character	14
2.0 Alternatives Analysis.....	17
2.1 Phase I Alternative Identification Development and Screening.....	17
2.1.1 Alternatives Identified and Developed in Stage I.....	19
2.1.2 Alternatives Identified and Developed in Stage II	25
2.1.3 Alternatives Identified and Developed in Stage III.....	25
2.1.4 Alternatives Considered and Dismissed from Further Consideration Following the Circulation of the DEIS/Section 4(f) Evaluation, October 2007	30
2.2 Alternatives Retained for Further Consideration in Phase II.....	34
2.2.1 No-build Alternative.....	34
2.2.2 Alternative N2/N8c.....	37
2.2.3 Alternative N2/N2h/N2f-1	39
2.2.4 Alternative N2/N2a/N2h-1.....	41
2.3 Traffic Analysis	43
2.3.1 Impacts to Roadways	43
2.3.2 Impacts to Transportation Demand	44
2.3.3 Impacts to System Continuity and Mobility.....	47
2.3.4 Impacts to Safety Deficiencies	53
3.0 Impacts to Aquatic Resources.....	55
3.1 Tidal Waterways and Fisheries.....	55
3.1.1 Tidal Waterways	55
3.1.2 Tidal Fisheries: Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act and Sustainable Fisheries Act of 1996.....	58
3.2 Freshwater Waterways.....	66

Contents

3.2.1 Water Quality.....	66
3.2.2 Physical Description	67
3.3 Wetlands and Deep Water Habitats.....	74
3.3.1 Impacts to Wetlands and Deep Water Habitats	77
3.3.2 Wetlands Functions and Values Assessment	80
3.4 Groundwater Resources.....	80
3.5 Floodplains	83
3.6 Summary of Approach to Identifying Measures to Compensate for Impacts to Waters of the U.S.....	85
3.6.1 USACE Coastal America Tidal Restriction Study.....	85
3.6.2 Wetland Bank Sites.....	86
3.6.3 In-Lieu Fee Program	86
3.6.4 Land Preservation and Habitat Restoration.....	87
4.0 Impacts to Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat	89
4.1 Impacts to Rare, Threatened, and Endangered or Otherwise Protected Species	89
4.1.1 Federally Listed Threatened or Endangered Species	90
4.1.2 State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, or Special Concern Species	93
4.1.3 Other Protected Species	94
4.1.4 Vernal Pools.....	94
4.2 Regulated Wildlife Habitats and Significant Habitats Protected under the NRPA	99
4.2.1 High- and Moderate-Value Deer-Wintering Areas and Travel Corridors.....	99
4.2.2 High- and Moderate-Value Tidal Waterfowl and Wading-Bird Habitats	101
4.3 Habitats of Wildlife Management Concern	102
4.3.1 Undeveloped Habitat Blocks, Forested Areas, and Grasslands	103
4.3.2 Forested Areas.....	110
4.3.3 Grasslands.....	111
4.3.4 Beginning with Habitat Focus Areas of Statewide Ecological Significance.....	112
4.3.5 Natural Community Types Identified by the MNAP	113
5.0 Impacts to Other Environmental Resources	115
5.1 Residential and Commercial Displacements, Community and Neighborhood Impacts.....	115
5.1.1 Residential Displacements	118
5.1.2 Business Displacements.....	118
5.2 Section 106 Resources.....	118
5.2.1 Historic Resources	118

5.2.2. Archeological Resources	126
5.3 Resources Afforded Consideration and Protection under Section 4(f)	129
5.4 Visual and Aesthetic Resources	130
5.5 Navigation.....	133
6.0 Recent Public Involvement	135
6.1 Public Involvement since the DEIS / Section 4(f) Evaluation was Circulated in October 2007.....	135
7.0 Conclusion and Next Steps	139
References.....	141
Appendix A – United States Army Corps of Engineers Letter to MaineDOT determining Basic Project Purpose. 7 July, 2000.	
Appendix B – United States Army Corps of Engineers Letter to MaineDOT confirming Highway Methodology Phase I completion. 25 May, 2005.	
Appendix C – MaineDOT Preliminary Drawings for Route 1 Transportation Study. Revised October 2008.	

Exhibits

Exhibit 1.1 – Study Location Map	1
Exhibit 1.2 – The Mid-Coast Region	1
Exhibit 1.3 – Study Area	3
Exhibit 1.4 – Northbound Traffic Volume Versus Speed for Route 1, Approaching Wiscasset Village in July and August.....	5
Exhibit 1.5 – Historic and Forecasted AADT and SADT for Route 1 in Wiscasset Village.	5
Exhibit 1.6 – AADT and SADT for Major Roads in the Study Area.....	6
Exhibit 1.7 – Year 2000 Seasonal Average Daily Traffic	7
Exhibit 1.8 – Year 2000 Seasonal Average Daily Traffic	8
Exhibit 1.9 – LOS Thresholds for Roadways.....	9
Exhibit 1.10 – Year 2000 DHV Congestion	11
Exhibit 1.11 – Year 2030 DHV Congestion	12
Exhibit 1.12 – DHV3 Roadway Capacity and Level of Service	13
Exhibit 1.13 – High Crash Locations.....	15
Exhibit 2.1 – Study Area	18
Exhibit 2.2 – Measures of Effectiveness for Alternatives.....	20
Exhibit 2.3 – Alternatives Considered.....	21
Exhibit 2.4 – Alternatives Retained for Further Consideration	28
Exhibit 2.5 – Criteria for Conceptual Design	29
Exhibit 2.6 – Alternative Costs	31
Exhibit 2.7 – No-build Alternative.....	35
Exhibit 2.8 – Alternative N2/N8c.....	38
Exhibit 2.9 – Alternative N2/N2h/N2f-1	40
Exhibit 2.10 – Alternative N2/N2a/N2h-1	42
Exhibit 2.11 – Projected 2030 SADTs by Alternative	45
Exhibit 2.12 – Change in 2030 SADTs by Alternative from 2000.....	45
Exhibit 2.13 – Projected 2030 DHV by Alternative	46
Exhibit 2.14 – Total Traffic Volume Diverted from Davey Bridge, 2030 Summer Weekday	46
Exhibit 2.15 – Change in DHV by Alternative	47
Exhibit 2.16 – Projected LOS by Alternative	49
Exhibit 2.17 – Projected Operating Speeds by Alternative	49
Exhibit 2.18 – 2030 V/C Ratios by Alternative.....	51

Contents

Exhibit 2.19 – 2030 VMT and VHT by Alternative.....	51
Exhibit 2.20 – Overall Traffic Safety Impacts of Build Alternatives	52
Exhibit 2.21 – Overall Travel Benefits in 2030	52
Exhibit 3.1 – Tidal Waterways Habitat	56
Exhibit 3.2 – Estimated Bridge Lengths, Pier Numbers, and Coastal Resource Impacts ...	57
Exhibit 3.3 – Magnuson-Stevens Managed Species of Concern	61
Exhibit 3.4 – Estimated Bridge Pier Construction Times.....	63
Exhibit 3.5 – Direct impacts from Piers	64
Exhibit 3.6 – Stream Locations and Descriptions	68
Exhibit 3.7 – Surface Water, Groundwater, and Floodplains.....	69
Exhibit 3.8 – Freshwater Stream-Crossing Locations and Impacts	71
Exhibit 3.9 – Summary of Impacts to Groundwater and Surface Water.....	73
Exhibit 3.10 – Wetlands.....	75
Exhibit 3.11 – Wetlands Types.....	76
Exhibit 3.12 – Wetlands Impacts.....	78
Exhibit 3.13 – Wetlands Impacted	79
Exhibit 3.14 – Wetland Functions	81
Exhibit 4.1 – Vernal Pools in the Study Area.....	95
Exhibit 4.2 – Descriptions of Vernal Pools Found During Field Surveys.....	96
Exhibit 4.3 – Areas Impacted Within 750 Feet of Vernal Pools	97
Exhibit 4.4 – Significant Habitat.....	100
Exhibit 4.5 – Tidal Wading-Bird Habitat Features.....	101
Exhibit 4.6 – Tidal Waterfowl and Wading-Bird Habitat Impacted	102
Exhibit 4.7 – Undeveloped Habitat Blocks (More Than 100 Acres in Size)	104
Exhibit 4.8 – Impacts to Habitat Blocks	105
Exhibit 4.9 – Undeveloped Habitat Blocks	106
Exhibit 4.10 – Impacts to Undeveloped Habitat Blocks from Alternative N2/N8c.....	107
Exhibit 4.11 – Impacts to Undeveloped Habitat Blocks from Alternative N2/N2h/N2f-1	108
Exhibit 4.12 – Impacts to Undeveloped Habitat Blocks from Alternative N2/N2a/N2h-1.....	109
Exhibit 4.13 – Forested and Grasslands Area Impacts	110
Exhibit 5.1 – Neighborhoods and Subdivisions	116
Exhibit 5.2 – Business Displacements.....	119
Exhibit 5.3 – Historic and Archeological Resources.....	120

Exhibit 5.4 – Impacts to Potentially Significant Historical Resources 123
Exhibit 5.5 – Impacts to Resources by Alternative 131
Exhibit 5.6 – Viewshed Index (horizontal x vertical fields)..... 133

