State of Maine <u>Master Score Sheet</u>

	Bidder Name:	Farm On Bremen Rd LLC DBA Tops'l Farm	Maine Grains, Inc	Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.	Ocean Approved, Inc.	Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc
	Proposed Cost:	\$249,987.50	\$246,219.20	\$145,417.94	\$250,000.00	\$100,831.00
Scoring Sections	Points Available					
1. Eligible Entity	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
2. Eligible Project Proposed	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
3. Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
4. Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity	7	7	7	7	7	7
5. The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	3	3	3	3	3	3
6. Maine RFSI Priorities	10	10	10	10	7	5
7. USDA RFSI Priorities	5	5	5	5	5	2
8. Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.	5	5	5	5	4	4
9. Expected Performance Measures	5	5	5	5	5	5
10. Stakeholder Support	5	5	5	5	5	5
11.Community Impact	10	9	10	10	10	10

	Bidder Name:	Farm On Bremen Rd LLC dba Tops'l Farm	Maine Grains, Inc	Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.	Ocean Approved, Inc.	Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc
	Proposed Cost:	\$249,987.50	\$246,219.20	\$145,417.94	\$250,000.00	\$100,831.00
Scoring Sections	Points Available					
12. Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	15	15	15	15	15	15
13. Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	5	5	5	5	5	5
14. Budget Completeness	10	10	10	10	9	9
15. Budget Narrative and Justification	10	10	10	10	9	10
16. Matching Funds	5	5	5	5	5	5
17. Application Completeness	5	5	4	3	3	4
TOTA	L <u>100</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>89</u>

	Bidder Name:	Circle B Farms, LLC	William H. Jordan Farm, LLC	Farming Fungi, LLC	Megan O'Brien DBA Hall Brook Farm	Smith & Meyer Farm, LLC	Daniel J. Giguere	Fish Creek Oysters, LLC	Springtide Seaweed, LLC
	Proposed Cost:	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$248,397	\$250,000	\$210,114	\$110,495	\$280,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available								
1. Eligible Entity	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
2. Eligible Project Proposed	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
3. Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
4. Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity	7	7	7	7	7	7	3	7	7
5. The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	3
6. Maine RFSI Priorities	10	9	7	10	10	8	0	10	10
7. USDA RFSI Priorities	5	4	5	5	5	3	0	5	5
8. Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.	5	3	3	2	0	0	2	0	4
9. Expected Performance Measures	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	2	5
10. Stakeholder Support	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	0
11.Community Impact	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	3	4

Bidder Name:		Circle B Farms, LLC	William H. Jordan Farm LLC	Farming Fungi LLC	Megan O'Brien DBA Hall Brook Farm	Smith & Meyer Farm LLC	Daniel J. Giguere	Fish Creek Oysters LLC	Springtide Seaweed LLC
F	Proposed Cost:	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$248,397.00	\$250,000.0 0	\$210,114. 00	\$110,495.00	\$280,000.00
Scoring Sections	Points Available					1			
12. Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	15	15	15	13	15	15	15	5	10
13. Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	5	5	5	0	5	5	5	4	5
14. Budget Completeness	10	10	9	10	5	8	9	8	7
15. Budget Narrative and Justification	10	9	9	10	6	5	10	10	3
16. Matching Funds	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
17. Application Completeness	5	2	5	2	3	2	2	2	3
TOTAL	100	87	87	82	77	75	<u>68</u>	66	66

	Bidder Name:	Blackstone Dairy	Rosemont Market	Somali Bantu Community Association	Wescongus Wild Blueberry	Blackstone Point Oyster Company	Smithereen Farm
	Proposed Cost:	\$249,500	\$248,318.86	\$249,999	\$105,880	\$109,329	\$250,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available						
1. Eligible Entity	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
2. Eligible Project Proposed	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
3. Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
4. Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity	7	2	7	7	7	3	4
5. The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
6. Maine RFSI Priorities	10	10	0	0	0	0	0
7. USDA RFSI Priorities	5	5	0	0	0	0	0
8. Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Expected Performance Measures	5	3	2	2	2	2	2
10. Stakeholder Support	5	3	5	2	3	3	2
11.Community Impact	10	6	10	10	5	6	3

	Bidder Name:	Blackstone Dairy	Rosemont Market	Somali Bantu Community Association	Wescongus Wild Blueberry	Blackstone Point Oyster Company	Smithereen Farm
1	Proposed Cost:	\$249,500	\$248,318.86	\$249,999	\$105,880	\$109,329	\$250,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available						
12.Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	15	5	8	7	7	7	3
13. Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	5	5	0	2	0	3	5
14. Budget Completeness	10	9	10	4	3	3	0
15. Budget Narrative and Justification	10	2	3	0	3	2	0
16. Matching Funds	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
17. Application Completeness	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>19</u>

	Bidder Name:	Ayotte Farms	Beals Island Shellfish Mariculture, Inc	Davis Farm	Dogpatch Farm, LLC	Fork Food Lab.	Fountain Farm, LLC	Lynch Hill Farms Inc	Maine Ocean Farms, LLC
F	Proposed Cost:	\$250,000	\$83,330	Unspecified	Unspecified	\$250,000	\$200,000	\$100,000	\$80,000
Scoring Sections									
1. Eligible Entity	Pass/Fail	Pass	Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
2. Eligible Project Proposed	Pass/Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
3. Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed	Pass/Fail	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity 	7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
5. The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
6. Maine RFSI Priorities	10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
7. USDA RFSI Priorities	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
 Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. 	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
 Expected Performance Measures 	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
10. Stakeholder Support	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
11. Community Impact	10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

	Bidder Name:	Ayotte Farms	Beals Island Shellfish Mariculture, Inc	Davis Farm	Dogpatch Farm, LLC	Fork Food Lab.	Fountain Farm, LLC	Lynch Hill Farms Inc	Maine Ocean Farms, LLC
F	Proposed Cost:	\$250,000	\$83,330	Unspecified	Unspecified	\$250,000	\$200,000	\$100,000	\$80,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available								
12. Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	15	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
13. Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
14. Budget Completeness	10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
15. Budget Narrative and Justification	10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
16. Matching Funds	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
17. Application Completeness	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>n/a</u>

	Bidder Name:	Mook Sea Farm, Inc.	Newdawns Farms	Nick Planson, LLC	Oxford Hill Community Garden	Stewardship GEM, LLC	The Apple Farm	Yost Farm, LLC
F	Proposed Cost:	\$89,395.81	\$85,759	\$214,557.51	\$115,604	\$250,000	\$124,000	\$19,955
Scoring Sections	Points Available	00						
1. Eligible Entity	Pass/Fail	Pass	Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass
2. Eligible Project Proposed	Pass/Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
3. Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed	Pass/Fail	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Fail	n/a	n/a
 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity 	7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
 The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. 	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
6. Maine RFSI Priorities	10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
7. USDA RFSI Priorities	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
 Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. 	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
9. Expected Performance Measures	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
10. Stakeholder Support	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
11. Community Impact	10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

	Bidder Name:	Mook Sea Farm, Inc.	Newdawns Farms	Nick Planson, LLC	Oxford Hill Community Garden	Stewardship GEM LLC	The Apple Farm	Yost Farm, LLC
	Proposed Cost:	\$89,395.81	\$85,759	\$214,557.51	\$115,604.00	\$250,000.00	\$124,000	\$19,955
Scoring Sections	Points Available							
12. Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	15	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
13. Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
14. Budget Completeness	10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
15. Budget Narrative and Justification	10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
16. Matching Funds	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
17. Application Completeness	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TOTAL	<u>,100</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>.N/A</u>	, <u>N/A</u>	. <u>N/A</u>	. <u>N/A</u>	. <u>N/A</u>



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Farm on Bremen Rd LLC dba Tops'l Farm Sarah Pike 20 John St Camden ME 04843

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Sarah Pike:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Maine Grains Inc Amber Lambke PO Box 2060 Skowhegan ME 04976

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Amber Lambke:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Bouchard Family Farm Products Joseph Bouchard 3 Strip Rd Fort Kent ME 04743

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Joseph Bouchard:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Ocean Approved Inc Briana Warner 20 Pomerleau St Biddeford ME 04005

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Briana Warner:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Maine Coast Sea Vegetables Inc Seraphina Erhard 430 Washington Jct Rd Hancock ME 04640

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Seraphina Erhard:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Circle B Farm Gina Ayer 290 East Presque Isle Rd Caribou ME 04736

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Gina Ayer:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash

Michelle Webb Director of Agricultural Resource Development Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry 90 Blossom Lane 28 SHS Augusta, ME 04333-0028



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

William H. Jordan Farm LLC Penelope Jordan 21 Wells Rd Cape Elizabeth ME 04107

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Penelope Jordan:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle With

Michelle Webb Director of Agricultural Resource Development Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry 90 Blossom Lane 28 SHS Augusta, ME 04333-0028



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Farming Fungi LLC Emily-Anne Sharoon Dickinson 21B Blanchard Rd Springvale ME 04083

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Emily-Anne Sharoon Dickinson:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Walt



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Megan O'Brien DBA Hall Brook Farm Megan O'Brien 34 Berry Rd Thorndike ME 04986

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Megan O'Brien:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Smith & Meyer Farm LLC Adam Meyer 1451 Cutler Rd Cutler ME 04626

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Adam Meyer:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Daniel J. Giguere 352 Old Danville Rd Auburn ME 04210

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Daniel Giguere:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

The applicants listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings.

The evaluation team recommended the applicants listed above for subrecipient award to the Department Commissioner for approval to be submitted to the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff.

The Department Commissioner has authorized the submission of the above Projects as recommended for USDA RFSI approval. Recommended applicants will be required to complete Project plan and documentation edits that are necessary to ensure alignment and compliance with USDA terms and conditions, program-specific scope and requirements, and USDA regulations. The Department will complete the RFSI State Planning process with the USDA RFSI Program staff. The final contractual awards are contingent upon USDA's acceptance of the applicants' RFSI Project plan and documentation. The USDA reserves the right to reject any and all Applications or to make adjustments to awards.

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Fish Creek Oysters LLC Allison Melvin PO Box 453 Stonington ME 04681

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Allison Melvin:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Springtide Seaweed LLC Sarah Redmond 14 Factory Rd Gouldsboro ME 04607

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Sarah Redmond:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle With

Michelle Webb Director of Agricultural Resource Development Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry 90 Blossom Lane 28 SHS Augusta, ME 04333-0028



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Blackstone Dairy Herbert Klie 590 South Wade Rd Wade ME 04786

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Herbert Klie:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Walt



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Rosemont Market Mark Law 832 Stevens Ave Portland ME 04103

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Mark Law:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle With

Michelle Webb Director of Agricultural Resource Development Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry 90 Blossom Lane 28 SHS Augusta, ME 04333-0028



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Somali Bantu Community Association Lane Cannon Dracup 222 Pine Rd Lewiston ME 04240

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Lane Cannon Dracup:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Walt

Michelle Webb Director of Agricultural Resource Development Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry 90 Blossom Lane 28 SHS Augusta, ME 04333-0028



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Wescogus Wild Blueberry Dell Emerson 383 Indian Farm Rd Addison ME 04606

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Dell Emerson:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash

Michelle Webb Director of Agricultural Resource Development Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry 90 Blossom Lane 28 SHS Augusta, ME 04333-0028



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Blackstone Point Oyster Company Brendan Parsons 236 Bayview Rd Nobleboro ME 04555

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Brendan Parsons:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Smithereen Farm Severine vT Welcome 767 Leighton Point Rd Pembroke ME 04666

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Severine vT Welcome:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Walt



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Ayotte Farms Scott Ayotte 999 Hamlin Rd Hamlin ME 04785

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Scott Ayotte:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Walt



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Beals Island Shellfish Mariculture Kyle Pepperman PO Box 100 Beals ME 04611

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Kyle Pepperman:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wahh



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Davis Farm Kendal Davis 222 Meadow Ln Etna ME 04434

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Kendal Davis:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Walt



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Dogpatch Farm LLC Sue Mamel 419 Old Country Rd Washington ME 04574

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Sue Mamel:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Food Fork Lab Bill Seretta 95 Darling Ave South Portland ME 04106

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Bill Seretta:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wapp

Michelle Webb Director of Agricultural Resource Development Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry 90 Blossom Lane 28 SHS Augusta, ME 04333-0028



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Fountain Farm LLC Clark Fountain 641 East Side Rd Hancock ME 04640

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Clark Fountain:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Lynch Hill Farms Inc Anne Emerson 1468 US Highway 1A Harrington ME 04643

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Anne Emerson:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Walt



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Maine Ocean Farms LLC Willy Leathers 753 River Rd Unit 1 Brunswick ME 04011

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Willy Leathers:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle With



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Mook Sea Farms Inc Steve Zimmerman 321 ME 129 Walpole ME 04573

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Steve Zimmerman:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Walt



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Newdawns Farms Lindsay Neville 112 Mcdonald Rd Littleton ME 04730

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Lindsay Neville:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Nick Planson, LLD dba Shred Electric Nick Planson 343 Penney Rd New Gloucester ME 04260

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Nick Planson:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Oxford Hills Community Gardens DBA Alan Day Community Garden Rocky Crockett 26 Whitman St Norway ME 04268

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Rocky Crockett:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle With



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Stewardship GEM LLC Alex de Koning 806 Bar Harbor Rd Trenton ME 04605

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Alex de Koning:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wash

Director of Agricultural Resource Development Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry 90 Blossom Lane 28 SHS Augusta, ME 04333-0028



Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

The Apple Farm Marilyn Meyerhans 87 Back Rd Fairfield ME 04937

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Marilyn Meyerhans:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wath

Director of Agricultural Resource Development Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry 90 Blossom Lane 28 SHS Augusta, ME 04333-0028

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, CONSERVATION AND FORESTRY

Janet T. Mills Governor AMANDA E. BEAL Commissioner

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

June 7, 2024

Yost Farms LLC Tyler Yost 331 E Blaine Rd Blaine ME 04734

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202403071, 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program (RFSI)

Dear Tyler Yost:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry for the 2024 USDA Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- Farm on Bremen Rd, LLC DBA Tops'l Farm
 - Tops'l Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farming Prosperity.
- Maine Grains, Inc.
 - Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment Expansion to make Nutritious Extruded Cereals and Snacks.
- Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc.
 - Increasing Buckwheat Flour Processing and Packaging Capacity at Bouchard Family Farm.
- Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms
 - Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp
- Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc.
 - Increasing the Resilience of Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity

The applicants listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings.

The evaluation team recommended the applicants listed above for subrecipient award to the Department Commissioner for approval to be submitted to the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff. The Department Commissioner has authorized the submission of the above Projects as recommended for USDA RFSI approval. Recommended applicants will be required to complete Project plan and documentation edits that are necessary to ensure alignment and compliance with USDA terms and conditions, program-specific scope and requirements, and USDA regulations. The Department will complete the RFSI State Planning process with the USDA RFSI Program staff. The final contractual awards are contingent upon USDA's acceptance of the applicants' RFSI Project plan and documentation. The USDA reserves the right to reject any and all Applications or to make adjustments to awards.

Upon approval of the Department Commissioner and the USDA AMS RFSI Program staff, the Department will contact the aforementioned applicants to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Michelle Walt

Michelle Webb Director of Agricultural Resource Development Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry 90 Blossom Lane 28 SHS Augusta, ME 04333-0028

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Farm on Breman Rd. LLC DBA Tops'I Farm PROJECT NAME: Tops'I Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farmer Prosperity DATE: May 6, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

 produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 6) Maine RFSI Priorities 	3	3
 6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	10	10
 7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to 	5	5

Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-		
owned enterprises		
 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new 		
streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers,		
including those who may not have access to value-added		
opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or		
value-added products, such as underserved producers.		
8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply	5	5
chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including	·	•
Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance		
Measures Section.		
a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables,		
expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a		
description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project	_	_
period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and	5	5
performance measures.		
b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators		
listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for		
local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of		
local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide		
quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's		
projects scope of work.		
10) Stakeholder Support		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support		
Section and any submitted letters of support.		
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project.	5	5
Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and	5	5
support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for		
the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in		
the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be		
assigned points.		
11) Community Impact		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support	10	9
Section and any submitted letters of support.		

	and frances and the set of the set		
	workforce considerations.		
	i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project		
	directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and		
	regional food supply chain.		
	ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food		
(0) During	businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		
	ct Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support,		
	Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical		
	s and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the		
Applicatio			
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability,		
L	technical feasibility, and readiness.		
D.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and		
	achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	45	45
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	15	15
4	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create		
u.	opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The		
	Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable		
	and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant		
с.	entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety,		
	operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide	nce of Critical Infrastructure		
	trated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and		
	by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful		
	are in place.		
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure		
	Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	5
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure		
	necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.		
	Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of		
	city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for		
	the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources		
	must be in place and in working condition at the time of application		
	submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for		
	initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		

sections an a.	ated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget ad the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities	10	10
15) Budget * Demonstra sections an a. b. c. d. e. f.	Project activities. t Narrative and Justification ated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget ad the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. Destinates	10	10
Worksheet. a. b.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	5	5
* <i>Demonstra</i> 18) The App	tion Completeness ated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. Dication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format in the RFA.	5	5
<u>TOTAL</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>99</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Farm on Breman Rd. LLC DBA Tops'I Farm PROJECT NAME: Tops'I Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farmer Prosperity DATE: May 6, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant proposed building a new facility and upgrading equipment for the middle of the supply chain activities.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant's project involves ingredients that will be processed, packaged, and stored in a dedicated production facility.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Farm on Breman Rd. LLC DBA Tops'I Farm PROJECT NAME: Tops'I Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farmer Prosperity DATE: May 6, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION

Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant indicated that more than 67% of the project funded foods will be Mainegrown, harvested, or produced ingredients.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (10 Points)
 - The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of Maine's RFSI Priorities.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (5 Points)

- The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of the USDA's RFSI Priorities.
- 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (5 Points)
 - The Applicant identified that the project will benefit socially disadvantaged producers per the RFA stated definitions.

9) Expected Performance Measures (5 Points)

- Great example of a detailed project plan and description of expected performance measures.
- The Applicant selected expected outcomes and articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, and performance measures.

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

• Well articulated and documented how stakeholders that are not project partners support the Project.

11) Community Impact (9 Points)

- Letter from the Town Manager articulates community impact and involvement.
- Did not articulate specific farms, processors, or food businesses but did quantify the community impact across these groups

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (15 Points)

- The Applicant demonstrated sufficient planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.
- The Project describes activities, and the purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)

The Applicant submitted evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place

14) Budget Completeness (10 Points)

- Full points, no errors or omissions found.
- 15) Budget Narrative and Justification (10 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated and described allowable costs and provided justification for how the cost relates to the project.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Farm on Breman Rd. LLC DBA Tops'I Farm PROJECT NAME: Tops'I Farm: Nurturing Maine's Agricultural Resilience and Farmer Prosperity DATE: May 6, 2024

16) Matching Funds (5 Points)

- The Applicant submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet.
- The matching funds documentation demonstrates that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (5 Points)

• The application was complete and adhered to the format outlined.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Maine Grains Inc PROJECT NAME: Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment expansion to make nutritious extruded cereals and snacks DATE: May 7, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators:** Gue Nelson, Jane Kireling, Joy Bononfant, Andrew Kondall, Elle

Names of Evaluators: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	3	3
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance		
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not	10	10
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging		
improvements (including value-added processing, hiring		
term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation,		
co-packing and packaging investments, and processing,		
canning, and preserving as an area most in need of		
investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking,		
juicing, distilling, and fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	5	5
 Projects that support the development of value-added 		
products available to consumers.		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		

ii.	Workforce/economic impact		
	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair 		
	wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in		
	rural communities.		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
	of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and 		
	new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural		
	producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
	added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
	premium or value-added products, such as underserved		
	producers.		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demons	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	5
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals		
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expec	ted Performance Measures		
* Demon	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section a	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
Performa	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be	5	5
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	Ð	Ð
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
1	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
1			

numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		
10) Stakeholder Support		
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	5
11) Community Impact		
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	10
12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted		
letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification		
Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any		
documents submitted with the Application.		
a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial		
viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable,	15	15
and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May		
24, 2027).		
c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and		
readiness to implement the proposed Project.		
d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is		
completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances		
that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI		

	funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the		
	Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability,		
	workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or		
	wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical		
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	5
_	outlined in the RFA.		
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	et Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	10	10
a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	10
	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
· · ·	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		_
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as	10	10
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions		
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		

c.	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget sections recording. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the		
	Project.		
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.		
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
	ning Funds		
* Demons Match Wo	strated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget		
a.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated	5	5
	match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.		
	cation Completeness		
18) The A	Strated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. pplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the coutlined in the RFA.	5	4
<u>TOTA</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>99</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Maine Grains Inc PROJECT NAME: Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment expansion to make nutritious extruded cereals and snacks DATE: May 7, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant describes a project to build a new building that will house new high-capacity extrusion equipment. The equipment will be used to make high-fiber, nutritious cereals and snacks from Maine-grown grains.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant's project will expand middle-of-the-supply chain capacity and infrastructure by expanding processing capacity and value-added products.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Maine Grains Inc PROJECT NAME: Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment expansion to make nutritious extruded cereals and snacks DATE: May 7, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant's project contains over 75% of Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (10 Points)
 - The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of Maine's RFSI Priorities.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (5 Points)

- The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of the USDA's RFSI Priorities.
- 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (5 Points)
 - The Applicant identified that the project will benefit an LFPA producer.
- 9) Expected Performance Measures (5 Points)
 - The Applicant selected expected outcomes on the USDA template and articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, and expected outcomes and performance measures on the Maine RFSI Application Project Narrative.

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

• The Applicant identified multiple stakeholders who support this project and submitted multiple letters of support. The Applicant also described how the need for this project will benefit all stakeholders involved.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

- The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.
- The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) **Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (15 Points)**

- The application illustrated a shovel-ready project that reviewers were able to understand.
- The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.
- The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Maine Grains Inc PROJECT NAME: Maine Grains Cereal Extrusion Line Equipment expansion to make nutritious extruded cereals and snacks DATE: May 7, 2024

achievable.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)

The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.

14) Budget Completeness (10 Points)

• No errors; thoroughly complete

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (10 Points)

- The Applicant clearly demonstrated and described allowable costs and provided justification for how the cost relates to the project.
- Justification statements are complete and detailed, provide a timeline of expenses

16) Matching Funds (5 Points)

- The Applicant provided matching funds 1:1
- All match funds are allowable
- Match budget documents and match verification letters align.
- The Applicant submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that are complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation demonstrates that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (4 Points)

 The Applicant did not check the required box on the evidence of critical infrastructure letter.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc. PROJECT NAME: Increasing buckwheat flour processing and packaging capacity at Bouchard Family Farm. DATE: May 3, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	Points Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply	3	3
chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw	3	5
materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume.		
Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or 		
construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-		
of-the-food-supply chain challenges.		
The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-		
the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related	10	10
activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to		
assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging		
investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area		
most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking,		
baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).		
 Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, 		
and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the		
USDA RFSI Priorities		
 Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency 		
 Projects that support the development of value-added products 		
available to consumers.		
Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and		
choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);	5	5
ii. Workforce/economic impact	•	•
 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural 		
communities.		
 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety 		
of workers;		
iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure		
 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		

underserved communities.		
 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises 		
 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and 		
new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural		
producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
premium or value-added products, such as underserved		
producers.		
8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply	5	5
chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance		
Measures Section.		
a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables,		
expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project		
period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and	5	5
performance measures.		
b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators		
listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for		
local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of		
local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide		
quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's		
projects scope of work.		
10) Stakeholder Support		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support		
Section and any submitted letters of support.		
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project.	_	_
Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and	5	5
support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for		
the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in		
the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be		
assigned points.		1

	munity Impact		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
the USDA	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support		
Section a	nd any submitted letters of support.		
	The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and		
_	workforce considerations.	10	10
	i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project		
	directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and		
	regional food supply chain.		
	ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food		
	businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		
12) Droio			
	ct Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support,		
	Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical		
	s and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the		
Applicatio			
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability,		
	technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and		
	achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).		
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness	15	15
	to implement the proposed Project.		
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create		
	opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The		
	Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable		
	and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant		
	entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety,		
	operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
,	trated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and		
	by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
a.	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
h	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
J.	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful		
		5	5
-	are in place.	5	5
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure		
	Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.		
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure		
	necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.		
	Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of		
	city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for		
	the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources		

		100	98
Demonst	cation Completeness rated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	3
	All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.		
Demonst Vorksheet a.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	5	5
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
e.	cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	-	
	sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each	10	10
c.	category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget		
b.	Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how		
	SI Budget Match Worksheet. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in		
Demonst	et Narrative and Justification rated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections		
	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.		
Demonst nd the RF	et Completeness rated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections SI Budget Match Worksheet.	10	10
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc. PROJECT NAME: Increasing buckwheat flour processing and packaging capacity at Bouchard Family Farm. DATE: May 3, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant proposes a Project that will build buckwheat mill infrastructure.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant's Project described an addition to its production and packaging space, allowing it to install a second mill, increase storage space for buckwheat byproduct, and increase storage space for packaged buckwheat flour.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc. PROJECT NAME: Increasing buckwheat flour processing and packaging capacity at Bouchard Family Farm. DATE: May 3, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant's project contains 100% Maine-grown, harvested, or produced ingredients

6) Maine RFSI Priorities (10 Points)

 The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of Maine's RFSI Priorities.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (5 Points)

 The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of the USDA's RFSI Priorities.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (5 Points)

- The Applicant identified that the project will benefit 6 underserved or underrepresented farmers and ranchers as defined in the RFA.
- The applicant identified veteran-owned farmers/producers that will benefit from this project.

9) Expected Performance Measures (5 Points)

• The Applicant selected expected outcomes on the USDA template and articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, and expected outcomes and performance measures on the Maine RFSI Application Project Narrative.

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

• The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. The applicant described the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Letters of support were submitted.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

- The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.
- The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) **Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (15 Points)**

• The Applicant already has the equipment; increased capacity and expanded potential.

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program

BIDDER: Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc. **PROJECT NAME:** Increasing buckwheat flour processing and packaging capacity at Bouchard

Family Farm.

DATE: May 3, 2024

- The application illustrated a shovel-ready project that reviewers were able to understand.
- The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.
- The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)

• The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.

14) Budget Completeness (10 Points)

• No errors; thoroughly complete

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (10 Points)

- The Applicant clearly demonstrated and described allowable costs and provided justification for how the cost relate to the project.
- Justification statements are complete and detailed, provide a timeline of expenses

16) Matching Funds (5 Points)

- The Applicant provided matching funds 1:1 and included receipts to show equipment was secured.
- All match funds are allowable
- Match budget documents and match verification letters align.
- The Applicant submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that are complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation demonstrates that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (3 Points)

- The Applicant did not select the box on the evidence of critical resources and infrastructure letter.
- The applicant did not follow the instructions on the USDA template, which required N/A to be checked in the Expected Performance Measures section.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Ocean Approved, Inc. (DBA Atlantic Sea Farms) PROJECT NAME: Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp DATE: May 8, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the	3	3
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance		
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not	10	7
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging		
improvements (including value-added processing, hiring		
term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation,		
co-packing and packaging investments, and processing,		
canning, and preserving as an area most in need of		
investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency		
Projects that support the development of value-added	5	5
products available to consumers.		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact		1

	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. 		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.			
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved 		
8) Bonot	producers. fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	sualed in the maine IX SI Application I of the Foject Narrative		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
a.	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	4
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals	Ŭ	-
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Experi	cted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	ince Measures Section.		
	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be		
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	5	5
b.	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.			
b.	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
b.	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
b.	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	5
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	10
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	15

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
_	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical	_	_
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	5
	outlined in the RFA.		
α.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
14) Budo	jet Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	9
	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
-	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		_
	Terms and Conditions	10	9
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		
	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
لم	the budget sections reconcile.		
a.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		

 justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 		
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	5	5
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	3
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>92</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Ocean Approved, Inc. (DBA Atlantic Sea Farms) PROJECT NAME: Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp DATE: May 8, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant's project consists of improving efficiency and food safety, expanding processing capabilities, reducing costs, and improving output at a processing facility

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicants Project will help improve the storage and distribution, processing, and packaging of value-added products and help to overcome middle-of-supply chain challenges in the kelp aquaculture industry.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Ocean Approved, Inc. (DBA Atlantic Sea Farms) PROJECT NAME: Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp DATE: May 8, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant's project-produced items will contain over 95% of Maine-grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (7 Points)
 - The Applicant did not respond to this section in the correct Project narrative section under heading 7 but did articulate in other areas.
- 7) USDA RFSI Priorities (5 Points)
 - The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of the USDA's RFSI Priorities.
- 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (4 Points)
 - The indicated Project will benefit beginning farmers.
 - Did not clearly articulate this scoring section in the correct project narrative heading section 8.

9) Expected Performance Measures (5 Points)

• The Applicant selected expected outcomes and articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, and performance measures.

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

• The Applicant identified multiple stakeholders who support this project and submitted numerous letters of support. The Applicant also described how the need for this project will benefit all stakeholders involved.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

• The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (15 Points)

- The Applicant demonstrated sufficient planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.
- The Project describes activities, and the purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)

• The Applicant submitted evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER:** Ocean Approved, Inc. (DBA Atlantic Sea Farms) **PROJECT NAME:** Expanding Processing Capacity for Maine's Farmed Kelp **DATE:** May 8, 2024

14) Budget Completeness (9 Points)

- The Applicant did not complete the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet Columns to show which Project year(s) expenses will occur.
- 15) Budget Narrative and Justification (9 Points)
 - Personnel justification is incomplete as it doesn't list when activities will occur.

16) Matching Funds (5 Points)

- The Applicant clearly demonstrated and described allowable costs and provided justification for how the cost relates to the project.
- The Applicant submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that are complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation demonstrates that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (3 Points)

- The Applicant's proposed Project start date is before the allowable Project performance period.
- The RFSI Budget Match Worksheet was incomplete (missing column).

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Maine Coast Sea Vegetable Inc PROJECT NAME: Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity DATE: May 6, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.		
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the	3	3
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. • The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, 	10	5
 hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage) 		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
 7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency 		
 Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); Workforce/economic impact 	5	2
	1	1

		-	
	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. 		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. 		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker owned enterprises 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value- added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		
8) Bonof	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	strated in the manie KFSI Application Form Froject Narrative		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the- supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	5	4
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
	cted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section a	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
Performa	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be		
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	5	5
_	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
	numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	5
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	10
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	15

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
	ence of Critical Infrastructure strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
c.	successful are in place. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	5
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	let Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	10	9
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
-	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General</u>	40	40
F	Terms and Conditions	10	10
D.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
c	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
0.	the budget sections are nee of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		

e	justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
Match Wor a.	rated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget	5	5
* Demonst 18) The App	ation Completeness rated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. plication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the putlined in the RFA.	5	4
TOTAL		<u>100</u>	<u>89</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Maine Coast Sea Vegetable Inc PROJECT NAME: Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity DATE: May 6, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant described a project to purchase, install, and operate a seaweed powder mill for farmed seaweed. The mill will expand the processing capacity for Maine's seaweed aquaculture sector

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The proposed project activities will improve seaweed processing capacity and value by purchasing, installing, and operating a powder mill (processing infrastructure) to produce seaweed powder from farmed kelp on behalf of small growers and other processors. All activities are middle of the food supply chain.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Maine Coast Sea Vegetable Inc PROJECT NAME: Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity DATE: May 6, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant stated that 100% of the farmed seaweed will be of Maine origin.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (5 Points)
 - The Applicant identified but did not clearly articulate in point 6 of the project narrative summary.
- 7) USDA RFSI Priorities (2 Points)
 - The Applicant identified but did not clearly articulate in point 7 of the project narrative summary.
- 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (4 Points)
 - The application identified more than one beginning farmer to benefit but did not demonstrate the involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.

9) Expected Performance Measures (5 Points)

• The Applicant selected expected outcomes on the USDA template and articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, and expected outcomes and performance measures on the Maine RFSI Application Project Narrative.

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

• The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. The applicant described the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Letters of support were submitted.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

- The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.
- The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) **Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (15 Points)**

- The application illustrated a shovel-ready project that reviewers were able to understand.
- The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Maine Coast Sea Vegetable Inc PROJECT NAME: Maine Sea Vegetable Aquaculture with Milling Capacity DATE: May 6, 2024

- The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable.
- 13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)
 - The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.
- 14) Budget Completeness (9 Points)
 - The travel budget on the USDA template is not mathematically correct.
- 15) Budget Narrative and Justification (10 Points)
 - Full points, no errors or omissions found.
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated and described allowable costs and provided justification for how the cost relates to the project.
 - Justification statements are complete and detailed, provide a timeline of expenses

16) Matching Funds (5 Points)

• The Applicant submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that are complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation demonstrates that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (4 Points)

• The project start date is outside the period of performance stated in the RFA

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Circle B Farms, LLC PROJECT NAME: Expanding Circle B Farms' Cold Storage Capacity DATE: May 3, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Circle B Farms, LLC PROJECT NAME: Expanding Circle B Farms' Cold Storage Capacity DATE: May 3, 2024

redients. The Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. <i>y</i> points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw als grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume.	3	3
 the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. oplication clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	10	9
 the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. n clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the iorities d value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; ty of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. 	5	4
	 construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). rtorities the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. n clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the iorities d value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); orce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; ty of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to 	redients. 3 a fue Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. 3 a points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw als grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. 3 is excluded from this requirement. 5 fortities 9 phe Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. 5 oplication clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 7 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-ofthe-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 10 Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distiling, and fermenting). 10 Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 10 rotities 10 10 otities 11 10 projects that support storage and distribution improvements (includi

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Circle B Farms, LLC PROJECT NAME: Expanding Circle B Farms' Cold Storage Capacity DATE: May 3, 2024

	owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new 		
	streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers,		
	including those who may not have access to value-added		
	opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or		
	value-added products, such as underserved producers.		
8) Benefi	t to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply		•
	chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including	5	3
	Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
I	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expec	ted Performance Measures		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
the USDA	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance		
Measures	Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables,		
	expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a		
	description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project		
	period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and	5	5
	performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators		
	listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for		
	local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of		
	local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide		
	quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's		
	projects scope of work.		
	holder Support		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support		
	nd any submitted letters of support.		
a.	The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project.	5	5
	Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and		
	support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for		
	the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in		
	the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be		
44) 0	assigned points.		
	nunity Impact		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support	10	40
	nd any submitted letters of support. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and	10	10
a.	workforce considerations.		
	i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project		
			l

RFA #: 202403071
RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program
BIDDER: Circle B Farms, LLC
PROJECT NAME: Expanding Circle B Farms' Cold Storage Capacity
DATE: May 3, 2024

	directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and		
	regional food supply chain.		
	ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food		
	businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		
12) Projec	t Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support,		
	Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical		
	and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the		
Applicatio	n.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability,		
	technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and		
	achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).		
с.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness	15	15
	to implement the proposed Project.		
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create		
	opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The		
	Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable		
_	and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
е.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant		
	entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety,		
f	operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
1.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
12) Evido	nce of Critical Infrastructure		
	trated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and		
	by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
u.	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful		
	are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure		
	Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	5
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure		
	necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.		
	Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of		
	city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for		
	the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources		
	must be in place and in working condition at the time of application		
	submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for		
	initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		<u> </u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Circle B Farms, LLC PROJECT NAME: Expanding Circle B Farms' Cold Storage Capacity DATE: May 3, 2024

 14) Budget Completeness * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	10	10
 15) Budget Narrative and Justification * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	e 10 to	9
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Let and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	of 5	0
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	2
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>87</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Circle B Farms, LLC PROJECT NAME: Expanding Circle B Farms' Cold Storage Capacity DATE: May 3, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass with comment.

The Applicant indicated that they are an agricultural producer or processor but did not select whether the entity is a for-profit meeting SBA guidelines or nonprofit organization. If awarded, further clarification and documentation are needed.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant proposed an eligible Project

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Application describes a Project that would expand Maine agricultural producers' cold storage capacity for the aggregation and storage of fresh broccoli grown and harvested by the farm before shipment for further processing.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Circle B Farms, LLC PROJECT NAME: Expanding Circle B Farms' Cold Storage Capacity DATE: May 3, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant's project contains 100% Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities (9 Points)

• The applicant identified but did not articulate how the Project will address a Maine priority area in heading 6 of the project narrative summary; articulated in other areas of the application.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (4 Points)

- The applicant identified but did not articulate how the Project will address a USDA priority area in heading 7 of the project narrative summary; articulated in other areas of the application.
- 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (3 Points)
 - They indicated 6 farms that would benefit (underrepresented, beginning, socially disadvantaged) on the USDA template but did not clearly articulate how the Project will directly benefit underserved or underrepresented food producers or which specific producers, and did not demonstrate involvement of those beneficiaries in project or application development.
 - Additional information needed in project narrative summary heading 8.

9) Expected Performance Measures (5 Points)

• The Applicant selected expected outcomes on the USDA template and articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, and expected outcomes and performance measures on the Maine RFSI Application Project Narrative.

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

• The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. The applicant described the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Letters of support were submitted.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

- The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.
- The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (15 Points)

• The Applicant demonstrated sufficient planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. The Project describes activities, and the purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date. Fully articulated data collection and reporting plan.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Circle B Farms, LLC PROJECT NAME: Expanding Circle B Farms' Cold Storage Capacity DATE: May 3, 2024

- 13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)
 - The Applicant submitted evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place
- 14) Budget Completeness (10 Points)
 - Complete and mathematically accurate.
- 15) Budget Narrative and Justification (9 Points)
 - The budget is all placed into year one and no planned expenses are stated in years two or three, yet this is proposed as a nearly 3 year project. Additonal budget narrative details are necessary for reviewers to fully understand.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- The Applicant's equipment match contributions are documented as quotes, not purchases.
- There is no verification that the equipment listed as a matching contribution has already been purchased by the applicant and is in place for the Project.
- Per USDA, if the equipment is purchased by the applicant prior to the applicant being recommended for an award and is special purpose that's critical for the success of for the project, they can use it as match.
- The matching funds documentation does not demonstrate that all matching contributions are committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (2 Points)

- The Applicant's project start and end dates are both outside the period of performance stated in the RFA
- The applicant did not follow the instructions on the USDA template, which required N/A to be checked in the Expected Performance Measures section.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: William H. Jordan Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: Expansion of processing of mixed fruit and vegetables at Jordan's Farm DATE: May 7, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	_	_
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.	3	2
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	10	7
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis 	5	5
on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact		
	1	1

	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. 		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
	of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and 		
	new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural		
	producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
	added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
	premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers.		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and	_	_
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	3
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals		
h	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
IJ.	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expec	ted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
а.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be	F	5
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	5	5
b	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
	numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant 	5	5
and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.		
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	10
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	15

		1	1
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
a.	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b	•		
D.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical	_	_
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	5
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	et Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	10	9
a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	-	_
	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
•	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions		
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and	10	9
	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
c.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
	the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		
	justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the		
	Project.		

f.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the		
	investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
<i>Match Wol</i> a. a. b.	trated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget	5	0
* <i>Demonst</i> 18) The Ap	ation Completeness trated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. plication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the outlined in the RFA.	5	5
<u>TOTAL</u>	-	<u>100</u>	<u>87</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: William H. Jordan Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: Expansion of processing of mixed fruit and vegetables at Jordan's Farm DATE: May 7, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant is a for-profit entity that meets the RFA stated SBA eligibility guidelines.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant proposes a project that will upgrade and expand their middle of the supply chain infrastructure wash/pack and storage capabilities.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: William H. Jordan Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: Expansion of processing of mixed fruit and vegetables at Jordan's Farm DATE: May 7, 2024

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant describes a Project that will expand processing capacity and introduce new value-added products.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: William H. Jordan Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: Expansion of processing of mixed fruit and vegetables at Jordan's Farm DATE: May 7, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (2 Points)
 - It is unclear on the percentage of Maine grown, harvested, or produced in the dressings and jam; more detail is needed to understand that all foods produced with RFSI funded infrastructure meet the 51% or 67% criteria stated in the RFA.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities (7 Points)

• The applicant begins to describe a broad alignment between their project and the Maine RFSI priorities. More details are needed to specifically identify which Maine priority and articulate clearly how the project will address one or more specific Maine RFSI priorities.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (5 Points)

 The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of the USDA's RFSI Priorities.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (3 Points)

- The Application does not clearly articulate how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners that are not the Applicant or it's partners as defined in the RFA.
- The Application does not demonstrate the involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.

9) Expected Performance Measures (5 Points)

• The Applicant selected expected outcomes and articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, and performance measures thoroughly.

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

• The Applicant identified multiple stakeholders who support this project, and multiple submitted a letter of support. The Applicant also described how the need for this project will benefit all stakeholders involved.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

• The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program

BIDDER: William H. Jordan Farm LLC

PROJECT NAME: Expansion of processing of mixed fruit and vegetables at Jordan's Farm **DATE:** May 7, 2024

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (15 Points)

- The Applicant demonstrated sufficient planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. The Project describes activities, and the purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date.
 - Thorough documentation was provided to review this section.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)

• The Applicant submitted evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.

14) Budget Completeness (9 Points)

The Applicant rounded amounts in the other budget section up and in the other budget sections justification leaving minor reconciliation variances.

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (9 Points)

• The application includes produce which is an unallowable cost per the USDA General Terms and Conditions.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- Proposed matching contributions include business operating costs of produce (years 1 and 2).
- Proposed matching contributions include business operating expenses of and personnel in years 3 and 4 (beyond the Project activities for construction and equipment) that are not thoroughly justified as project-specific and necessary to complete the Project funded activities and expenditures.
- RFSI funds are for project expenses related to the building of infrastructure and not the purchasing of food products to be processed.
- It is unallowable for the project to purchase produce to be processed, and therefore produce would not be eligible to meet the match requirement.
- The USDA RFSI Program Scope and Requirements, Section 1.5.6, states that a project is a set of interrelated tasks with a defined goal, a definitive beginning and end, and are different from other ongoing operations in an organization. RFSI funds should not be used to cover daily operational business expenses nor the purchase of agricultural products for production. Applications should include only the costs necessary for the completion of the proposed project activities and not operational costs for the period of performance.

17) Application Completeness (5 Points)

• The application was complete and adhered to the format outlined in the RFA.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Farming Fungi LLC/ DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms PROJECT NAME: Mousam Valley Mushrooms (MVM) Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure (RFSI) Project 2024-2027 DATE: May 6, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or		
produced ingredients.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the suppl		3
chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural ra	W	
materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume.		
Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more	•	
of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvement		
(including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or		
construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle	>-	
of-the-food-supply chain challenges.		
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-		
the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related	10	10
activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to		
assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging		
investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area		
most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cookin	g,	
baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space,		
and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the		
USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency		
 Projects that support the development of value-added products 		
available to consumers.		
Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and		
choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);	5	5
ii. Workforce/economic impact	_	-
Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages		
and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural		
communities.		
 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safet 	У	
of workers;		
iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure		
 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of 		
business model approaches, geography, and availability to		

 underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker- owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		
 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 	5	2
 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's project's projects scope of work. 	5	5
 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	5
11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	10	10

a.	The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.		
	i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project		
	directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and		
	regional food supply chain.		
	ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food		
	businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		
12) Projec	t Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support,		
	udget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical		
	and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the		
Applicatio			
a.			
h	technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and		
	achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	15	13
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness	15	13
А	to implement the proposed Project. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create		
d.			
	opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The		
	Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable		
•	and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
е.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant		
	entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety,		
<u>ء</u>	operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
12) Evide	nce of Critical Infrastructure		
	rated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and		
	by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
d.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
h	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships. The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
D.	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful		
	are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	0
Ь	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure	5	0
u.	necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.		
	Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of		
	city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources		
	must be in place and in working condition at the time of application		
	submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for		
	initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	טוווווונפע אוטר נט נווב אמוג עמנכ טו נווב דוטובטו.	1	

 14) Budget Completeness * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	10	10
 15) Budget Narrative and Justification * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	10	10
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	5	0
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	2
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>82</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Farming Fungi LLC/ DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms PROJECT NAME: Mousam Valley Mushrooms (MVM) Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure (RFSI) Project 2024-2027 DATE: May 6, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass with comment

The Applicant indicated that they are an agricultural producer or processor but did not select whether the entity is a for-profit meeting SBA guidelines or nonprofit organization. If awarded, further clarification and documentation is needed.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The project will increase the processing capabilities of Maine grown food. **Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)**

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicants project will strengthen local and regional food systems by creating new revenue streams through increasing post-harvest processing, manufacturing, storing, transporting, wholesaling, and distribution.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Farming Fungi LLC/ DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms PROJECT NAME: Mousam Valley Mushrooms (MVM) Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure (RFSI) Project 2024-2027 DATE: May 6, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant stated that 75% of the fresh mushrooms and 100% of the produce will be of Maine origin.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (10 Points)
 - The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of Maine's RFSI Priorities.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (5 Points)

• The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of the USDA's RFSI Priorities.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (2 Points)

- Identified one Underserved / Underrepresented veteran producer who is a family member of the applicant.
- Did not articulate how the Project would benefit underserved underrepresented producers or how they were involved in Project or application development

9) Expected Performance Measures (5 Points)

 The Applicant selected expected outcomes and articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, and performance measures.

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

- The Applicant identified multiple stakeholders who support this project, three of whom submitted a letter of support.
- The Applicant also described how the need for this project will benefit broad stakeholders.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

- The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.
- The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (13 Points)

 The Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter did not address project viability, feasibility, and readiness, and the Applicant did not complete heading 12 of the Project Narrative Summary. These additional details would have provided reviewers with full understanding.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (0 Points)

• Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter does not address any of the points in this scoring section as listed in the RFA.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Farming Fungi LLC/ DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms PROJECT NAME: Mousam Valley Mushrooms (MVM) Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure (RFSI) Project 2024-2027 DATE: May 6, 2024

- 14) Budget Completeness (10 Points)
 - Full points, no errors or omissions found.
- 15) Budget Narrative and Justification (10 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated and described allowable costs and provided justification for how the cost relates to the project.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- Proposed matching contributions are Operational expenses in years 3 and 4 and are proposed to occur beyond the stated Project activities. The project activities are purchasing equipment and completing construction and are stated to be anticipated to end on 12/31/25 per the budget match worksheet.
- Business operational costs outside of the Project are unallowable as matching contributions.
- The matching funds documentation does not demonstrate that all matching contributions are allowable and are committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award

17) Application Completeness (2 Points)

- Changed headings on Budget Match Worksheet
- The project start date is outside the period of performance stated in the RFA
- The Project narrative summary 4 pages longer than allowed per the RFA.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Megan O'Brien DBA Hall Brook Farm PROJECT NAME: Equipment expansion to make nutritious extruded cereals and snacks. DATE: May 8, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the	3	3
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance	10	10
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not	10	10
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging		
improvements (including value-added processing, hiring		
term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation,		
co-packing and packaging investments, and processing,		
canning, and preserving as an area most in need of		
investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	5	5
 Projects that support the development of value-added 	C C	Ū
products available to consumers.		
Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact		
	1	1

	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. 		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. 		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker owned enterprises 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value- added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	0
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals		-
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
_	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expec	ted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be		
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	5	3
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
	numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	5
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	10
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	15

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
* Demons	ence of Critical Infrastructure strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
Applicati	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
a.	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
h	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
.	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical		
-	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	5
	outlined in the RFA.		
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
AA) Duala	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	et Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
•	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	5
а.	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budo	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
budget se	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
- a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions	10	6
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		
	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
	the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		

 justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to understand how costs were determined and how they relate to Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider to degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project w and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable fo expected outcomes and objectives. 	easily the and the ork	
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complet adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contribution be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommen for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% gradue match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written a signed verification of match commitment from any party, includi eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resou this project. 	te and s will ided 5 ated ind ng the	0
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere t format outlined in the RFA. 	o the 5	3
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	77

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Megan O'Brien DBA Hall Brook Farm PROJECT NAME: Equipment expansion to make nutritious extruded cereals and snacks. DATE: May 8, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant describes an eligible Project that would increase storage by installing an insulated concrete pad to support a recently purchased cooler.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project based on allowable middle-of-the-supply chain activities, as described in Part 2, Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant's Project would expand processing capacity by installing specialized equipment and increasing storage space.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Megan O'Brien DBA Hall Brook Farm PROJECT NAME: Equipment expansion to make nutritious extruded cereals and snacks. DATE: May 8, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant's project contains 100% Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities (10 Points)

 The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of Maine's RFSI Priorities.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (5 Points)

 The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of the USDA's RFSI Priorities.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (0 Points)

- The Applicant does not clearly articulate how the Project will benefit underserved or underrepresented food producers as defined in the RFA.
- The Applicant has not demonstrated the involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.

9) Expected Performance Measures (3 Points)

• The Applicant did identify but only partially described expected performance measures. The Applicant did include unallowable activities in the narrative of this section

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

• Well articulated and documented how stakeholders who are not project partners support the project.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

• The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) **Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (15 Points)**

- The Applicant demonstrated sufficient planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.
- The Project describes activities, and the purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)

• The Applicant submitted evidence that critical resources and infrastructure

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Megan O'Brien DBA Hall Brook Farm PROJECT NAME: Equipment expansion to make nutritious extruded cereals and snacks. DATE: May 8, 2024

necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.

14) Budget Completeness (5 Points)

- Within the Travel section, mileage was not mathematically correct.
- Within the Other section, it is not mathematically correct (\$40 off)
- The applicant submitted an incomplete RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. The applicant did not complete any columns indicating which year the expenses would occur.
- Missing multple years of budget documentation/timeline

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (6 Points)

- The Applicant does not state when the delivery driver will work (no timeline in personnel justification).
- Equipment and Construction acquisition dates begin before the allowable project performance period of 9/1/24-5/24/27
- Travel justification begins before the allowable project performance period. It is unclear when each trip will occur.
- The travel budget's contribution to achieving the project's objectives and outcomes, as described in the travel justification section, is unclear.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- The applicant checked the box indicating certification for a 25% match. However, they provided a budget for a 50% match rather than 25%.
- When unallowable matching contributions are removed (carrots), the applicant has not demonstrated a 50% or 25% match that is committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for award.
- Produce is a business operating expense and is not allowable in an RFSI budget for requested funds or matching contributions.
- RFSI funds must not be used to cover daily operational business expenses or purchase agricultural products for production.
- RFSI funds are for project expenses related to the building of infrastructure and not the purchasing of food products to be processed.
- It is unallowable for the project to purchase produce to be processed and therefore produce would not be eligible to meet the match requirement.
- The USDA RFSI Program Scope and Requirements, Section 1.5.6 states that a project is a set of interrelated tasks with a defined goal, a definitive beginning and end and are different from other ongoing operations in an organization. RFSI funds should not be used to cover daily operational business expenses nor the purchase of agricultural products for production. Applications should include only the costs necessary for the completion of the proposed project activities and not operational costs for the period of performance.

17) Application Completeness (3 Points)

- Budget Match Worksheet is incomplete.
- The Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section does not follow the format outlined in the RFA and is challenging to review and score.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Smith & Meyer Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: Collaborative Cold Storage Infrastructure for Washington County's Resilient Heritage Apple & Sap Producers DATE: May 8, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators:** Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen

Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, 	7	7

sales channels for the Applicant.		
 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	3	3
 6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	10	8
 7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. 	5	3

	 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
	options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
	on value-added);		
ii.	Workforce/economic impact		
	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair 		
	wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in		
	rural communities.		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms		
	of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		
	• Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and		
	new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural		
	producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
	added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
	premium or value-added products, such as underserved		
	producers.		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	0
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals		
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expec	ted Performance Measures		
* Demon	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section a	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
Section a			
Performa	nce Measures Section.		
Performa	nce Measures Section. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
Performa	<i>nce Measures Section.</i> The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The	5	4
Performa	nce Measures Section. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be	5	4
Performa	<i>nce Measures Section.</i> The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	5	4
Performa a.	nce Measures Section. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	5	4
Performa a.	<i>nce Measures Section.</i> The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	5	4

supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		
 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	5
 11) Community Impact Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	10
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI 	15	15

	funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the		
	Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability,		
	workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or		
	wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical		
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	5
	outlined in the RFA.		
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
14) Budg	et Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	40	0
	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	8
	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budo	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
-	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as	10	5
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General	_	-
	Terms and Conditions		
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		

	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
Ь	the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and		
u.	justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the		
	Project.		
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily		
_	understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the		
	Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.		
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and		
	performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the		
	degree to which the Project represents a good return for the		
	investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work		
	and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the		
16) Motol	expected outcomes and objectives. hing Funds		
	strated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget		
Match Wo	•		
	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds		
_	Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and		
	adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds		
	documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will	5	0
	be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended	5	0
	for an award.		
b.	All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated		
	match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and		
	signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the		
	eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.		
17) Annlia	cation Completeness		
	strated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.		
	pplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the	5	2
	outlined in the RFA.		
<u>TOTA</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>75</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Smith & Meyer Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: Collaborative Cold Storage Infrastructure for Washington County's Resilient Heritage Apple & Sap Producers DATE: May 8, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Application describes an eligible Project to build collaborative cold storage infrastructure for Washington County's Resilient Heritage Apple and sap Producers

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Application describes a Project based on allowable middle-of-the-supply chain activities, such as value-added processing, packaging, freezer/cold storage, and refrigerated wholesale distribution to our in-state wholesale markets.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Smith & Meyer Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: Collaborative Cold Storage Infrastructure for Washington County's Resilient Heritage Apple & Sap Producers DATE: May 8, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant's project contains 100% Maine-grown, harvested, or produced ingredients
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (8 Points)
 - Applicant begins to describe a broad alignment between their project and the Maine RFSI priorities.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (3 Points)

• The Applicant did not clearly articulate or identify in this project narrative section #7. Began to describe in other sections.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (0 Points)

- Not identified according to the definition in the RFA
- The Applicant did not articulate how the Project will directly benefit underserved or underrepresented food producers or their involvement

9) Expected Performance Measures (4 Points)

• Partially articulated the expected performance measures and description of general tasks.

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

• The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. The applicant described the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Letters of support were submitted.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

- The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.
- The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) **Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (15 Points)**

- The application illustrated a shovel-ready project that reviewers were able to understand.
- The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability,

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Smith & Meyer Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: Collaborative Cold Storage Infrastructure for Washington County's Resilient Heritage Apple & Sap Producers DATE: May 8, 2024

technical feasibility, and readiness.

• The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)

The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.

14) Budget Completeness (8 Points)

- The equipment section of the Budget Match Worksheet does not reconcile with the USDA template equipment budget table.
- The supplies section of the USDA template is not mathematically correct (totes)

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (5 Points)

- Acquisition dates outside of the performance period
- Did not indicate the timeline for personnel.
- The delivery truck, as justified, is an unallowable expense.
- The delivery truck is described as being able to convert into a flatbed transport so that the applicant can conduct pre-harvest soil amendment activities, such as applying compost/pomace to the orchards, at the beginning of the supply chain. This is an allowable use of RFSI funded (or matching) equipment.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

• The application does not demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured when the Applicant is recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (2 Points)

- Project start date is before the RFA performance period
- Did not check n/a in expected performance measures in the USDA template
- Multiple Spelling errors throughout
- On the Maine RFSI Application, missing project narrative sections, didn't follow recommended heading guidelines

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Daniel J. Giguere PROJECT NAME: New building middle food chain operation specialized equipment upgrades process 100% Maine maple delivery markets DATE: May 8, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators:** Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales 	7	3

RFA #: 202403071		
RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program		
BIDDER: Daniel J. Giguere		
PROJECT NAME: New building middle food chain operation specialized equi	pment upgra	des
process 100% Maine maple delivery markets		
DATE: May 8, 2024		
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or		
produced ingredients.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply	3	2
chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw		
materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
Water is excluded nom this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements		
(including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or		
construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-		
of-the-food-supply chain challenges.		
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of- 		
the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related	10	0
activities.	10	Ū
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to		
assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging		
investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area		
most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking,		
baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space,		
and delivery and distribution investments). 7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the		
USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency		
 Projects that support the development of value-added products 		
available to consumers.		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and 		
choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact	5	0
Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages		
and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural		
communities.		
 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 		
of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure		
 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of 		
business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
underserved communities.		

RFA #	# : 202403071		
	FITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program		
	ER: Daniel J. Giguere		
PROJ	ECT NAME: New building middle food chain operation specialized equi	ipment upgra	des
	ss 100% Maine maple delivery markets		
•	: May 8, 2024		
	Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-		
	owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		
8) Benefit	to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
a.	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	5	2
9) Expect	ed Performance Measures		
* Demons the USDA Measures a.	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Section. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's	5	5
40) 04-11	projects scope of work.		
* Demonst the USDA Section an a.	nolder Support rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support d any submitted letters of support. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	5	5
* Demonst the USDA Section an	nunity Impact rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support d any submitted letters of support. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.	10	10

RFA #	#: 202403071		
RFA ⁻	TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program		
	ER: Daniel J. Giguere		
	IECT NAME: New building middle food chain operation specialized equ	ipment upgra	des
	ss 100% Maine maple delivery markets	1 10	
	: May 8, 2024		
	i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project		
	directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and		
	regional food supply chain.		
	ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food		
	businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		
12) Project	t Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support,		
	udget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical		
	and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the		
Applicatio	n.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability,		
	technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and		
	achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).		
с.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness	15	15
	to implement the proposed Project.		
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create		
	opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The		
	Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable		
	and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant		
	entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety,		
f	operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evidor	nce of Critical Infrastructure		
	rated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and		
	by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
u.	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful		
	are in place.		
с.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure		
	Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	5
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure	_	-
	necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.		
	Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of		
	city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for		
	the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources		
	must be in place and in working condition at the time of application		
	submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for		
	initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program		
BIDDER: Daniel J. Giguere		
PROJECT NAME: New building middle food chain operation specialized equ	ipment upgra	des
process 100% Maine maple delivery markets	1 10	
DATE: May 8, 2024		
14) Budget Completeness		
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget		
sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	10	9
a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically		_
correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budget Narrative and Justification		
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget		
sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described		
in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and		
Conditions		
b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate		
how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the		
budget sections reconcile.	10	10
d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for		
each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.		
e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project		
Objectives and Expected Outcomes.		
f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and		
performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to		
which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time,		
etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables		
and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
16) Matching Funds		
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match		
Worksheet.		
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter		
and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format		
outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the	5	0
Applicant is recommended for an award.		
b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of		
the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification		
of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will		
contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.		
17) Application Completeness		
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	-	
18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format	5	2
outlined in the RFA.		
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>68</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Daniel J. Giguere PROJECT NAME: New building middle food chain operation specialized equipment upgrades process 100% Maine maple delivery markets DATE: May 8, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant described a Project that would expand processing capacities, including adding product types, increasing production volumes, and supporting new wholesale/retail product lines

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant's Project involves creating a new facility for processing, preparing, and packaging specific eligible agricultural products.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Daniel J. Giguere PROJECT NAME: New building middle food chain operation specialized equipment upgrades process 100% Maine maple delivery markets DATE: May 8, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION

Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (3 Points)
 - The Applicant begins to articulate the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges and adds or improves sales channels but doesn't fully explain.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (2 Points)
 - The Applicant indicates that 6 of 8 products contain 100% Maine Maple.
 - It is unclear if the dairy and coated nut products meet the 51% or 67% requirement at stated in the RFA.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (0 Points)
 - Unable to score, the applicant did not submit Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (0 Points)

• Unable to score, the applicant did not submit Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (2 Points)

- The Applicant indicates that one beginning producer will benefit but does not clearly articulate how the Project will benefit the producer and does not demonstrate the beneficiary's involvement in developing the Project or application.
- Further details should have been provided on the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative.

9) Expected Performance Measures (5 Points)

• The Applicant selected expected outcomes and articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, and performance measures.

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

- The Applicant identified multiple stakeholders who support this project, one of whom was the City Deputy Director of Planning, Permitting, and Code.
- The Applicant also described how the need for this project will benefit all stakeholders involved.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

• The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (15 Points)

- The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.
- The city engineer has reviewed the Project, and the building permit has been received.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)

• The Applicant submitted evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.

14) Budget Completeness (9 Points)

• A math error was found on the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Daniel J. Giguere PROJECT NAME: New building middle food chain operation specialized equipment upgrades process 100% Maine maple delivery markets DATE: May 8, 2024

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (10 Points)

The Applicant clearly demonstrated and described allowable costs and provided justification for how the cost relates to the project.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- The Applicant included business operating expenses in the match.
- The applicant did not prorate the delivery truck to only include the percentage of the delivery truck's time/tasks that were specifically dedicated to the Project.
- The matching funds' documentation does not demonstrate that all matching contributions are allowable and are committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (2 Points)

- The status of submitted documentation makes it difficult to fully evaluate the Project.
- The applicant did not follow the RFA requirement that "all forms must be submitted as a single, typed, separate PDF file."
- One copy of the Budget match worksheet is handwritten and difficult to review.
- Submitted a blank Maine RFSI Environmental Prescreening Worksheet.
- Formatting and file naming issues make the application package difficult to review.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fish Creek Oysters LLC PROJECT NAME: Fish Creek Oysters Infrastructure Project DATE: May 6, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

	owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new 		
	streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers,		
	including those who may not have access to value-added		
	opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or		
	value-added products, such as underserved producers.		
8) Benefi	t to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply	5	0
	chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including	5	Ū
	Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
	ted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance		
Measures			
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables,		
	expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a		
	description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project	F	2
	period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and	5	2
h	performance measures.		
D.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators		
	listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of		
	local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide		
	quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's		
	projects scope of work.		
10) Stake	holder Support		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support		
	nd any submitted letters of support.		
	The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project.	_	•
	Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and	5	2
	support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for		
	the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in		
	the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be		
	assigned points.		
	nunity Impact		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support		
	nd any submitted letters of support.	10	3
a.	The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and		
1	workforce considerations.		
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project 		

	directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and		
	regional food supply chain.		
	ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food		
	businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		
12) Proiec	t Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	nfrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support,		
the RFSI B	udget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical		
Resources	and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the		
Application	1.		
а.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability,		
	technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and		
	achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	_	
с.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness	15	5
-	to implement the proposed Project.		
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create		
	opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The		
	Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable		
	and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
е.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant		
	entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety,		
£	operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
T.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
12) Eviden	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
	rated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and		
	by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
u.	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful		
	are in place.		
с.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure		
	Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	4
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure		
	necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.		
	Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of		
	city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for		
	the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources		
	must be in place and in working condition at the time of application		
	submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for		
	initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		

 14) Budget Completeness * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	10	8
 15) Budget Narrative and Justification * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	10	10
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	5	5
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	2
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>66</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fish Creek Oysters LLC PROJECT NAME: Fish Creek Oysters Infrastructure Project DATE: May 6, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Application outlines a project for constructing a facility and the purchase of specific equipment to bolster the middle-of-the-food-supply chain, specifically in regard to processing, storing, and transporting shellfish

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Application describes a project to construct a new facility. This will increase cold storage, ensure have ice on hand for safe transportation, and expand packing/processing for distribution, making oyster handling more food-safe.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fish Creek Oysters LLC PROJECT NAME: Fish Creek Oysters Infrastructure Project DATE: May 6, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION

Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant stated that 100% of the oysters will be of Maine origin.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (10 Points)
 - The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of Maine's RFSI Priorities.
- 7) USDA RFSI Priorities (5 Points)
 - The Applicant identified articulated how the project will address one or more of the USDA's RFSI Priorities.
- 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (0 Points)
 - The Applicant did not address how the Project will directly benefit any underserved or underrepresented food producers as defined in the RFA.

9) Expected Performance Measures (2 Points)

- Did not complete heading section 9 of Project Narrative summary.
- The Applicant selected outcomes on the USDA Proposal Template but did not thoroughly articulate the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.

10) Stakeholder Support (2 Points)

 Described external support in USDA Proposal Template but did not provide heading section 10 of the project narrative summary or LOS to provide additional documentation or understanding

11) Community Impact (3 Points)

- More detail is needed to better understand the community impact.
- If the project is successful, it may benefit other aquaculture producers.

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (5 Points)

- The application lacks sufficient detail to score this section fully.
- The Application does not provide sufficient information for reviewers to understand the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.
- The Project description of activities and purpose is unclear.
- The Applicant has not thoroughly demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (4 Points)

• More details would have helped the reviewers understand more clearly.

14) Budget Completeness (8 Points)

- The total budget costs column equals federal funds requested, not total project costs (inclusive of match).
- Did not select the 25% budget match on the RFSI Budget Match worksheet, which
 resulted in a budget error.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fish Creek Oysters LLC PROJECT NAME: Fish Creek Oysters Infrastructure Project DATE: May 6, 2024

- 15) Budget Narrative and Justification (10 Points)
 - Full points, no errors or omissions found.
- 16) Matching Funds (5 Points)
 - The Applicant submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that are complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation demonstrates that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (2 Points)

- The Applicant submitted an incomplete project narrative summary (missing #9).
- The project start date is outside the period of performance stated in the RFA.
- The applicant did not follow instructions on the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Springtide Seaweed, LLC PROJECT NAME: Infrastructure Investments for Maine Farmed Seaweed Processing DATE: May 9, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the	3	3
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance	10	10
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not	10	10
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging		
improvements (including value-added processing, hiring		
term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation,		
co-packing and packaging investments, and processing,		
canning, and preserving as an area most in need of		
investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking,		
juicing, distilling, and fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	5	5
 Projects that support the development of value-added products succeed to consumers 		
products available to consumers.		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact		

	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. 		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value- added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		
8) Benef	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	4
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals	•	•
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expec	cted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be		
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	5	5
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	-	-
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
1	numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	0
11) Community Impact		
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10 :	4
12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any		
documents submitted with the Application.		
a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial		
 viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). 		
 c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. 	15	10
d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will		
create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the		
Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability,		
workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or		
wastewater management.		

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
	ence of Critical Infrastructure strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical		
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	5
	outlined in the RFA.		
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	et Completeness strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
-	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	7
u.	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification		
· ·	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
budget se	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions	10	3
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		
	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
	the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		

<u>TOTA</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>66</u>
* <i>Demons</i> 18) The Ap	cation Completeness strated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. pplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the coutlined in the RFA.	5	3
* Demons Match Wo a.	hing Funds strated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	5	0
f.	justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Springtide Seaweed, LLC PROJECT NAME: Infrastructure Investments for Maine Farmed Seaweed Processing DATE: May 9, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Application describes an eligible Project to expand existing processing services and add a new wet milling machine that will create a new high-value product.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Application describes a Project that would update and modernize a shoreside building for washing, prepping, holding, and transporting food products.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Springtide Seaweed, LLC PROJECT NAME: Infrastructure Investments for Maine Farmed Seaweed Processing DATE: May 9, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant's project contains 100% Maine-grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities (10 Points)

 The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of Maine's RFSI Priorities.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (5 Points)

• The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of the USDA's RFSI Priorities.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (4 Points)

- Identifies beneficiaries per RFA definitions.
- Does not demonstrate the involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed project and application.

9) Expected Performance Measures (5 Points)

• The Applicant selected expected outcomes on the USDA template and articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, and expected outcomes and performance measures on the Maine RFSI Application Project Narrative.

10) Stakeholder Support (0 Points)

- The applicant does not clearly demonstrate stakeholder support.
- 11) Community Impact (4 Points)
 - The Applicant does not articulate the specific farms, processors, and community stakeholders but begins to demonstrate community impact.

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (10 Points)

- The application lacks sufficient information to completely understand the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness
- The applicant did not describe reporting outcomes and indicators.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)

• The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.

14) Budget Completeness (7 Points)

• Direct costs on the RFSI Budget match worksheet are not mathematically

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Springtide Seaweed, LLC PROJECT NAME: Infrastructure Investments for Maine Farmed Seaweed Processing DATE: May 9, 2024

correct.

- Indirect costs are described as both a match and a funds requested. Indirect is unclear.
- Errors in match rate on RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.
- 15) Budget Narrative and Justification (3 Points)
 - Insufficient information for reviewers to determine how costs were determined.
 - No personnel timeline or justification for equipment item 5
 - No match type indicated in construction or equipment.
 - Missing portion of supplies table in USDA template budget
 - Supplies are business operating expenses and are not allowable.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- The Applicant has not submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet
- The limited matching funds documentation does not demonstrate that all matching contributions are allowable and are committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (3 Points)

- Unallowable project start date per RFA performance period.
- Didn't follow the RFA format for the Maine RFSI Application Project Narrative Section

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Blackstone Dairy PROJECT NAME: Expansion and Modernization of Creamery Operation DATE: May 9, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	2

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the	3	3
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements 	10	10
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments). 7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	_	_
Projects that support the development of value-added	5	5
products available to consumers.		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact		

	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. 		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/cafety of workers; 		
iii.	treatment/safety of workers; Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
	• Frojects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises 		
	•		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural 		
	producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
	added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
	premium or value-added products, such as underserved		
	producers.		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	alled in the mane in or Apphouton Point Project Narrative		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
u.	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	0
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals	Ū	Ũ
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expec	ted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be		
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	5	3
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
	numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	3
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10 :	6
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	5

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical		
•	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	5
	outlined in the RFA.	•	U
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
14) Rudo	let Completeness		
· · ·	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
-	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	9
а.	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budo	Jet Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
ч.	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions		
b	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and	10	2
ы.	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		~
r	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
0.	the budget sections are nee of mathematical and accounting errors,		
Ч	The budget section includes a complete description of and		
u.	justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the		
	Project.		

	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
16) Match	ing Funds		
	trated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget		
Match Wo	•		
a.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	5	0
17) Applic	ation Completeness		
* <i>Demons</i> 18) The Ap	<i>trated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.</i> oplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the outlined in the RFA.	5	1
<u>TOTAI</u>	=	<u>100</u>	<u>54</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Blackstone Dairy PROJECT NAME: Expansion and Modernization of Creamery Operation DATE: May 9, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass with comment.

The Applicant indicated they are an agricultural producer or processor but did not select whether the entity is a for-profit meeting SBA guidelines or a nonprofit organization. If awarded, further clarification and documentation is needed.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Application describes an eligible Project that expands the creamery operation.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Application describes a Project with activities involving the production of value-added organic dairy products from raw organic milk.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Blackstone Dairy PROJECT NAME: Expansion and Modernization of Creamery Operation DATE: May 9, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (2 Points)
 - The Executive summary provided in the USDA template does not address the scope of the Project or describe any middle-of-the-supply chain activities. It describes the applicant's business operations and does not provide a Project summary, Project deliverables, expected outcomes, or a description of the general tasks and activities to be completed during the project per the template instructions.
 - The USDA template's "Provide the specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address" does not describe challenges, barriers, or needs in the middle of the supply chain.
 - The USDA template objectives include unallowable activities that are not middle-of-the supply chain.
 - The application does not provide sufficient information for reviewers to thoroughly assess the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing or improving middle-of-the-supply chain capacity and resiliency.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (3 Points)
 - The Applicant's project contains 100% Maine-grown, harvested, or produced ingredients

6) Maine RFSI Priorities (10 Points)

- Very well done
- The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of Maine's RFSI Priorities.
- USDA RFSI Priorities (5 Points)
 - Very well done
 - The Applicant identified and articulated how the project will address one or more of the USDA's RFSI Priorities.
- 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (0 Points)
 - The Maine RFSI application form project narrative does not clearly articulate how the Project will directly benefit underserved or underrepresented food producers as defined in the RFA.
 - The Maine RFSI application form project narrative does not demonstrate the involvement of these beneficiaries when developing the Project or application.

9) Expected Performance Measures (3 Points)

• The Applicant does not thoroughly articulate the Project's purpose,

7)

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Blackstone Dairy PROJECT NAME: Expansion and Modernization of Creamery Operation DATE: May 9, 2024

deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures or provide a detailed description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures in the related application sections.

• The Applicant selected outcomes on the USDA Proposal Template but did not thoroughly articulate the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.

10) Stakeholder Support (3 Points)

- The applicant provided letters of support
- In the USDA template, the applicant was asked to describe the stakeholders who support the need for this project and why.
- The applicant did not clearly articulate stakeholder support, per the RFA and instructions provided, on the USDA template and did not address this at all in the project narrative summary. Additonal information needed to complete reviewer understanding.

11) Community Impact (6 Points)

- The application identifies some community impact but does not clearly articulate or describe the degree to which the Project directly impacts the community. More narrative detail is needed to provide reviewers with a complete understanding.
- The application does not articulate the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (5 Points)

- Lacking details to determine project timeline and readiness.
- More details are needed to understand project readiness and viability
- The applicant does not indicate that they will submit the required USDA reports.
- Provides little detail with regard to reporting outcomes and indicators.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)

• The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.

14) Budget Completeness (9 Points)

• The USDA template budget summary incorrectly categorizes construction and other costs. Later, they are categorized as personnel.

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (2 Points)

- The reviewers cannot determine if the Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs. The applicant indicated that this specific project has been submitted for funding to a federal or state grant program and is listed as a partner on a federal grant. Reference to the federal grant is in other sections of the application.
- Reviews are unable to determine if the Project will have distinct and measurable outcomes, activities, and expenditures that will not be funded through other state or federal funds.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Blackstone Dairy PROJECT NAME: Expansion and Modernization of Creamery Operation DATE: May 9, 2024

- No titles or timelines are provided in the personnel budget section.
- No acquisition dates in equipment or construction budget sections
- Justification sections need more description of need and project alignment in every budget section.
- Personnel in years 2 and 3 are business operation expenses and are not specific to the project.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- A portion of their matching contributions are business operating expenses and are not specific to the Project.
- Unable to determine if matching funds are related to a different federal grant award.
- Per the RFA:
 - Matching contributions cannot satisfy a cost-sharing or matching requirement for the RFSI grant program if they are used toward satisfying a match requirement under any other Federal grant agreement to which the applicant is a party.
- Cost sharing or matching is the portion of project costs not paid by State or Federal funds, which could be cash and/or in-kind contributions. Cash can be a financial outlay, or cash donations from non-Federal third parties. Other State and Federal funds cannot be used as a match.
- The matching funds documentation does not demonstrate that all matching contributions are allowable and are committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award

17) Application Completeness (1 Point)

- Signatures are missing on two pages of the Maine RFSI application form, the evidence of critical infrastructure letter, and the match verification letter.
- Unallowable project start date (requested 7/1/2014) which is ten years and two months ahead of the allowable performance period start date of 9/1/2024 as listed in the RFA.
- Applicant did not check the n/a boxes in the expected outcomes section as instructed on the USDA template.
- Spelling issues throughout.
- 4 files submitted in Word format (All forms must be submitted as a single, typed, separate PDF file).

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Rosemont Market Inc PROJECT NAME: Rosemont Market Transitions to 100% Maine Grains DATE: May 7, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the	3	0
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure 		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance	10	0
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not	10	U
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited		
personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing		
and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and		
preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying,		
hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and		
fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	5	0
 Projects that support the development of value-added 		•
products available to consumers.		
Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact		

that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair nd new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in munities.
imunities.
that offer femily expression in a subling and
that offer family-supporting job quality and
t/safety of workers;
essing infrastructure
that increase diversity in processing options in terms
ss model approaches, geography, and availability to
ved communities.
ons that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and
wned enterprises
that offer more and better market opportunities and
ams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural
s, including those who may not have access to value-
•
•
ine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative
•
on the Project's projects scope of work.
s, including those who may not have access to value- portunities or processing to meet market demand for or value-added products, such as underserved s. d or Underrepresented food producers. <i>The RFSI Application Form Project Narrative</i> clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit mers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and n producers, or processors or other middle-of-the- sinesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. nding to serve these entities, the Applicant has volvement of those beneficiaries when developing the ts and Application. <i>B</i> Measures <i>ine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative</i> <i>rastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected</i> <i>ection.</i> oroughly articulated the Project's purpose, bected outcomes, and performance measures. The ed a description of the general tasks/activities to be g the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, bected outcomes, and performance measures. ust identify at least one of the predetermined under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase y of local/regional producers and processors. The rovide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	5
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	10
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	8

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical	_	•
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	0
Ŀ	outlined in the RFA.		
a.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
14) Budo	et Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	10
	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification		
* Demons	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
budget se	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions	10	3
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		
	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
	the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		

 justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 		
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	5	0
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	0
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>45</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Rosemont Market Inc PROJECT NAME: Rosemont Market Transitions to 100% Maine Grains DATE: May 7, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant is a for-profit entity that meets the RFA stated SBA eligibility guidelines.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant wants to expand the production of Maine grains by proposing a project to replace imported flour in their bakery production with 100% Maine grains. This would be done by expanding processing capacity and modernizing equipment.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant's Project would be processing Maine flour into value-added products sold in a bakery.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Rosemont Market Inc PROJECT NAME: Rosemont Market Transitions to 100% Maine Grains DATE: May 7, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (0 Points)
 - Unable to score, the applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (0 Points)
 - Unable to score, the applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (0 Points)

• Unable to score, the applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (0 Points)

 Unable to score, the applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

9) Expected Performance Measures (2 Points)

 The Applicant identified expected performance measures on the USDA template but did not articulate them in the Maine RFSI Project Narrative, which was not submitted.

10) Stakeholder Support (5 Points)

 The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. The applicant described the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Letters of support were submitted.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

- The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.
- The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (8 Points)

• Applicant provided some detail, but it was insufficient for reviewers to award total points in this category.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (0 Points)

• Unable to score, the applicant did not submit the evidence of critical infrastructure letter.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Rosemont Market Inc PROJECT NAME: Rosemont Market Transitions to 100% Maine Grains DATE: May 7, 2024

14) Budget Completeness (10 Points)

- The Applicant clearly demonstrated and described allowable costs and provided justification for how the cost relates to the project.
- Justification statements are complete and detailed, provide a timeline of expenses

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (3 Points)

- The Applicant was missing justification and dates/timeline for personnel in the justification.
- There also was not enough information to determine how the total cost for the Project was determined.
- The applicant did not fully articulate how category costs are derived from the budget.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- A portion of their match is unallowable because it is described as business operation expenses rather than exclusive to Project expenses.
- The matching funds documentation does not demonstrate that all matching contributions are allowable and are committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award

17) Application Completeness (0 Points)

- The Applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application form, which included the following required application components:
 - Application Cover Page
 - o Debarment, Performance and Non-collusion Certification
 - Litigation form
 - Maine RFSI Project Narrative
 - Maine RFSI Environmental Prescreening Worksheet.
- The Applicant changed the headings on the Budget Match Worksheet.
- The applicant proposed, on the USDA Template, to start their project on 5/1/2024, which is outside of the RFA stated project performance period.
- The application did not submit an Evidence of Critical Infrastructure and Resources Letter.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Somali Bantu Community Association PROJECT NAME: Increasing Key Infrastructure Access for Immigrant Farmers to Supply Institutions with Culturally Relevant Produce DATE: May 8, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen

Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
	3	0
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% <i>or more</i>		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance		
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not	10	0
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited		
personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing		
and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and		
preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying,		
hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and		
fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
 Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency 	5	0
 Projects that support the development of value-added 		
products available to consumers.		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		

·			
11.	Workforce/economic impact		
	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair 		
	wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in		
	rural communities.		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
	of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and 		
	new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural		
	producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
	added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
	premium or value-added products, such as underserved		
	producers.		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demons	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	0
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals		
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
	ted Performance Measures		
* Demon	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
Performa	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be	5	2
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	Ð	2
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
	Applicant must provide quantiliable expected outcomes (expected		

numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		
10) Stakeholder Support		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External		
 Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the 		
Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community	5	2
impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that		
support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant		
and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of		
support are encouraged and may be assigned points.		
11) Community Impact		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External		
 Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including 		
a. The Application cleany demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.		
i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project	10	10
directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's		
local and regional food supply chain.		
ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors,		
food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will		
benefit.		
12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted		
letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification		
Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any		
documents submitted with the Application.		
a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial		
viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable,	15	7
and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May		
24, 2027).		
c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.		
d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will		
create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is		
completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances		
that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI		

	funding has ended.		
е.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the		
	Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability,		
	workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or		
	wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
* Demons	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
Letter an	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati	on.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical		
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	2
	outlined in the RFA.		
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
14) Budc	let Completeness		
· · ·	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	10	4
a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	4
	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification		
* Demon	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
budget s	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
ā.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as	10	0
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions		
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		

* <i>Demon</i> 18) The A	this project. cation Completeness strated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. pplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the t outlined in the RFA.	5	0
* Demons Match W a.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to	5	0
d.	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Somali Bantu Community Association PROJECT NAME: Increasing Key Infrastructure Access for Immigrant Farmers to Supply Institutions with Culturally Relevant Produce DATE: May 8, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant is an eligible entity described as a nonprofit organization.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant's Project would construct critical cold storage, wash/pack, and solar collection infrastructure to increase access to shared food processing facilities.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant described a Project that would enhance farmers' ability to provide institutions with culturally relevant produce, increase processing capacity, ensure food safety, aggregate produce to meet growing demand and offer opportunities for climate-smart adaptations.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Somali Bantu Community Association PROJECT NAME: Increasing Key Infrastructure Access for Immigrant Farmers to Supply Institutions with Culturally Relevant Produce DATE: May 8, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (0 Points)
 - Unable to score. The Applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form or the Project Narrative section.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (0 Points
 - The Applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form or the Project Narrative section.
- 7) USDA RFSI Priorities (0 Points)
 - Unable to score. The Applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form or the Project Narrative section.
- 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (0 Points)
 - Unable to score. The Applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form or the Project Narrative section.
- 9) Expected Performance Measures (2 Points)
 - The Applicant indicated Expected Performance Measures on the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.
 - The Applicant did not thoroughly articulate details related to this scoring section because they did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form or the Project Narrative section.

10) Stakeholder Support (2 Points)

 Described external support in the USDA Proposal Template but did not provide a project narrative summary or LOS to provide additional documentation to inform the reviewers.

11) Community Impact (10 Points)

- The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.
- The Application demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (7 Points)

• The Applicant states they will build, purchase, or construct. However, no quotes, permits, construction plans, or indicators were submitted to demonstrate the

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER:** Somali Bantu Community Association **PROJECT NAME:** Increasing Key Infrastructure Access for Immigrant Farmers to Supply Institutions with Culturally Relevant Produce **DATE:** May 8, 2024

Project is shovel-ready. See USDA Project Profile Template Construction Justification section instructions.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (2 Points)

- The Applicant did not submit an error-free, complete Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.
- The Applicant also did not certify that landowner resources and infrastructure are in place and committed.

14) Budget Completeness (4 Points)

- The missing RFSI Budget Match Worksheet prevented evaluators from reviewing this category thoroughly.
- Supplies are not mathematically correct on the USDA template.

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (0 Points)

- The Applicant did not submit an RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.
- What was submitted had multiple errors and formatting challenges.
- The justification sections and the budget tables do not reconcile (e.g., equipment).
- Heat pumps, utilities, and solar panels are business operation expenses, not Project expenses.
- Several budget sections include expenses not related to the section listed in the USDA template.
- The budget does not clearly demonstrate the total cost of the Project or articulate how the category costs listed in the budget are derived.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- The Applicant did not submit an RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.
- The limited matching funds documentation provided does not demonstrate that all matching contributions are allowable and are committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (0 Points)

- Project start date outside stated RFA performance period
- Edited EoCI template, does not adhere to RFA template
- The Applicant removed the certification check box and required language from the Evidence of Critical Infrastructure letter.
- Did not submit the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.
- Applicant requested to purchase equipment on the last day of the RFSI project performance period (5/24/27) without justification.
- Extensive formatting issues in the USDA template.
- Budget justifications appear in incorrect boxes.
- Budget funding amounts appear incorrectly on the USDA template

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Wescogus Wild Blueberry PROJECT NAME: Transforming Maine's Wild Blueberry Industry with AI and Automation DATE: May 7, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	7

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
 a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	3	0
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	10	0
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis 	5	0
on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact		

 Projects that support proposals that provide fair proices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. The Application clearly articulates how the Project Will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant thoroided degroption of the general task/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must jervide a description of the general task/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant m			
 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant throughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant	wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in		
 treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demostrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businessees sowned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demostrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant thoroughly articulated			
 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, on processors or other middle-of-thesupply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects and Application 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food producets OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected outcomes section) 			
of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value- added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the- supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the maine RFSI Application Form Project spurpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers an	iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure		
 underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers OR Outcomes (expected during the project quantifiable expected outc	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers RM prosessors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 	of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
 worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value- added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the- supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predestrmined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for	underserved communities.		
 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrate in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures b. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected <td> Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and </td><td></td><td></td>	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. 5 0 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application. 9 2 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures 5 0 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Surrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures 5 2 b. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provide a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. 5 2 b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in th	·		
producers, including those who may not have access to value- added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the- supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (sepceted			
added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. 5 0 b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 5 0 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. 5 2 a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. 5 2 b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food producers or Drucemos 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers or Drucemos 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers or D	-		
premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 9 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the- supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. 5 0 b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 5 0 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. 5 2 a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. 5 2 b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food producers or 3. Increase economic viability of local/regional producers on 4 processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 5 2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. 5 0 b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 5 0 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. 5 2 a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. 5 2 b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producer and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 5 2			
 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 			
 *Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 			
 section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 	· · ·		
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provide a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 	••		
 underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 			
ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the- supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected			
 supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 	•••	5	0
 including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 	· · ·	-	_
 b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 			
proposed Projects and Application.9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.52b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected5			
 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 	proposed Projects and Application.		
 section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected) 			
 Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected) 			
 a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 			
 deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 			
 Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 			
 completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 	·		
 deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 		5	2
 b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected 		5	Z
indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected			
supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected			
economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected			
Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected			
	numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	3
11) Community Impact		
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	5
12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted		
letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification		
Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any		
 documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial 		
viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.		
 b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). 		
 c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. 	15	7
d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will		
create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is		
completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances		
that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI		
funding has ended.		
e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the		
Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability,		
workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
u.	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
h	•		
D.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical	_	
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	0
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	et Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	10	3
a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and		
	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions		
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and	10	3
	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
c.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
	the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		
	justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the		
	Project.		
	1	1	

e. f.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
16) Match	ning Funds		
,	trated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget		
Match Wo	orksheet.		
a. b.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	5	0
17) Applic	ation Completeness		
18) The Ap	<i>trated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.</i> oplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the outlined in the RFA.	5	0
<u>TOTA</u>	=	<u>100</u>	<u>30</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Wescogus Wild Blueberry PROJECT NAME: Transforming Maine's Wild Blueberry Industry with AI and Automation DATE: May 7, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass with comment.

The Applicant is an agricultural producer or processor but did not select whether for-profit or non-profit. If awarded, further clarification and documentation is needed.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant proposes a project where a modernized processing line would be installed to boost post-harvest yield.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Wescogus Wild Blueberry PROJECT NAME: Transforming Maine's Wild Blueberry Industry with AI and Automation DATE: May 7, 2024

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant proposes a project that will enhance their supply chain resilience (as will other small farms' who purchase from them) through equipment upgrades to extend processing capacity and increase the post-harvest yield of their blueberry crops.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Wescogus Wild Blueberry PROJECT NAME: Transforming Maine's Wild Blueberry Industry with AI and Automation DATE: May 7, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (7 Points)
 - The Applicant clearly demonstrated a market impact and articulated how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase the middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency of Maine-produced agricultural food.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (0 Points)
 - Unable to score. The applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form or Project Narrative.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (0 Points)
 - Unable to score. The applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form or Project Narrative.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities (0 Points)

- Unable to score. The applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form or Project Narrative.
- 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (0 Points)
 - Unable to score. The applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form or Project Narrative.

9) Expected Performance Measures (2 Points)

 The Applicant identified expected performance measures on the USDA template but did not articulate them in the Maine RFSI Project Narrative (not submitted).

10) Stakeholder Support (3 Points)

- The Applicant submitted two letters of support and a brief response in the external support section of the USDA template.
- Did not provide stakeholder support information on the Maine RFSI Application Project Narrative (not submitted).

11) Community Impact (5 Points)

- Begins to partially describe community impact.
- The Application does not clearly demonstrate community impact, including labor and workforce considerations.
- The Application does not thoroughly articulate the specific farms, processors, food businesses, and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (7 Points)

 The Applicant demonstrated insufficient details for the reviewers to fully understand the Project's Financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Wescogus Wild Blueberry PROJECT NAME: Transforming Maine's Wild Blueberry Industry with AI and Automation DATE: May 7, 2024

- More information was needed to assess the Applicant's capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.
- 13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (0 Points)
 - The Applicant submitted a partially completed Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter. It does not indicate that the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project are in place and does not certify that they committed prior to the project's start date.
 - The Applicant did not submit an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form.

14) Budget Completeness (3 Points)

 The budget match worksheet is challenging to read and not correctly formatted. The total Project Costs are not calculated properly, and the indirect costs are not properly aligned with the overall project budget.

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (3 Points)

- The application does not demonstrate complete compliance with allowable costs described in Part 2 Section D of the RFA and the USDA terms and conditions.
- Blueberries are an operational cost and are unallowable in a project budget.
- The role of the sales consultant listed in other budget sections is unclear, and they did not provide a timeline for personnel.
- A large portion of the budget includes educational videos and training for other producers, which is an operating/education/promotion expense and not a direct middle-of-the-supply chain activity and is unallowable.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- Insufficient matching contributions proposed and unallowable expenses are included in the match budget.
- Reviewers were unable to determine that allowable matching contributions would be committed or secured at the time the Applicant was recommended for an award.

17) Application Completeness (0 Points)

 The Applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application form, which included the following required application components: Application Cover Page, Debarment, Performance, and Non-collusion Certification, Litigation form, Maine RFSI Project Narrative, and Maine RFSI Environmental Prescreening Worksheet.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Blackstone Point Oyster Co. PROJECT NAME: Primary Infrastructure renovation to develop year-round oyster processing, distribution and wholesale for Blackstone Point Oyster Co. DATE: May 6, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	3

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program		
BIDDER: Blackstone Point Oyster Co.		
PROJECT NAME: Primary Infrastructure renovation to develop year-round op	vster process	ina.
distribution and wholesale for Blackstone Point Oyster Co.	,	
DATE: May 6, 2024		
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	3	0
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the	5	U
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements		
(including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or		
construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-		
of-the-food-supply chain challenges.		
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-		
the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related	10	0
activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
(including value-added processing hiring term-limited personnel to		
assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging		
investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area		
most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking,		
baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space,		
and delivery and distribution investments). 7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the		
USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency		
 Projects that support the development of value-added products 		
available to consumers.		
Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and	F	
choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);	5	0
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages 		
and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural		
communities.		
 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety 		
of workers;		
iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure		
 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of 		
business model approaches, geography, and availability to		

	#: 202403071 TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program ER: Blackstone Point Oyster Co.		
	JECT NAME: Primary Infrastructure renovation to develop year-round ov	ster nroces	sina
	bution and wholesale for Blackstone Point Oyster Co.		sing,
	E: May 6, 2024		
DAIL	underserved communities.		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker- 		
	• Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker- owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new 		
	streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers,		
	including those who may not have access to value-added		
	opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or		
	value-added products, such as underserved producers.		
) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
•	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply	_	
	chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including	5	0
	Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of	5	2
	local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide		
	quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's		
	projects scope of work.		
Demonst ne USDA section ar	Pholder Support Trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support and any submitted letters of support. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project.	_	
a.	Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and	5	3
	support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for		
	the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in		
	the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be		
	assigned points.		
1) Comr	nunity Impact		1
	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support	10	6
	id any submitted letters of support.	-	-
ection ar	iu any submitted letters of support.		

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER:** Blackstone Point Oyster Co.

PROJECT NAME: Primary Infrastructure renovation to develop year-round oyster processing, distribution and wholesale for Blackstone Point Oyster Co.

	: May 6, 2024		
	workforce considerations.		
	i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project		
	directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and		
	regional food supply chain.		
	ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food		
	businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		
	ct Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support,		
	Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical		
	and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the		
Applicatio			
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability,		
	technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and		
	achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).		_
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness	15	7
	to implement the proposed Project.		
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create		
	opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The		
	Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable		
	and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.			
	entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety,		
	operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide	nce of Critical Infrastructure		
* Demonst	trated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and		
supported	by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful		
	are in place.		
с.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure		
	Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	3
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure		
	necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.		
	Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of		
	city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for		
	the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources		
	must be in place and in working condition at the time of application		
	submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for		
	initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Blackstone Point Oyster Co. PROJECT NAME: Primary Infrastructure renovation to develop year-round or distribution and wholesale for Blackstone Point Oyster Co. DATE: May 6, 2024	yster process	sing,
14) Budget Completeness		
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget		
sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically	10	3
correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed		
Project activities.		
 15) Budget Narrative and Justification * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and 		
 <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate 		
how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.		
d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for	10	2
each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.		
e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily		
understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project		
Objectives and Expected Outcomes.		
f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and		
performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time,		
etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables		
and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
16) Matching Funds		
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match		
Worksheet.		
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter		
and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate		
that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the	5	0
Applicant is recommended for an award.		
b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of		
the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification		
of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will		
contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.		
17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.		
18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format	5	0
outlined in the RFA.		
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>29</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Blackstone Point Oyster Co. PROJECT NAME: Primary Infrastructure renovation to develop year-round oyster processing, distribution and wholesale for Blackstone Point Oyster Co. DATE: May 6, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-thesupply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant describes an eligible project for renovations suitable for the processing and distribution of oysters

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

This project will address the need for a land-based infrastructure that is suitable for processing and storage of products and accessible for distributor & wholesaler vehicles.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Blackstone Point Oyster Co. PROJECT NAME: Primary Infrastructure renovation to develop year-round oyster processing, distribution and wholesale for Blackstone Point Oyster Co. DATE: May 6, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (3 Points)
 - The applicant partially described a Project designed to solve challenges and increase middle-of-the-supply chain capacity and resiliency in Maine's food system.
 - The applicant does begin to describe the degree to which the Project adds additional or improved sales channels for the Applicant.
 - Positive: Indicated that the project will result in year-round sales.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (0 Points)
 - Unable to score, the applicant did not submit Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (0 Points)
 - Unable to score, the applicant did not submit Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.
- 7) USDA RFSI Priorities (0 Points)
 - Unable to score, the applicant did not submit Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.
- 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (0 Points)
 - Unable to score, the applicant did not submit Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

9) Expected Performance Measures (2 Points)

 The Applicant selected outcomes on the USDA Proposal Template but did not thoroughly articulate the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.

10) Stakeholder Support (3 Points)

- Described external support in USDA Proposal Template but did not provide project narrative summary or LOS to provide additional documentation.
- 11) Community Impact (6 Points)
 - Listed specific farms, processors, and food businesses and partially described community impact in addressing a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local food supply chain.

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (7 Points)

- Efforts to describe the project's viability are minimal, and details are missing.
- No year two expenses are proposed.
- The EoCRI letter has minimal information describing the applicant's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER:** Blackstone Point Oyster Co. **PROJECT NAME:** Primary Infrastructure renovation to develop year-round oyster processing, distribution and wholesale for Blackstone Point Oyster Co. **DATE:** May 6, 2024

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (3 Points)

- Did not provide documentation on the ownership arrangements for the new building.
- More information is needed for reviewers to understand the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project are in place and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.

14) Budget Completeness (3 Points)

- Multiple budget errors exist.
- Budget worksheet equipment math is incorrect.
- RFSI Budget Match Worksheet is vastly different from the USDA Proposal Template budget sections.

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (2 Points)

- The budget documentation does not provide enough detail.
- Multiple errorst prevent the reviewers from easily understanding how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project objectives and expected outcomes.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- The RFSI Budget Match Worksheet does not reconcile with the stated matching contributions on the USDA Project Proposal Template
- Budget errors do not allow reviewers to understand the proposed matching contributions.
- There are no bank statements or supporting documents to verify that all matching contributions will be committed or secured when the Applicant is recommended for an award.
- The match verification letter lists less than 25% of total Project costs for the match.

17) Application Completeness (0 Points)

- Did not sign the application cover page.
- Did not provide a Maine RFSI Application Project Narrative Summary
- Did not complete section A General Information on the Maine RFSI Environmental Prescreening Worksheet
- Did not propose an eligible Project Start Date
- Indicated that their matching contribution equipment will be acquired on 9/1/24 or 11/1/24 per their USDA Project Template which does not meet the requirements for matching contributions to be secured at the time the applicant is recommended for an award.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Smithereen Farm PROJECT NAME: Improving Value Added Processing for Diverse Crops (blueberries, seaweek, herbs, and other rare fruit) in Rural Washington County at Smithereen Farm DATE: May 8, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb

Names of Evaluators: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	4

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	3	0
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the		
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
•		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance	10	0
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not		
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging		
improvements (including value-added processing, hiring		
term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation,		
co-packing and packaging investments, and processing,		
canning, and preserving as an area most in need of		
investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking,		
juicing, distilling, and fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	5	0
Projects that support the development of value-added		U
products available to consumers.		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);	1	

ii.	Workforce/economic impact		
	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair 		
	wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in		
	rural communities.		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
	of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and		
	new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural		
	producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
	added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
	premium or value-added products, such as underserved		
	producers.		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demons	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	0
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals		
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
	ted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be	5	2
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	2	_
.	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		

5	2
10	3
15	3
	10

	workform actaty anarational officiancy food actaty wasta or		
	workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
b	relationships.		
D.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
•	successful are in place. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical		
υ.	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	5
	outlined in the RFA.	5	5
Ь	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
u.	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
14) Budg	et Completeness		
* Demons	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
budget se	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	10	0
a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	0
	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General	10	0
h	Terms and Conditions		
D.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		
~	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
U.	the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		

justificatio Project. e. The budge understan Project Of f. The budge performed degree to investmen and cost e expected	et section includes a complete description of and n for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the et justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily d how costs were determined and how they relate to the ojectives and Expected Outcomes. et demonstrates a relationship between work planned and I to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the which the Project represents a good return for the at (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the outcomes and objectives.		
Match Worksheet. a. The Applie Verificatio adheres to document be commi for an awa b. All eligible match of t signed ver	he Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget cant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds n Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and o the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds ation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will tted or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended ard. e entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated he total project cost. Applicants must submit written and rification of match commitment from any party, including the tity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to	5	0
17) Application Con * Demonstrated by a	npleteness all forms and supporting documents submitted. nd all required documents are complete and adhere to the	5	0
<u>TOTAL</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>19</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Smithereen Farm PROJECT NAME: Improving Value Added Processing for Diverse Crops (blueberries, seaweek, herbs, and other rare fruit) in Rural Washington County at Smithereen Farm DATE: May 8, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Application describes an eligible Project to build a walk-in freezer for fresh-packed berries and other vegetables and fruit.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Application describes a Project based on allowable middle-of-the-supply chain activities: expanding capacity and improving the infrastructure for wild blueberry processing and expanding capacity for other crops.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Smithereen Farm PROJECT NAME: Improving Value Added Processing for Diverse Crops (blueberries, seaweek, herbs, and other rare fruit) in Rural Washington County at Smithereen Farm DATE: May 8, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). (4 Points)
 - Applicant begins to describe the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing or improving middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. Additional information needed for reviewers to award full points.
- 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. (0 Points)
 - Unable to score, the applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.
- 6) Maine RFSI Priorities (0 Points)
 - Unable to score, the applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.
- 7) USDA RFSI Priorities (0 Points)
 - Unable to score, the applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. (0 Points)

• Unable to score, the applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

9) Expected Performance Measures (2 Points)

- Applicant identifies but does not articulate.
- Applicant did not submit a Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative to thoroughly help reviewers understand expected performance measures.

10) Stakeholder Support (2 Points)

• The Applicant does not thoroughly describe the stakeholders who support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project).

11) Community Impact (3 Points)

 The applicant does not clearly demonstrate community impact including labor and workforce considerations

12) **Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness (3 Points)**

 Some evidence of project planning was submitted, but it was insufficient to fully demonstrate the applicant and project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure (5 Points)

• The Applicant submitted evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER:** Smithereen Farm

PROJECT NAME: Improving Value Added Processing for Diverse Crops (blueberries, seaweek, herbs, and other rare fruit) in Rural Washington County at Smithereen Farm **DATE:** May 8, 2024

14) Budget Completeness (0 Points)

- The application budget sections are not thoroughly complete and mathematically correct.
- Their USDA template budget does not reconcile with the Budget Match Worksheet.
- Math error on RFSI Budget Match Worksheet Funds requested and total project cost do not reconcile.
- Did not submit any matching funds columns on the RFSI budget match worksheet.
- Unable to thoroughly review the RFSI budget match worksheet for correctness because it is incomplete.
- Indirect costs listed in the USDA template do not reconcile.

15) Budget Narrative and Justification (0 Points)

- The Personnel section is business operating expenses, not project expenses.
 - No timeline was provided for personal or contractual budget sections.
- Contractual expenses are in the budget summary table but not the contractual table, and the contractual justification is incomplete.
- Cranes are listed as 2, and the cost per unit is \$5K, but the budget table doesn't reconcile.
- No acquisition dates provided for the following budget categories other, equipment and construction
- Match type not indicated for construction
- Indirect costs more than 10% of funds requested.

16) Matching Funds (0 Points)

- Incomplete RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.
- Incomplete Budget Match Verification Letters
- Unallowable costs listed in matching contributions
- The applicant did not submit an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA

17) Application Completeness (0 Points)

- The Applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application form, which included the following required application components:
 - Application Cover Page
 - o Debarment, Performance and Non-collusion Certification
 - Litigation form
 - Maine RFSI Project Narrative
 - Maine RFSI Environmental Prescreening Worksheet.
- Multiple formatting issues and missing information were noted throughout the application material.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Ayotte Farms PROJECT NAME: Purchase of innovative potato wash line equipment for the purpose of enhancing potato processing efficiency DATE: May 1, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A not scored	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	7	N/A

RFA #: 20240)3071 Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program		
BIDDER: Ayo	otte Farms		
	AME: Purchase of innovative potato wash line equipment for the	purpose of	
DATE: May 1	tato processing efficiency 2024		
	The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant.		
5) The Project fu	unded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced i			
* Demonstrated i section.	n the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the	3	N/A
	chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
	tural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
	or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.	ļ	
6) Maine RFSI P			
* Demonstrated i section.	n the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	plication clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
	f the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
	improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
	existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
	existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
	challenges.		
	 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
	reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not	10	N/A
	production-related activities.		
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
	(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited		
	personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing		
	and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and		
	preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying,		
	hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and		
	fermenting).		
	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
	space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI P			
,	n the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	-		
• •	n clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more	_	
of the USDA F		5	N/A
I. Expand	d value-added product capacity and resiliency Prejects that support the development of value, added		
•	Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers.		
•	Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add		
•	r oodo on omali and modium sized enterprises that add		L

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Ayotte Farms PROJECT NAME: Purchase of innovative potato wash line equipment for the purpose of enhancing potato processing efficiency

	ncing potato processing efficiency		
DATE	: May 1, 2024	1	
	options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
	on value-added);		
ii.	Workforce/economic impact		
	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair 		
	wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in		
	rural communities.		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
	of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and		
	new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural		
	producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
	added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
	premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers.		
8) Bonof	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	arated in the manie Ki of Application Form Froject Narrative		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	N/A
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals	-	
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expec	ted Performance Measures		
* Demon	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The	_	
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be	5	N/A
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,		
L .	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
D.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		

	! : 202403071		
	TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program		
BIDDI	ER: Ayotte Farms		
PROJ	ECT NAME: Purchase of innovative potato wash line equipment for the	purpose of	
	cing potato processing efficiency		
DATE	: May 1, 2024		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		
	holder Support		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External		
	upport Section and any submitted letters of support.		
a.	The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the	5	N/A
	Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community	•	
	impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that		
	support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant		
	and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of		
	support are encouraged and may be assigned points.		
	nunity Impact		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External		
	ipport Section and any submitted letters of support.		
а.	The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including		
	labor and workforce considerations.	10	N/A
	 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project 		
	directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's		
	local and regional food supply chain.		
	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors,		
	food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will		
	benefit.		
12) Projec	ct Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
* Demons	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section ar	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted		
letters of	support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification		
Letters, E	vidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any		
document	s submitted with the Application.		
а.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial		
	viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable,	4.5	
	and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May	15	N/A
	24, 2027).		
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and		
	readiness to implement the proposed Project.		
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will		
	create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is		
	completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances		
	that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI		
	funding has ended.		
	<u>v</u>	1	I

RFA #: 202403071 **RFA TITLE:** Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER:** Ayotte Farms **PROJECT NAME:** Purchase of innovative potato wash line equipment for the purpose of enhancing potato processing efficiency **DATE:** May 1, 2024 e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. f. The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact. 13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure * Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application. **a.** The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work. including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships. **b.** The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place. **c.** The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format 5 N/A outlined in the RFA. d. Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project. 14) Budget Completeness * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. 10 N/A a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 15) Budget Narrative and Justification * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. **a.** The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as N/A described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General 10 Terms and Conditions **b.** The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.

c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,

RFA # : 202403071		
RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Ayotte Farms		
PROJECT NAME: Purchase of innovative potato wash line equipment for	the purpose of	
enhancing potato processing efficiency		
DATE: May 1, 2024		T
the budget sections reconcile.		
d. The budget section includes a complete description of and	ha	
justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of Project.	ne	
e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to eas	ilv	
understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the		
Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.		
f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and	I	
performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the		
degree to which the Project represents a good return for the		
investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work		
and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	÷	
16) Matching Funds		
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget		
Match Worksheet.		
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds		
Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete ar	nd	
adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds		
documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions wi		N/A
be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.		
b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated		
match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and		
signed verification of match commitment from any party, including t	he	
eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources	to	
this project.		
17) Application Completeness		
 * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the 	5	N/A
format outlined in the RFA.	5	
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Ayotte Farms PROJECT NAME: Purchase of innovative potato wash line equipment for the purpose of enhancing potato processing efficiency DATE: May 1, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass with comment

The Applicant indicated that they are an agricultural producer or processor but did not select whether the entity is a for-profit meeting SBA guidelines or nonprofit organization. If awarded, further clarification and documentation is needed.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not identify a proposal that meets the RFA definition of a project.

The applicant did not propose a definitive beginning and end on their application materials. The application listed July 1, 2024 as both the start date and the end date. This is not a Project and falls outside of the RFA stated grant performance period of September 1, 2024- May 24, 2027.

From RFA Part 2 Section A: Projects are different from other ongoing operations in an organization because, unlike operations, projects have a limited duration with **a definitive beginning and end.** A Project will also have an overarching goal that the applicant wants to accomplish through a series of individual activities or tasks.

The applicant requested funding for equipment only and did not request funds for any Project related expenditures. They are encouraged to apply to the Maine RFSI Equipment-only RFA anticipated to open in October 2024.

From RFA Part 2 Section A:

A Project is defined as a set of interrelated tasks with a cohesive, distinct, specified, and defined goal. It follows a planned, organized approach over a fixed period and within specific limitations (cost, performance/quality, etc.). Additionally, it uses resources that are specifically allocated to the work of the project and usually involves a team of people

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Ayotte Farms PROJECT NAME: Purchase of innovative potato wash line equipment for the purpose of enhancing potato processing efficiency DATE: May 1, 2024

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: not reviewed, did not meet prior pass/fail criteria

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Beals Island Shellfish Mariculture Inc DBA Great Wass Shellfish Company PROJECT NAME: Enhancing the distribution of locally farmed shellfish in Eastern Maine DATE: May 1, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.		Fail
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A not scored	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER:** Beals Island Shellfish Mariculture Inc DBA Great Wass Shellfish Company **PROJECT NAME:** Enhancing the distribution of locally farmed shellfish in Eastern Maine **DATE:** May 1, 2024

 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative 		
section.	3	N/A
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the		
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
0		
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance	10	N/A
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not		
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited		
personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing		
and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and		
preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying,		
hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and		
fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	5	N/A
 Projects that support the development of value-added 	-	
products available to consumers.		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact		

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER:** Beals Island Shellfish Mariculture Inc DBA Great Wass Shellfish Company **PROJECT NAME:** Enhancing the distribution of locally farmed shellfish in Eastern Maine **DATE:** May 1, 2024

	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. 		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	• Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value- added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers.		
8) Benet	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
, and	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	N/A
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals	-	
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be		
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	5	N/A
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
	numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Beals Island Shellfish Mariculture Inc DBA Great Wass Shellfish Company PROJECT NAME: Enhancing the distribution of locally farmed shellfish in Eastern Maine DATE: May 1, 2024

	1	
 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	N/A
11) Community Impact		
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	N/A
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or 	15	N/A

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER:** Beals Island Shellfish Mariculture Inc DBA Great Wass Shellfish Company **PROJECT NAME:** Enhancing the distribution of locally farmed shellfish in Eastern Maine **DATE:** May 1, 2024

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER:** Beals Island Shellfish Mariculture Inc DBA Great Wass Shellfish Company **PROJECT NAME:** Enhancing the distribution of locally farmed shellfish in Eastern Maine DATE: May 1, 2024

e. f.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
16) Match	ning Funds		
* Demons	trated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget		
Match Wo	orksheet.		
	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	5	N/A
17) Applic	ation Completeness		
	trated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.		
18) The Ap	oplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the outlined in the RFA.	5	N/A
<u>TOTAI</u>	=	<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Beals Island Shellfish Mariculture Inc DBA Great Wass Shellfish Company PROJECT NAME: Enhancing the distribution of locally farmed shellfish in Eastern Maine DATE: May 1, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Application Form. Due to this, the applicant's eligibility cannot be determined through the submitted documents.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Application Form. Due to this, the applicant did not identify a proposal for a RFSI Project that meets the RFA definition of a project.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: not reviewed, did not meet prior pass/fail criteria

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Beals Island Shellfish Mariculture Inc DBA Great Wass Shellfish Company PROJECT NAME: Enhancing the distribution of locally farmed shellfish in Eastern Maine DATE: May 1, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: N/A not scored

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Davis Farms PROJECT NAME: Not provided DATE: May 1, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.		Fail
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A not scored	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair 		
	wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in		
	rural communities.		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
	of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and 		
	new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural		
	producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
	added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
	premium or value-added products, such as underserved		
	producers.		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demons	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	N/A
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals		
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expec	ted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be		
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	5	N/A
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
	numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	N/A
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	N/A
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	N/A

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
* Demons Letter an	ence of Critical Infrastructure strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	N/A
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
(A) Duda	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
* Demons	et Completeness strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	10	N/A
a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	10	0/6
	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions	40	N1/A
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and	10	N/A
-	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
Ы	the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and		
u.	justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.		

	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the		
	expected outcomes and objectives.		
	ing Funds		
	trated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget		
Match Wo			
	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to	5	N/A
47) A	this project.		
<i>,</i>	ation Completeness		
	trated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	5	N/A
	oplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the	Ū	
format	outlined in the RFA.		
<u>TOTAI</u>	=	<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Davis Farms PROJECT NAME: Not provided DATE: May 1, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Application Form. Due to this, the applicant's eligibility cannot be determined through the submitted documents.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Application which included the Project Narrative Summary. The reviewers would have to make assumptions to determine if the proposal complies with the USDA RFSI program scope, terms and conditions, and requirements for an RFSI-eligible project.

The applicant did not identify the proposal as a Project that meets the RFA definition of a project.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Davis Farms PROJECT NAME: Not provided DATE: May 1, 2024

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: not reviewed, did not meet prior pass/fail criteria

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Dogpatch Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: Not provided DATE: May 1, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.		Fail
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A not scored	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative 		
section.	_	
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.	3	N/A
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance		
•	10	N/A
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not		
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited		
personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing		
and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and		
preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying,		
hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and		
fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	5	N/A
 Projects that support the development of value-added 		
products available to consumers.		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact		

	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair 		
	wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in		
	rural communities.		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
	of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and		
	new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural		
	producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
	added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
	premium or value-added products, such as underserved		
	producers.		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demons	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	N/A
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals		
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
	ted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be		
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	5	N/A
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
	numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	N/A
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	N/A
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	N/A

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
* Demons Letter an Applicati	ence of Critical Infrastructure strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the on. The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
a.	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	N/A
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	jet Completeness strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
budget se	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	10	N/A
, v	let Narrative and Justification strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General</u> <u>Terms and Conditions</u>	10	N/A
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.		
d.			

 justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 		
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	5	N/A
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	N/A
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Dogpatch Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: Not provided DATE: May 1, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Application Form. Due to this, the applicant's eligibility cannot be determined through the submitted documents.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Application Form. Due to this, the applicant did not identify a proposal for a RFSI Project that meets the RFA definition of a project.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: not reviewed, did not meet prior pass/fail criteria

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fork Food Lab PROJECT NAME: Palletized Frozen Storage for Fork Food Lab Businesses, Partners & Area Farms DATE: 5/6/2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Not Reviewed	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fork Food Lab PROJECT NAME: Palletized Frozen Storage for Fork Food Lab Businesses, Partners & Area Farms DATE: 5/6/2024

	oject funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or		
	ced ingredients. rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
	Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply		
α.	chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw	3	N/A
	materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume.		
	Water is excluded from this requirement.		
	RFSI Priorities		
	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
	of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
	i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements		
	(including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or		
	construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-		
	of-the-food-supply chain challenges.		
	 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
	reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-	40	
	the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	10	N/A
	ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
	(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to		
	assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging		
	investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area		
	most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking,		
	baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).		
	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
	(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space,		
	and delivery and distribution investments).		
	RFSI Priorities		
	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
	plication clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the RFSI Priorities		
	Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency		
	 Projects that support the development of value-added products 		
	 Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. 		
	 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and 		
	choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);		
ii	Workforce/economic impact	5	N/A
	Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages	5	17/4
	and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural		
	communities.		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety 		
	of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of 		
	business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fork Food Lab PROJECT NAME: Palletized Frozen Storage for Fork Food Lab Businesses, Partners & Area Farms DATE: 5/6/2024

	• Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-		
	owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new 		
	streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers,		
	including those who may not have access to value-added		
	opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or		
	value-added products, such as underserved producers.		
	t to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply	5	N/A
	chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including		
	Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
D.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
	ted Performance Measures		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance		
Measures			
	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables,		
а.	expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a		
	description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project		
	period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and	5	N/A
	performance measures.	Ū	
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators		
	listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for		
	local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of		
	local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide		
	quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's		
	projects scope of work.		
10) Stake	holder Support		
[*] Demons	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
the USDA	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support		
Section a	nd any submitted letters of support.		
а.	The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project.	5	N/A
	Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and	5	
	support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for		
	the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in		
	the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be		
	assigned points.		
	nunity Impact		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support	10	N/A
	nd any submitted letters of support.		
a.	The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and		
	workforce considerations.		

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fork Food Lab PROJECT NAME: Palletized Frozen Storage for Fork Food Lab Businesses, Partners & Area Farms DATE: 5/6/2024

		The neurisity to an utill according the planners to unlike the Dusie of		
	ι.	The review team will consider the degree to which the Project		
		directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and		
		regional food supply chain.		
	п.	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food		
12) Droio	4 \/:ek:l	businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		
		ity, Feasibility, and Readiness		
		the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
		ucture Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support,		
		Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical		
		irastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the		
Applicatio		plication domanatrates the Applicant and Dreiget's financial visbility		
a.		plication demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability,		
b		al feasibility, and readiness.		
b.		oject description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and		
		able by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	15	N/A
C.		plicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness ement the proposed Project.	15	IN/A
d.	•	oject will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create		
u.		inities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The		
		int has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable		
		phomically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.		ecific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant		
		infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety,		
		onal efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.		plicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
		brs to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide		ritical Infrastructure		
		the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and		
		forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
		plicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
		ng past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.		plication provides evidence that the critical resources and		
		ucture that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful		
	are in p	place.		
с.	The Ap	plicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure		
	Form t	nat is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	N/A
d.		ints must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure		
		ary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.		
		resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of		
		eet/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for		
		posed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources		
		e in place and in working condition at the time of application		
		sion. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for		
		g and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	commi	ted prior to the start date of the Project.		

RFA #: 202403071

RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program

BIDDER: Fork Food Lab

PROJECT NAME: Palletized Frozen Storage for Fork Food Lab Businesses, Partners & Area Farms **DATE: 5/6/2024**

 14) Budget Completeness * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	10	N/A
 15) Budget Narrative and Justification Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	10	N/A
 16) Matching Funds Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	5	N/A
 17) Application Completeness * <i>Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.</i> 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	N/A
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>Fail</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fork Food Lab PROJECT NAME: Palletized Frozen Storage for Fork Food Lab Businesses, Partners & Area Farms DATE: 5/6/2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The Applicant is a nonprofit organization that operates middle-of-the-supply-chain activities.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant describes business operations and partnerships but does not describe an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of the RFA.

The applicant did not identify the proposal as a Project that meets the definition of a project.

Reviewer assumptions were required if this were to be determined to be a Project.

The USDA Template Executive Summary describes the entity's business operations but does not provide a project summary. The RFSI project purpose, deliverables, and expected outcomes are not described. The applicant did not follow instructions to include a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period in the Executive Summary.

The applicant describes business operations in the USDA template section regarding the specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address but does not provide any information related to an RFSI project proposal in this section.

It is unclear if the objectives stated in the USDA template are allowable per the RFA stated requirements and the USDA RFSI program scope, requirements, and terms and conditions.

The narrative provided in the external project support section of the USDA template relates to support of the applicant's business operations but does not describe the stakeholders who support the specific RFSI project.

The applicant does not indicate if the application meets the RFA requirement that 51% of project-related ingredients will be grown, harvested, and produced in Maine.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fork Food Lab PROJECT NAME: Palletized Frozen Storage for Fork Food Lab Businesses, Partners & Area Farms DATE: 5/6/2024

The applicant did not follow the formatting outline for the project narrative summary and the applicant does not clearly describe a project that responds to Maine or USDA RFSI priorities.

The applicant did not thoroughly describe the proposal's expected outcomes and performance measures and did not provide a narrative description of project tasks and activities.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Not reviewed, previous fail.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fork Food Lab PROJECT NAME: Palletized Frozen Storage for Fork Food Lab Businesses, Partners & Area Farms DATE: 5/6/2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fountain Farm, LLC PROJECT NAME: Apple & Bean Facility: Enhancing Yield, Storage Capacity, Quality, and Community with Sustainable Processing of Maine Grown Products DATE: May 7, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A not scored	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	3	N/A
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, 	10	N/A
and delivery and distribution investments).		
 7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of 	5	N/A

 underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker- owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		
 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 	5	N/A
 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work. 	5	N/A
 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	N/A
11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	10	N/A

а.	The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations.		
	i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project		
	directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and		
	regional food supply chain.		
	ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food		
12) Droigo	businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		
	t Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support,		
	udget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical		
	and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the		
Application			
а.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability,		
	technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and		
	achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).		
с.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness	15	N/A
	to implement the proposed Project.		
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create		
	opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The		
	Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable		
	and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant		
-	entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety,		
	operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evider	nce of Critical Infrastructure		
	rated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and		
	by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
h	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful		
	are in place.		
c	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure		
0.	Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	N/A
Ь	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure	5	11/4
u.	necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.		
	Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of		
	city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for		
	the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources		
	must be in place and in working condition at the time of application		
	submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for		
	initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		

sections a	trated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	10	N/A
* Demons sections a a. b. c. d. e.	et Narrative and Justification trated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	10	N/A
* Demons Workshee a.	ning Funds trated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match	5	N/A
* Demons	cation Completeness trated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. cation and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format	5	N/A
TOTA	<u>L</u>	<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fountain Farm, LLC PROJECT NAME: Apple & Bean Facility: Enhancing Yield, Storage Capacity, Quality, and Community with Sustainable Processing of Maine Grown Products DATE: May 7, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, and the reviewers would have to make assumptions to determine if the proposal complies with the USDA RFSI program scope, terms and conditions, and requirements for an RFSI-eligible project.

The applicant did not identify the proposal as a Project that meets the RFA definition of a project.

The applicant did not propose a definitive beginning and end on their application materials. They indicated that their work would start "ASAP" and end in "fall 2026."

From RFA Part 2 Section A:

Projects are different from other ongoing operations in an organization because, unlike operations, projects have a limited duration with **a definitive beginning and end.** A Project will also have an overarching goal that the applicant wants to accomplish through a series of individual activities or tasks.

The applicant did not follow the formatting outline for the project narrative summary, and the reviewers were unable to determine that this application satisfies the RFA requirements for an eligible Project.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: not reviewed, did not meet prior pass/fail criteria.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Fountain Farm, LLC PROJECT NAME: Apple & Bean Facility: Enhancing Yield, Storage Capacity, Quality, and Community with Sustainable Processing of Maine Grown Products DATE: May 7, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Lynch Hill Farms Inc PROJECT NAME: Increasing Efficiency and Capacity with Advanced Optical Berry Sorter DATE: May 1, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 		N/A
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
 a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	3	N/A
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage 	10	N/A
space, and delivery and distribution investments). 7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	5	N/A
 Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. 		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact		

	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. 		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
	of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and pow streams of revenue to small and mid sized agricultural		
	new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
	added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
	premium or value-added products, such as underserved		
	producers.		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and	_	
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	N/A
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals		
Ь	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
D.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expec	ted Performance Measures		
<i>,</i> .	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be		
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	5	N/A
_	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
	numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		

Stakeholder Support emonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative ction and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External oject Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the 5	N/A
Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community	
impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that	
support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant	
and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of	
support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	
Community Impact	
emonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative	
ction and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External	
bject Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including	
labor and workforce considerations. 10	N/A
I. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project	
directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's	
local and regional food supply chain.	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, 	
food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will	
benefit.	
Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
emonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative	
ction and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted	
ers of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification	
ters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any	
cuments submitted with the Application.	
a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial	
viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable,	
and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May	
24 2027)	N1/A
c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and 15	N/A
readiness to implement the proposed Project.	
d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will	
create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is	
completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances	
that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI	
funding has ended.	
e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the	
Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability,	
workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or	

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
1.	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
Letter an	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical	_	
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	N/A
	outlined in the RFA.		
a.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
14) Budg	et Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
budget s	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	10	N/A
a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	N/A
	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
-	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General	40	
	Terms and Conditions	10	N/A
D.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		
_	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
ہ	the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and		
<u> </u>			

RFA #: 202403071
RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program
BIDDER: Lynch Hill Farms Inc
PROJECT NAME: Increasing Efficiency and Capacity with Advanced Optical Berry Sorter
DATE: May 1, 2024

 justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 		
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	5	N/A
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	N/A
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Lynch Hill Farms Inc PROJECT NAME: Increasing Efficiency and Capacity with Advanced Optical Berry Sorter DATE: May 1, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Application Form. Due to this, the applicant did not identify a proposal for an RFSI Project that meets the RFA definition of a project.

The applicant submitted a USDA RFSI Equipment-Only Template that was not provided, required, or referenced in the RFA.

The applicant did not propose a definitive beginning and end on their application materials because they did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

From RFA Part 2 Section A:

Projects are different from other ongoing operations in an organization because, unlike operations, projects have a limited duration with **a definitive beginning and end.** A Project will also have an overarching goal that the applicant wants to accomplish through a series of individual activities or tasks.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Lynch Hill Farms Inc PROJECT NAME: Increasing Efficiency and Capacity with Advanced Optical Berry Sorter DATE: May 1, 2024

The applicant requested funding for equipment only and did not request funds for any Project related expenditures. They are encouraged to apply to the Maine RFSI Equipment-only RFA anticipated to open in October 2024.

From RFA Part 2 Section A: A Project is defined as a set of interrelated tasks with a cohesive, distinct, specified, and defined goal. It follows a planned, organized approach over a fixed period and within specific limitations (cost, performance/quality, etc.). Additionally, it uses resources that are specifically allocated to the work of the project and usually involves a team of people

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: N/A

The application does not describe an eligible middle of the supply chain Project

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Maine Ocean Farms LLC PROJECT NAME: Establish Shellfish Cold Storage, Packaging and Distribution Capacity DATE: May 8, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A not scored	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	3	N/A
 6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	10	N/A
 7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact 	5	N/A

	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. 		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and the stars and/a start of supplements 		
	treatment/safety of workers; Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved 		
	producers.		
	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	The Application deputy opticulates have the Duriect will dive the here fit		
b.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the- supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	5	N/A
9) Expec	ted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	5	N/A

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	N/A
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	N/A
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	N/A

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
Letter an	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati	on.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical		
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	N/A
	outlined in the RFA.		
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	let Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	N/A
a.	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budo	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
-	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions	10	N/A
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		
	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
	the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		

 justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 		
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	5	N/A
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	N/A
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Maine Ocean Farms LLC PROJECT NAME: Establish Shellfish Cold Storage, Packaging and Distribution Capacity DATE: May 8, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass with comment

The Applicant indicated that they are an agricultural producer or processor but did not select whether the entity is a for-profit meeting SBA guidelines or a nonprofit organization.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant requested a Project amount under the minimum RFSI Infrastructure Project Grant subaward threshold of \$100,000 stated in the Maine RFA and the USDA AMS RFSI Program Scope and Requirements, so it cannot be considered an eligible Project application.

The applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application form, which included the following required application components: Application Cover Page, Debarment, Performance, and Noncollusion Certification, Litigation form, Maine RFSI Project Narrative, and Maine RFSI Environmental Prescreening Worksheet.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Mook Sea Farm Inc PROJECT NAME: Repair and improvement of Land Based Oyster Processing and Storage Facility DATE: May 1, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry Name of RFA Coordinator: Michelle Webb Names of Evaluators: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. 	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A not scored	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

RFA #: 202403071		
RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Mook Sea Farm Inc		
PROJECT NAME: Repair and improvement of Land Based Oyster Processing ar	nd Storage	
Facility	0	
DATE: May 1, 2024		
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	3	N/A
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the	3	IN/A
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance		
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not	10	N/A
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited		
personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing		
and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and		
preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying,		
hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and		
fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	_	
Projects that support the development of value-added	5	N/A
products available to consumers.		
Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact		

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Mook Sea Farm Inc PROJECT NAME: Repair and improvement of Land Based Oyster Processing and Storage Facility DATE: May 1, 2024

Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job guality and treatment/safety of workers: iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. • Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to valueadded opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-5 N/A supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. **a.** The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose. deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, 5 N/A deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products **OR** Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Mook Sea Farm Inc PROJECT NAME: Repair and improvement of Land Based Oyster Processing Facility DATE: May 1, 2024	and Storage	9
 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	N/A
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	N/A
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	N/A

	#: 202403071		
	TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program ER: Mook Sea Farm Inc		
	IECT NAME: Repair and improvement of Land Based Oyster Processing	and Storage	2
Facili		y and Storage	3
	.y E: May 1, 2024		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
1.	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
	ence of Critical Infrastructure strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
Letter and	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicatio			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical	-	N 1/ A
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	N/A
	outlined in the RFA.		
a.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
14) Buda	et Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	10	
	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	N/A
	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
•	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions	10	N/A
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		
	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
ا م	the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Mook Sea Farm Inc PROJECT NAME: Repair and improvement of Land Based Oyster Processing Facility	g and Storage	9
DATE: May 1, 2024		
 justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the 		
investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	5	N/A
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	N/A
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Mook Sea Farm Inc PROJECT NAME: Repair and improvement of Land Based Oyster Processing and Storage Facility DATE: May 1, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass with comment

The Applicant indicated they are an agricultural producer or processor but did not select whether the entity is a for-profit meeting SBA guidelines or a nonprofit organization. If awarded, further clarification and documentation is needed.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The Applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Narrative Summary. Therefore, reviewers are unable to determine whether the proposal is an allowable project per the definitions provided in the RFA.

The applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application form, which included the following required application components: Application Cover Page, Debarment, Performance, and Noncollusion Certification, Litigation form, Maine RFSI Project Narrative, and Maine RFSI Environmental Prescreening Worksheet.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: not reviewed, did not meet prior pass/fail criteria

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Newdawns Farms PROJECT NAME: Not provided DATE: May 1, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.		Fail
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A not scored	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	3	N/A
 6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	10	N/A
 7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact 	5	N/A

9) Expec * Demons section al Performat a.	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. ted Performance Measures strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative ind the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected ince Measures Section. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase	5	N/A
	to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. <i>trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative</i> The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	N/A
iii.	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that 	5	N/A
support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.		
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	N/A
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	N/A

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
* Demons Letter an Applicati			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	N/A
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	jet Completeness strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	10	N/A
, v	et Narrative and Justification strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General</u> <u>Terms and Conditions</u>	10	N/A
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.		
u.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		

 justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 		
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	5	N/A
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	N/A
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Newdawns Farms PROJECT NAME: Not provided DATE: May 1, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Application Form. Due to this, the applicant's eligibility cannot be determined through the submitted documents.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The Applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Narrative Summary. Reviewers are unable to determine if the proposal is an allowable project per the definitions provided in the RFA.

The applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application form, which included the following required application components: Application Cover Page, Debarment, Performance, and Noncollusion Certification, Litigation form, Maine RFSI Project Narrative, and Maine RFSI Environmental Prescreening Worksheet

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: not reviewed, did not meet prior pass/fail criteria

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Newdawns Farms PROJECT NAME: Not provided DATE: May 1, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Nick Planson LLC DBA Shred Electric LLC PROJECT NAME: Demonstrating cost-effective electric commercial vehicle transportation with battery-powered refrigeration for small-scale agriculture and aquaculture DATE: May 7, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 		Fail
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	3	N/A
 6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	10	N/A
 7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to 	5	N/A

	 underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker- owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added 		
	opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or		
0) Demofi	value-added products, such as underserved producers.		
* Demonst a.	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the	5	N/A
	proposed Projects and Application.		
* Demons the USDA Measures a. b.	ted Performance Measures strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Section. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work. holder Support	5	N/A
* Demonst the USDA Section ar	trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support and any submitted letters of support. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	5	N/A
* Demonst the USDA	nunity Impact trated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support nd any submitted letters of support.	10	N/A

a.	The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and		
	workforce considerations.		
	i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project		
	directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and		
	regional food supply chain.		
	ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food		
40) 5 .	businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		
	t Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and		
	nfrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support,		
	udget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical		
	and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the		
Application			
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability,		
	technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and		
_	achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	45	N1/A
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness	15	N/A
	to implement the proposed Project.		
α.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create		
	opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The		
	Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable		
	and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant		
	entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety,		
£	operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
12) Evidor	nce of Critical Infrastructure		
	rated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and		
	by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
а.	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
h	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
ν.	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful		
	are in place.		
C	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure		
0.	Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	5	N/A
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure	Ŭ	1073
u .	necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place.		
	Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of		
	city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for		
	the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources		
	must be in place and in working condition at the time of application		
	submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for		
	initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		

	trated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	10	N/A
	et Narrative and Justification		
	trated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget		
	nd the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described		
	in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions		
h	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate		
Ы.	how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the		
•	budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for	10	N/A
	each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.		
е.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily		
	understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project		
	Objectives and Expected Outcomes.		
f.	U I I		
	performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to		
	which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time,		
	etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables		
C) Matak	and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
	ing Funds trated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match		
Vorkshee	-		
	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter		
ų.	and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format		
	outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate	_	
	that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the	5	N/A
	Applicant is recommended for an award.		
b.	All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of		
	the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification		
	of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will		
	contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.		
	ation Completeness		
	trated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	F	N/A
, ,	pplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format d in the REA	5	IN/A
outime	a in the RFA.		
ΤΟΤΑΙ		<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Nick Planson LLC DBA Shred Electric LLC PROJECT NAME: Demonstrating cost-effective electric commercial vehicle transportation with battery-powered refrigeration for small-scale agriculture and aquaculture DATE: May 7, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The Applicant is a for-profit entity.

The applicant is not an agricultural producer or processor.

The applicant does not meet the USDA criteria for Entities eligible for RFSI Infrastructure Project Grants.

The applicant entity's overall business activities are not primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers.

The applicant's entity's overall business activities are not currently and primarily focused on operating middle of the supply chain activities.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not identify a proposal that meets the RFA definition of a project.

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Nick Planson LLC DBA Shred Electric LLC PROJECT NAME: Demonstrating cost-effective electric commercial vehicle transportation with battery-powered refrigeration for small-scale agriculture and aquaculture DATE: May 7, 2024

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Oxford Hills Community Gardens DBA Alan Day Community Garden PROJECT NAME: Not provided DATE: May 8, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. 		Fail
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A not scored	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. 	3	N/A
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural row meterials grown, beneated, or produced in Maine by	3	N/A
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance		
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not	10	N/A
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited		
personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing		
and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and		
preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying,		
hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and		
fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
 Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency 	5	N/A
 Projects that support the development of value-added 		
products available to consumers.		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact		

	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. 		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value- added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demons	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a. b.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the- supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	5	N/A
9) Expec	ted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected	5	N/A

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	5	N/A
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	N/A
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	N/A

f. The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure		
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Application.		
a. The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
relationships.		
b. The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
successful are in place.		
c. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical		
Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	N/A
outlined in the RFA.		
d. Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
14) Budget Completeness		
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	40	N1/A
a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	N/A
mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budget Narrative and Justification		
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
Terms and Conditions		
b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and	10	N/A
articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
the budget sections reconcile.		
d. The budget section includes a complete description of and		
justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the		
Project.		

e. f.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the		
	degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work		
	and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		
	ning Funds		
	strated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget		
Match Wo			
a.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	5	N/A
b.	All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.		
	cation Completeness		
	strated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	F	N/A
	oplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the outlined in the RFA.	5	N/A
<u>TOTA</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Oxford Hills Community Gardens DBA Alan Day Community Garden PROJECT NAME: Not provided DATE: May 8, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or a signed Maine RFSI Application Form. Due to this, the applicant's eligibility cannot be determined through the submitted documents.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or a signed Maine RFSI Application Form. Therefore, the applicant did not identify a proposal for an RFSI Project that meets the RFA definition of a project.

The applicant did not propose a definitive beginning and end on their application materials.

From RFA Part 2 Section A: Projects are different from other ongoing operations in an organization because, unlike operations, projects have a limited duration with **a definitive beginning and end.** A Project will also have an overarching goal that the applicant wants to accomplish through a series of individual activities or tasks.

Subsequently, the reviewers cannot determine if the application meets USDA requirements according to the stated RFSI Program Scope and Requirements and USDA Terms and Conditions because the applicant did not submit the required USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Oxford Hills Community Gardens DBA Alan Day Community Garden PROJECT NAME: Not provided DATE: May 8, 2024

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: not reviewed, did not meet prior pass/fail criteria

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Stewardship GEM LLC PROJECT NAME: Constructing a Chilled Recirculating Wet Storage Addition for Bivalve Shellfish DATE: May 9, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb

Names of Evaluators: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 		Fail
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	3	N/A
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the		
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain		
challenges.		
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or 		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance		
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not	10	N/A
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging		
improvements (including value-added processing, hiring		
term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation,		
co-packing and packaging investments, and processing,		
canning, and preserving as an area most in need of		
investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking,		
juicing, distilling, and fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
 Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency 	5	N/A
 Projects that support the development of value-added 		
products available to consumers.		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added):		

ii.	Workforce/economic impact		
	 Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair 		
	wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in		
	rural communities.		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms 		
	of business model approaches, geography, and availability to		
	underserved communities.		
	 Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and 		
	new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural		
	producers, including those who may not have access to value-		
	added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for		
	premium or value-added products, such as underserved		
	producers.		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demons	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit		
	underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and		
	ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-	5	N/A
	supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals		
	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has		
	demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
	ted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose,		
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The		
	Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be	5	N/A
	completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose,	·	
	deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.		
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined		
	indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the		
	supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase		
	economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The		
	Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		

numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		
10) Stakeholder Support		
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant 	5	N/A
and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of		
support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 11) Community Impact		
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	N/A
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI 	15	N/A

		I	
•	nas ended.		
	cific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the		
	t entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability,		
	e safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or		
	ter management.		
	licant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
	s to demonstrate investment impact.		
	itical Infrastructure		
	the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
	ed by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Application.			
	licant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
relations	•		
	lication provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	cture that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	ul are in place.		
	licant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical	F	N1/A
	cture Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	N/A
	in the RFA.		
	ts must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	cture necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	re in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	ther essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	uctures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	icate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	ng the project and certify that they are in place and		
	ed prior to the start date of the Project.		
14) Budget Comp			
	the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	nd the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
	lication budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	N/A
	atically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	proposed Project activities.		
	ve and Justification		
, .	the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	nd the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
-	lication demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as	10	N/A
	d in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General</u>		
	nd Conditions		
b. The bud	get clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and		

-			
	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
	the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		
	justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the		
	Project.		
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily		
	understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the		
	Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.		
f.			
	performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the		
	degree to which the Project represents a good return for the		
	investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work		
	and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the		
	expected outcomes and objectives.		
16) Match	ning Funds		
* Demons	trated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget		
Match Wo	orksheet.		
a.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds		
	Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and		
	adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds		
	documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will	5	N/A
	be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended	5	IN/A
	for an award.		
b.	All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated		
	match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and		
	signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the		
	eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to		
	this project.		
, , ,	cation Completeness		
	trated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	5	N/A
, ,	oplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the	5	IN/A
format	outlined in the RFA.		
TOTA		400	
<u>TOTA</u>		<u>100</u>	<u>N/A</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Stewardship GEM LLC PROJECT NAME: Constructing a Chilled Recirculating Wet Storage Addition for Bivalve Shellfish DATE: May 9, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

The applicant is a for-profit agricultural producer/processor operating middle-of-the-supply chain activities such as processing, aggregation, or distribution of targeted agricultural products. The applicant's business activities are primarily focused on benefiting local and regional producers. The applicant indicates that they meet the eligibility requirements of the SBA small business size standards.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The Application describes a Project that is not completely dedicated to the allowable middle-ofthe-supply chain activities defined in the RFA and the USDA RFSI Program Scope, Terms and Conditions, and regulations.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Stewardship GEM LLC PROJECT NAME: Constructing a Chilled Recirculating Wet Storage Addition for Bivalve Shellfish DATE: May 9, 2024

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The application documents and letters of support indicate that this project will conduct beginning of the supply chain harvest activities.

The application states the purpose and objectives of this Project are beginning of the supply chain harvest activities in multiple sections of the application materials.

Examples include:

- This project will enable scheduling of harvest days around the weather, instead of scheduling harvest days around the processing and distribution schedule.
- This project improves our farm's resiliency by removing harvest interruptions that cost both us and our customers money.
- Additionally, this project would allow for harvest from the farm during bacterial closures that come with significant expense and testing requirements.
- Purpose: this project aims to even out production interruptions due to water quality or mechanical breakdowns on the harvest vessel
- Specifically, this project addresses the challenges associated with the time temperature control for vibrio, and harvest interruptions for closures.
- This infrastructure will remove harvest interruptions
- This allows us to schedule harvest around the weather
- The specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address: Missed harvest days
- Objective: Reduce the number of missed harvest days

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: The Apple Farm PROJECT NAME: Washing and grading machine to facilitate processing of farm products DATE: May 1, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Pass	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A not scored	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	Points Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the	3	N/A
supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more		
agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by		
weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or		
more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure		
improvements (including expansion and modifications to		
existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at		
existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.		
The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or		
reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance		
middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not	10	N/A
production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements		
(including value-added processing, hiring term-limited		
personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing		
and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and		
preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying,		
hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and		
fermenting).		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements		
(including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage		
space, and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	5	N/A
 Projects that support the development of value-added 		
products available to consumers.		
 Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add 		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis		
on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact		

	Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair		
	wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities.		
	 Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and 		
	treatment/safety of workers;		
iii.	Diversity of processing infrastructure		
	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and 		
	worker-owned enterprises		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value- added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		
8) Benef	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the- supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals	5	N/A
b.	including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the		
	proposed Projects and Application.		
· ·	cted Performance Measures strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected	5	N/A

10) Stakeholder Support		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External		
Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the	5	N/A
Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community		
impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that		
support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant		
and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of		
support are encouraged and may be assigned points.		
11) Community Impact		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External		
Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including		
labor and workforce considerations.		
i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project	10	N/A
directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's		
local and regional food supply chain.		
ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors,		
food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will		
benefit. (12) Project Vichility, Esseribility, and Readiness		
12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted		
letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification		
Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any		
documents submitted with the Application.		
a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial		
viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable,		
and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May		
24, 2027).	15	N/A
c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and		
readiness to implement the proposed Project.		
d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will		
create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is		
completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances		
that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI		
funding has ended.		
e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the		
Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability,		
workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or		
workforce safety, operational enciency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and		
	indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
Letter an	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical		
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	N/A
	outlined in the RFA.		
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
(14) Dude	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
	let Completeness		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	N/A
a.	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budo	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
-	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
u.	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions	10	N/A
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and	-	
	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
	the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and		

RFA #: 202403071
RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program
BIDDER: The Apple Farm
PROJECT NAME: Washing and grading machine to facilitate processing of farm products
DATE: May 1, 2024

 justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 		
 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	5	N/A
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 18) The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5	N/A
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	N/A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: The Apple Farm PROJECT NAME: Washing and grading machine to facilitate processing of farm products DATE: May 1, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass with comment

The Applicant indicated that they are an agricultural producer or processor but did not select whether the entity is a for-profit meeting SBA guidelines or nonprofit organization. If awarded, further clarification and documentation is needed.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the Maine RFSI Application form, which included the following required application components: Application Cover Page, Debarment, Performance, and Noncollusion Certification, Litigation form, Maine RFSI Project Narrative, and Maine RFSI Environmental Prescreening Worksheet.

The applicant did not adhere to requirements to submit application materials in .pdf format, making evaluation difficult for reviers to thoroughly assess.

The applicant did not submit the required Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Letter, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, or the Matching Funds Verification Letters. Therefore there is not enough information submitted for reviwers to understand if this proposal describes an eligible project per the definitions and requirements listed in the RFA.

The provided budget sections do not reconcile, making it difficult to assess if this is a Project that meets the RFA requirements.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: The Apple Farm PROJECT NAME: Washing and grading machine to facilitate processing of farm products DATE: May 1, 2024

The budget summary is 99% equipment only and 1% unallowable business operating expenses (business supplies). This is an equipment-only request and does not meet the definition of a Project per the RFA.

The applicant requested funding for equipment only and did not request funds for any Project related expenditures. They are encouraged to apply to the Maine RFSI Equipment-only RFA anticipated to open in October 2024.

From RFA Part 2 Section A: A Project is defined as a set of interrelated tasks with a cohesive, distinct, specified, and defined goal. It follows a planned, organized approach over a fixed period and within specific limitations (cost, performance/quality, etc.). Additionally, it uses resources that are specifically allocated to the work of the project and usually involves a team of people

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: not reviewed, did not meet prior pass/fail criteria

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored.

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Yost Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: To upgrade existing food grade sunflower oil processing equipment DATE: May 1, 2024

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry **Name of RFA Coordinator:** Michelle Webb **Names of Evaluators**: Gus Nelson, Jane Kirsling, Joy Bonenfant, Andrew Kendall, Ellen Mailing

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.		Pass
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 		Fail
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N/A not scored	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> Available	<u>Points</u> Awarded
 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	7	N/A

5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested,		
or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.		
a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.	3	N/A
6) Maine RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
 section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. II. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). III. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	10	N/A
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more		
of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency	5	N/A
 Projects that support the development of value-added 		IN/A
products available to consumers.		
Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add		
options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);		
ii. Workforce/economic impact		

111.	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises 		
	 Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value- added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		
8) Benef	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demons	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
section.			
a. b.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the- supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	5	N/A
9) Expec	cted Performance Measures		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative		
	nd the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected		
	nce Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	5	N/A

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant 	5	N/A
and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.		
11) Community Impact		
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	10	N/A
 12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. b. The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. d. The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. 	15	N/A

f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure		
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure		
Letter an	d supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the		
Applicati			
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work,		
_	including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business		
	relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and		
	infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be		
	successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical		
	Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format	5	N/A
	outlined in the RFA.	Ū	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and		
	infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a		
	Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen,		
	and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities.		
	Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in		
	working condition at the time of application submission. The Form		
	must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in place and		
	committed prior to the start date of the Project.		
14) Budo	et Completeness		
, .	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and	10	N/A
u.	mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative		
	and the proposed Project activities.		
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template		
	ections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as		
u.	described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General		
	Terms and Conditions		
h	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and	10	N/A
	articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors,		
	the budget sections reconcile.		
Ь	The budget section includes a complete description of and		
u.	justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the		
	Project.		
			1

е.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the			
	Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.			
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and			
	performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the			
	degree to which the Project represents a good return for the			
	investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work			
	and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the			
	expected outcomes and objectives.			
16) Matching Funds				
* Demons	* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget			
Match Wo				
a.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds			
	Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and			
	adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds			
	documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will	5	N/A	
	be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended	-		
	for an award.			
b.	All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated			
	match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and			
	signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the			
	eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to			
17) Applic	this project.			
17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.				
	oplication and all required documents are complete and adhere to the	5	N/A	
	outlined in the RFA.			
TOTAL		<u>100</u>	N/A	

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Yost Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: To upgrade existing food grade sunflower oil processing equipment DATE: May 1, 2024

Preliminary Information (Eligible Entity)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass with comment

The Applicant indicated that they are an agricultural producer or processor but did not select whether the entity is a for-profit meeting SBA guidelines or nonprofit organization. If awarded, further clarification and documentation is needed.

Preliminary Information (Eligible Project Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: Fail

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Narrative Summary. The applicant did not identify any proposal for a Project that meets the RFA definition of a project.

The applicant did not adhere to RFA formatting requirements to submit application materials in .pdf format, making it difficult for reviewers to assess the materials submitted.

The application submitted a USDA equipment-only template, which was not requested, provided or referenced in this Project RFA.

The applicant requested an amount under the minimum RFA threshold for a Project and therefore the request is ineligible to be determined to be an eligible RFSI Project per the RFA.

The applicant did not submit the required USDA RFSI Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template or the Maine RFSI Application Form. Due to this, the applicant did not identify a proposal for a RFSI Project that meets the RFA definition of a project.

The applicant did not propose a definitive beginning and end on their application materials.

From RFA Part 2 Section A:

Projects are different from other ongoing operations in an organization because, unlike operations, projects have a limited duration with **a definitive beginning and end.** A

RFA #: 202403071 RFA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER: Yost Farm LLC PROJECT NAME: To upgrade existing food grade sunflower oil processing equipment DATE: May 1, 2024

Project will also have an overarching goal that the applicant wants to accomplish through a series of individual activities or tasks.

The applicant requested funding for equipment only and did not request funds for any Project related expenditures. They are encouraged to apply to the Maine RFSI Equipment-only RFA anticipated to open in October 2024.

From RFA Part 2 Section A: A Project is defined as a set of interrelated tasks with a cohesive, distinct, specified, and defined goal. It follows a planned, organized approach over a fixed period and within specific limitations (cost, performance/quality, etc.). Additionally, it uses resources that are specifically allocated to the work of the project and usually involves a team of people

Preliminary Information (Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed)

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.

The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

Evaluation Team Comments: not reviewed, did not meet prior pass/fail criteria

EVALUATION SECTION Evaluation of Scoring Sections

Evaluation Team Comments: n/a not scored.

STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farm on Bremen Rd., LLC DBA Tops'I Farm DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	

STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farm on Bremen Rd., LLC DBA Tops'I Farm DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farm on Bremen Rd., LLC DBA Tops'I Farm DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Ρ
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Bene produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	·
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	Q. Current activities seem to be
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	focused on events, not processing. Project would appear to be a new venture / startup. Don't see experience.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	No specificity about products.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Ρ

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farm on Bremen Rd., LLC DBA Tops'I Farm DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

14) Budget Completeness * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. Ρ **a.** The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 15) Budget Narrative and Justification * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. **d.** The budget section includes a complete description Q of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. **f.** The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Ρ
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Р
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	
18)	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described 	PASS
in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.	
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or 	
 Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	P- detailed narrative in RFSI application form
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P- covered in RFSI Maine application form p.6

6) Maine RFSI	Priorities	
* Demonstrated Project Narrativ	<i>I in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> ve section.	
will ac	pplication clearly articulates how the Project Idress one or more of the Maine RFSI tructure Grant priority areas.	
i.	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	P- covered in RFSI Maine application form p.6
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
iii.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	P- covered in RFSI Maine application form p.7
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

1 -	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q- do women count as under served populations
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- clearly described on p. 7 of Maine RSFI and on USDA form p 6 +7
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	P- clearly articulated with letters of support
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	P- clearly stated in Maine RFSI p.8 and USDA p. 5

12) Proje 	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness			
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.			
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.			
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).			
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	P- clear, viable and feasible project. Capable visionary team. Q- no mention of specific product lines simply shelf stable		
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	p		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.			
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.			

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P- letter included and well documented

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	P- completed. Details appreciated. appears accurate.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	P- detailed and complete.

 <i>RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.</i> a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a 	P- attached and complete
 including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the 	P- complete

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Ρ
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	F
2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Р

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Positive.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Positive.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Positive.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Positive.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Bene produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Varrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	12 female-led farms but gender not included in group via RFA. Committed to prioritizing female and BIPOC-owned operations.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Positive.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Positive. LOS from Clara Coleman, Waldoboro town manager, fellow farmer Razorville Ridge Farm
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Positive. Looking to go from 22 to
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	over 50 farms.
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

40) Droid	of Vishility Fassibility and Passings	
12) Proje	ct Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Timeline is well-researched and ambitious. Well planned. They seem shovel-ready.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

12) Evide	and of Critical Infractructure	
	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	The letter includes town permits access to lines for electricity and water, supplying additional
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	labor, a shared kitchen, and an extra building lease if needed at zero dollars. Includes lease info and diagram.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Positive. Error free.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether 	Positive. Demonstrated and justified.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	Positive. Match Verification Letter is included. Budget is included.
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Environmental prescreen, narrative, litigation, debarment, cover page
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	The UEI is in process as stated on the application.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure 	
 Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	The project will allow 40 products in 10 categories of Maine based value added products. Need to double check that this will not be direct to consumer.
 i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Although the applicant says product formulations account for more than 67%, they state they are a flexible brand. Need more information.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farm on Bremen Rd LLC DBA Tops'I Farm DATE: 5/03/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The applicant states that their project The on-farm facilities to receive • aligns with the multi-purpose upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations storage/processing facility to support must be facilities that enhance middleprocessing and packing of-the-food-supply chain activities and improvements, value added not production-related activities. processing, storage, and distribution. The application meets the stated ii. Projects that support processing and requirements. packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	The application aligns with "Projects that expand value added capacity and increase diversity in processing options. The project also aligns with "benefits underserved or underrepresented food producers" although more information is needed on how this would be achieved.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

produ * Demon Project N	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food icers. strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	a. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
* Demons Narrative	cted Performance Measures strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	 a. The application is lacking details on the metrics that will be used to measure the progress of the progress. b. The application meets the requirements.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and 	A. The application meets the requirements.
support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	a. The application meets the
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	requirements.
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	a. The application meets the
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	requirements. b. The application meets the requirements. c. The application meets the requirement. d. The application partially meets the requirement, it was not clear how the business would be sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The application meets the requirements. f.The application meets the requirements.
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastruc	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	a. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	requirements. c. Need to double check this for cash donation. d.The application partially meets the requirements. Although there is support for the town permits they are not in place.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farm on Bremen Rd LLC DBA Tops'I Farm DATE: 5/03/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	a. The application meets the requirements.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	 A. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements. c. The application meets the requirements. D. The application meets the requirements. e. The application meets the requirements. f. The application meets the requirements. f. The application meets the requirements.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farm on Bremen Rd LLC DBA Tops'I Farm DATE: 5/03/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

	1
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	 A. The application verifies that there will be a cash match split over the duration of the project. The match budget meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	For the USDA outcomes the N/A boxes are unchecked.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Р
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7)	USDA RFSI Priorities		
	* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
	 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 		
	 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Р	
	 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	3 Letters of Support
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P

14) Budg	14) Budget Completeness		
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 		Р	
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification		
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with 			
	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u>		
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Р	
	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.		
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	<u>P-100%</u>
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	<u>P</u>
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Ρ
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

-	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
To Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	·
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Р
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.	
 The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships. 	
b. The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	Q. Unsure if building which will
d. Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	 Chistre in building which will house extruder will be ready. It's completely appears to be contingent on raising funds.

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Ρ
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee	trated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of	
b.	this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description	Ρ
e.	of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q
17) Application Completeness	
* <i>Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.</i>	Q
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Р
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Ρ
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benefit to Underserved producers.	or Underrepresented food	
* Demonstrated in the Mair Project Narrative section.	e RFSI Application Form	
will directly benefit ranchers, new and veteran producers of-the-supply chair disadvantaged ind	early articulates how the Project underserved farmers and beginning farmers and ranchers, or processors or other middle- businesses owned by socially ividuals including Local Food ice (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
Applicant has dem	ing to serve these entities, the onstrated involvement of those developing the proposed cation.	
9) Expected Performance	Measures	
	RFSI Application Form Project DA Infrastructure Grant Proposal nce Measures Section.	
purpose, deliverab performance meas description of the g completed during t	oughly articulated the Project's les, expected outcomes, and sures. The Applicant provided a general tasks/activities to be he project period to fulfill the deliverables, expected outcomes, neasures.	Ρ
predetermined indi Capacity in the mic local/regional food Increase economic producers and pro provide quantifiabl	t identify at least one of the icators listed under Outcome 2: ddle of the supply chain for products OR Outcome 3: viability of local/regional cessors. The Applicant must e expected outcomes (expected n the Project's projects scope of	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Р
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evidenc	ce of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastructu	rated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and ure Letter and supported by any forms and/or submitted with the Application.	
the	ne Applicant has demonstrated experience with e proposed work, including past Projects and/or opropriate staff/business relationships.	
res	ne Application provides evidence that the critical sources and infrastructure that are necessary for e proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
of	ne Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and Theres to the format outlined in the RFA.	O Upsure if building which will
res the pla fac sh res str pla ap cri co pla	pplicants must submit evidence that critical sources and infrastructure necessary to support e initiation and completion of a Project are in ace. Critical resources and infrastructure can be cilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, nared-used kitchen, and/or other essential esources for the proposed project activities. Land, ructures, and other critical resources must be in ace and in working condition at the time of oplication submission. The Form must indicate the itical resources necessary for initiating and ompleting the project and certify that they are in ace and committed prior to the start date of the roject.	Q. Unsure if building which will house extruder will be ready. It's completely appears to be contingent on raising funds.

14) Budg	jet Completeness	
Proposa	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet.	
a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification	
	trated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et.	
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	
е.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds		
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.		
 All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 		
17) Application Completeness		
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.		
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 		

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	P- very detailed
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P- meets standard

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	P- clearly articulated
 Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). 	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	P- clearly articulated
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	P- mentioned in proposal
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q- did not specifically outline deliverables, outcomes and performance measures
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P- demonstrated Q letter from sales manager may be self-serving
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	P- documented
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	r - documenteu
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P-</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

40) E: -!	and of Oritical Infractoria	
13) EVId	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P- form is detailed Q- if certification box is checked

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	P- form appears correct and complete Q- see comment on match.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected 	P- budget complete and well justified

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. 	Q- reduced match percentage, not sure if business qualifies
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	P- forms and supporting documents
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Р
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	Good case for not being alone in having food-grade byproducts that warrant their value to build a more resilient food system. Blueberry pomace, seaweed steam okara from tofu.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Over 75% Maine grown <u>.</u>

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Positive.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 		
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Positive.	
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		MG is woman-owned.
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	MG buys from Liberation Farms. MG is an LFPA partner and meets the socially disadvantaged processor definition. Checked every box under Project Beneficiaries Veteran Producers but no follow-up. 400 Beneficiaries.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Positive. Recipes tested on the Cornell machine in NY.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder S	Support	
Project Narrative Grant Proposal T	n the Maine RFSI Application Form section and the USDA Infrastructure emplate External Project Support submitted letters of support.	
support effective support. that supp than the project).	lication clearly demonstrates stakeholder for the Project. Successful applicants will ly demonstrate community impact and Applicants must describe the stakeholders port the need for the Project and why (other Applicant and organizations involved in the Up to three letters of support are ged and may be assigned points.	Positive. 3 LOS: Diggables, Crooked Face, and extruder maker company.
11) Community In	npact	
Project Narrative Grant Proposal Te	n the Maine RFSI Application Form section and the USDA Infrastructure emplate External Project Support submitted letters of support.	
	lication clearly demonstrates community ncluding labor and workforce rations.	Skowhegan was identified as low income as defined by censure rats. MG employs 20 people and expects
w st	he review team will consider the degree to hich the Project directly addresses a pecific gap or barrier within Maine's local nd regional food supply chain.	to hire 15 more. Purchases from over 40 small farms and 200 plus Somali Bantu farmers in Maine.
рі	he Application articulates the specific farms, rocessors, food businesses and community takeholders the Project will benefit.	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Positive. MG is well-connected and has received many grants to support its efforts.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Positive.

4) Budget Completeness	
 Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Positive. No errors.
5) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables 	No errors. All of their match is in year two. Positive.

	1	
16) Matching Funds		
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. 	Positive. Match Verification Letter is included. Budget is included.	
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 		
17) Application Completeness		
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Positive. Environmental prescreen, narrative, litigation,	
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	debarment, cover page	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements. ,
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	The application describes how middling grains are wasted due to not having the equipment to turn them into a value-added product. This project will solve this challenge and add improved/additional sales channels.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The application states that ingredients in the project are 75% grown, harvested and produced.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Maine Grains, Inc. DATE: 5/06/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

- **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.
 - i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.
 - The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.
 - **ii.** Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).
 - Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

a. The project describes the expanded capacity for aggregation, processing, manufacturing, storing, transporting, wholesaling, and distribution of Maine-produced food products. Dairy is mentioned in here, that is an unallowable cost.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	A. The project expands processing capacities, adds product lines, increases production volumes, and supports new wholesale and retail markets.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Bene	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food	
producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	 a. The business is woman-owned and is an LFPA producer partner. The business also supports Liberation Farms. b. The application demonstrates support from a woman-owned business and the manufacturer of the equipment to be bought.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application meets the stated
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	requirements.

-			
10) Stakeholder	r Support		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.			
suppo effectiv suppo that su than th project	pplication clearly demonstrates stakeholder rt for the Project. Successful applicants will vely demonstrate community impact and rt. Applicants must describe the stakeholders upport the need for the Project and why (other ne Applicant and organizations involved in the t). Up to three letters of support are raged and may be assigned points.	The application meets the stated requirements and has 3 project stakeholders' support letters. The need for the project is described.	
11) Community	Impact		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.			
impac	pplication clearly demonstrates community t including labor and workforce lerations.	a. The application clearly states the	
i.	The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain.	community impact including labor and workforce considerations.	
ii.	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Maine Grains, Inc. DATE: 5/06/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.

- **a.** The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.
- **b.** The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).
- **c.** The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.
- **d.** The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.
- e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.
- **f.** The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.

- a. The application meets the stated requirements.
- b. The application start and end dates are reasonable.
 - c. The application meets the stated requirements.
- d. The application meets the stated requirement. It is not clearly stated that the entity will be viable, but given the data in
- other categories, there is evidence that it will be.
- e. The application meets the stated requirements.
- f. The application meets the stated requirements.

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure			
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.			
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.		
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	a. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application meets the	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	stated requirements. c. The application meets the stated requirements. d. The application meets the stated requirements.	

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected 	 A. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application meets the stated requirements. c. The application meets the stated requirements. d. The application meets the stated requirements. e. The application meets the stated requirements. f. The application meets the stated requirements.

16) Motobing Eurodo	
16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	a. A woman-owned business does not qualify for the match reduction. b. The match must be greater than stated.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	The application meets the stated requirements.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Р

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Ρ
 Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). 	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA R	RFSI Priorities
	rated in the Maine RFSI Application Form rrative section.
	lication clearly articulates how the Project will one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities
	 xpand value-added product capacity and esiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);
ii. V.	 Vorkforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers;
iii. D	 Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers.

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	P
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	<u>3 letters of support</u>
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Box not check on Evidence of Critical Resource letter

14) Budg	14) Budget Completeness		
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 		Ρ	
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification		
Template Workshee	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of		
b.	this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed		
	in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	Р	
	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.		
	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.		
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matchin Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	р Р
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Р

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Bouchard Family Farm Products DATE: 5/3/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andy Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive • upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-Ρ of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Bouchard Family Farm Products DATE: 5/3/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andy Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural Ρ communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing • options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P

14) Budget Completeness		
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Ρ
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et. The Application demonstrates compliance with	
a.	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u>	
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Р
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	P
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Q
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	
18)	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS Q- did not check second box as a for profit entity.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	P- applicant's project meets market demand challenges Q- project requires additional expert staffing to be retained which could be challenging in the location.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Fulfills requirement

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	P- Project clearly articulates on farm facility infrastructure improvements.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Project clearly articulates multiple USDA RSFI priorities
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Bene produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	P- Project has direct benefit to Aroostook County. N- Project does not identify as a historically underserved entity.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- Applicant thoroughly articulated Project and activities. Applicant identified multiple indicators under Outcome 2. Q -applicant may have underestimated the number of local agricultural producers who could benefit from storage and distribution capacity.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P- Applicant demonstrates support from a variety of stakeholders.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	P- Applicant demonstrates support to address a growing market demand in Maine with specific growers and buyers.
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	N- applicant does not identify workforce considerations for community impact
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	P- Proposal meets criteria
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.	
 a. The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships. 	
b. The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	P. applicant provides evidence
d. Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P- applicant provides evidence Q- applicant did not check box on the form

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	P- Project total matches on both forms
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	P- budget is detailed and comprehensive

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	P- applicant submitted an error- free Matching Funds Verfication letter and budget match worksheet.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	N- Budget was not submitted as a pdf
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	а ра:

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Pass
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form 	
Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Positive. 20 x 56-foot addition for production and packaging, a second mill. Increase storage from 4 to 12 pallets of buckwheat. Increase milling from 500 to 1000 pounds per hour. Less frequent removal of byproduct.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Positive: 100% Maine-grown Buckwheat so over 67%.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc DATE: 5/3/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve Positive. Aligned well. New building 20 x 56 middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. New configuration Triple amount of storage of pallets. The on-farm facilities to receive • upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. Processing and hiring. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited Storage, distribution improvement of personnel to assist with project processing. implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermentina). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

EVALUATION DEL ANTIMENT. Department of Agriculture, e	,
7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Positive. I: expand capacity and resiliency, small business, gluten free II: will pay fair wages of 16 and 19 per hour for part time III: rural Northern Maine Roy's is veteran owned Buckwheat is a 2024 Food Trend
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Positive. Will benefit six underserved farmers. The area is at risk according to the Distressed Communities Index
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P. See section XIV: Planned Data Collection Analysis and Reporting which is part of the Narrative. Multiple measures checked.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	Pounds milled per hour goes up Pallet storage goes up Byproduct relocation less frequent

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc DATE: 5/3/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Positive. Section and any submitted letters of support. Bouchards is the primary source of buckwheat for Better with Buckwheat **a.** The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will 3 LOS: Better with Buckwheat. effectively demonstrate community impact and Diggables, Roy Farms in Fort Kent. support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders Well integrated in the community and that support the need for the Project and why (other the state. than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. **11) Community Impact** * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support** Section and any submitted letters of support. **a.** The Application clearly demonstrates community Positive. impact including labor and workforce Currently, Bouchards can not keep considerations pace with demand for buckwheat. With expansion, other Fort Kent i. The review team will consider the degree to farmers and farmland can be which the Project directly addresses a converted to buckwheat production. specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demon Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	Positive. Can begin securing specialized equipment. Already begun with
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	2 nd mill for 35K. The project will right-size reasonably.
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	"Increased capacity will fuel demand." Expand to other farms in the
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	area. "Will expand market potential." "Ensure economic sustainability post-award."
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	Shovel ready has been shown.
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	Positive. Building to be constructed on the existing parking lot, same electrical
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	power hookup, no upgrade needed. Budget has demolition which may be for the parking lot area, not the demolition of a building, more of a configuration.

14) Budget	Completeness	
Proposal Te Match Work a. Th co alig	ated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant emplate budget sections and the RFSI Budget sheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly mplete and mathematically correct. The budgets gn with the budget narrative and the proposed oject activities.	Positive. No errors.
15) Budget	Narrative and Justification	
Template bud Worksheet. a. Th all thi CC b. Th the in C. Th ac d. Th of fur e. Th rev de Ot f. Th wo	ted in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal dget sections and the RFSI Budget Match he Application demonstrates compliance with owable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of s RFA and the USDA General Terms and onditions he budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for e Project and articulate how category costs listed the budget are derived. he budget sections are free of mathematical and counting errors, the budget sections reconcile. he budget section includes a complete description and justification for each cost including how it thers the objectives of the Project. he budget justification provides enough detail for viewers to easily understand how costs were termined and how they relate to the Project bigectives and Expected Outcomes. he budget demonstrates a relationship between ork planned and performed to the costs incurred. he review team will consider the degree to which	Positive. Looks good.
the inv the an	e Project represents a good return for the vestment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether e Project work and cost estimates (deliverables d budget) are reasonable for the expected tcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Positive. Match Verification Letter is included. Budget is included. Invoices included. OK to use a Canadian equipment company.
17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. 	Negative includes not selecting NA or critical infrastructure box.
a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.	Positive includes Environmental prescreen, narrative, litigation, debarment, cover page all included.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	The application partially meets the stated requirements.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The project is to construct an addition to the processing manufacturing floor to double production capacity. It meets eligibility requirements. The project is to construct an addition to the processing manufacturing floor to double production capacity. It meets eligibility requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: i. the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	The project of adding production, packaging space, and increasing storage space will double the farm's capacity to process buckwheat flour from 500 lbs an hour to 1000 lbs an hour, increase storage capacity of packaged flour from 4 to 12 pallets. There is growing demand from wholesale customers projected and this project will enable Bouchard to meet the demands. Additional employees will be hired due to this project.
 5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc. DATE: 5/02/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive • upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations The project will achieve on-farm must be facilities that enhance middlefacility infrastructure improvements, of-the-food-supply chain activities and support processing and storage improvements. The application not production-related activities. meets the stated requirements. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	The project expands value added product capcaity, adds jobs, diversifies processing infrastructure because of it's location in northern rural Maine, and increases production of buckwheat flour.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

-	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Bouchard Family Farm does not identify as a historically underserved entity as defined by the USDA.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	The application meets all of the requirements. There are 3 outcomes explained. There are indicators selected under both outcomes 2 and
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	3.

10) Stakeholde	r Support		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.			
suppo effecti suppo that su than th projec	pplication clearly demonstrates stakeholder rt for the Project. Successful applicants will vely demonstrate community impact and rt. Applicants must describe the stakeholders upport the need for the Project and why (other ne Applicant and organizations involved in the t). Up to three letters of support are raged and may be assigned points.	There are 3 letters of support. The application meets the requirements in both the project narrative section and the USDA external support section.	
11) Community	Impact		
Project Narrativ Grant Proposal	in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section and the USDA Infrastructure Template External Project Support y submitted letters of support.		
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 		Gluten-free buckwheat flour is popular and rising in demand according to several sources in the	
i.	The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain.	application. The use of a neighboring farm will increase the farmer's soil health.	
ii.	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.		

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Bouchard Family Farm Products, Inc. DATE: 5/02/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.

- **a.** The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.
- **b.** The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).
- **c.** The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.
- **d.** The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.
- e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.
- **f.** The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.

a. The application meets the requirements. The budget is well thought out. b. The end date is ambitious. but it fits within the acceptable project performance dates. c. The application meets the requirements. d. The application does not talk about sustainability after the funding is completed but does address the projected need for the project's proposed activities and services. e.The application meets the requirements. f. The application meets the requirements.

12) Evid	ance of Critical Infractructure	
	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	a. The application meets the requirements. I think it is wise
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	that they are hiring an accountant to help out with the costs of the project. b. There is a letter included that
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	outlines the business plan and the existing infrastructure that will support the plan. c. The box is not checked at the bottom. d. The applicant included a letter about owning the processing facility outright. The box is not checked at the bottom.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructor Proposal Template budget sections and Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is complete and mathematically complete and mathematicall	the RFSI BudgetThe budget meets requirements in both the USDA template and the RFSI budget match worksheet.thoroughly rect. The budgets
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Template budget sections and the RFSI Bud Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates concentrates and the Application demonstrates of allowable costs as described in Ferrit this RFA and the USDA General Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the Project and articulate how can in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of reaccounting errors, the budget section includes a construction for each cost if furthers the objectives of the Project of and justification for each cost if furthers the objectives of the Project existence of the budget justification provides reviewers to easily understand heatermined and how they relate the Objectives and Expected Outcom f. The budget demonstrates a related work planned and performed to the Project represents a good relinvestment (money, time, etc.) as the Project work and cost estimation and budget) are reasonable for the outcomes and objectives. 	 get Match mpliance with art 2 Section D of Terms and A. The application meets requirements. b. The application meets the requirements, the budget lists all building costs and budgets for the duration of the project. c. The application meets the requirements. d. The application meets the requirements. f. The application meets the requirements. get Match

	1
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	 a. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements. In kind donations are in place.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	There were boxes unchecked in two forms.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Р
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Р
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Р
 ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). 	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Р
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	P – 3 Letters of Support provided.
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Р
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Ρ

14) Budg	get Completeness	
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		Ρ
a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et.	
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	P – No other funding received P – Budget adds up, all costs are
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	allowable. P - In Kind is > 50% of total ask.
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected	
	outcomes and objectives.	

 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	P- matching > than required 50%
match of non-Hederal resources to this project.	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	N –box on Evidence of Critical Resource & Infrastructure not checked. And N/A boxes not
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	checked on Expectations.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms DATE: 5/8/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Р
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Р
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Р

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Ocean Approved, Inc. DBA Atlantic Sea Farms DATE: 5/8/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural Ρ communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing • options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

8) Bene produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Р
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Ρ

14) Budg	jet Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Q, budget not itemized by year
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee	The Application demonstrates compliance with	
	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Q
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q
17) Application Completeness	
* <i>Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.</i>	Q
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Individual Evaluator Comments
PASS
PASS
PASS

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	P- well documented
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P- addressed in proposal

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	P- clearly articulated
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	P= clearly articulated
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

produ * Demon Project N	Tit to Underserved or Underrepresented food ocers.strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section.The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially 	P- documented N- lacking mention of demonstrated involvement
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
* Demons Narrative	cted Performance Measures strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- thoroughly articulated
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P- clearly demonstrated
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	P- clearly demonstrated
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	P- well documented
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	nce of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastruc	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or its submitted with the Application.	
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P- provided

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	P- very detailed
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshed a. b. c. d.	 strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which 	P- very detailed and complete
	the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match 	
Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	P- well documented
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	P- complete
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Р
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	All Project activities are middle of supply chain activities; All of activities covered by this grant are to support improvements in middle-of-supply-chain work including freezing, packaging, frozen storage, processing, and food safety.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	More than 95% of all of their farmed kelp is farmed in Maine by partner farmers.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Atlantic Sea Farms DATE: 5/7/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

- **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.
 - i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.
 - The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.
 - **ii.** Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).
 - **iii.** Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

Applicant used USDA priorities instead of Maine priorities. More business operations focused.

 It expands value-added product capacity and resilience
 meets the
 workforce/economic impact priority
 only scaled processor of farmed kelp middle-of-the-supply chain
 processing infrastructure which turns kelp into popular value-added
 products.

RFA #: 202403071 **RFPA TITLE:** Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER NAME: Atlantic Sea Farms** DATE: 5/7/2024 **EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. • Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved

Develops improved and accessible value-added, nutrientdense, and traceable kelp products to US consumers.

It also helps to diversify coastal incomes by increasing fishermen's access to kelp farming in the face of rapid climate change and an increasingly volatile lobster monoculture.

producers.

,	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food	
produ	JCers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Says partners are new or beginning. Weak section.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Positive.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Atlantic Sea Farms DATE: 5/7/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** 30,000 lbs in 2018 and our partner Grant Proposal Template External Project Support farmers alone are now growing more than 1,000,000 lbs this year. Section and any submitted letters of support. Diversify the fishing community. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder Governor's Heritage Award as well support for the Project. Successful applicants will as many industry awards effectively demonstrate community impact and focused on climate leadership. support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other Maine Aquaculture Innovation Center, U of ME Coop Extension, than the Applicant and organizations involved in the Maine Aquaculture Association. project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. **11) Community Impact** * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce Positive. They have at least 20 new considerations. potential farmers reaching out each month - most of whom are fishermen i. The review team will consider the degree to looking to diversify into kelp. which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	VP of Operations used to lead \$200M+ manufacturing business. They have trialed all of the equipment,
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	clear plan on implementation that the entire production team contributed to. Are ready to implement this project
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	immediately. We have grown the kelp farmer network from 3 farmers in 2018 to 40 today.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.	
a. The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b. The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d. Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Positive. Letter included and the box has been checked.

14) Budge	et Completeness	
Proposal Match Wo a.	A strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Positive. Did not do the budget timeline with expenses in each year.
15) Budge	et Narrative and Justification	
Template I Worksheet a. b. c. d. e. f.	trated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match t. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	Positive. Personnel justification does not list dates.

 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a 	Positive. Match Verification Letter is included and details broken down.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Positive. Environmental prescreen, narrative, litigation, debarment, cover page are all included, except
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	budget timeline.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	<u>P-100%</u>

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 		
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Ρ	
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	P – new/beginning farmers
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P 4 Letters of Support (one not signed)
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Ρ
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	I-Budget adds up, years grant match will happen not provided. Match is \$1.50 more than necessary.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	Personnel justification does not list when activities will occur.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. 	<u>Mathematically correct but</u> match period not defined.
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	P
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc. DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Q. Identifies but doesn't explain more. No how.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities			
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.			
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities			
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 			
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Q. Identfies but doesn't explain how.		
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 			

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Varrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q. Involvement
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	·
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Р
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Ρ

14) Budget Completeness		
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed	Ρ
45) Puda	Project activities.	
15) Duut	et Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee		
	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u>	
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Р
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q. Not sure about match calculation
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Р
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS
 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	P-very detailed explanation of market impact and solving challenges, increasing capacity and resiliency

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P- meets criteria
6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	P- strong evidence
Project Narrative section.	

INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOT	Eð	
RFA #: 202403071		
RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program		
BIDDER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables		
EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling	d Community Development	
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic an		
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI 		
Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
Initastructure Grant phonty areas.		
i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility		
infrastructure improvements (including		
expansion and modifications to existing		
buildings and/or construction of new		
buildings at existing facilities) to resolve		
middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.		
The on-farm facilities to receive		
upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations		
must be facilities that enhance middle-		
of-the-food-supply chain activities and		
not production-related activities.		
ii. Projects that support processing and		
packaging improvements (including value-		
added processing, hiring term-limited		
personnel to assist with project		
implementation, co-packing and packaging		
investments, and processing, canning, and		
preserving as an area most in need of		
investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling,		
cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and		
fermenting).		
iii Drojecto that current stars and distribution		
iii. Projects that support storage and distribution		
improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space,		
and delivery and distribution investments).		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	P- clearly articulates. Benefit to	
	worker owned enterprise	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will	'	
address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		

RFA #: 202403071 **RFPA TITLE:** Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER NAME:** Maine Coast Sea Vegetables DATE: 5/05/2024 **EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling** EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers. 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. Q- uncertain if narrative includes * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form these designated groups Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project

		LU
	#: 202403071 A TITLE: Positiont Food Systems Infrastructure Progra	m
	A TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Progra DER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables	111
	E: 5/05/2024	
EVAI	_UATOR NAME: Ellen Maling	
EVA	_UATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar	d Community Development
	will directly benefit underserved farmers and	
	ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers,	
	veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially	
	disadvantaged individuals including Local Food	
	Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the	
	Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those	
	beneficiaries when developing the proposed	
	Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demon	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project	
	section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal	
Template	Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's	
	purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and	
	performance measures. The Applicant provided a	
	description of the general tasks/activities to be	
	completed during the project period to fulfill the	
	Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- clearly articulated
	·	
D.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2:	
	Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for	
	local/regional food products OR Outcome 3:	
	Increase economic viability of local/regional	
	producers and processors. The Applicant must	
	provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected	
	numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of	
10) Stak	work. eholder Support	
iuj Slaki		
* Demon	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	
Project N	Arrative section and the USDA Infrastructure	P- well documented
	oposal Template External Project Support	
Section a	and any submitted letters of support.	
a.	The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder	
a	The Application orderly demonstrates statemonder	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Progra BIDDER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	P- critical infrastructure need for
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	growing industry
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	
12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.	P- well documented
a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and	

RFA ;	#: 202403071	
RFPA	A TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Progra	m
	ER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables	
DATE	: 5/05/2024	
EVAL	UATOR NAME: Ellen Maling	
EVAL	UATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar	d Community Development
	Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is	
	clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed	
	Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of	
•	planning and readiness to implement the proposed	
	Project.	
Ь	The Project will improve the Applicant's business	
di di	resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon	
	these efforts after the Project is completed. The	
	Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances	
	that the entity will viable and economically	
	sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
0	The specific Project outcomes will increase the	
C.	viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such	
	as: workforce capability, workforce safety,	
	operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or	
	wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to	
••	report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate	
	investment impact.	
	investment impact.	
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Domo-	atratad in the Evidence of Oritical Decourses and	
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and	
	cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or	
aocumer	nts submitted with the Application.	
~	The Applicant has domenstrated experience with	P- complete
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with	
	the proposed work, including past Projects and/or	
	appropriate staff/business relationships.	
h	The Application provides evidence that the critical	
D.	The Application provides evidence that the critical	
	resources and infrastructure that are necessary for	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Progra BIDDER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar	m
 the proposed Project to be successful are in place. c. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. d. Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project. 	
 14) Budget Completeness * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	P- budget complete and accurate
 15) Budget Narrative and Justification * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. 	P- budget justification complete

		L3	
	RFA #: 202403071 REDA TITLE: Regilient Food Systems Infrastructure Brogram		
	RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables		
	E: 5/05/2024		
	LUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling		
	LUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar	d Community Dovelopment	
	LOATOR DEPARTMENT. Department of Economic an		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with		
	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of		
	this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u>		
	Conditions		
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for		
	the Project and articulate how category costs listed		
	in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and		
	accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.		
d.	The budget section includes a complete description		
	of and justification for each cost including how it		
	furthers the objectives of the Project.		
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for		
	reviewers to easily understand how costs were		
	determined and how they relate to the Project		
	Objectives and Expected Outcomes		
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between		
	work planned and performed to the costs incurred.		
	The review team will consider the degree to which		
	the Project represents a good return for the		
	investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether		
	the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected		
	outcomes and objectives.		
16) Mate	hing Funds		
10) Mate	ning i unus		
* Demon	strated in the Match Verification Letters and the		
	dget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching		
	Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match		
	Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the	D. match latter complete	
	format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds	P- match letter complete	
	documentation must demonstrate that all matching		
	contributions will be committed or secured at the		
	time the Applicant is recommended for an award.		
h	All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a		
	25% graduated match of the total project cost.		
	Applicants must submit written and signed		
l			

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development

P- application complete

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Р

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Positive.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	100% farmed seaweed of 100% Maine origin.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive • upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations Maine alignment supports must be facilities that enhance middleprocessing and packaging eg milling, of-the-food-supply chain activities and of and improving the middle of the supply chain - aquaculture. Could not production-related activities. have used more articulation. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Expand value-added product capacity and resilience, diversity of processing infrastructure, Projects that offer more and better opportunities. Need more articulation.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Beginner farmer? Identified multiple. This was the only category.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Positive. I like the inclusion of the bar chart on page 7 with tasks and dates.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	LOSs included. Could add more demonstration of support.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Positive. Page 8- burst bubble with oversupply of raw material and undersupply of value-added processing capacity. Based on 50 years of experience in the seaweed industry we believe in milling capacity for high-value powders.
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

* Demon Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters, I	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the	
••	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Positive. MCSV owns all prerequisite equipment and has the space. Quotations are in hand (page 8)
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	powdered kelp has high value
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.	
 The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships. 	
b. The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d. Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Positive. Specifies details.

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	estrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Vorksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Negative. Travel budget is not accurate. But overall accurate.
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshe a. b. C.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description	Positive. Uses the term "project manager" and is dedicated to the
e.	of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	project.
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Positive. Match Verification Letter is included. Budget is included. Good details. Labor and finance staff are included.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Environmental prescreen, narrative, litigation, debarment, cover page included. Ineligible
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	start date.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	A. The application meets the requirements.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The application meets the requirements.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc. DATE: 5/04/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

- **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.
 - i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.
 - The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.
 - **ii.** Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).
 - Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

The application lacked details in the designated location but later explained in detail. Projects that support processing, packaging improvements, and demonstrate stakeholder support, and improve middle of the supply chain challenges are listed.

RFA #: 202403071 **RFPA TITLE:** Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Maine Coast Sea Vegetables, Inc. DATE: 5/04/2024 **EVALUATOR NAME:** Jane Kirsling **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact The application meets the stated • Projects that support proposals that provide requirements. Value added product fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job capacity and resiliency, diversity in opportunities that keep profits in rural processing options, and including those who may not have access to communities. value-added opportunities or Projects that offer family-supporting job processing are mentioned. quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. • Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

-	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	There is a question of whether farming a new product would designate a farmer as a beginner.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	requirements.

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastruct Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates staked support for the Project. Successful applicant effectively demonstrate community impact a support. Applicants must describe the stake that support the need for the Project and wh than the Applicant and organizations involve project). Up to three letters of support are 	nolder ts will Ind holders by (other
 encouraged and may be assigned points. 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastruct Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates commission impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degrader which the Project directly addresses specific gap or barrier within Maine's 	unity The application meets the requirements.

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	a. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements.
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	c. The application meets the requirements. d. The application meets the requirements.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	e. The application meets the requirements. f. The application meets the requirements.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

		Γ
13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	A. The application meets the requirements. b. There is a letter but no
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	evidence. c. The application meets the requirements. d. The application mentions land but does not provide further evidence.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	The application meets the requirements.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes. 	 A. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application meets the stated requirements. c. The application meets the stated requirements. d. The application meets the stated requirements. f. The application meets the stated requirements.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	a. The equipment is missing from the list. Fair value market pricing is also missing. b. The application meets the requirements.
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	The application meets the stated requirements.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Р
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfiguration must be facilities that enhance middl of-the-food-supply chain activities an not production-related activities. 	e-
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	n

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Ρ
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P

IH) DUUU	get Completeness					
,						
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant		N				
•	I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget	1,200	\$2.58	1	\$0.00	\$3,094.00
Match W	orksheet.	2	¢0,000		#0.00	#2<0.00
2	The Application budget section is thoroughly	3	\$36.00	1	\$0.00	\$360.00
a.	complete and mathematically correct. The budgets				ot mather	
	align with the budget narrative and the proposed	(correct	on the t	Grant tem	plate.
	Project activities.					
	-					
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification					
* Demons	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal					
	budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match					
Workshe	et.					
a	The Application demonstrates compliance with					
	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of					
	this RFA and the USDA General Terms and					
	Conditions					
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for					
	, , ,			١	١	
	•	1 200	\$2.58	1	\$0.00	\$3,094,00
C.	•	2,200	42.00	-	\$0100	40,07 1100
Ь		3	\$36.00	1	\$0.00	\$360.00
u.	•	Tra	vel Buc	laet is n	ot mather	matically
	,			•	Grant tem	•
e.	, ,					
	reviewers to easily understand how costs were					
	determined and how they relate to the Project					
	Objectives and Expected Outcomes.					
f.	S 1					
	•					
	outcomes and objectives.					
a. b. c. d. e.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected	Tra	vel Buc	1 1 Iget is n	\$0.00 \$0.00 ot mather	matically

 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	<u>N-Match letter Is not</u> mathematically correct
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	<u>P</u>

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Circle B Farms DATE: 5/3/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive • upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-Ρ of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermentina). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Ρ
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	·
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Q
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Q
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds		
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q	
17) Application Completeness		
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Q	
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 		

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	PASS
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Q- applicant did not select for profit entity box
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	P- proposal represents strong opportunity to facilitate middle of the supply chain resiliency. N- lacks specific details on increased sales channel or product loss values
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Meets eligibility

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	P- project addresses priorities
 Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). 	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	N-application does not articulate rationale for alignment with priorities.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	P- woman owned business N- does not explain rationale for benefit underserved farmers
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- section is complete and identifies pretermined indicators
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support		
To Stakeholder Support		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
a. The Application clearly demonst support for the Project. Success effectively demonstrate commun support. Applicants must describ that support the need for the Pro- than the Applicant and organiza project). Up to three letters of su encouraged and may be assigned	ful applicants will hity impact and be the stakeholders bject and why (other tions involved in the apport are	Q- application mentions that the business leases land for production but there are no letters of support from potential farmer partners
11) Community Impact		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
 a. The Application clearly demonst impact including labor and work considerations. 	-	P- application highlights opportunity for multiple crops N- does not address labor and
 The review team will cons which the Project directly specific gap or barrier wit and regional food supply 	addresses a hin Maine's local	workforce considerations
ii. The Application articulate processors, food busines stakeholders the Project	ses and community	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>N- unclear how business will</u> <u>provide the match funds for</u> <u>equipment purchases</u> <u>Q- a relatively new business</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

12) Evid	ance of Critical Infractructure	
* Demon Infrastru	ence of Critical Infrastructure strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	Q- applicant lacking
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	documentation of previous experience managing proposed work and the relationship

14) Budget Completeness		
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	P- budget appears to be aligned
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for	
C.	the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	N- contractor budget lacks detail between work plan and costs incurred Q- contractor budget is exactly for the upper limit of available funds for
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	project
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a 	Q- matching funds verification letter lacks documentation of source of funding
match of non-Federal resources to this project.	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	<u>P- complete</u>
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Pass
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	7x larger cold storage capacity. "This will help us reach operational scale." "We lost 35% of product last year due to lack of capacity."
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	100% brocolli

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Circle B Farms DATE: 5/3/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive • upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-All three – expansion to of-the-food-supply chain activities and infrastructure, support processing, support storage (4 to 12 pallets). not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Circle B Farms DATE: 5/3/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

- **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities
 - i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency
 - Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers.
 - Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);
 - ii. Workforce/economic impact
 - Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities.
 - Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers;
 - iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure
 - Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities.
 - Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises
 - Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

Positive.

b. i. 1 and 2 support the development of value-added products. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers.

Does the application clearly address how? Yes, but covered in other areas.

produ		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Owned by underserved food producers mainly Filipino women. Creates revenue for other farms. Applicant did not name the six farms or farmers.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expec	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Positive. Will double shipment per day. Waste will be reduced from 35% to 0%. Storage will increase 7 fold. Storage time will increase from 4 days to 2.5 weeks. Expand capacity, increase
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	pounds, extend length of time of storage.

Positive. Part of the agriculture food hub at the old Loring Air Force Base.	
Rollins Trucking is local transport. Native Maine, Boston Public Schools, Sysco and other groups receive the broccoli.	

12) Proie	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demon Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Harvesting Good has a proven record with 1 million pounds of broccoli processed last year. Relationships have been
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	proven.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	Letter from G4M. Part of the ag
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Letter from G4IM. Part of the ag hub. The structure used to be a bowling alley. Circle B is a fairly new farm, established in 2021.

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	A strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Vorksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Positive, no errors.
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshe a. b. C.	Strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Good complete description for the most part, match budget is all in year one.
	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. 	Positive. Match Verification Letter is included. Budget is included. Committed?
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Environmental prescreen, narrative, litigation, debarment,
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	cover page

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	The application meets the requirements of an Agricultural Producer.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The project start date is earlier than allowable.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The project would convert a former bowling alley into a cold storage facility for broccoli processing. It would also include the renovation of a leased building. A cold room will also be constructed near Circle B's broccoli fields. Both proposed deliverables meet criteria.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form 	
Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	A slight typo was found in paragraph iv. The need is clearly stated. Broccoli has a limited storage period, and this project will extend that time. There has been a loss of crops due to this issue. The project will extend storage time from 4 days to 2.5 weeks. This will prevent the loss of crops and allow them to sell to more producers in Maine and beyond. The application meets the stated
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	requirements.
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	This is a 100% Maine grown specialty crop.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Circle B Farms, LLC DATE: 5/2/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

- **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.
 - i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.
 - The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.
 - **ii.** Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).
 - **iii.** Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

There are three priorities listed:

- Storage and Distribution improvements
- Demonstrate Stakeholders
 Support
- Improved MOSC Challenge

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	One priority is listed: Expand value- added product capacity and resiliency.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Bene produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	The application states that the business is owned by majority Filipino woman and creates revenue streams for other farms from renting land.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The application thoroughly meets the requirements. b. The application lists indicators under both outcomes 2 and 3.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	under both outcomes 2 and 3.

10) Stakeholder S	upport	
Project Narrative s Grant Proposal Te	the Maine RFSI Application Form section and the USDA Infrastructure implate External Project Support ubmitted letters of support.	
support for effectively support. A that supp than the project).	ication clearly demonstrates stakeholder or the Project. Successful applicants will y demonstrate community impact and Applicants must describe the stakeholders ort the need for the Project and why (other Applicant and organizations involved in the Up to three letters of support are yed and may be assigned points.	There is a multitude of benefits to stakeholders and Maine communities. 3 letters of support from stakeholders are included.
11) Community Im	ipact	
Project Narrative s Grant Proposal Te	the Maine RFSI Application Form section and the USDA Infrastructure emplate External Project Support ubmitted letters of support.	
	ication clearly demonstrates community cluding labor and workforce ations.	The project addresses a gap in broccoli processing. The building that will be renovated is in Caribou. Also,
wł sp	e review team will consider the degree to hich the Project directly addresses a ecific gap or barrier within Maine's local d regional food supply chain.	nearby farmers benefit as Circle B Farms rents land from farmers to grow the broccoli.
pro	e Application articulates the specific farms, ocessors, food businesses and community akeholders the Project will benefit.	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Circle B Farms, LLC DATE: 5/2/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.

- **a.** The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.
- **b.** The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).
- **c.** The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.
- **d.** The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.
- e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.
- **f.** The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.

a. The application meets the requirements. b. The beginning date is earlier than allowable. The end date is within the allowable timeline. c. The application meets the requirements. d. The project will help alleviate the 35% crop loss due to a lack of cold storage. The stakeholders in the project state that there is a need for an increased supply of broccoli. e. The application meets the stated requirements. Food waste will decrease. f. The application meets the requirements.

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	a. The application meets the requirements. The letters of
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	support clearly define the working relationships and how the project will is supported. b. The application meets the
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	requirement with the lease from Green 4 Maine. c. The application is missing the exact template for this as outlined and included in the RFA. d. The application meets the requirements.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	The USDA template budget meets the requirements. The RFSI budget doesn't split the line items up for the cost of the new building.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	 A. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements. c. The application meets the requirements. D. Maine RFSI budget was not detailed by line item. e. The application meets the requirements. f. The application meets the requirements.

16) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	The RFSI Maine template was not submitted as a single file.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	5

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Р

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	<u>P- 100% broccoli</u>

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Р
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) US	DA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
	e Application clearly articulates how the Project will Iress one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
	 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
i	 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Р
i	 Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benet produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Varrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	 N – not clearly defined. 7. Increased cooling capacity extends market reach, increases revenue, which can be invested back into Circle B; expands partnerships
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P – 3 Letters of support plus support expressed in the lessor Critical Infrastructure letter Green 4ME
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	P
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	P – In kind contributions are allowable and add up correctly.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	P – 100% Match P – Free of math errors

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. 	<u>P- 100% Match provided in</u> equipment – not purchased in time to match though.
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	<u>P</u>
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: William H. Jordan Farm, LLC DATE: 5/7/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Individual Evaluator Scoring Criteria Comments 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI P	riorities	
* Demonstrated Project Narrative	in the Maine RFSI Application Form e section.	
will add	plication clearly articulates how the Project Iress one or more of the Maine RFSI ucture Grant priority areas.	
i e k	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Q. Not specific enough
	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
i	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: William H. Jordan Farm, LLC DATE: 5/7/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural Ρ communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q. Charitable food ineligible.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

Ρ
Р
r

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Р
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Ρ

14) Budget Completeness		
Proposal Template buc Match Worksheet. a. The Applicatio complete and	USDA Infrastructure Grant dget sections and the RFSI Budget on budget section is thoroughly mathematically correct. The budgets budget narrative and the proposed es.	Р
15) Budget Narrative a	nd Justification	
Template budget section Worksheet. a. The Applicatio	SDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal is and the RFSI Budget Match on demonstrates compliance with is as described in Part 2 Section D of	
this RFA and t <u>Conditions</u>	the <u>USDA General Terms and</u>	
the Project and in the budget a		
•	ections are free of mathematical and rors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d. The budget se of and justifica	ection includes a complete description ation for each cost including how it pjectives of the Project.	ו P
 e. The budget just reviewers to e determined an 	stification provides enough detail for asily understand how costs were ad how they relate to the Project d Expected Outcomes.	
work planned The review tea the Project rep investment (m the Project wo	emonstrates a relationship between and performed to the costs incurred. am will consider the degree to which presents a good return for the oney, time, etc.) as well as whether ork and cost estimates (deliverables re reasonable for the expected objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q. Not sure proposed match is eligible.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Q
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	
18)	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure 	
<i>Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or</i> <i>Need that this Project will Address section.</i>	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	P- well documented approach
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P- meets standard

6) Maine RFSI	Priorities	
,	d in the Maine RFSI Application Form	
will ac	pplication clearly articulates how the Project dress one or more of the Maine RFSI tructure Grant priority areas.	
i.	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	P- meets requirements
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
iii.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	P- well documented
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benet produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	P- well documented
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expec	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- Extremely well documented on the Maine RSFI application form
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P- letters included	
11) Community Impact		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 		
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	P- addresses specific gap	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 		

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Marrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	P- well documented
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P- letter included

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	P- complete and appears to be correct
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	P- justification is detailed

16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q- produce included as a match cost
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	P- documents submitted
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Р
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	Reno and expansion of barn.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	100% Maine grown except dressings and jams. The applicant should have been more specific and guaranteed the % for all foods.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	ot
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges 	s.
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfiguration must be facilities that enhance mide of-the-food-supply chain activities a not production-related activities. 	dle- Multiple priorities are listed but not
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	g d
 iii. Projects that support storage and distributi improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	,

	,
7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Many listed but not fully articulated in this section. Use value-added language. Grow and processing season will harvest longer.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benet produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Liberation Farms a Somali Bantu operation and Cultivating Community. Mentions Mainers Feeding Mainers.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Positive. Thorough.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

(10) Ctokeholder Current	
10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the crand any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Positive. LOS from Liberation Farms, Six River Farm, Crown of Maine.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	Brief. Purchasing more, selling more volume, new and diff value added commodities, increasing the seasonality of work, more local food available, feeding throughout the year.
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	
12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	

Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of I Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	Brief. Seems shovel-ready. No utility upgrades are necessary.
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demon	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and	

	cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	Letter from builders stating they are ready when Jordan Farms is
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	ready.
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	
14) Budg	et Completeness	
Proposal	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet.	

a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Positive.
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification	
	trated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et.	
	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for	
D.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed	
C.	in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Positive. The narrative is good. No errors. Staffing.
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	
* Demon	hing Funds strated in the Match Verification Letters and the dget Match Worksheet.	Negative. Produce was included as match. A Match Verification Letter is included. Budget is included.

a.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	
b.	All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	
17) Appl	ication Completeness	
submitte		Positive. Environmental prescreen, narrative, litigation, debarment, cover page.
a.	The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	a. The application meets the stated requirements. A new wash/pack facility and storage will increase the middle of supply chain capacity and resiliency.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Question: Will the salad dressing and jams be excluded from the project?

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: William H Jordan Farm, LLC DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

- **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.
 - i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.
 - The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.
 - **ii.** Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).
 - Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

a. The application meets the requirements. The project includes on-farm facility improvements, supports value-added processing, and increases storage capacity, including cold storage and aggregation.

RFA #: 202403071 **RFPA TITLE:** Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER NAME:** William H Jordan Farm, LLC DATE: 5/05/2024 **EVALUATOR NAME:** Jane Kirsling **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide A. The application meets the fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job requirements. The project supports opportunities that keep profits in rural expanded value-added product capacity. The project will also offer communities. better safety for workers. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. • Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

produ * Demon	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers. strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section.	
-	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	a. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application meets the stated requirements.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

Project Narrativ Grant Proposal Section and an a. The A suppo effection support	r Support I in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section and the USDA Infrastructure Template External Project Support y submitted letters of support. pplication clearly demonstrates stakeholder ort for the Project. Successful applicants will vely demonstrate community impact and ort. Applicants must describe the stakeholders upport the need for the Project and why (other	The application meets the stated requirements.
projec encou	he Applicant and organizations involved in the et). Up to three letters of support are araged and may be assigned points.	
11) Community	Impact	
Project Narrativ Grant Proposal	I in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section and the USDA Infrastructure Template External Project Support y submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 		a. The application meets the stated
i.	The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain.	requirements.
11.	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: William H Jordan Farm, LLC DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. a. The application meets the **b.** The Project description of activities and purpose is stated requirements. clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed b. The application meets the Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). stated requirements. c. The application meets the c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of stated requirements. planning and readiness to implement the proposed d. The application meets the Project. stated requirements. e. The application meets the **d.** The Project will improve the Applicant's business stated requirements. resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon f. The application meets the these efforts after the Project is completed. The stated requirements. Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. f. The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	a. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application meets the
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	 b. The application meets the stated requirements. c. The application meets the stated requirements. d. The application meets the stated requirements.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	a. The application meets the stated requirements.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	 A. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application meets the stated requirements. c. The application meets the stated requirements. d. The application meets the stated requirements. e. The application meets the stated requirements. f. The application meets the stated requirements.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	 A. Produce is an unallowable matching cost. b. Produce is an unallowable cost, which wouldn't make the match 50% in this application.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	The application meets the stated requirements.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Р

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	<u>I – 100% other than dressings</u> <u>and jams is what % in total?</u> <u>Not clearly stated</u>

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and 	Identified but not clear specifics of
not production-related activities.	how.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Ρ
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	<u>3 letters of support</u>
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P

14) Budget Completeness			
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	I-Rounded up Other justification	
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification		
Template Workshee	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u>		
b.	<u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.		
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and	produce not allowed	
d.	accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it	(\$118k) – without that they do not meet match	
e.	furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.		
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.		

16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the	
 <i>RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.</i> a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	<u>N –. And personnel is</u> <u>matched in yrs 3 & 4.</u>
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	<u>P</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi LLC DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Individual Evaluator Scoring Criteria Comments 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Р
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi LLC DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural Ρ communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing • options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	Р
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness			
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).		
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Ρ	
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Q. No commitment by applicant

14) Budget Completeness	
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	Р
 a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Г
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions 	
b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
 d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. 	Р
e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
 f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected 	

RFA #: 202403071 **RFPA TITLE:** Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER NAME:** Farming Fungi LLC DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/6/2024 **EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

16) Matching Funds

* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the **RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.**

- **a.** The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Q. A major part of the match is Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the the lease payments on two format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds trucks over the project period. documentation must demonstrate that all matching Is that allowable? contributions will be committed or secured at the Project seems to be complete time the Applicant is recommended for an award. after the second year but lease and personnel expenses **b.** All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a continue for two more years. 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. **17) Application Completeness** * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. Q.
 - a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	The application meets the requirement.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	The application has limited detail. The problem is defined, but the solution is broad; more specific details are needed. More details are noted under Maine RFSI priorities.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The 25% of products grown on other facilities may need further verification on whether or not they meet the standard.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi LLC/ DBA: Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/03/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

- **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.
 - i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.
 - The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.
 - **ii.** Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).
 - Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

The application proposes infrastructure, processing, packaging, and storage and distribution improvements. The application meets the stated requirements.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Value-added product capacity, increasing community workforce, and market opportunities and revenue streams are listed. The application meets the stated requirements.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

produ * Demon Project N	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers. strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	a. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
* Demons Narrative	cted Performance Measures strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	 a. The application lists the deliverables and purpose but lacks detail on performance measures and expected outcomes. Data collection is further explained under planned data collection. b. The application meets the stated requirements.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

Project Narrative s Grant Proposal Te Section and any se a. The Appli support fo effectively	the Maine RFSI Application Form section and the USDA Infrastructure implate External Project Support ubmitted letters of support. ication clearly demonstrates stakeholder or the Project. Successful applicants will y demonstrate community impact and Applicants must describe the stakeholders	The application meets the stated requirements.
than the A project). I encourag	ort the need for the Project and why (other Applicant and organizations involved in the Jp to three letters of support are led and may be assigned points.	
11) Community Im	pact	
Project Narrative s Grant Proposal Te	the Maine RFSI Application Form section and the USDA Infrastructure mplate External Project Support ubmitted letters of support.	
	ication clearly demonstrates community cluding labor and workforce ations.	The application meets the stated
wh sp	e review team will consider the degree to nich the Project directly addresses a ecific gap or barrier within Maine's local d regional food supply chain.	requirements.
pro	e Application articulates the specific farms, ocessors, food businesses and community akeholders the Project will benefit.	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	 a. The application meets the requirements. b. The beginning date on the application is earlier than
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	allowable. c. The application meets the requirements. d. The application meets the
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	requirements. e.The application meets the requirements. f. The application meets the requirements.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	a. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets thre requirements
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	requirements. c. The application meets the requirements. d. The application meets the requirements via the match verification letter.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Question on the breakdown of funds throughout the years. Besides that the application meets stated requirements.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	 A. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements. c. The application meets the requirements. d. The application meets the requirements. e. The application meets the requirements. f. The application meets the requirements.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	a. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	The application meets the requirements.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	
<i>Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant</i> <i>Proposal Template.</i>	
 a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS
 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	P- well documented

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P- meets criteria
6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	P- well documented

RFA #: 202403071

RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/05/2024

EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project		
will address one or more of the Maine RFSI		
Infrastructure Grant priority areas.		
 i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 		
 ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). 		
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 		
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	P- well documented	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		

RFA #: 202403071

RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/05/2024

EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development

 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	
 8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers. * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. 2 The Application clearly articulates how the Project 	Q- uncertain if RPCV is considered veteran, and if women-owned businesses are considered socially disadvantaged
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. P—met requirement b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide runatifiable expected outcomes 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide runatifiable expected outcomes 4:		INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOT	ES	
BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must	RFA	RFA #: 202403071		
DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must	RFP/	RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program		
EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food producets OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must				
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must				
 will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle-of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.</i> a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 	EVAL		d Community Development	
 veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 b. For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must		Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.		
Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must		For projects intending to came these estitics, the		
 beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 	D.			
 Projects and Application. 9) Expected Performance Measures Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 		• •		
 9) Expected Performance Measures * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 	9) Expe	cted Performance Measures		
 Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section. a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 	* Demon	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project		
 a. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 	Template	Expected Performance Measures Section.		
 purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 	a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's		
 performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 				
 and performance measures. b. The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must 			D mot requirement	
predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must			P-met requirement	
predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must	h	The Applicant must identify at least one of the		
Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must				
local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must		•		
Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must				
producers and processors. The Applicant must		•		
		provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected		
numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of				
work.				
10) Stakeholder Support	10) Stake	eholder Support		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form				
Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure P- well documented P- well documented	-		P- well documented	
Grant Proposal Template External Project Support				
Section and any submitted letters of support.	Section a	and any submitted letters of support.		
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder	a.	The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder		

RFA #: 202403071	
RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Progra	
BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi DBA Mousam Valley Mush	rooms
EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar	d Community Development
support for the Project. Successful applicants will	
effectively demonstrate community impact and	
support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders	
that support the need for the Project and why (other	
than the Applicant and organizations involved in the	
project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	
encouraged and may be assigned points.	
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	
Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure	
Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates community	
impact including labor and workforce	
considerations.	
i. The review team will consider the degree to	P- well documented
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a 	
specific gap or barrier within Maine's local	
and regional food supply chain.	
ii. The Application articulates the specific farms,	
processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	
stakenoiders the rifugeot will benefit.	
40) During (Michillith, Erspeithillith, and Daradianae	
12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	
Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure	
Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support,	
the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification	P- well articulated
Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the	
Application.	
a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and	

RFA #: 202403071 **RFPA TITLE:** Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER NAME:** Farming Fungi DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/05/2024 **EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling** EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. **b.** The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project. **d.** The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. **f.** The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact. 13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure * Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application. Q- applicant did not provide letter citing dedication of space **a.** The Applicant has demonstrated experience with for project the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships. **b.** The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for

	INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES		
RFA #: 202403071			
RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Progra	m		
BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi DBA Mousam Valley Mush	rooms		
DATE: 5/05/2024			
EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling			
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar	nd Community Development		
the proposed Project to be successful are in place.			
a The Applicant has submitted an error free Evidence			
c. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence			
of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and			
adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.			
d. A sulling state second as showing as the statistical			
d. Applicants must submit evidence that critical			
resources and infrastructure necessary to support			
the initiation and completion of a Project are in			
place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be			
facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks,			
shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential			
resources for the proposed project activities. Land,			
structures, and other critical resources must be in			
place and in working condition at the time of			
application submission. The Form must indicate the			
••			
critical resources necessary for initiating and			
completing the project and certify that they are in			
place and committed prior to the start date of the			
Project.			
14) Dudget Completences			
14) Budget Completeness			
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant			
Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget			
Match Worksheet.			
Match Worksneet.	P- budget section appears		
	mathematically correct and		
a. The Application budget section is thoroughly	complete.		
complete and mathematically correct. The budgets			
align with the budget narrative and the proposed			
Project activities.			
15) Budget Narrative and Justification			
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal	P- extensive narrative provided		
Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.			
worksneet.			

RFA #: 202403071

RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farming Fungi DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms DATE: 5/05/2024 **EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling**

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development

	·	
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u>	
b.	<u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
c	The budget sections are free of mathematical and	
0.	accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
Ь	The budget section includes a complete description	
u .	of and justification for each cost including how it	
	furthers the objectives of the Project.	
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for	
	reviewers to easily understand how costs were	
	determined and how they relate to the Project	
	Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between	
	work planned and performed to the costs incurred.	
	The review team will consider the degree to which	
	the Project represents a good return for the	
	investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether	
	the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables	
	and budget) are reasonable for the expected	
16) Moto	outcomes and objectives.	
16) Watc	hing Funds	
	strated in the Match Verification Letters and the Iget Match Worksheet.	
a	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching	
	Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match	
	Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the	D. complete
	format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds	P- complete
	documentation must demonstrate that all matching	
	contributions will be committed or secured at the	
	time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	
h	All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a	
	25% graduated match of the total project cost.	
	Applicants must submit written and signed	

RFA #: 202403071

RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER NAME:** Farming Fungi DBA Mousam Valley Mushrooms **DATE:** 5/05/2024

EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development

	verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	
,	ication Completeness strated by all forms and supporting documents d.	Q- project narrative is 9 pages
a.	The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Р
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	As described in Part 2 Section D of the RFA.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Applicant lists 75%

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Positive.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 		
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Positive.	
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Gender is not a specified class. Spiller Farm is a veteran. Not clearly articulated.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Positive. Very detailed. Checked more than one performance measure.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Project Narrative section and the USDA Infras Grant Proposal Template External Project Su Section and any submitted letters of support.	structure pport
a. The Application clearly demonstrates a support for the Project. Successful app effectively demonstrate community im support. Applicants must describe the that support the need for the Project a than the Applicant and organizations in project). Up to three letters of support encouraged and may be assigned poin	Dicants will bact and stakeholders nd why (other nvolved in the areNative Maine, Maine Venture Fund, Maine Center for Entrepreneurs
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Project Narrative section and the USDA Infras Grant Proposal Template External Project Su Section and any submitted letters of support.	structure pport
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates of impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Positive. Good specifics.
 The review team will consider the which the Project directly addres specific gap or barrier within Ma and regional food supply chain. 	ne degree to sses a
 The Application articulates the s processors, food businesses ar stakeholders the Project will be 	d community

	at Vishility Faceibility and Deadinase	
* Demon Project N Grant Protect the RFSI Letters, I	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Positive in other parts but not noted in the correct section. The project seems shovel-ready when reading other parts
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	including budget.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Negative.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Positive. No errors.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected 	Positive. Complete and clear. The applicant changed the budget template.

	T
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Match Verification Letter is included. Budget is included. Issues with labor and truck leasing matching continuing past the project completion date.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Environmental prescreen, narrative, litigation, debarment, cover page. Issues with some
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	checkboxes.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Р
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Р

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Farm Fungi DATE: 5/04/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Joy Bonenfant EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact • Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural Ρ communities. • Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. • Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

8) Bene produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	P – veteran – Spiller Farm (women owned doesn't qualify nor does AmeriCorps).
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P 3 Letters of support
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Droid	10) Project Vichility, Faccibility, and Pacelinese		
* Demon Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).		
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>N – left blank on RFSI Cover</u> <u>Page – page 9 of .pdf</u>	
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	P
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	<u>P</u> <u>3 letters of support and</u> <u>demonstrated support in</u> <u>narrative</u>

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Ρ
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed	
	in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Ρ
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	<u>P</u>
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	<u>P</u> 9 pages of narrative not the
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	<u>max 5 required</u>

d	
Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Q
2) Eligible Project Proposed	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.	Ρ
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Р
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Megan O'Brien DBA Hall Brook Farm DATE: 5/8/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural Ρ communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing • options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Р
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Ρ

14) Budget Completeness		
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Q
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee	The Application demonstrates compliance with	
	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u>	
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Q
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	N, Food used as match
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Q
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	P-documented
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P- addressed

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Q- Maine RDFI application includes information but appears to have repurposed other USDA application language
 ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). 	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Q- Maine RDFI application includes information but appears to have repurposed other USDA application language
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benet produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Varrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q- information provided but not clearly articulated with details of demonstrated involvement
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- addressed
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

4	
ort	
Maine RFSI Application Form ion and the USDA Infrastructure ate External Project Support nitted letters of support.	
on clearly demonstrates stakeholder e Project. Successful applicants will monstrate community impact and icants must describe the stakeholde he need for the Project and why (oth icant and organizations involved in t o three letters of support are and may be assigned points.	provided
t	
Maine RFSI Application Form ion and the USDA Infrastructure ate External Project Support hitted letters of support.	
on clearly demonstrates community ing labor and workforce s.	P- submitted
view team will consider the degree t the Project directly addresses a c gap or barrier within Maine's local gional food supply chain.	to
oplication articulates the specific farr sors, food businesses and commun olders the Project will benefit.	
	ion and the USDA Infrastructure ate External Project Support hitted letters of support. Ion clearly demonstrates stakeholder e Project. Successful applicants will monstrate community impact and icants must describe the stakeholder he need for the Project and why (oth icant and organizations involved in the pothree letters of support are and may be assigned points. t Maine RFSI Application Form ion and the USDA Infrastructure ate External Project Support hitted letters of support. on clearly demonstrates community ing labor and workforce s. view team will consider the degree to the Project directly addresses a c gap or barrier within Maine's local gional food supply chain.

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P- provided

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	N- claimed .25 match but did not use formula on spreadsheet
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	N- carrots included as match, but may not be needed with the .25 claimed

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	N- not error free due to carrots
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	P- application appears complete
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	More and better processing options for farm and farm partners by expanding capacity and ability to aggregate, package, store and deliver more Maine produce.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	All handled products are 100% Maine-grown.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Hall Brook Farms DATE: 5/7/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive • upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations Positive. On-farm improvement, must be facilities that enhance middlesupport processing and packaging of-the-food-supply chain activities and improvement, storage and not production-related activities. distribution improvements. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-

added processing, hiring term-limited

cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and

iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling,

personnel to assist with project

fermenting).

	-
7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Positive. Expand value-added capacity and diversity in processing.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

produ * Demon	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	
a.	Narrative section. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Women are not defined as underserved or underrepresented in this category. Sells to 13 women- owned businesses and purchases from 2 women-owned farms.
D.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
* Demon Narrative	cted Performance Measures strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Negative and positive. Purchase 20% more produce, sell 17% more produce. But sales is not middle of the supply chain.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Suppor	ť	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
support for the effectively dem support. Applic that support the than the Applic project). Up to	a clearly demonstrates stakeholder Project. Successful applicants will onstrate community impact and ants must describe the stakeholders e need for the Project and why (other ant and organizations involved in the three letters of support are d may be assigned points.	Positive. Crystal Spring Farm, GSFB, Nature's Circle Farm are all listed and letters of support are included.
11) Community Impact		
Project Narrative sectio	laine RFSI Application Form n and the USDA Infrastructure te External Project Support ted letters of support.	
	n clearly demonstrates community g labor and workforce	Positive. Applicant states increased employment and ability to offer year- round jobs with benefits. Purchase of more than 50K in local farm supplies.
which th specific	ew team will consider the degree to e Project directly addresses a gap or barrier within Maine's local onal food supply chain.	Increase the sustainability of local farms that can rely on the steady growth of sales.
process	lication articulates the specific farms, ors, food businesses and community ders the Project will benefit.	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	Positive. The largest problem is meeting the demand for their
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	products. Projected sales growth is high, almost doubling in a few years. Quote from Georgia for van. Other quotes "in hand" but not
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	supplied. The timeline is confusing and the budget match worksheet.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Positive. Letter is included and box is checked.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Budget timeline not included. Incomplete budget match worksheet.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables 	Equipment. Delivery driver for the project or operating expenses. 188,000 dollars worth of carrots as an in-kind donation.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	Match Verification Letter centers on delivery drive time for year one at 37k.is included. Lots of carrots – 188K worth which are unallowable as match. The budget is included. Checked 25% box for match.
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Positive. Environmental prescreen, narrative, litigation, debarment, cover page. Incomplete budget
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	match worksheet.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form 	
Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	The application meets the stated requirements.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

C) Maine DECL	Duiovition	
6) Maine RFSI	Priorities	
* Demonstrated Project Narrativ	I in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section.	
will ad	pplication clearly articulates how the Project dress one or more of the Maine RFSI ructure Grant priority areas.	
i.	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements are stated and clearly articulated.
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
iii.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

7) LIGDA DEGL Drievitice	,
7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	A. Projects that expand value-added capacity and increase diversity in processing options are stated and clearly articulated.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	 a. Woman-owned isn't underserved, according to the USDA. Mainers Feeding Mainers is mentioned as well as the Good Shepard Food Bank. b. The application meets the stated requirement.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application meets the stated
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	requirements.

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Megan O' Brien DBA Hall Brook Farm DATE: 5/08/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. a. The application meets the stated requirements. **b.** The Project description of activities and purpose is b. The project start date is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed earlier than what is allowable. Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). There are details in the narrative, but the budget does c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of not show how the money will be planning and readiness to implement the proposed parsed out. Project. c. The application meets the stated requirements. **d.** The Project will improve the Applicant's business d. The application meets the resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon stated requirements. these efforts after the Project is completed. The e. The application meets the Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances stated requirements. that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. f. The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	a. The application meets the stated requirements.
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	 b. The application meets the stated requirements. c. The application meets the stated requirements. d. The application meets the stated requirements.

* Demon Proposa Match W	et Completeness strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	The budget is not entirely filled out to show how the funds will be used throughout the duration of the project.
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	A. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the stated requirements.
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	c. The application meets the stated requirements.
	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	d. The application meets the stated requirements. e. The application meets the stated requirements.
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project	f. The application meets the stated requirements.
f.	Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected	

outcomes and objectives.	
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	Produce is an unallowable match. Without this part of the match, the threshold is not met.
b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	The application meets the stated requirements.
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Individual Evaluator Comments
Ρ
Ρ
Ρ

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	<u>P-100%</u>

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorit	ties	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
resiliency Project value consu Focus enter consu	ie-added product capacity and cts that support the development of -added products available to umers. s on small and medium-sized prises that add options and choices for umers and producers (emphasis on -added);	
 Projection fair proportion opportion comm Projection 	conomic impact cts that support proposals that provide rices, fair wages and new and safe job rtunities that keep profits in rural nunities. cts that offer family-supporting job cy and treatment/safety of workers;	
 Projection option approunder under appropriet under appropriet application option appropriet appropriate appropropriote appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriote app	processing infrastructure cts that increase diversity in processing ns in terms of business model baches, geography, and availability to rserved communities. cations that are submitted by eratives, farmer- and worker-owned prises cts that offer more and better market rtunities and new streams of revenue to and mid-sized agricultural producers, ding those who may not have access to -added opportunities or processing to market demand for premium or value- d products, such as underserved access.	

8) Benef produ	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food cers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N- Women owned is not underserved/underrepresented
	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P – some items not allowable
	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	3 letters of support – 1 not signed
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness			
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.			
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).		
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P</u>	
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	N- match period(s) not defined 2 mathematical errors
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	Personnel Just – when activities will occur is missing. Milage rate is not mathematically correct (41,040 * 0.67 = 27,496.80 not 27,497.47). Other section not mathematically correct (\$2 * 94,224 = 188,448 not 188,488).

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Hall Brook Farm DATE: 5/07/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Joy Bonenfant EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

16) Matching Funds

* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.

a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching 3 match letters provided, Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match although it shows on the Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the letters year 1 is when match format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds will be provided it does not documentation must demonstrate that all matching show that on the budget. Letter 3 of 3 only shows 1/2 of contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. the in-kind match in carrot (produce not allowable) **b.** All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a don't meet match without 25% graduated match of the total project cost. produce. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. **17) Application Completeness**

* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Smith & Meyer Farm LLC DATE: 5/8/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Individual Evaluator Scoring Criteria Comments 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Ρ Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Application describes an eligible Project that Ρ meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Application describes a Project that is based on Ρ allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Smith & Meyer Farm LLC DATE: 5/8/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural Ρ communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing • options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Р
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Ρ

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Ρ
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed 	
 in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. 	Q. Proposed use of truck unallowable.
 e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Р
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	
18)	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section 	
 Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	P- demonstrated
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P- meets critiera

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	P- clearly articulates
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	P- clearly articulates
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q- not specifically mentioned
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q- lacks detail
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P- clearly demonstrated
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	P- clearly demonstrated
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje 	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q- more details needed
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evidence of Crit	tical Infrastructure	
Infrastructure Lette	the Evidence of Critical Resources an er and supported by any forms and/or ted with the Application.	
the propos	cant has demonstrated experience with sed work, including past Projects and/or e staff/business relationships.	
resources	cation provides evidence that the critical and infrastructure that are necessary fo sed Project to be successful are in place	
of Critical I	cant has submitted an error-free Eviden Infrastructure Form that is complete and the format outlined in the RFA.	
resources the initiatio place. Criti facilities, la shared-use resources structures, place and application critical reso completing	a must submit evidence that critical and infrastructure necessary to support on and completion of a Project are in ical resources and infrastructure can be and, structure, use of city street/parks, ed kitchen, and/or other essential for the proposed project activities. Land , and other critical resources must be in in working condition at the time of n submission. The Form must indicate the ources necessary for initiating and g the project and certify that they are in committed prior to the start date of the	

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	P- budget appears complete and accurate
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	Q- could have provided quote details in USDA narrative form Q- question on \$30K from Bold Coast Ecopark

 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of page Foderal reported to this project. 	Q- minor error on match letter
 match of non-Federal resources to this project. 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	P- appears complete

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	They believe to have an eligible proposed project that specifically solves middle of the supply chain challenges - related to value- added processing, packaging, freezer/cold storage, and refrigerated wholesale distribution to in-state wholesale markets
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	<u>100%</u>

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Positive. All are stated. Processing packaging improvements. Had to infer.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Smith & Meyer DATE: 5/7/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

- **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities
 - i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency
 - Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers.
 - Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);
 - ii. Workforce/economic impact
 - Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities.
 - Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers;
 - iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure
 - Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities.
 - Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises
 - Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

Positive. Increasing specialty crop values by incorporating underutilized crops into new products and ingredients with the implementation of the most efficient equipment available.

Investing in co-use cold storage and distribution assets will extend shelf life and increase product value, which in turn creates more employment opportunities, resulting in more money staying local to the underserved Washington County producers and workers.

Having cold storage equipment, refrigerated transport and liquid storage containers allows for diversity in crop usage and will allow for new streams of revenue for Smith & Meyer Farm and our collaborating partner

-	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Underserved and underrepresented, labeled as stakeholders. No details or names were included.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Positive. Could have provided more detail, and more articulation.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholde	r Support	
Project Narrati Grant Proposa	d in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section and the USDA Infrastructure I Template External Project Support y submitted letters of support.	
suppo effect suppo that s than t project	application clearly demonstrates stakeholder ort for the Project. Successful applicants will ively demonstrate community impact and ort. Applicants must describe the stakeholders upport the need for the Project and why (other he Applicant and organizations involved in the ct). Up to three letters of support are uraged and may be assigned points.	Positive. 3 LOS: pub and brewery, Greater East Grand Economic Council, Patty Sansing.
11) Community	/ Impact	
Project Narrativ Grant Proposa	d in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section and the USDA Infrastructure I Template External Project Support y submitted letters of support.	
impac	pplication clearly demonstrates community t including labor and workforce derations.	Positive. Distressed rate in Maine at 80.8, Preserving this Maine heritage industry and heirloom cultivars will have a profound impact
i.	The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain.	on our Washington County community and economy. Bridges that gap with financial gains worthy of preserving the once-vibrant industry.
ii.	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	Positive. They are ready to make this project happen now, ready to
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	fast track this project and complete by Fall 2024. Committed to funding and
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	executing the entire project. This bridge needs to have all its
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	components. The current Washington County model has been in place for eons, equaling fallow lands, unproductive trees, depressed people, and migrating youth.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Letter and check mark.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Some errors in math in the supplies section, equipment section of budget match is not math correct.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	Positive with som issues - Allowable costs issue – truck no direct to consumer functions or using to spread soil amendments such as pomace, dates outside performance period. No timeline for personnelle.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Smith & Meyer DATE: 5/7/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

16) Matching Funds

* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.

a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match The truck can not be used for Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the anything else. Positive with some format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds issues - Allowable costs issue documentation must demonstrate that all matching truck no direct to consumer contributions will be committed or secured at the functions or using to spread soil time the Applicant is recommended for an award. amendments such as pomace Matching funds don't line up. **b.** All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.

17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the Negative. Missing project narrative sections, hard to follow, did not check n/a in boxes, environmental prescreen, narrative, litigation, debarment, cover page

RFA.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or 	
 Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	The application Is missing details on the degree to which it will add additional channels.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities			
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.			
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 			
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 			
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	The priorities are not clearly articulated. Modifying existing processing building for production.		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).			
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 			

RFA #: 202403071 **RFPA TITLE:** Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER NAME:** Smith & Meyer Farm LLC **DATE:** 5/08/2024 **EVALUATOR NAME:** Jane Kirsling **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact • Projects that support proposals that provide Increasing product value of a fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job specialty crop. New product opportunities that keep profits in rural development. New streams of revenue. The application is not communities. • Projects that offer family-supporting job clearly articulated. quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. • Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

8) Benet produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	a. There is mention of serving residents of Washington County, an underserved population. b. There is support from a resident of Washington County.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The proposed project period is not feasible with the timeline of the grant. b. The application meets the stated
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	requirements.

Project Narrativ Grant Proposal Section and an a. The A suppo effecti suppo that se	r Support d in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section and the USDA Infrastructure Template External Project Support y submitted letters of support. pplication clearly demonstrates stakeholder ort for the Project. Successful applicants will evely demonstrate community impact and ort. Applicants must describe the stakeholders upport the need for the Project and why (other he Applicant and organizations involved in the	The application meets the stated requirements.
projec encou	et). Up to three letters of support are araged and may be assigned points.	
11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 		The application mentions
i.	The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain.	Washington County as a whole.
ii.	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	a. The application partially meets the requirements. More details are needed.b. The proposed timeline would have to be adjusted. This may affect project outcomes due to the
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	seasonality of what is trying to be accomplished. c. The application needs more detail.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	d. The application needs more detail.e. The application meets the requirements.f. The application meets the requirements.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure				
' * Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.				
the p	Applicant has demonstrated experience with roposed work, including past Projects and/or opriate staff/business relationships.			
resou	Application provides evidence that the critical urces and infrastructure that are necessary for roposed Project to be successful are in place.			
of Cr	Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence itical Infrastructure Form that is complete and res to the format outlined in the RFA.	a. The application meets the stated requirements.		
resou the ir place facilit share resou struc place appli critica comp	cants must submit evidence that critical urces and infrastructure necessary to support nitiation and completion of a Project are in e. Critical resources and infrastructure can be ties, land, structure, use of city street/parks, ed-used kitchen, and/or other essential urces for the proposed project activities. Land, tures, and other critical resources must be in e and in working condition at the time of cation submission. The Form must indicate the al resources necessary for initiating and oleting the project and certify that they are in e and committed prior to the start date of the text.	 b. The application meets the stated requirements. c. The application meets the stated requirements. d. The application meets the stated requirements. 		

, .	Completeness	
Proposal Ter Match Works a. The con alig	ted in the USDA Infrastructure Grant mplate budget sections and the RFSI Budget sheet. Application budget section is thoroughly mplete and mathematically correct. The budgets n with the budget narrative and the proposed ject activities.	The application meets the stated requirements.
15) Budget N	larrative and Justification	
Template bud Worksheet. a. The allo this Cor b. The the in th c. The acc d. The of a furt e. The revi dete Obj f. The wor The the inve the	ed in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal get sections and the RFSI Budget Match a Application demonstrates compliance with wable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of RFA and the USDA General Terms and nditions a budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for Project and articulate how category costs listed ne budget are derived. budget sections are free of mathematical and counting errors, the budget sections reconcile. budget section includes a complete description and justification for each cost including how it hers the objectives of the Project. budget justification provides enough detail for iewers to easily understand how costs were ermined and how they relate to the Project ectives and Expected Outcomes. budget demonstrates a relationship between k planned and performed to the costs incurred. e review team will consider the degree to which Project represents a good return for the estment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether Project work and cost estimates (deliverables budget) are reasonable for the expected comes and objectives.	The application meets all the stated requirements, although the project timeline is not as feasible as requested.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	 A. Needs to discuss the level of commitment to the equipment proposed. b. The application meets the requirements of the above equipment is committed.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	The application meets the stated requirements.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Р
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA	A RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
	Application clearly articulates how the Project will ess one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
i.	 Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
11.	 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Ρ
111.	 Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ν
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P on RFSI App
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Ρ
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	Hope for the community.
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	<u>P – letter provided & box</u> <u>checked</u>

14) Budget Completen	ness	
Proposal Template bu Match Worksheet. a. The Application complete and	USDA Infrastructure Grant dget sections and the RFSI Budget on budget section is thoroughly mathematically correct. The budgets budget narrative and the proposed ies.	N-mathematical errors
15) Budget Narrative a	and Justification	
 Template budget section Worksheet. a. The Application allowable coss this RFA and <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget of the Project and in the budget of the Project and in the budget of accounting end c. The budget sector of and justification furthers the of e. The budget sector of and justification furthers the of e. The budget sector of and justification furthers the of f. The budget determined and Objectives and f. The budget determined and Objectives and f. The budget determined and the review tere the Project review tere investment (m the Project work) 	ections are free of mathematical and rors, the budget sections reconcile. ection includes a complete description ation for each cost including how it bjectives of the Project. ustification provides enough detail for easily understand how costs were nd how they relate to the Project and Expected Outcomes. emonstrates a relationship between and performed to the costs incurred. eam will consider the degree to which presents a good return for the noney, time, etc.) as well as whether ork and cost estimates (deliverables are reasonable for the expected	N – Mathematical errors: Supplies Section \$645 * 25 = \$16,125 they have a different amount Equipment Budget match is short by 2,878.77 on RFSI Budget-Match document Personnel time worked on project omitted

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Smith & Meyer DATE: 5/07/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Joy Bonenfant EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

16) Matching Funds

* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.

a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Verification of Match letter Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the has a mathematical error format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds (\$645 * 25 units = \$16,125). documentation must demonstrate that all matching Quote has shipping, budget contributions will be committed or secured at the does not. time the Applicant is recommended for an award. Truck not allowable. **b.** All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. **17) Application Completeness**

* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. <u>N</u> a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the

RFA.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Q
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Q
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Q

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Q
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Q

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Q
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Q
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakahaldar Support	
10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Q

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Q
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for	
	the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Q
	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Q
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Pass
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Pass
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure 	
Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	P- discusses in RFSI application form
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Q- specific products are not estimated by percentage of Maine products

6) Maine RFSI	Priorities	
,	l in the Maine RFSI Application Form	
will ad	pplication clearly articulates how the Project ldress one or more of the Maine RFSI tructure Grant priority areas.	
i.	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	P- meets priority areas
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
iii.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	P- meets priority areas
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

produ * Demon Project N	it to Underserved or Underrepresented food icers. strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers,	
	veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	P- owner is new farmer N- does not clearly articulate
	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expec	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- addressed N- lackes details on tasks completed Q Uncertain how vehicle is relates to
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	project

P-diverse stakeholder buy in
Q- not sure project clearly
demonstrates

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q- status of submitted project documentation makes it difficult to fully evaluate the project.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.	
 a. The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships. 	
b. The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d. Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Q- Letter is in multiple locations making this criteria difficult to evaluate

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Q- format of budget submitted is challenging to review. Match rate not included on form
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	P- lots of detail on the line items

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. 	P- match appears to be correct. Q- amount and source of match is challenging to interpret from submitted materials.
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Q- formatting of proposal is challenging to review
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	P "Improved sales markets and opportunities, increasing both consumer choices and profitability. And expanding new markets.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	P Applicant now provides to 7 farms and 13 grocery stores and retail markets.
 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or 	

need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	This project benefits a new producer which is the applicant. Needs more on expanding to more and better markets for Maine syrup products.
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle-of-the-supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine 	6 out of 8 products are 100%. Nuts are not.
by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement.	
6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	

Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Says there are six priorities. But not listed or articulated.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	
7) USDA RFSI Priorities	Negative. Applicant says there are
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	six plus priorities but only three in the application.

• The Application clearly entiredates how the Drainst will	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	
8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food	
producers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	

Project N	Narrative section.	"The project henefite a new
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	"The project benefits a new producer." Quote from Maine template. Identified but not articulated.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative Template	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section. The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Measure building, constructing, paperwork, and hire 2 more staff. Customer base from 20 to 100.
	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	
10) Stake	eholder Support	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure	

Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Local retailer and City of Auburn letters of support.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	A long list of stakeholders. City of Auburn is key. Bring in folks from all
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	over.
ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	
12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification	

	Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	The building permit is in hand from the city of Auburn.
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	Demonstrated shovel-ready?
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Included lots of documentation. Researched well.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	
13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	

a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Letter included and check box is checked.
14) Budg	et Completeness	
Proposal	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet.	Changed the settings in template.
a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets	

	align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et.	
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	Positive with issues. Difficult to read
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	and figure out. Not easy to determine and fully evaluate the project. They were instructed to separate.
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	
16) Matc	hing Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.		Positive with issues. Did not prorate delivery truck to only project. 67K of 70K. Match Verification Letter is included. The
a.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the	budget is included. Have not demonstrated match.

	format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	
b.	All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	
17) Appli	cation Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.		All pieces are there but not formatted correctly. Missing env.
a.	The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.	Prescreening.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	The application meets the stated requirements.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	The application is difficult to read. It indicates market impact.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The application does not indicate this.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	The application states that it meets the priorities but does not list which ones.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	The application says it aligns with 6 of the USDA priorities but does not list which ones.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benet produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	 a. The application states the primary owner of the business is a beginning farmer, and this will benefit him. b. The application is missing details.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The application is difficult to understand, the project is adding a new building and van. b. The application meets the stated requirements.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Three letters of support are included. The application meets the stated requirements.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	a. The application is missing details on how this directly addresses a specific gap and listing specific farms, processors and food businesses that will benefit.
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project I Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	a. The application is missing details. The supporting documents do provide proof of readiness.
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	b. The project dates are allowable. c. More details are needed. d. More clear details are
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	needed. e. This project will achieve operational efficiency. f. The application meets the stated requirements.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
с.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	a. The application partially meets the stated requirements. b. The application partially
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	 b. The application partially meets the requirements. c. The application had a form but it was not error free. d. The application meets the stated requirements.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	The RFSI budget is difficult to read and handwritten.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	 A. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application partially meets the requirements. c. The application partially meets the requirements. d. The application partially meets the requirements. e. The application partially meets the requirements. f. The application partially meets the requirements.

	T
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	a. The form has errors. Is credit an allowable match? b. The application meets the stated requirements if credit is allowable.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	The RFSI match budget was handwritten.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	<u>P – 75%</u>

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	N –stated 6 but no listed and no description, only 3 available and not even 6
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RESI Prioritios	
7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	N –stated 6 but no listed and no description.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

-	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N – he is new but this section is about serving others
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder 	
support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P 2 Letters of support
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	P- will bring in people from all over the state to the community
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P- permit in hand, support</u> <u>from City of Auburn</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	<u>P- letter with box checked</u>

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	I- seems to, hand written copy is difficult to read. Printed copy is on multiple pages, also difficult to read
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	N -personnel section on handwritten document (Building permit & ARD listed there in this section) is not mathematically correct.

 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	<u>N-truck is nearly all of the</u> <u>match</u>
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	<u>N – Maine RFSI application is</u> <u>incomplete, no litigation or</u> <u>N/A noted. Project narrative</u> <u>incomplete, Environmental</u> <u>prescreening incomplete.</u> <u>Formatting makes it difficult</u> <u>to review.</u>

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Р
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Ρ
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benet produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q. It seems that the applicant only describes themselves as underrepresented/underserved. Not sure that is sufficient.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q. Section seems incomplete.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Ctokeholder Currenert	
10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Q. Really stakeholders question
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Q
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	×
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Ρ

14) Budget Completeness		
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 		Ρ
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et. The Application demonstrates compliance with	
	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Q
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Fish Creek Oysters DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the **RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a.** The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the Q. The applicant requested a 25% Match as a Beginning format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching Farmer. However, in the contributions will be committed or secured at the budget, a 50% match is used. time the Applicant is recommended for an award. The proposed match is more than the 25% but less than 50%. **b.** All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. **17) Application Completeness** * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. Ρ a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	P- eligible project Q- narrative does not specify potential for market impact
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P- meets criteria

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	P- meets criteria
 Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). 	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Q- alignment with a.i. and a iii are unclear
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benef produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q- not addressed in narrative
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expec	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q- not addressed in narrative
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Q- not seeing details on this section
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Q- vague evidence of community
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	impact
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness			
* Demon Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).		
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	N- the project narrative is lacking in detail about financial viability and technical feasibility	
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Q-previous experience with proposed work is unclear.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Q- required match rate is incorrect
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of 	
this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
 b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. 	
c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and	
 accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. 	P- form is complete
 e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. 	
f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q-unclear why personnel costs are not listed as an inkind match instead of cash for equipment
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	P- forms and documents generally in order, with incorrect match rate noted.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Positive.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	100% Maine grown, harvested and produced.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Fish Creek Oysters DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

- **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.
 - i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.
 - The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.
 - **ii.** Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).
 - **iii.** Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

Multiple priority alignment. On farm improvement, equipment to help with processing, will increase the value of the product, improve cold storage, packaging, and labeling and distribution efforts. All will make food safe.

RFA #: 202403071 **RFPA TITLE:** Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER NAME: Fish Creek Oysters** DATE: 5/6/2024 **EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Will facilitate future growth into Projects that support proposals that provide value-added products. The tumbler, fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job and grader washer will increase opportunities that keep profits in rural economic impact. Will hire one season employee to join the team. communities. Adding fair-wage job opportunities is Projects that offer family-supporting job a responsibility. quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. • Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Negative. Not described. Distress index of 57.4 which is moderate.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Need to look at other sections. Interesting: "We report our annual landings every month as required by
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	the Dept of Marine Resources.

10) Stakeholder	Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
support effective support that sup than the project)	plication clearly demonstrates stakeholder for the Project. Successful applicants will ely demonstrate community impact and . Applicants must describe the stakeholders oport the need for the Project and why (other e Applicant and organizations involved in the . Up to three letters of support are aged and may be assigned points.	Overall, LOS include Greenhead Lobster in Stonington, Oyster shipper in Stonington. Letters not very detailed.
11) Community I	mpact	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community 		
	ncluding labor and workforce	Working waterfront. The lobster industry transitioning, reasonable to believe catch volume will decrease
v s	The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain.	as the water warms. Diversify fisheries.
p	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	

12) Proie	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demon Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Negative. Only a few sentences in the narrative. Would love to have more information esp on industry numbers on Deer
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	Island.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	Applicant owns land?
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Waiting on approval on a larger lease site from the Department of Marine Resources. The letter included and refers to the budget template.

 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Budget error with required match rate.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected 	Positive. Stays within the timeline.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Positive but not picked the correct drop down. Match Verification Letter is included. Budget is included. Allowable and will provide the cash. This is a smaller project of 110K.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Environmental prescreen, narrative, litigation, debarment, cover page. Had a few missing
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	items.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	The application meets the requirements.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	a. The application meets the stated requirements.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The application meets the requirements at 100%.

6) Maine RFSI	Priorition	
o) Maine RESI	Filonities	
* Demonstrated Project Narrativ	<i>I in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>ve section.</i>	
will ad	pplication clearly articulates how the Project dress one or more of the Maine RFSI tructure Grant priority areas.	
i.	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Infrastructure improvements and the improvement of cold storage. The application meets the stated requirements.
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
iii.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

	,
7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Increase workforce, economic impact and new streams of revenue are listed, the application meets the stated requirements.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers. strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	
a.	Narrative section. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	The application is missing the required details.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
* Demon Narrative	cted Performance Measures strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. Details are missing in the Maine RFSI narrative. b. The application meets the stated requirements.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	The application has two letters of support. The application meets the stated requirements.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	The application meets the
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	requirements.
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Fish Creek Oysters, LLC DATE: 5/04/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and a. Question on what the plan will readiness. be if there are struggles with contractors and how much **b.** The Project description of activities and purpose is longer it will take them to clear clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed the wood on their property for Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). the project. b. The proposed start date is not c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of allowable. Further detail is planning and readiness to implement the proposed needed on the feasibility of the Project. project within the dates proposed. **d.** The Project will improve the Applicant's business c. The application lacks detail. resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon d. The application lacks detail. these efforts after the Project is completed. The e. The application meets the Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances requirements. that the entity will viable and economically f. The application meets the sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. requirements. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. f. The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	a. The application meets the requirements.
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	requirements. b.The application meets the requirements. c. The application meets the requirements. d. The application meets the requirements.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	a. The application meets rhe requirements.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected 	 A. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements. c. The application meets the requirements. d. Not every item had detail behind the use of it, but the items were detailed thoroughly. e. The application meets the requirements. f. The application meets the requirements.

16) Motohing Eurodo	
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, 	A. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements.
including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	The application meets the requirements.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	•

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA	RFSI Priorities
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section.
	pplication clearly articulates how the Project will ss one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities
i.	 Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);
ii.	 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers;
iii .	 Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers.

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	N – I didn't see this in the narrative
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	P 3 Letters of Support and description
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	of support in application
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Protect the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>P</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Total Budget costs column = Requested and not requested + Match
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	Total Budget costs column = Requested and not requested + Match

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	P
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	<u>Section 9 on Narr Summary</u> not completed.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Springtide Seaweed, LLC DATE: 5/9/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Individual Evaluator Scoring Criteria Comments 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Ρ Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Application describes an eligible Project that Ρ meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. **a.** The Application describes a Project that is based on Ρ allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA.

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers;
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Q, not clearly demonstrated
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	Q, not clearly demonstrated
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Marrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q, not clearly demonstrated.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Ρ

14) Budg	jet Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Q, some math errors
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed	
	in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Q
e. f.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which	
	the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q
17) Application Completeness	
* <i>Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.</i>	Q
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure 	
Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	P- articulates project
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	N-assumed but not specifically called out in section within project narrative

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	P- clearly articulates
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	P- clearly articulates
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N- mentions but does not clearly articulate
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q- generally addressed but did not follow format to allow full understanding
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Applicati Project Narrative section and the USDA Infr Grant Proposal Template External Project S Section and any submitted letters of suppo	astructure Support rt.
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates support for the Project. Successful a effectively demonstrate community is support. Applicants must describe the that support the need for the Project than the Applicant and organizations project). Up to three letters of suppo encouraged and may be assigned project 	pplicants will mpact and e stakeholders and why (other s involved in the rt aremention of customers included in USDA application no letters of support
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Applicati Project Narrative section and the USDA Infr Grant Proposal Template External Project S Section and any submitted letters of suppo	astructure Support
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	
 The review team will consider which the Project directly add specific gap or barrier within N and regional food supply chai 	resses a Maine's local
ii. The Application articulates the processors, food businesses stakeholders the Project will b	and community

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	N- project start date incorrect. Other planning information (quotes etc) not included.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P- letter included. Diagrams included were helpful

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	P- budgets appear complete and correct
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	N- no quotes provided

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. 	P- match letter included
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	P- application appears complete
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	P Expanding, modernizing, enhancing, developing

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Explained LPA licenses: Limited purpose aquaculture. 500% increase in ten years. Whole Leaf has a limited market. Milled can produce flakes and
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	powders.
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	100% Maine-farmed seaweeds at this facility.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Springtide Seaweed DATE: 5/9/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive • Positive. upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations All the Maine priorities. Identified. must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and Support processing and packaging not production-related activities. improvement including wet and dry milling, invest in distribution. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Springtide Seaweed DATE: 5/9/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities *i.* Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Positive Projects that support proposals that provide All three are listed. fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job Expand value-added product opportunities that keep profits in rural capacity jobs, diversity in processing communities. infrastructure and more and better • Projects that offer family-supporting job market opportunities. quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing • options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. • Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-

added products, such as underserved

producers.

-	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Washington and Hancock 57 and 93 Distress Scores.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Positive. Outcomes 2 and 3: Listed in the USDA application, N/A boxes are checked as appropriate.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	Activities and Outcomes in Maine section has good language.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Springtide Seaweed DATE: 5/9/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. In organizational mission and background: wide range of services, **a.** The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder providing milling service to other farmers and seaweed companies, to support for the Project. Successful applicants will over 30 other companies in the past effectively demonstrate community impact and five years, providing essential support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders services to the industry. that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. **11) Community Impact** * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. **a.** The Application clearly demonstrates community Did not include a dedicated section impact including labor and workforce to Stakeholder, Community Impact considerations. Project Viability. i. The review team will consider the degree to Some language in the External which the Project directly addresses a Project Support section. specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) Proie	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demon Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	In the USDA portion,
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Construction Justification had a good list of 1-7 activities. No construction or design documents. But does have a
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	map and photos.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastruc	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or its submitted with the Application.	
	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Positive. Letter and box checked.

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Math errors.
15) Budç	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshed a. b. c. d. e.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the	Positive. But don't have a match type in equipment or construction. Packaging materials are unallowable but a small amount. Still, enough match if removed. No personnelle timeline.
	investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. 	There are math errors in the letter.
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Some issues – start date and formatting.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	The application meets the stated requirements.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Developing a seaweed processing facility through this project will further the processing of fresh and dried crops, aggregation, and marketing of seaweed.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The information was not in the correct section, but it was mentioned.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Springtide Seaweed LLC DATE: 5/09/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

- **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.
 - i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.
 - The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.
 - **ii.** Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).
 - Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

Investment in facility infrastructure improvements to support processing and packaging improvements. This includes wet and dry milling distribution improvements for more efficient shipping and delivery.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	The project expands value-added product capacity and resiliency by expanding new and existing pre- treatment and milling capabilities.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	A, Mention of beginning farmers, women farmers, and disadvantaged areas. b. The application is missing details.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	 a. Performance measures are missing from the description. b. The application meets the stated requirements
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	requirements.

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	The application is missing details.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	The application does not mention specific farms. The application is missing details.
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Springtide Seaweed LLC DATE: 5/09/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and a. More detail is preferred, but readiness. the application mostly meets the requirements. **b.** The Project description of activities and purpose is b. The start date is earlier than clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed what is allowable. Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The application meets the requirements. c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of d. The application partially planning and readiness to implement the proposed meets the stated requirements; Project. there is no mention of viability after RFSI funding. **d.** The Project will improve the Applicant's business e. The application meets the resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon stated requirements. these efforts after the Project is completed. The f. The application meets the Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances stated requirements. that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. f. The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	All requirements met for this category.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	All requirements met.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	 a. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application meets the stated requirements.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	The Maine RFSI narrative was not in the correct order. Earlier than allowable start date on the
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	USDA template.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Р
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Listed but not explained how
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Listed but not explained how
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	N missing from narrative
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	N No explanation 0 Letters of support
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	·
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Ν
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P Box checked and letter is signed

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	estrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Vorksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	N Not mathematically correct
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et.	
	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for	Not mathematically correct
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	Total Direct costs is incorrect, 208,000 + 49200 = \$257,200
υ.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	Not \$237,200 on budget
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Total project costs = \$278,000 Not \$258,000
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	Personnel – not defined when work will be completed.
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the	
	investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Springtide Seaweed DATE: 5/07/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Joy Bonenfant EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

16) Matching Funds

(16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	N Not mathematically correct Not enough match if remove personnel and disposable supplies (not allowable) Indirect costs on letter add up to \$68,800 not \$70,000
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	Portions of Maine form are missing

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Q
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Ρ
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness			
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).		
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q	
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Ρ

14) Budg	jet Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Ρ
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee		
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and	
d.	accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Q, another federal funding program is involved.
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q, issue with another federal funding program. Project seems complete in year one but match provided during operations afterwards.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Q.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	
18)	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Q- need clarification on entity status
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	Q- missing details here.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P- met criteria

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	P- mentioned, but only in context of farm operational context
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 		
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	P- briefly mentioned	
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N- not clearly articulated
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q- lacks details to fully understand project
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Feroject Narrative section and the USDA Infrastru Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stalk support for the Project. Successful applicate effectively demonstrate community impacts support. Applicants must describe the stat that support the need for the Project and than the Applicant and organizations involution project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	keholder ants will t and keholders why (other olved in the
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Ference Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastru Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates continuated including labor and workforce considerations. i. The review team will consider the organ or barrier within Maine and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the spering processors, food businesses and organ of stakeholders the Project will beneficial to the project will be project will be project with the project will be project with the project will be project will be projec	ucture ort N- mentioned but not addressed degree to es a e's local N- mentioned but not addressed ecific farms, community N- mentioned but not addressed

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q- lacking some details to fully understand implementation timeline. Specifics on costs of equipment to be purchased.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P- letter complete

 14) Budget Completeness * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed 	P- budget appears complete and correct
Project activities. 15) Budget Narrative and Justification * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal	
 Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	P- budget appears correct. Q- Personnel match costs not fully justified

	I
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. 	P- match worksheet is complete but extends into year 2 and 3, so unclear of alignment with project timeline.
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents	N- Maine RFSI forms not signed. Word docs not
 submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	submitted in PDF format. Missing signatures on critical instrasture and match verification

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	P Ag producer
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	P Many Project Purchases are checked. Value-added organic dairy products.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	P Expansion and Modernization of Creamery.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	Vague, not articulated. The executive summary did not include general tasks and activities to be completed.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	100% Maine

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Dairy DATE: 5/09/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.

- **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas.
 - i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.
 - The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.
 - **ii.** Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).
 - **iii.** Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

Positive. On-farm improvements. Support processing and packaging. Support storage and distribution. Stakeholder support. Improve challenges.

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Value-added product capacity and resiliency. Workforce impact. Diversity of processing infrastructure. More and better.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

produ * Demon	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food icers. strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Negative. The applicant states there will not be a benefit. Checks directly benefits 7. Directly benefits Veteran Producer.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	On-farm production of value- added organic dairy products. Delivery of value-added organic dairy products to local schools and businesses.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholde	r Support	
Project Narrativ Grant Proposa Section and an a. The A suppo effect suppo that s than t project	d in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section and the USDA Infrastructure I Template External Project Support y submitted letters of support. Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder ort for the Project. Successful applicants will ively demonstrate community impact and ort. Applicants must describe the stakeholders upport the need for the Project and why (other he Applicant and organizations involved in the ct). Up to three letters of support are uraged and may be assigned points.	LOS from the Food Services Director fo MSAD 27, Burger Boy. Needed more in external project support
11) Community	/ Impact	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 		Needed more. Notes some but needs more and more articulation. Industry is in trouble, will serve
i.	The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain.	several economically disadvantage communities and school districts.
ii.	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	Needs more. Vague. The applicant states markets
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	are in place, they have experienced dairy operation and business people, and they are ready.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	Lacking more details and project planning and readiness.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Positive. The letter included and box-checked. But not signed.

	I.
14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Mathematically correct. Budget summary on template error.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes. 	Unable to determine if compliant. Due to the indication checking box for other federal or state funding. No timeline on personelle justification. No acquisition dates for equipment. No design or contract documents. Year 2 and 3 personnel are operations and not project.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Letter included. But unable to determine if matching is related to the other awards. Year 2 and 3 operating and not project specific.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Missing signatures.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	The application does not specify the entity type in entirety.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The project does not propose in the middle of the supply chain activities.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The project does not propose middle of the supply chain activities.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form 	
Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	The lack of a stable market for raw organic milk is not a middle-of-the- supply chain activity.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

6) Maine RFSI F	Priorition	
	lioittes	
* Demonstrated Project Narrativ	<i>in the Maine RFSI Application Form esction.</i>	
will add	pplication clearly articulates how the Project dress one or more of the Maine RFSI fucture Grant priority areas.	
	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	The application meets the stated requirements.
	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

RFA #: 202403071 **RFPA TITLE:** Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER NAME:** Blackstone Dairy **DATE:** 5/09/2024 **EVALUATOR NAME:** Jane Kirsling **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact • Projects that support proposals that provide Value-added organic dairy fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job production is mentioned. All USDA opportunities that keep profits in rural priorities are mentioned but more detail is needed on how the project communities. activities will address the priorities. • Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. • Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

produ * Demon	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers. strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	
a.	Narrative section. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the	 a. Economically disadvantaged schools and communities are mentioned. b. Specific names are not given, broadly Aroostook County and the school districts within the county.
	Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
* Demon Narrative	cted Performance Measures strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The delivery to the consumer may not be allowable. b. The application meets the stated
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	requirements.

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	The application is missing details.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	The application is missing details.
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	a. More details are needed. b. The start date is unallowable. c. More details are needed on
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	project planning and readiness to implement. d. The application is missing details on how the business will be viable once RFSI funding has ended. e. The application meets the stated requirements.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	The application meets the requirements.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	The application states there is federal funding but it is not clear if federal funding is part of the proposed expenses.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	Need more information on the personnel listed and the specific project activities.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Ann ligente events events and events and events and events and events. 	Personnel are listed, and the degree to which they will be involved in the project versus operations is questionable.
Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Multiple formatting issues and the project start date is earlier
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	than allowable.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Project start date 2014?? Received funding from School Food Innovation Grant
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Q – see above
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Stated but not described as to how

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Stated but not described as to how
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	P 100%

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ρ
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorit	ies	
* Demonstrated in the Project Narrative sec	e Maine RFSI Application Form tion.	
	arly articulates how the Project will e of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
resiliency Project value- consu Focus entern consu	e-added product capacity and cts that support the development of -added products available to imers. s on small and medium-sized prises that add options and choices for imers and producers (emphasis on -added);	
fair pr oppor comm • Projec	conomic impact cts that support proposals that provide ices, fair wages and new and safe job tunities that keep profits in rural nunities. cts that offer family-supporting job y and treatment/safety of workers;	Ρ
 Project option approunder Application cooperation approunder Application cooperation appropriate appropriote appropriate appropriate apppropriate approprise ap	brocessing infrastructure cts that increase diversity in processing as in terms of business model aches, geography, and availability to served communities. cations that are submitted by eratives, farmer- and worker-owned orises cts that offer more and better market tunities and new streams of revenue to and mid-sized agricultural producers, ling those who may not have access to -added opportunities or processing to market demand for premium or value- d products, such as underserved cers.	

8) Bener produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Stated not described
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	No checkmars In N/A boxes but 0 in expected numbers. Listed not described
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakahaldar Sunnart	
10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Listed not described
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	2 letters of support
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	N – not enough information
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P Box checked on letter

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Mathematically correct
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected 	Mathematically correct Personnel listed under Construction and Other on USDA template instead of personnel Personnel titles/time line omitted Match in years 2 & 3 – operating expenses and not project related?

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Dairy DATE: 5/09/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Joy Bonenfant EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

16) Matching Funds

* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q - Received funding from School Food Innovation Grant, can we score? Budget shows personnel match at \$259,750 letter shows \$172,500.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Cover letter not signed Letters not signed
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Q. No Maine Application Submitted

6) Maine RFSI	Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
will ad	pplication clearly articulates how the Project dress one or more of the Maine RFSI tructure Grant priority areas.	
i.	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Q. No Maine Application Submitted
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
iii.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Q. No Maine Application Submitted
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q. Identified but not articulated
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness			
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.		
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).		
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q	
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.		
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.		
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.		

13) Evidence of	Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
the pro	plicant has demonstrated experience with posed work, including past Projects and/or riate staff/business relationships.	
resourc	plication provides evidence that the critical ses and infrastructure that are necessary for posed Project to be successful are in place.	
of Critic	plicant has submitted an error-free Evidence al Infrastructure Form that is complete and s to the format outlined in the RFA.	
resource the initi place. (facilities shared resource structure place a applica critical comple	nts must submit evidence that critical es and infrastructure necessary to support ation and completion of a Project are in Critical resources and infrastructure can be s, land, structure, use of city street/parks, used kitchen, and/or other essential es for the proposed project activities. Land, res, and other critical resources must be in nd in working condition at the time of tion submission. The Form must indicate the resources necessary for initiating and ting the project and certify that they are in nd committed prior to the start date of the	Q. Not submitted.

14) Budg		
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Ρ
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee	The Application demonstrates compliance with	
	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Q
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

	1
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	Q. Not sure that proposed match is eligible.
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Q. Elements of application not submitted.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	Q- equipment needs do not have a specific benefit to Maine produced foods, only to the business to reduce costs to encourage consumer uptake.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	N- Maine RSFI narrative is not included in application materials

6) Maine RFSI	Priorities	
	I in the Maine RFSI Application Form	
will ad	pplication clearly articulates how the Project dress one or more of the Maine RFSI tructure Grant priority areas.	
i.	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	N- Maine RSFI narrative is not included in application materials
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
iii.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	N- Maine RSFI narrative is not included in application materials
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benef produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N- Maine RSFI narrative is not included in application materials
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expec	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
а.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- included in USDA form N- Maine RSFI narrative is not included in application materials
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Si	upport	
Project Narrative s Grant Proposal Te	the Maine RFSI Application Form section and the USDA Infrastructure mplate External Project Support ubmitted letters of support.	
support for effectively support. A that supp than the A project). I	ication clearly demonstrates stakeholder or the Project. Successful applicants will y demonstrate community impact and Applicants must describe the stakeholders ort the need for the Project and why (other Applicant and organizations involved in the Jp to three letters of support are ed and may be assigned points.	P- included in USDA form N- Maine RSFI narrative is not included in application materials
11) Community Im	pact	
Project Narrative s Grant Proposal Te Section and any s	the Maine RFSI Application Form section and the USDA Infrastructure mplate External Project Support ubmitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 		P- included in USDA form N- Maine RSFI narrative is not
wh sp	e review team will consider the degree to tich the Project directly addresses a ecific gap or barrier within Maine's local d regional food supply chain.	included in application materials
pro	e Application articulates the specific farms, ocessors, food businesses and community akeholders the Project will benefit.	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the on.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	P- included in USDA form N- Maine RSFI narrative is not included in application materials N- no letter of critical
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	infrastructure included
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	N- no letter of critical infrastructure included

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	P- budget appears accurate and complete
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected 	P- included in USDA form N- Maine RSFI narrative is not included in application materials to compare to Project Objectives and expected outcomes

 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match 	
Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	P- form included and is complete
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	N- application incomplete
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Р

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	For profit. Interesting history lesson about Somerset County. Demand for 160K pounds of Maine flour.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Maine RFSI not submitted.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Maine RFSI not submitted.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 		
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Maine RFSI not submitted.	
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		

produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers. strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section.	
-	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Maine RFSI not submitted. Stone ground medium grind cornmeal from Liberation Farms. Rusted Rooster, implied woman owned but not a category.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Maine RFSI not submitted. But some information in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

[
10) Stakeholder	' Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
suppor effectiv suppor that su than th project	oplication clearly demonstrates stakeholder t for the Project. Successful applicants will vely demonstrate community impact and t. Applicants must describe the stakeholders opport the need for the Project and why (other ne Applicant and organizations involved in the t). Up to three letters of support are raged and may be assigned points.	LOS from Maine Grain Alliance, Maine Grains. 45 beneficiaries and underserved farmers and ranchers is checked.
11) Community	Impact	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates community 		
	including labor and workforce erations.	
	The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain.	This was pretty thorough. Positive.
	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Could use more information. Missing letter of evidence of critical resources and infrastructure.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Negative. Not submitted.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Negative. Did not include Maine RFSI template.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables 	No errors. But missing some justification.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Rosemont Market, Inc. DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

16) Matching Funds

* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.

a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Positive and guestioning. Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the Verification Letter is included format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds and covers three years, all documentation must demonstrate that all matching match is in-kind staffing for contributions will be committed or secured at the salaries and wages. A portion of time the Applicant is recommended for an award. their match is business operation instead of project. **b.** All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. **17) Application Completeness** * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. Missing Maine RFSI part. Edited templates. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the

RFA.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
 Eligible Entity Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in 	Need verification that the business checks small
Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	business.
2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA.	The application meets the stated requirements.
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	The application meets the requirements.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The project is to replace current ingredients with 100% Maine Grains.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Rosemont Market, Inc. DATE: 5/05/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive • upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations The application meets the must be facilities that enhance middlerequirement. The project supports of-the-food-supply chain activities and value added processing improvements. Maine RFSI narrative not production-related activities. form is missing. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	The application is missing details. The project aligns with expanding value-added product capacity and resiliency.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	 a. The application is missing the RFSI application form. The project is supporting several listed underserved farmers. b. A women owned business has demonstrated involvement with a letter of support.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The application is missing details. b. The application meets the requirements.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder	· Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
suppor effectiv suppor that su than th project	oplication clearly demonstrates stakeholder et for the Project. Successful applicants will vely demonstrate community impact and et. Applicants must describe the stakeholders apport the need for the Project and why (other the Applicant and organizations involved in the et). Up to three letters of support are raged and may be assigned points.	A. The application is missing details on how community impact will be achieved through the project. There are letters of support but from those involved in the project.
11) Community	Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.		
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 		The application meets the
	The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain.	requirements.
ii.	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	a. The application is missing details. b. The application has a start date that is not allowable.
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	c. The application is missing details. d. The application meets the requirements.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	e. The application meets the requirements. f. The application meets the requirements.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	a. The application is missing details. b. The application is missing
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	details. c. The application is missing the Critical Infrastructure Form. d. The application does not have any examples of critical infrastructure already in place.

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	a. The application is missing details on how the proposed project activities align with the budget.
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshed a. b. c. d. e.	 Strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the 	 A. The application meets requirements. b. The application is missing details. C. The application meets the requirements. d. The application is missing details. e. The application is missing details. f. The application meets requirements.
	investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

(A) Mataking Funda	
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	a. The application meets the requirements. b. The application meets the requirements.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Maine RFSI project narrative form is missing. Critical
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	Infrastructure form is missing.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Р
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	N – form not submitted They did but not on correct form.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	ct
 i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenge The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfiguration 	ons
must be facilities that enhance mide of-the-food-supply chain activities a not production-related activities.	
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packagin investments, and processing, canning, an preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	g d
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribut improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space and delivery and distribution investments) 	3,

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	N – form not submitted
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N – form not submitted
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demon Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>Maine App is missing, not</u> <u>enough info.</u> <u>2 Letters of Support</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	<u>N – letter missing</u>

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Ρ
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with 	
allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
 b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. 	
c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	When personnel perform
d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	duties missing from justification.
e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matc	hing Funds	
	strated in the Match Verification Letters and the Iget Match Worksheet.	
	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	<u>N – baker is operational</u> <u>beyond project end</u> <u>life…match is short.</u>
17) Appli	cation Completeness	<u>N</u> Missed a check box (2.8) on
* Demon submitte	strated by all forms and supporting documents d.	the RFSI App
a.	The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.	Maine App missing When personnel will perform duties missing from justification.
		<u>Critical Infrastructure letter</u> missing

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Somali Bantu Community Association DATE: 5/8/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture Concer-

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Q
2) Elizible Dreiset Drepsed	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Q
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Q

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form 	
Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Q, Maine Application not submitted.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Q, Maine application not submitted
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 		
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Q	
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Ρ
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Ρ
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Q

14) Budget Completeness	
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	Q, Budget not submitted?
 The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes. 	Q

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q
17) Application Completeness* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents	
submitted.	Ν
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or 	
 Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	P- addresses market impact, limited articulation
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	N- Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative not included

6) Maine RFSI	Priorities	
* Demonstrated Project Narrativ	<i>I in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section.</i>	
will ac	pplication clearly articulates how the Project Idress one or more of the Maine RFSI tructure Grant priority areas.	
i.	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	N- Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative not included
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
iii.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	N- Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative not included
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N- Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative not included
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- addressed in USDA proposal template N- Maine RFSI Application Form
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	Project Narrative not included

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P- addressed in USDA template but not clearly demonstrated
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	P- addressed in USDA template but not clearly demonstrated
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	P- addressed in USDA proposal template
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	N- see contractual section for item that should have been included in equipment N- Maine RFSI Application Form
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	Project Narrative not included RFSI budget match worksheet not included
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Q- Evidence letters provided do not adhere to USDA format and guidelines

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	P- addressed in USDA proposal template N- Maine RFSI Budget match worksheet not included
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshe a. b. c. d.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	P- addressed in USDA proposal template N- see contractual section for item that should have been included in equipment N- Maine RFSI Budget match worksheet not included
e. f.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between	
	work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	N- Match amounts are uclear as RFSI budget match worksheet is not included
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	N- application incomplete
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	P Ag producer and not for profit.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	Permanent cold storage, wash pack and cold storage infrastructure at Hurricane Valley, and install solar panels at Liberation Farms.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Probably, inferred.

6) Maine RFS	Priorities	
* Demonstrate Project Narrati	d in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section.	
will a	Application clearly articulates how the Project ddress one or more of the Maine RFSI structure Grant priority areas.	
i.	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Did not include a Maine RFSI Application Form.
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
iii.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Did not include a Maine RFSI Application Form.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

produ * Demon Project N a.	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners. For projects intending to serve these entities, the	Did not include a Maine RFSI Application Form.
	Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
* Demons Narrative	cted Performance Measures strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Did not include a Maine RFSI Application Form. but some in the Usda template
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: SBCA DATE: 5/7/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Gus Nelson EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Cultivating Community ex dir and board president. Maine Community Section and any submitted letters of support. Foundation. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder Supported by organizations and support for the Project. Successful applicants will institutions that purchase culturally effectively demonstrate community impact and relevant produce. Maine Medical support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders Center Food Pantry, Preble Street that support the need for the Project and why (other Resource Center, and Portland Public Schools. than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. **11) Community Impact** * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support** Section and any submitted letters of support. **a.** The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. Positive. i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.

12) Proje	ct Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demons Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, B	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Did not include a Maine RFSI Application Form. Some numbers are included but little documentation. Not shovel-ready.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Applicant had letters but not from the Hurricane Farm owner. Essential phrasing was removed from forms/letters.

(14) Dudget Completences	
 14) Budget Completeness * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Some categories are misaligned. Math error in supplies category. No match worksheet included.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	Some errors are within budget (multiple). Solar and heat pumps are more operations and not project expenses.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a 	No match worksheet included. Applied for the 25% match.
match of non-Federal resources to this project.	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Did not include a Maine RFSI Application Form
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	The application meets the stated requirements.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or 	
 Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	The application meets the stated requirements by increased market development, increasing processing capacity, and aggreagation of produce.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The Maine RFSI application form is missing.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	The Maine RFSI application form is missing.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

· -	
7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	The Maine RFSI application form is missing.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
* Demon	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	The Maine RFSI application form is missing.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The Maine RFSI application is missing. b. The application meets the stated
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	requirements.

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	The application meets the stated requirements. Specific organizations that will benefit are listed.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	The application meets the stated requirements. Specific organizations
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	that will benefit are listed. Letters of support are not in the application.
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	a. The application is missing information. b. The application has an earlier-than-allowable date and
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	is missing information. c. The application is missing information. d. The application is missing
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	information. e. The application is missing information. f. The application partially meets the stated requirements.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demon Infrastru	estrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and a supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	a. The application meets the stated requirements.
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	 b. The application meets the stated requirements. c. The application meets the requirements. d. The application meets the stated requirements.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budg Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budge align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	a. The RFST Budget Match worksheet is missing from the application. The budget is difficult to read due to formatting.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs list in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical an accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile d. The budget section includes a complete descript of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurre The review team will consider the degree to whice the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	 A. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application partially meets the stated requirements. c. The budget is difficult to read and information is missing. d. The application partially meets the requirements. e. The application is missing information. f. The application partially meets the stated requirements.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. 	a. The Budget Match Worksheet is missing from the application. b. The application meets the stated requirements.
25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	
17) Application Completeness	
* <i>Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.</i>	The Maine RFSI narrative form is missing and the Budget Match worksheet is missing from the application. The formatting
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	made the application difficult to read and understand.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Somali Bantu Community Assoc DATE: 5/0272024 EVALUATOR NAME: Joy Bonenfant

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N – Maine App missing and on USDA it is not specifically stated but the project does.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	N – Maine App missing and on USDA it is not specifically stated but the project does.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	<u>N – form is missing</u>

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	N – form is missing
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	N- form is missing
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benef produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N – form is missing
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expec	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	N – Maine form is missing P – USDA form this is demonstrated
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and experimentions involved in the 	N- Maine Form is missing P – USDA form lists many entities that will receive support
than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	N – Maine Form missing No letters of support but list.
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	<u>N- Maine form Missing</u> <u>USDA form has 3 sections</u> <u>with expected numbers</u>
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.	
 The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships. 	
b. The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	2 letters attached but neither
d. Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	<u>has the mandatory box to be</u> <u>checked.</u> <u>No documentation to support</u>

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	N- No budget match worksheet Items in personnel and Fringe that don't belong there and not included in summary. Supplies not mathematically correct
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
* Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal	N- No budget match worksheet
Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with	Items in personnel and Fringe that don't belong there and not included in summary.
 allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. 	Supplies not mathematically correct (Unit cost \$4100, they only need one but they are showing a match value of \$4250) - request is too high or cost is inaccurate.
 in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it 	Construction - \$72,900 in cost justification, requesting \$72,900 but showing match of \$25,000 - request is too high or cost is inaccurate.
 furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. 	Utility trailer listed in justification but not included in budget line above. Under contractual/consultant they have purchasing a cut-greens washer listed.
f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	Other – Trailer rental cost is \$1000 per month, they are requesting \$1000 (assuming per month) and matching \$250 month – request is too high or monthly cost is inaccurate.

 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	<u>3 match letters provided</u> <u>N – budget match worksheet</u> not provided
 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	<u>N – Maine App missing</u> Budget Worksheet missing

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Q. Maine RFSI Form not submitted.

6) Maine RFSI	Priorities	
* Demonstrated Project Narrati	d in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section.	
will ac	pplication clearly articulates how the Project Idress one or more of the Maine RFSI tructure Grant priority areas.	
i.	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	N. No application submitted
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
iii.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

7)	USDA RFSI Priorities		
	* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
	 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 		
	 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 		
	 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q. Maine Application not submitted
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q. Maine Application not submitted
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Q
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Q
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q. Maine Application Not Submitted
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evidence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.	
 a. The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships. 	
b. The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d. Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Q. Form not submitted

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Q
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et. The Application demonstrates compliance with	
a.	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Q
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q. Not sure proposed match is eligible.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Q. Items not submitted
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	
18)	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Unclear in USDA form
2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Pass

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form 	
Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	P- Addressed with pilot of new technology
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	N- Maine RSFI form not included in application

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	N- Maine RSFI application not included
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	N- not included in RSFI application
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benet produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N- RSFI application not included
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- included in USDA form
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	N- RSFI application not included
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	P- potential for community impact
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	N- RSFI application not included
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	P- project has capacity to increase viability N- RSFI application not included Q- budget difficult to understand given the formatting issues Q-uncertain of ongoing technical assistance/maintenance with equipment
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P- letters provided

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	P- budget appears mathematically correct Q-formatting issues on budget create some challenges for understanding
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	P- sections appear complete Q-formatting issues on budget create some challenges for understanding

	1
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. 	Q-blueberries included in match
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	N- missing Maine RSFI application
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Did not submit a Maine RFSI application portion
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	Positive
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Positive but did not submit a Maine RFSI application portion

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Did not submit a Maine RFSI application portion
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

EVALUATION DEI ANTIMENT. Department of Agriculture, C	,
7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Did not submit a Maine RFSI application portion
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Did not submit a Maine RFSI application portion
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Not a good description but did identify.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

Project Narrati Grant Proposa	r Support d in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section and the USDA Infrastructure I Template External Project Support y submitted letters of support.	
suppo effect suppo that s than t project	application clearly demonstrates stakeholder ort for the Project. Successful applicants will ively demonstrate community impact and ort. Applicants must describe the stakeholders upport the need for the Project and why (other he Applicant and organizations involved in the ot). Up to three letters of support are uraged and may be assigned points.	LOS from Sen. Moore. Blue Sky business. Need more information on external support.
11) Community	/ Impact	
Project Narrati Grant Proposa	d in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section and the USDA Infrastructure I Template External Project Support y submitted letters of support.	
impao	pplication clearly demonstrates community t including labor and workforce derations.	Positive but could use much more information. Include more regional
i.	The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain.	blueberry farmers and other community stakeholders Did not submit Maine RFSI narrative.
ii.	The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	Shovel ready? Would have liked
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	to see them partner with more resources to help the business such as U of ME extension. Did not demo capacity to report
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Negative. No evidence of critical infrastructure. Demo exp?

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Diff to read, too diff to evaluate. Budget match worksheet is difficult to read. Not good with total project cost.
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee a. b. c. d. e.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether	Budget formatting and changed the headings. Can't use blueberries as a match. Unclear, personnel does not give a timeline. Travel is for education not the middle of the supply chain. Budget and video production could be promotional and not the middle of the supply chain.
	the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

40) Matahing Funda	
16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the	
RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	Negative. Consulting services match for ed video is not allowable. Discount is in cash but should be in kind.
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Did not submit a Maine RFSI application portion
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	More information is needed on whether or not this entity is eligible.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

 4) Market impact on increasing Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g., processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	The application meets the stated requirements in the USDA template.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The application does not specifically state this but wild blueberries are only grown in Maine.

 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. o. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investment, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
 will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, 		on Form
 infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, 	will address one or more of the Main	
 upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, 	infrastructure improvements (i expansion and modifications t buildings and/or construction buildings at existing facilities)	ncluding o existing of new to resolve
 packaging improvements (including value-added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, 	upgrades, repairs, or re must be facilities that e of-the-food-supply chai	econfigurations nhance middle- n activities and The Maine RFSI Application Form is missing.
improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space,	packaging improvements (incl added processing, hiring term personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing ar investments, and processing, preserving as an area most in investment, drying, hulling, sh cooking, baking, juicing, distill	uding value- -limited et nd packaging canning, and need of elling, milling,
	improvements (including cold aggregator warehouse and sto	storage, prage space,

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	The Maine RFSI Application Form is missing.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	The Maine RFSI application form is missing.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	 a. The application is missing details on performance measures. b. The application meets the stated requirements
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	requirements.

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	A. The application meets the stated requirements. The application included two letters of support.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	The application states that Washington County will benefit but is missing details on how this will be accomplished.
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ct Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, E	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form arrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the on.	
	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	a. The application lacks detail. b. The beginning date is not allowable. c. The application meets the
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	stated requirements. d. The application is missing details on how the business will be viable after RFSI funding.
	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	e. The application meets the stated requirements. f. The application meets the stated requirements.
	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	a. The application meets the
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	 a. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application meets the stated requirements. c. The application meets the
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	 c. The application meets the requirements, although there is a question of whether blueberries are an allowable cost. d. The application meets the stated requirements. Same question as above.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	a. The budget was difficult to read, RFSI budget match worksheet. The USDA budget meets the stated requirements.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	 A. The application meets the stated requirements. b. The application meets the stated requirements. c. The application meets the stated requirements. d. The application meets the stated requirements. e. The application meets the stated requirements. f. The application meets the stated requirements. The RFSI budget match worksheet was difficult to read.

	l .
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	 A. The match letter was not high enough, at \$1000. It is unclear where the rest of the money will be committed. b. The application lacks details.
17) Application Completeness	
 * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are 	The RFSI narrative form was missing. The format of the budget made it impossible to read.
complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Q – does the \$35k discount count towards the match?
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	No Maine App
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	No Maine App

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve 	
challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	No Maine App
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	<u>P – 100%</u>
requirement.	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Wescogus Wild Blueberry DATE: 5/06/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Joy Bonenfant EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

6) Maine RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. **a.** The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. i. Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive • upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middleof-the-food-supply chain activities and No Maine App not production-related activities. ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including valueadded processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermentina). iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Wescogus Wild Blueberry DATE: 5/06/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Joy Bonenfant EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact • Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural No Maine App communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. • Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved

producers.

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.		
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>			
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Р	
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.		
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.			
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	N form missing	
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.		

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. 	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	N form missing
 11) Community Impact * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. 	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	List only no description of how they would be supported.
i. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a	2 Letters of support
specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain.	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Wescogus Wild Blueberry DATE: 5/06/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Joy Bonenfant EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. **a.** The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. **b.** The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of Maine form missing – not planning and readiness to implement the proposed enough information to Project. determine. **d.** The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. f. The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.

13) Evidence o	f Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
the pr	pplicant has demonstrated experience with oposed work, including past Projects and/or priate staff/business relationships.	
resou	pplication provides evidence that the critical rces and infrastructure that are necessary for oposed Project to be successful are in place.	
of Crit	pplicant has submitted an error-free Evidence ical Infrastructure Form that is complete and es to the format outlined in the RFA.	
resou the in place faciliti share resou struct place applic critica comp	cants must submit evidence that critical rces and infrastructure necessary to support itiation and completion of a Project are in Critical resources and infrastructure can be es, land, structure, use of city street/parks, d-used kitchen, and/or other essential rces for the proposed project activities. Land, ures, and other critical resources must be in and in working condition at the time of ration submission. The Form must indicate the I resources necessary for initiating and leting the project and certify that they are in and committed prior to the start date of the ct.	No check box and not signed

14) Bude	get Completeness	
* Demon Proposa Match W	estrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Vorksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	N - Total Project costs column is not mathematically correct.
* Demons Template Worksher a. b. c. d.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	N - Total Project costs column is not mathematically correct. Blueberries not allowable for match When employees will provide service is not outlined in the Personnel section.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Wescogus Wild Blueberry DATE: 5/06/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Joy Bonenfant EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

16) Matching Funds

* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.

- N check box section is missing, **a.** The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match once removing unallowable Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the blueberries, they don't make match format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds requirement. documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the Indirect costs in both match and time the Applicant is recommended for an award. requested column on USDA app, can't be both. **b.** All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Q – does the \$35k discount count Applicants must submit written and signed towards the match? verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. **17) Application Completeness** * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents
- submitted.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Q
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Q.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Q.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Point Oysters Co. DATE: 5/6/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities

* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added);

ii. Workforce/economic impact

- Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities.
- Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers;
- iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure
 - Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities.
 - Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises
 - Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved producers.

Q.

8) Benefit to Underserved or Underrepresented food producers.		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	P.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Q.
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	ά.
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness		
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Q.

14) Budget Completeness		
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Q.	
15) Budget Narrative and Justification		
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed 		
 in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. 	Q.	
 e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 		

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	Q.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Q.
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Point Oyster Company DATE: 5/03/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Appears to be a PASS
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Point Oyster Company DATE: 5/03/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or		
N- Maine RFSI application form narrative was not included in the review materials		
N- Maine RFSI application form narrative was not included in the review materials		

INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES			
RFA #: 202403071			
RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program			
BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Point Oyster Company			
DATE: 5/03/2024			
EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic a	and Community Development		
6) Maine RFSI Priorities			
of Maine RISIFIONCES			
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> Project Narrative section.			
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 			
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 			
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	N- Maine RFSI application form narrative was not included in the review materials		
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).			
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 			

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Point Oyster Company DATE: 5/03/2024		
EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar	d Community Development	
7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on 		
 value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	N- Maine RFSI application form narrative was not included in the review materials	
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		

		L3
RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Point Oyster Company DATE: 5/03/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling		
EVA	LUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar	d Community Development
	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food	
	ucers.	
prou		
	estrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N- Maine RFSI application form narrative was not included in the review materials
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- USDA form is completed for this N- Maine RFSI application form narrative was not included in the review materials
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

	23
RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Point Oyster Company DATE: 5/03/2024	
EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar	nd Community Development
10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	N- Maine RFSI application form narrative was not included in the review materials
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	N- Maine RFSI application form narrative was not included in the review materials
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	
12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	P- USDA form is completed for this
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form	N- Maine RFSI application form narrative was not included in the review materials

RFPA BIDD DATE EVAI EVAI Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	#: 202403071 A TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Progra DER NAME: Blackstone Point Oyster Company E: 5/03/2024 LUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling LUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	m
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	
13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	P- applicant has letter
	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or	Q- does not mention the ownership arrangements of the newly acquired building.

RFA ;	RFA #: 202403071		
RFP	RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program		
BIDD	BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Point Oyster Company		
DATE	5/03/2024		
EVAL	UATOR NAME: Ellen Maling		
EVAL	.UATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar	d Community Development	
documer	nts submitted with the Application.		
а.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with		
	the proposed work, including past Projects and/or		
	appropriate staff/business relationships.		
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical		
	resources and infrastructure that are necessary for		
	the proposed Project to be successful are in place.		
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence		
	of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and		
	adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.		
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical		
	resources and infrastructure necessary to support		
	the initiation and completion of a Project are in		
	place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be		
	facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks,		
	shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential		
	resources for the proposed project activities. Land,		
	structures, and other critical resources must be in		
	place and in working condition at the time of		
	application submission. The Form must indicate the		
	critical resources necessary for initiating and		
	completing the project and certify that they are in		
	place and committed prior to the start date of the		
	Project.		
14) Buda	et Completeness		
, .	-		
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant	P- budget appears complete and	
-	Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget	accurate	
Match W	orksheet.		
а.	The Application budget section is thoroughly		

	#: 202403071	
	A TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Progra	m
	ER NAME: Blackstone Point Oyster Company E: 5/03/2024	
	LUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling	
	LUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic ar	nd Community Development
	complete and mathematically correct. The budgets	
	align with the budget narrative and the proposed	
	Project activities.	
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification	
* Demons	trated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal	
	budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match	
а.	The Application demonstrates compliance with	
	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of	
	this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u>	
h	<u>Conditions</u> The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for	
D.	the Project and articulate how category costs listed	
	in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and	
	accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	P- USDA form is completed for this
d.	The budget section includes a complete description	N- Maine RFSI application form narrative was not included in the
	of and justification for each cost including how it	review materials
	furthers the objectives of the Project.	
е.	The budget justification provides enough detail for	
	reviewers to easily understand how costs were	
	determined and how they relate to the Project	
£	Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred.	
	The review team will consider the degree to which	
	the Project represents a good return for the	
	investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether	
	the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables	
	and budget) are reasonable for the expected	
	outcomes and objectives.	
16) Matc	hing Funds	
* Demon	strated in the Match Verification Letters and the	
	dget Match Worksheet.	D form opposite complete
		P- form appears complete
а.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching	
	Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match	
	Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program **BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Point Oyster Company** DATE: 5/03/2024 **EVALUATOR NAME: Ellen Maling** EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Economic and Community Development format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. **b.** All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. **17) Application Completeness** * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents N- Maine RFSI application form submitted. narrative was not included in the review materials **a.** The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.

р	
Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	Ρ
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	P
2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Р

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine BESL Application Form 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	OK but not very clear.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Does not say.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive 	
upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	Negative.
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Negative.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Benet produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Varrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	The applicant checked New and beginning farmers and ranchers on page 4 of the USDA application. Number: 10. Distressed Communities for Lincoln County score of 24.9
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Vague.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Negative. And no Letters of Support.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Describes some support in the template but missed it in the narrative section. No letters either.
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	But they do mention wanting to buy from other farms.
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Prot the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Is this shovel ready?
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Articulated but not described. Evidence letter was included.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Calculation errors.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected 	Errors. Negative.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	Multiple errors in calculation. Match verification letter included.
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	Cover page, litigation Environmental prescreen,
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	debarment included.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	The application meets the requirements.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The proposed start date is too early which may affect the end date of the project.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The Maine RFSI application form narrative is missing. The USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal template meets the stated requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	The Maine RFSI application form narrative is missing. The application partially meets the stated requirements.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The application does not clearly state this information.

6) Maine RFSI	Priorities	
* Demonstrated Project Narrati	d in the Maine RFSI Application Form ve section.	
will ac	pplication clearly articulates how the Project Idress one or more of the Maine RFSI tructure Grant priority areas.	
i.	Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
	• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	The application is missing the Maine RFSI application form project narrative section.
ii.	Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
iii.	Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments).	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	The details on the USDA template most closely align with "safe job opportunities" with the objective descriptions. It is stated that there will be "safe and comfortable working conditions".
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	The Maine RFSI application form projective narrative section is missing from the application.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The application is missing the Maine RFSI application form project narrative section. b. The application meets the stated requirements.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

The application is missing the Maine RFSI application form project narrative section.
The application is missing the Maine RFSI application form project narrative section. External support is described in the USDA template. There are no letters of support.

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	a. The application is missing the
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	Maine RFSI application form project narrative section. Missing information. b. The proposed activities cannot
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	start as soon as the July start date in the application which may affect the end date of the project. c. The application is missing information.
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	 d. The application is missing information. e. The application meets the requirements. f. The application meets the requirements.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	a. The application is missing information.
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	 b. The application partially meets the requirements. c. The application meets the requirements. d. The application is missing information.

14) Budget Completeness	
•	tions and the RFSI Budget The application meets the requirement
15) Budget Narrative and Justif	cation
 this RFA and the <u>USDA</u> <u>Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly dem the Project and articulat in the budget are derive c. The budget sections are accounting errors, the b d. The budget section inclue of and justification for ea furthers the objectives of e. The budget justification reviewers to easily under determined and how the Objectives and Expecte f. The budget demonstrate work planned and perfor The review team will co the Project represents a investment (money, time 	 RFSI Budget Match Strates compliance with ribed in Part 2 Section D of <u>General Terms and</u> Onstrates the total cost for e how category costs listed d. A. The application meets the requirement. b. The application is missing information. c. The application meets the requirement. d. The application meets the requirement. d. The application is missing information. e. The application partially meets the requirements. f. The application partially meets the requirements.

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	 a. The match verification letter is partially filled out. It is unclear of the breakdown of the matching funds. b. The application meets the requirements.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	The application is missing the Maine RFSI application form project
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	narrative section.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	N – no document provided (Proj Narrative)

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	<u>Assuming 100%</u>

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	Ν
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Blackstone Point Oyster DATE: 5/04/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Joy Bonenfant EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

7) USDA RFSI Priorities * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency • Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); ii. Workforce/economic impact • Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural Ρ communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. • Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or valueadded products, such as underserved

producers.

8) Benet produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Ρ
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakabaldar Support	
10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	0 Letters of Support
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Р
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	P
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Ţ

AA Dude	not Commisterio o o	Ν.
14) Duag	get Completeness	– Budget worksheet – equipment
* Domon	atuated in the UCDA Infractionations Creat	math is incorrect.
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant	Match is not negative.
-	I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget forksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Equipment Ler Wath Coder GPT x107 x70 linkor \$ 17.433.75 Rende Wath Coder GPT x107 x70 linkor Rend x107 x70 x70 link
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et.	
a.	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	N – Budget worksheet – equipment math is incorrect.
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and	Match is not negative.
h	accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it	
	furthers the objectives of the Project.	
P	The budget justification provides enough detail for	
	reviewers to easily understand how costs were	
	determined and how they relate to the Project	
	Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between	

	work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	
16) Matc	hing Funds	
	strated in the Match Verification Letters and the dget Match Worksheet.	
a.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	<u>The letter matches the budget</u> which is not mathematically <u>correct.</u>
b.	All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	
17) Appl	ication Completeness	
submitte	<i>strated by all forms and supporting documents d.</i> The Application and all required documents are	<u>N-missed signing form -</u> <u>Litigation form blank</u> <u>On RFSI Application – section</u> <u>A incomplete (location of</u>
	complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.	<u>property)</u>

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Smithereen Farm DATE: 5/8/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	Q, Maine form not submitted
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Q, Maine Form not submitted
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Q

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Smithereen Farm DATE: 5/8/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Andrew Kendall EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address).	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ρ
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	Ρ

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
• The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	N, Maine form not submitted
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	Q
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	Q
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	Q
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Q
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	Q
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	~
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pro the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form larrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure nd any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	Q
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
Infrastru	strated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and cture Letter and supported by any forms and/or nts submitted with the Application.	
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Q

14) Budg	get Completeness	
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Q
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee	The Application demonstrates compliance with	
	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u>	
	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Q
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award.	Q
 b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	N, Maine form not submitted
 The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	PASS
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	PASS
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	PASS

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	N- Maine RSFI proposal not included in materials
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	N- Maine RSFI proposal not included in materials

6) Maine RFSI Priori	ities	
* Demonstrated in th Project Narrative see	e Maine RFSI Application Form ction.	
will address	tion clearly articulates how the Project one or more of the Maine RFSI re Grant priority areas.	
infras expa build build	ects that will achieve on-farm facility structure improvements (including nsion and modifications to existing ings and/or construction of new ings at existing facilities) to resolve le-of-the-food-supply chain challenges.	
•	The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities.	N- Maine RSFI proposal not included in materials
pack adde perso imple inves preso inves cook	ects that support processing and aging improvements (including value- ed processing, hiring term-limited onnel to assist with project ementation, co-packing and packaging stments, and processing, canning, and erving as an area most in need of stment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, ing, baking, juicing, distilling, and enting).	
impro aggro	ects that support storage and distribution ovements (including cold storage, egator warehouse and storage space, delivery and distribution investments).	
		<u> </u>

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	N- Maine RSFI proposal not included in materials
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Bene produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N- Maine RSFI proposal not included in materials
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	P- USDA form is completed N- Maine RSFI proposal not included in materials
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

 10) Stakeholder Support * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will 	N- Maine RSFI proposal not included in materials Q- no specific mention of customers
effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	included
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 a. The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	P- mentioned, not clearly demonstrated
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	N- Maine RSFI proposal not included in materials
ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit.	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
C.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	N- Maine RSFI proposal not included in materials
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	P- letter included

14) Budget Completeness		
Proposa Match W	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant I Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Yorksheet. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	N- budget is very disorganized
15) Budg	get Narrative and Justification	
Template Workshee	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match et. The Application demonstrates compliance with	
a.	allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> Conditions	
b.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	
C.	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	N- no quotes provided
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives.	

	<u>.</u>
16) Matching Funds	
* Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	N-match verification letter incomplete. Justification is difficult to understand.
17) Application Completeness	
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.	N- did not follow RFA guidelines
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
 a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	Ρ
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Р

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Ag producer, talk about themselves instead. Not very articulate on market impact,
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	No Maine RFSI Application.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* <i>Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form</i> <i>Project Narrative section.</i>	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle-of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	No Maine RFSI Application.
 ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting). 	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	No Maine RFSI Application.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

,	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food acers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	No Maine RFSI Application.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	No Maine RFSI Application. Some identified but little demonstration or articulation
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points.	Low, architect letter not the best but blueberry one rates high.
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	This section is light.
 ii. The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
* Demon Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters, I	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	Shovel ready? Says they will be
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	ready to hire two people. Any estimate paperwork? No capacity to report outcome
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	and indicator – no narrative included.
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evide	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
C.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	Letter is signed but not checked box

 14) Budget Completeness * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	Negative. Math error and no match listed. Error on match worksheet, not submit any matching funds. They were filled out incorrectly. Some parts did not reconcile. Multiple errors.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and Conditions</u> b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived. c. The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	Equipment costs can't be justified. The personnel section has 100%whichis not allowable. No timeline for personnelle. No timeline for the contract but there is justification. Some calcuatoiron erros. Crane's use is confusing. Indirect is calculated wrong.

 16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. 	Incomplete budget match.
 including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted. a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	No Maine RFSI Application.

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator Comments
1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template.	
a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA.	The application meets the stated requirements.
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	The application meets the stated requirements.

 4) Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section. 	
 a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically: 	The application needs more specific details.
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	The application is missing details.

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Proje will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	ect
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenge 	es.
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfiguration must be facilities that enhance mic of-the-food-supply chain activities not production-related activities. 	Idle-
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packagir investments, and processing, canning, ar preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, millir cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	ng nd
 iii. Projects that support storage and distributing improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space and delivery and distribution investments) 	e,

7) USDA RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities	
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 	
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	The application is missing details.
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 	

8) Bene produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	The application is missing details.
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expected Performance Measures		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Expected Performance Measures Section.		
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	a. The application is missing details. b. The application meets the stated requirements.
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Project Narrative section and the L Grant Proposal Template External Section and any submitted letters	ISDA Infrastructure Project Support
 a. The Application clearly der support for the Project. Suc effectively demonstrate cor support. Applicants must d that support the need for th than the Applicant and orga project). Up to three letters encouraged and may be as 	ccessful applicants will nmunity impact and escribe the stakeholders anizations involved in the of support are
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Project Narrative section and the L Grant Proposal Template External Section and any submitted letters	ISDA Infrastructure Project Support
 a. The Application clearly der impact including labor and considerations. 	
which the Project di	er within Maine's local
	culates the specific farms, sinesses and community bject will benefit.

RFA #: 202403071 RFPA TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program BIDDER NAME: Smithereen Farms DATE: 5/08/2024 EVALUATOR NAME: Jane Kirsling EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

12) Project Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form **Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure** Grant Proposal Template, submitted letters of support, the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Letters, Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter, and any documents submitted with the Application. a. The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness. a. The application partially meets the requirements. **b.** The Project description of activities and purpose is b. The proposed timeline clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed doesn't go into specifics but is Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027). allowable. c. The application partially c. The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of meets the requirements. planning and readiness to implement the proposed d. The application is missing Project. details. e.The application meets the **d.** The Project will improve the Applicant's business stated requirements with hiring resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon new employees. these efforts after the Project is completed. The f. The application meets the Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances stated requirements. that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended. e. The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management. f. The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.

13) Evidence of Critical Infra	structure	
* Demonstrated in the Evider Infrastructure Letter and sup documents submitted with th		
	emonstrated experience with ncluding past Projects and/or siness relationships.	
resources and infras	rides evidence that the critical tructure that are necessary for t to be successful are in place.	
of Critical Infrastruct	ubmitted an error-free Evidence ure Form that is complete and at outlined in the RFA.	a. The application meets the stated requirements.
resources and infras the initiation and con place. Critical resour facilities, land, struct shared-used kitchen resources for the pro structures, and other place and in working application submission critical resources new completing the projet	mit evidence that critical structure necessary to support inpletion of a Project are in rces and infrastructure can be ure, use of city street/parks, , and/or other essential posed project activities. Land, r critical resources must be in g condition at the time of on. The Form must indicate the cessary for initiating and ct and certify that they are in d prior to the start date of the	 b. The application meets the stated requirements. c. The form is not error-free. d. The application meets the stated requirements.

14) Budget Completeness	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities. 	If the project activities are within one year the budget was complete, if they aren't, which is what is stated in another place, the budget needs more detail. The match calculation was incorrect.
15) Budget Narrative and Justification	
 * Demonstrated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet. a. The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the USDA General Terms and Conditions b. The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile. d. The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project. e. The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes. f. The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected outcomes and objectives. 	The application had multiple errors.

16) Motobing Eurodo	
16) Matching Funds * Demonstrated in the Match Verification Letters and the RFSI Budget Match Worksheet.	
 a. The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. b. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project. 	A. The match calculation was incorrect. The application is missing details.
17) Application Completeness * Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents	
submitted.	The Maine RFSI Narrative Form is missing.
 a. The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA. 	

Scoring Criteria	Individual Evaluator <u>Comments</u>
 1) Eligible Entity * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Applicant is an eligible entity as described in Part 1 Section C of this RFA. 	P Project name is longer than 15 words
 2) Eligible Project Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes an eligible Project that meets the Project definition and terms as described in Part 2 Section A of this RFA. 	Ρ
 3) Middle of the Supply Chain Activities Proposed * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template. a. The Application describes a Project that is based on allowable middle of the supply chain activities as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA. 	Ρ

 Market impact to increase Maine's middle of the supply chain capacity (specific issue, problem, or need that the project will address). 	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template Specific Issue, Problem, or Need that this Project will Address section.	
a. The Application demonstrates market impact and articulates how the Project is designed to solve challenges and increase middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency (e.g. processing, aggregation, and distribution) of Maine-produced agricultural food and beverage products in the state or region and contribute to more and better markets for the targeted agricultural products of farmers and ranchers in the state. Specifically:	Per the USDA form but no explanation as to how. Maine form is missing
 the degree to which the Project proposes to solve challenges in Maine's food system by increasing, or improving, middle of the supply chain capacity and resiliency. 	
 ii. The degree to which the Project adds additional, or improved, sales channels for the Applicant. 	
5) The Project funded foods contain 67% or more Maine grown, harvested, or produced ingredients.	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section. a. Priority points will be granted to Project Applications for middle of the supply chain activities where the funded foods contain 67% or more agricultural raw materials grown, harvested, or produced in Maine by weight or volume. Water is excluded from this requirement. 	N

6) Maine RFSI Priorities	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.	
 a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the Maine RFSI Infrastructure Grant priority areas. 	
 Projects that will achieve on-farm facility infrastructure improvements (including expansion and modifications to existing buildings and/or construction of new buildings at existing facilities) to resolve middle-of-the-food-supply chain challenges. 	
 The on-farm facilities to receive upgrades, repairs, or reconfigurations must be facilities that enhance middle- of-the-food-supply chain activities and not production-related activities. 	N Form missing
ii. Projects that support processing and packaging improvements (including value- added processing, hiring term-limited personnel to assist with project implementation, co-packing and packaging investments, and processing, canning, and preserving as an area most in need of investment, drying, hulling, shelling, milling, cooking, baking, juicing, distilling, and fermenting).	
 iii. Projects that support storage and distribution improvements (including cold storage, aggregator warehouse and storage space, and delivery and distribution investments). 	

7) USDA RFSI Priorities		
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section.		
a. The Application clearly articulates how the Project will address one or more of the USDA RFSI Priorities		
 i. Expand value-added product capacity and resiliency Projects that support the development of value-added products available to consumers. Focus on small and medium-sized enterprises that add options and choices for consumers and producers (emphasis on value-added); 		
 ii. Workforce/economic impact Projects that support proposals that provide fair prices, fair wages and new and safe job opportunities that keep profits in rural communities. Projects that offer family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers; 	N – form is missing	
 iii. Diversity of processing infrastructure Projects that increase diversity in processing options in terms of business model approaches, geography, and availability to underserved communities. Applications that are submitted by cooperatives, farmer- and worker-owned enterprises Projects that offer more and better market opportunities and new streams of revenue to small and mid-sized agricultural producers, including those who may not have access to value-added opportunities or processing to meet market demand for premium or value-added products, such as underserved producers. 		

8) Benet produ	fit to Underserved or Underrepresented food Icers.	
	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Varrative section.	
a.	The Application clearly articulates how the Project will directly benefit underserved farmers and ranchers, new and beginning farmers and ranchers, veteran producers, or processors or other middle- of-the-supply chain businesses owned by socially disadvantaged individuals including Local Food Purchase Assistance (LFPA) Producer Partners.	N Form is missing
b.	For projects intending to serve these entities, the Applicant has demonstrated involvement of those beneficiaries when developing the proposed Projects and Application.	
9) Expe	cted Performance Measures	
Narrative	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Expected Performance Measures Section.	
a.	The Applicant thoroughly articulated the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures. The Applicant provided a description of the general tasks/activities to be completed during the project period to fulfill the Project's purpose, deliverables, expected outcomes, and performance measures.	N Some numbers filled in – not thoroughly articulated
b.	The Applicant must identify at least one of the predetermined indicators listed under Outcome 2: Capacity in the middle of the supply chain for local/regional food products OR Outcome 3: Increase economic viability of local/regional producers and processors. The Applicant must provide quantifiable expected outcomes (expected numbers) based on the Project's projects scope of work.	

10) Stakeholder Support	
 * Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support. a. The Application clearly demonstrates stakeholder support for the Project. Successful applicants will effectively demonstrate community impact and support. Applicants must describe the stakeholders that support the need for the Project and why (other than the Applicant and organizations involved in the project). Up to three letters of support are encouraged and may be assigned points. 	N Not enough information to Determine on application 2 Letters of support
11) Community Impact	
* Demonstrated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Project Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal Template External Project Support Section and any submitted letters of support.	
 The Application clearly demonstrates community impact including labor and workforce considerations. 	N Not enough information to
 The review team will consider the degree to which the Project directly addresses a specific gap or barrier within Maine's local and regional food supply chain. 	Determine
 The Application articulates the specific farms, processors, food businesses and community stakeholders the Project will benefit. 	

		l
12) Proje	ect Viability, Feasibility, and Readiness	
Project N Grant Pr the RFSI Letters,	strated in the Maine RFSI Application Form Narrative section and the USDA Infrastructure oposal Template, submitted letters of support, Budget Match Worksheet, Match Verification Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure and any documents submitted with the ion.	
a.	The Application demonstrates the Applicant and Project's financial viability, technical feasibility, and readiness.	
b.	The Project description of activities and purpose is clear, reasonable, and achievable by the proposed Project end date (no later than May 24, 2027).	
c.	The Applicant has demonstrated a sufficient state of planning and readiness to implement the proposed Project.	N Not enough information to Determine
d.	The Project will improve the Applicant's business resiliency and will create opportunities to build upon these efforts after the Project is completed. The Applicant has demonstrated reasonable assurances that the entity will viable and economically sustainable after RFSI funding has ended.	
e.	The specific Project outcomes will increase the viability of the Applicant entity's infrastructure such as: workforce capability, workforce safety, operational efficiency, food safety, waste, or wastewater management.	
f.	The Applicant has demonstrated the capacity to report outcomes and indicators to demonstrate investment impact.	

13) Evid	ence of Critical Infrastructure	
* Demonstrated in the Evidence of Critical Resources and Infrastructure Letter and supported by any forms and/or documents submitted with the Application.		
a.	The Applicant has demonstrated experience with the proposed work, including past Projects and/or appropriate staff/business relationships.	
b.	The Application provides evidence that the critical resources and infrastructure that are necessary for the proposed Project to be successful are in place.	
c.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Evidence of Critical Infrastructure Form that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA.	
d.	Applicants must submit evidence that critical resources and infrastructure necessary to support the initiation and completion of a Project are in place. Critical resources and infrastructure can be facilities, land, structure, use of city street/parks, shared-used kitchen, and/or other essential resources for the proposed project activities. Land, structures, and other critical resources must be in place and in working condition at the time of application submission. The Form must indicate the critical resources necessary for initiating and completing the project and certify that they are in place and committed prior to the start date of the Project.	<u>P – letter is signed and includes paragraph</u>

14) Budg	let Completeness	N – no match listed in the match column, all funds listed in Requested
* Demon	strated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant	column
Proposal	Template budget sections and the RFSI Budget orksheet.	Direct costs \$500 variance from requested to total column
a.	The Application budget section is thoroughly complete and mathematically correct. The budgets align with the budget narrative and the proposed Project activities.	Match calculator is not correct – 50% of \$632,580.65 = \$316,290.33 not \$62,500.
15) Budg	et Narrative and Justification	
	trated in the USDA Infrastructure Grant Proposal budget sections and the RFSI Budget Match	
Workshee	ot.	Ν
	The Application demonstrates compliance with allowable costs as described in Part 2 Section D of this RFA and the <u>USDA General Terms and</u> <u>Conditions</u>	The personnel section has 100% processor and support staff, only eligible during project and not 100% for operational needs.
D.	The budget clearly demonstrates the total cost for the Project and articulate how category costs listed in the budget are derived.	Equipment prices cannot be verified
	The budget sections are free of mathematical and accounting errors, the budget sections reconcile.	\$0 in Contractual but paragraph in justification.
d.	The budget section includes a complete description of and justification for each cost including how it furthers the objectives of the Project.	Mathematically incorrect – 2 cranes @ \$5k = \$10k. or is only one crane needed and the 2 is a typo??
e.	The budget justification provides enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and how they relate to the Project Objectives and Expected Outcomes.	
f.	The budget demonstrates a relationship between work planned and performed to the costs incurred. The review team will consider the degree to which the Project represents a good return for the investment (money, time, etc.) as well as whether the Project work and cost estimates (deliverables and budget) are reasonable for the expected	

	outcomes and objectives.	
16) Match	ning Funds	
	strated in the Match Verification Letters and the Iget Match Worksheet.	
b.	The Applicant has submitted an error-free Matching Funds Verification Letter and Budget Match Worksheet that is complete and adheres to the format outlined in the RFA. The matching funds documentation must demonstrate that all matching contributions will be committed or secured at the time the Applicant is recommended for an award. All eligible entities must provide a 50% match OR a 25% graduated match of the total project cost. Applicants must submit written and signed verification of match commitment from any party, including the eligible entity, who will contribute a match of non-Federal resources to this project.	<u>Match calculator is</u> <u>mathematically incorrect</u> <u>No match shown on budget or</u> <u>in calculator</u> <u>Personnel does not count as</u> <u>match, operational work not</u> <u>project work.</u>
17) Application Completeness		
* Demonstrated by all forms and supporting documents submitted.		<u>N</u> Maine Application missing
	The Application and all required documents are complete and adhere to the format outlined in the RFA.	



STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

> Amanda E. Beal Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFA #: (Insert RFA #202403071)

RFP TITLE: Maine Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program

I, Andrew Kendall accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Signature

Date April 30, 2024



STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF Agriculture, Conservation, and Forestry

> Amanda E. Beal Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 202403071 RFP TITLE: Resilient Food Systems Program

I, Jane Kirsling accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

—DocuSigned by: Jane Eirsling

4/30/2024

Signature



STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Amanda E. Beal Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFA #: (Insert RFA #202403071)

RFP TITLE: Maine Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program

I, Ellen Maling accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

DocuSianed by: Ellen Maling

Signature

April 30, 2024



STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Amanda E. Beal Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFA #: (Insert RFA #202403071)

RFP TITLE: Maine Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Program

I, Gus Nelson accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

DocuSigned by: Gustan Milson

Signature

April 30, 2024



STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, CONSERVATION, AND FORESTRY

Amanda E. Beal Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 202403071 RFP TITLE: RFSI Infrastructure Project

I, Joy Bonenfant accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

4-30-24