

**State of Maine**  
**RFP / Proposal Master Score Sheet**

*Instructions: Complete the Master Score Sheet below providing all of the requested information for each bidder that submitted a proposal in response to the RFP. This document is to be included in the Selection Package submitted to the Division of Procurement Services for review/approval.*

<b>SCORESHEET FOR RFP#201802019: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development</b>									
<b>PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:</b>		<b>CCSWCD Highland Lake</b>		<b>CCSWCD Mosher Brook</b>		<b>Hancock County Planning Commission</b>		<b>KCSWCD Great Pond</b>	
<b>COST:</b>		<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$38,297.25</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$23,813.62</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$33,000</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$18,622</b>
<b>EVALUATION ITEM</b>	<b>POINTS AVAIL.</b>								
Section I: Organization Qualifications and Experience	10	8		7		3		7	
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody	10	6		2		6		9	
Section III. NPS Pollution Problem/Need	15	9		5		7		10	
Section IV. Feasibility for Success	25	15		13		7		15	
Section V. Cost Effectiveness	25	11		9		7		20	
Section VI. Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration	10	6		6		6		6	
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan	5	5		5		3		3	
<b>Total</b>	<b><u>100</u></b>	<b><u>60</u></b>		<b><u>47</u></b>		<b><u>39</u></b>		<b><u>70</u></b>	

**SCORESHEET FOR RFP#201802019: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development**

PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:		KCSWCD Webber/Threemile		YCSWCD Kennebunk River					
COST:		Cost:	\$17,465	Cost:	\$41,600	Cost:		Cost:	
EVALUATION ITEM	POINTS AVAIL.								
Section I: Organization Qualifications and Experience	10	6		9					
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody	10	6		10					
Section III. NPS Pollution Problem/Need	15	8		12					
Section IV. Feasibility for Success	25	10		18					
Section V. Cost Effectiveness	25	9		17					
Section VI. Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration	10	6		6					
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan	5	1		2					
<b>Total</b>	<b><u>100</u></b>	<b><u>46</u></b>		<b><u>74</u></b>					



PAUL R. LEPAGE  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



PAUL MERCER  
COMMISSIONER

Whitney Baker  
York County SWCD  
21 Bradeen Street, Suite 104  
Springvale, ME 04083

June 12, 2018

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201802019, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Whitney:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title
York County SWCD	Kennebunk River Watershed-Based Plan Development Project
Kennebec County SWCD	Great Pond Watershed-Based Plan Development Project
Cumberland County SWCD	Highland Lake Watershed-Based Management Plan Project

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely,

Wendy Garland  
NPS Program Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4578 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



PAUL R. LEPAGE  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



PAUL MERCER  
COMMISSIONER

Dale Finseth  
Kennebec County SWCD  
21 Enterprise Drive, Suite #1  
Augusta, ME 04330

June 12, 2018

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201802019, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Dale:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title
York County SWCD	Kennebunk River Watershed-Based Plan Development Project
Kennebec County SWCD	Great Pond Watershed-Based Plan Development Project
Cumberland County SWCD	Highland Lake Watershed-Based Management Plan Project

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely,

Wendy Garland  
NPS Program Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



PAUL R. LEPAGE  
GOVERNOR

PAUL MERCER  
COMMISSIONER

Jack Flaherty, Chair  
Cumberland County SWCD  
35 Main Street, Suite 3  
Windham, ME 04062

June 12, 2018

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201802019, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Jack:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title
York County SWCD	Kennebunk River Watershed-Based Plan Development Project
Kennebec County SWCD	Great Pond Watershed-Based Plan Development Project
Cumberland County SWCD	Highland Lake Watershed-Based Management Plan Project

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely,

Wendy Garland  
NPS Program Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



PAUL R. LEPAGE  
GOVERNOR

PAUL MERCER  
COMMISSIONER

Sheri Walsh  
Hancock County Planning Commission  
395 State Street  
Ellsworth, ME 04605

June 12, 2018

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201802019, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Ms. Walsh:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title
York County SWCD	Kennebunk River Watershed-Based Plan Development Project
Kennebec County SWCD	Great Pond Watershed-Based Plan Development Project
Cumberland County SWCD	Highland Lake Watershed-Based Management Plan Project

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely,

Wendy Garland  
NPS Program Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6305

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: YCSWCD

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Procurement Services as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Maine DEP

NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR: Wendy Garland

NAMES OF EVALUATORS: Wendy Garland, Kathy Hoppe, Greg Beane, Phil Carey

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>		
	Pass:	Fail:
◆ (List all pass/fail criteria of the RFP, if any. This section <b>must</b> be completed by RFP Coordinator <b>before</b> proposals are given to review team for evaluation. If a proposal fails <b>any</b> of the pass/fail criteria, the proposal is to be rejected and, therefore, not given to a review team for review.)		
◆ Match at least 25%	X	
◆ Eligible recipient	X	
◆ NPS Priority Watershed	X	
		<u>Points Awarded:</u>
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section I. Organization Qualifications and Experience	(Max: 10 Points)	9
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody	(Max: 10 Points)	10
Section III. NPS Pollution Problem/Need	(Max: 15 Points)	12
Section IV. Feasibility for Success	(Max: 25 Points)	18
Section V. Cost Effectiveness	(Max: 25 Points)	17
Section VI. Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration	(Max: 10 Points)	6
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan	(Max: 5 Points)	2
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>		<b>74</b>
		(Max: 100 Points)

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019  
RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
BIDDER: *YCSWCD*  
DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*  
EVALUATION OF SECTION I  
Organization Qualifications and Experience

Total Points Available: 10

Score: *9*

\*\*\*\*\*  
Evaluation Team Comments:

<p><i>Very Strong applicant and project team w/ Wells Reserve. Although only 2 staff @ YCSWCD, Wells Reserve gives depth &amp; resources that would provide capacity if any staff changes.</i></p>

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019  
RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
BIDDER: YCSW CO  
DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION II  
Relative Value of Waterbody

Total Points Available: 10      Score: 10

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Very good diverse values including popular coastal swimming beach, marinas etc. @ coast.  
Inland good habitat + wild life + trails Water supply for KKW (25% service area).



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: YCSW CD

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV  
Feasibility for Success**

**Total Points Available: 25 Score: 18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Well laid out plan + well understood process. Good to consider ordinances + work with each town, Public involvement, outfall monitoring (also doing outside Kennecotts?) Concerns/questions about whether project could answer all questions for WQ in such a large watershed. Strong partnerships + involvement. Likely to be completed as proposed.</i></p> <p><i>B</i></p>
---

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

**BIDDER:** YCSWLD

**DATE:** May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
Cost Effectiveness**

**Total Points Available:** 25 **Score:** 17

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<p><i>Strong match (91%) from diverse sources + partners. Relatively high cost project but ambitious project in a large watershed. Overall good investment. Task 4 could be further refined.</i></p>



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019  
 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
 BIDDER: YCSWCD  
 DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration**

**Total Points Available: 10                  Score: 6**

\*\*\*\*\*

MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS			
	Total	Maine Resident	Maine Impact
Number of FTE Employees:	4	4	100%
Payroll:	89,821	89,821	100%
<b>Average percent of MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS:</b>			<b>100%</b>

1-74%:	2 points
75-100%:	4 points

MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT		
	Total amount in Maine	
Income Taxes Paid (State):	0	
Property Taxes Paid (Local):	0	
Wages to Maine Residents:	89,821	
Payments to Maine Subcontractors Estimated:	4,535	
<b>Sum of MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT:</b>		<b>94,356</b>

\$1 - \$1,000,000:	2 points
\$1,000,001 - \$10,000,000:	4 points
>\$10,000,000:	6 points

<b>Total Points for Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration:</b>	<b>6</b>
---	----------

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: YCSW CD

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
Comprehensive Plan

Total Points Available: 5 Score: 2

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

Watershed includes towns of:
Alfred (expired plan)
Arundel (consistent plan)
Kennebunk (expired plan)
Kennebunkport (consistent plan)
Lyman (inconsistent plan)
Only two of the 5 towns have consistent/approved plans. + 35% of watershed.
Therefore only 2 points for this category.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: CCSWCD - Highland Lake

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Procurement Services as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Maine DEP

NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR: Wendy Garland

NAMES OF EVALUATORS: Wendy Garland, Kathy Hoppe, Greg Beane, Phil Carey

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>		
	Pass:	Fail:
◆ (List all pass/fail criteria of the RFP, if any. This section <b>must</b> be completed by RFP Coordinator <b>before</b> proposals are given to review team for evaluation. If a proposal fails <b>any</b> of the pass/fail criteria, the proposal is to be rejected and, therefore, not given to a review team for review.)		
◆ Match at least 25%	X	
◆ Eligible recipient	X	
◆ NPS Priority Watershed	X	
<b>Points Awarded:</b>		
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section I. Organization Qualifications and Experience (Max: 10 Points)	8	
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody (Max: 10 Points)	6	
Section III. NPS Pollution Problem/Need (Max: 15 Points)	9	
Section IV. Feasibility for Success (Max: 25 Points)	15	
Section V. Cost Effectiveness (Max: 25 Points)	11	
Section VI. Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration (Max: 10 Points)	6	
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan (Max: 5 Points)	5	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>60</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019  
RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
BIDDER: *CCSWCD- Highland Lake*  
DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION I  
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

**Total Points Available:** 10                      **Score:** 8

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<i>Strong applicant with extensive 319/6046</i>
<i>experience. Other strong partners on team.</i>
<i>Heather True has been heavily involved in</i>
<i>Highland Lake efforts + 319 projects.</i>

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: CCSW CD - Highland

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION II**

**Relative Value of Waterbody**

**Total Points Available: 10      Score: 6**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<p>Close to Portland pop. center but limited public access. Only a carry in launch. Alewife run. 1000+ year-round access make it relatively high use. Overall average/low value compared to many SME lakes since not widely used by non residents.</p>
--

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: CCSWCD Highland

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III  
NPS Pollution Problem/Need**

**Total Points Available:** 15 **Score:** 9

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Not currently impaired, but may be relisted.

Some info on WQ but quite sparse given the extensive long-term & recent findings. Past survey info & range of possible NPS sources good. However, doesn't mention the extensive watershed-wide development.

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019  
RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
BIDDER: CCSWCD - Highland  
DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION IV  
Feasibility for Success

Total Points Available: 25 Score: 15

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

<p>Could be completed as proposed, but lacked detail in some tasks (waq monitoring, BMP evals, septics). 5 or 10 yr. plan? Strong partners + involvement in developing + carrying out plan. Some question about feas. success given that past work resulted in possible <del>re</del> 2nd impairment.</p>





**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: CCSWCD - Highland Lake

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration**

**Total Points Available:** 10                      **Score:** 6

\*\*\*\*\*

MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS			
	Total	Maine Resident	Maine Impact
Number of FTE Employees:	12	12	100%
Payroll:	883,823	883,823	100%
<b>Average percent of MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS:</b>			<b>100%</b>

1-74%:	2 points
75-100%:	4 points

MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT		
	Total amount in Maine	
Income Taxes Paid (State):	0	
Property Taxes Paid (Local):	0	
Wages to Maine Residents:	883,823	
Payments to Maine Subcontractors Estimated:	101,625	
<b>Sum of MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT:</b>		<b>985,448</b>

\$1 - \$1,000,000:	2 points
\$1,000,001 - \$10,000,000:	4 points
>\$10,000,000:	6 points

<b>Total Points for Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration:</b>	<b>6</b>
---	----------

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: CCSW CD - Highland

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
Comprehensive Plan**

**Total Points Available: 5   Score: 5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<p style="font-size: 1.2em;">Watershed includes the Towns of Windham, Falmouth &amp; Westbrook. All three have approved/consistent plans. Therefore, proposal receives full points.</p>
---

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: *KCSW CD - Webber - Three Mile*

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Procurement Services as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Maine DEP

NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR: Wendy Garland

NAMES OF EVALUATORS: Wendy Garland, Kathy Hoppe, Greg Beane, Phil Carey

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>		Pass:	Fail:
◆ (List all pass/fail criteria of the RFP, if any. This section <b>must</b> be completed by RFP Coordinator <b>before</b> proposals are given to review team for evaluation. If a proposal fails <b>any</b> of the pass/fail criteria, the proposal is to be rejected and, therefore, not given to a review team for review.)			
◆ Match at least 25%		X	
◆ Eligible recipient		X	
◆ NPS Priority Watershed		X	
		<u>Points Awarded:</u>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>			
Section I. Organization Qualifications and Experience	(Max: 10 Points)	<i>6</i>	
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody	(Max: 10 Points)	<i>6</i>	
Section III. NPS Pollution Problem/Need	(Max: 15 Points)	<i>8</i>	
Section IV. Feasibility for Success	(Max: 25 Points)	<i>10</i>	
Section V. Cost Effectiveness	(Max: 25 Points)	<i>9</i>	
Section VI. Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration	(Max: 10 Points)	<i>6</i>	
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan	(Max: 5 Points)	<i>1</i>	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	(Max: 100 Points)	<i>46</i>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

**BIDDER:** KCSWCD - Wilton

**DATE:** May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION I  
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

**Total Points Available:** 10

**Score:** 6

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<i>See top half of next page.</i>
-----------------------------------

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: KCSWCD Webber

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION II

Relative Value of Waterbody

Total Points Available: 10      Score: 6

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

← →

KCSWCD Strong past experience. CLRA was good past partner but no recent experience/ track record. Project team does not include water quality/limnology expertise to evaluate blooms/restoration options

---

Public access on both. Restored alawife run - now a commercial harvest (B). Potential for increased use. However, not destination lakes.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019  
RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
BIDDER: *RCSW CD - Webber/3Mike*  
DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III  
NPS Pollution Problem/Need**

**Total Points Available: 15 Score: 8**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<i>High need - High phosphorus.</i> <i>Both lakes impaired w/ TMDLs completed.</i> <i>Updated road survey, but no look @ shoreline (and no plans to do so). How many sites identified?</i> <i>Proposal could have provided more WQ data <del>to</del> and identified watershed changes/issues.</i>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: *KCSW CD - Webber / 3 Mile*

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV  
Feasibility for Success**

**Total Points Available: 25 Score: *10***

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<p><i>As proposed, would be feasible to complete.</i></p> <p><i>However, did not include a comprehensive assessment of watershed NPS sources (<u>only roads</u> - what about residential, internal loading?). Would not result in a strong plan as written, that would guide restoration.</i></p> <p><i>Not very clear if there's strong buy in for the project based on partners/match contributions.</i></p>
--





**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: *KCSW & Webber*

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration**

**Total Points Available:** 10      **Score:** 6

\*\*\*\*\*

<b>MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS</b>			
	Total	Maine Resident	Maine Impact
Number of FTE Employees:	<i>2.2</i>	<i>2.2</i>	<i>100%</i>
Payroll:	<i>67,632</i>	<i>67,632</i>	<i>100%</i>
<b>Average percent of MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS:</b>			<b>100%</b>

1-74%:	2 points
75-100%:	4 points

<b>MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT</b>	
	Total amount in Maine
Income Taxes Paid (State):	<i>3,254</i>
Property Taxes Paid (Local):	<i>0</i>
Wages to Maine Residents:	<i>67,632</i>
Payments to Maine Subcontractors Estimated:	<i>20,000</i>
<b>Sum of MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT:</b>	<b><i>90,886</i></b>

\$1 - \$1,000,000:	2 points
\$1,000,001 - \$10,000,000:	4 points
>\$10,000,000:	6 points

<b>Total Points for Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration:</b>	<b>6</b>
---	----------

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: KCSWCD - Webber / Threemile

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
Comprehensive Plan

Total Points Available: 5   Score: 1

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

<p>Watershed includes towns of Augusta, China, Vassalboro + Windsor. Approved/consistent plans for Augusta + China.</p> <p>Windsor + Vassalboro do not have plans. Since China + Augusta covers 28% of the watershed area, 1 of 5 points awarded.</p>

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: *Great Pond - KCSWCD*

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Procurement Services as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Maine DEP

NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR: Wendy Garland

NAMES OF EVALUATORS: Wendy Garland, Kathy Hoppe, Greg Beane, Phil Carey

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>		
	Pass:	Fail:
◆ (List all pass/fail criteria of the RFP, <b>if any</b> . This section <b>must</b> be completed by RFP Coordinator <b>before</b> proposals are given to review team for evaluation. If a proposal fails <b>any</b> of the pass/fail criteria, the proposal is to be rejected and, therefore, not given to a review team for review.)		
◆ Match at least 25%	X	
◆ Eligible recipient	X	
◆ NPS Priority Watershed	X	
<b>Points Awarded:</b>		
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section I. Organization Qualifications and Experience (Max: 10 Points)	7	
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody (Max: 10 Points)	9	
Section III. NPS Pollution Problem/Need (Max: 15 Points)	10	
Section IV. Feasibility for Success (Max: 25 Points)	15	
Section V. Cost Effectiveness (Max: 25 Points)	20	
Section VI. Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration (Max: 10 Points)	6	
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan (Max: 5 Points)	3	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>70</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019  
 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
 BIDDER: KCSWCD - Great Pond  
 DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION I  
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

**Total Points Available: 10                      Score: 7**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Strong project team w/ KCSWCD & TLA.  
 Good project outcome for recent East Pond plan.  
 Some delays in getting reports & deliverables, but overall very good. Question about qualifications needed for consultant. Given focus on alum treatment, question about whether there should be limnologist on the consultant team. No mention in proposal.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: KCSWCD - Great Pond

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III  
NPS Pollution Problem/Need**

**Total Points Available: 15 Score: 10**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Problem- Impaired due to SDT + TP. Not severely impaired (eg algae blooms). Good opportunity to restore before. Problem well understood. Survey being updated to identify issues currently. Past survey identified res. + roads as primary issues. Most high impact sites roads. No info provided on findings of 2012 study of loading sources. Ag? Commercial areas?

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019  
RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
BIDDER: *RCSW CD- Great Pond*  
DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION IV  
Feasibility for Success

Total Points Available: 25 Score: 15

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

<p><i>Overall strong proposal in terms of feasibility to complete - strong partners, clear tasks.</i></p> <p><i>Does not seem to address ordinances, new development to address future sources. Also unclear if towns are seriously interested - no cash match or in-kind.</i></p> <p><i>Good opportunity to restore but very large watershed w/ many sources.</i></p>
--

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: *KCSD CD - Great Pond*

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
Cost Effectiveness

Total Points Available: 25 Score: *20*

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

<i>Strong match (51%) from several partners. Good investment for \$18K.</i>
<i>Low admin. cost and reasonable tech costs/match.</i>



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019  
 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
 BIDDER: *KCSWCO- Great Pond*  
 DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration**

**Total Points Available:** 10      **Score:** 6

\*\*\*\*\*

MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS			
	Total	Maine Resident	Maine Impact
Number of FTE Employees:	<i>2.2</i>	<i>2.2</i>	<i>100%</i>
Payroll:	<i>67,632</i>	<i>67,632</i>	<i>100%</i>
<b>Average percent of MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS:</b>			<b>100%</b>

1-74%:	2 points
75-100%:	4 points

MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT	
	Total amount in Maine
Income Taxes Paid (State):	<i>3,254</i>
Property Taxes Paid (Local):	<i>0</i>
Wages to Maine Residents:	<i>67,632</i>
Payments to Maine Subcontractors Estimated:	<i>20,000</i>
<b>Sum of MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT:</b>	<b><i>90,886</i></b>

\$1 - \$1,000,000:	2 points
\$1,000,001 - \$10,000,000:	4 points
>\$10,000,000:	6 points

<b>Total Points for Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration:</b>	<b>6</b>
---	----------

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: KCSW CD- Great Pond

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*  
EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
Comprehensive Plan

Total Points Available: 5 Score: 3

\*\*\*\*\*  
Evaluation Team Comments:

Watershed includes towns of Belgrade, Mercer, Oakland, Rome + Smithfield. Approved/consistent plans for Belgrade only. Rome + Mercer do not have plans + Smithfield + Oakland have expired plans. 62% of watershed area in town(s) with current consistent plans. Therefore, 3 of 5 points awarded.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: *CCSWD - Masher*

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Procurement Services as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Maine DEP

NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR: Wendy Garland

NAMES OF EVALUATORS: Wendy Garland, Kathy Hoppe, Greg Beane, Phil Carey

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>		
	Pass:	Fail:
◆ (List all pass/fail criteria of the RFP, <b>if any</b> . This section <b>must</b> be completed by RFP Coordinator <b>before</b> proposals are given to review team for evaluation. If a proposal fails <b>any</b> of the pass/fail criteria, the proposal is to be rejected and, therefore, not given to a review team for review.)		
◆ Match at least 25%	X	
◆ Eligible recipient	X	
◆ NPS Priority Watershed	X	
		<u>Points Awarded:</u>
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section I. Organization Qualifications and Experience (Max: 10 Points)	7	
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody (Max: 10 Points)	2	
Section III. NPS Pollution Problem/Need (Max: 15 Points)	5	
Section IV. Feasibility for Success (Max: 25 Points)	13	
Section V. Cost Effectiveness (Max: 25 Points)	9	
Section VI. Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration (Max: 10 Points)	6	
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan (Max: 5 Points)	5	
<b>TOTAL POINTS (Max: 100 Points)</b>		<b>47</b>

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019  
 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
 BIDDER: *CCSWCD - Mosher*  
 DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION I  
 Organization Qualifications and Experience**

Total Points Available: 10

Score: 7

\*\*\*\*\*  
Evaluation Team Comments:

<p><i>Strong applicant w/ recent experience w/ WBP project. Given proposals discussion of possible of. NPS + of. surveys, team didn't indicate any of. experience.</i></p>

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: *CCSW CD - Moshers*

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION II

Relative Value of Waterbody

Total Points Available: 10      Score: *2*

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<p><i>Some limited habitat values, but overall very limited value for this small/rural stream.</i></p> <p><i>Any potential public interest in future? - Doesn't seem likely. No public trails or use.</i></p>
---

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: CCSCWCO - Mosher

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION III  
NPS Pollution Problem/Need

Total Points Available: 15 Score: 5

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

Impaired - DO + bacteria. Draft TMDL.  
DEP has some DO + ~~Ecological~~ <sup>open</sup> details provided in proposal to shed light on severity of/localities for impairment. Provided info from draft NPS TMDL but did not supplement w/ details about types of ag (animals?) how much sewer/septic. ~~to~~ Limited analysis of local knowledge on potential sources.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: *CCSUWD Masher*

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV  
Feasibility for Success**

**Total Points Available: 25 Score: 13**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<p><i><del>Not</del> Not clear that the project tackles the likely growth in watershed (ordinances) <sup>Limited</sup> water quality task will not get sources. Otherwise, task well sequenced to evaluate potential sources in watershed.</i></p> <p><i>Strong partnership from town to support project and appears to be buy in from major landowners. Not sure if there's any potential for larger constituency for stream w/o public access/use.</i></p>
--

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: CCSWCD - MASTER

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*  
EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
Cost Effectiveness

Total Points Available: 25 Score: 9

\*\*\*\*\*  
Evaluation Team Comments:

57% match with very strong contribution from Town.

PLZT match. Costs relatively high for TAC (7 mtgs high).

\$55K high cost for WBP for small stream with 1.26 sq. mile watershed. May not be very useful plan if don't consider growth. Indirect cost = 65% of grant.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019  
 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
 BIDDER: *CCSWCD - Mosher*  
 DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration**

**Total Points Available:** 10      **Score:** 6

\*\*\*\*\*

<b>MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS</b>			
	Total	Maine Resident	Maine Impact
Number of FTE Employees:	<i>12</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>100</i>
Payroll:	<i>883,823</i>	<i>883,823</i>	<i>100</i>
<b>Average percent of MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS:</b>			<b>100%</b>

1-74%:	2 points
75-100%:	4 points

<b>MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT</b>	
	Total amount in Maine
Income Taxes Paid (State):	<i>0</i>
Property Taxes Paid (Local):	<i>0</i>
Wages to Maine Residents:	<i>883,823</i>
Payments to Maine Subcontractors Estimated:	<i>101,625</i>
<b>Sum of MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT:</b>	<b><i>985,448</i></b>

\$1 - \$1,000,000:	2 points
\$1,000,001 - \$10,000,000:	4 points
>\$10,000,000:	6 points

<b>Total Points for Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration:</b>	<b>6</b>
---	----------

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: CCSW CD - Mosher

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
Comprehensive Plan**

Total Points Available: 5    Score: 5

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<p>Watershed includes only the Town of Gorham, which has an approved/consistent plan. Therefore, proposal receives full points.</p>

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: *HCPC - Jordan River*

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Procurement Services as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Maine DEP

NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR: Wendy Garland

NAMES OF EVALUATORS: Wendy Garland, Kathy Hoppe, Greg Beane, Phil Carey

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>		
	Pass:	Fail:
◆ (List all pass/fail criteria of the RFP, <b>if any</b> . This section <b>must</b> be completed by <b>RFP Coordinator before</b> proposals are given to review team for evaluation. If a proposal fails <b>any</b> of the pass/fail criteria, the proposal is to be rejected and, therefore, not given to a review team for review.)		
◆ Match at least 25%	X	
◆ Eligible recipient	X	
◆ NPS Priority Watershed	X	
<b>Points Awarded:</b>		
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section I. Organization Qualifications and Experience (Max: 10 Points)	3	
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody (Max: 10 Points)	6	
Section III. NPS Pollution Problem/Need (Max: 15 Points)	7	
Section IV. Feasibility for Success (Max: 25 Points)	7	
Section V. Cost Effectiveness (Max: 25 Points)	7	
Section VI. Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration (Max: 10 Points)	6	
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan (Max: 5 Points)	3	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>39</b>	

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: HCPC - Jordan River

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION I  
Organization Qualifications and Experience

Total Points Available: 10

Score: 3

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

HCPC - Applicant hasn't done any 604b/319 projects. <sup>Strong HCPC</sup> Experience w/ <sup>past</sup> DACT grants but current staff turnover/transition.

Other team members bring varied experience, but ~~may~~ <sup>appear to</sup> play relatively minor roles (eg HCSWCD only on Steering Committee). Concerns about team's ability to carry out the project, which would likely be relatively complex. May be stronger w/ qualified consultant on the team. Proposal didn't follow instructions + was well over page limit.

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: *HCPC*

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION II

Relative Value of Waterbody

Total Points Available: 10      Score: 6

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Important for shellfishing. <sup>possible</sup> Limited recreational value since focus is on Acadia in that region - However, overuse of Acadia may give this potential in future. Does not appear to be public access on the river. Not clear how much economic shellfish value of Jordan River specifically (compared to larger Frenchman Bay program). Also, no info. provided on aquaculture operations.

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

BIDDER: HCPC

DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III  
NPS Pollution Problem/Need**

**Total Points Available:** 15    **Score:** 7

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<p>Proposal states it's impaired but it actually is near impaired. Some good background sampling but poor description/understanding of what the data indicates. No information at all provided about possible NPS sources in watershed</p> <p>Good opportunity given that it's not yet impaired</p>

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019  
RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
BIDDER: HCPC  
DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV  
Feasibility for Success**

**Total Points Available: 25 Score: 7**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Plan does not include a component to evaluate watershed sources + not well developed wq monitoring. Tasks lack detail + not clear about level of local support + involvement (letters not considered since > pg. limit). Not evident it would result in a useful product that would guide wq protection.

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019  
RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
BIDDER: HCPC  
DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
Cost Effectiveness

Total Points Available: 25 Score: 7

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

Match 70% from diverse sources - mostly in-kind.  
Tasks didn't seem well fleshed out + lacked info on budget category breakdowns within tasks.  
Not clear if DACT funds are eligible as match.  
Without significant restructuring, would not be a good investment.  
Level of local support not clear since most letters were not considered.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019  
 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development  
 BIDDER: *HCPC*  
 DATE: May 22, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration**

**Total Points Available:** 10      **Score:** 6

\*\*\*\*\*

<b>MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS</b>			
	Total	Maine Resident	Maine Impact
Number of FTE Employees:	<i>3</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100</i>
Payroll:	<i>159,417</i>	<i>159,417</i>	<i>100</i>
<b>Average percent of MAINE BUSINESS ANALYSIS:</b>			<b>100%</b>

1-74%:	2 points
75-100%:	4 points

<b>MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT</b>	
	Total amount in Maine
Income Taxes Paid (State):	<i>8,263</i>
Property Taxes Paid (Local):	<i>0</i>
Wages to Maine Residents:	<i>159,417</i>
Payments to Maine Subcontractors Estimated:	<i>10,000</i>
<b>Sum of MAINE ECONOMIC IMPACT:</b>	<b><i>177,680</i></b>

\$1 - \$1,000,000:	2 points
\$1,000,001 - \$10,000,000:	4 points
>\$10,000,000:	6 points

<b>Total Points for Maine Business and Economic Impact Consideration:</b>	<b>6</b>
---	----------



STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Hancock County Planning Commission

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/14/18

EVALUATOR NAME: G. Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

not clear of HCSWED's role

Qualifications & experience - HCPC has OK qualifications but no experience coordinating 604b projects that I'm aware of. Support staff have strong science backgrounds but little project coordination experience (of this type). (M)

Value of waterbody - Supports clam harvesting (above mouth of river). Has boat ramp but I believe use is limited by proximity to MDI/ Acadia Natl Park - at this point people want to go to the island. No swimming, recreational fishing etc. (M)

NPS pollution problem/need - close to failing water quality standards for shellfish harvest. DMR monitoring shows high bacteria levels at a number of sampling stations. MDI Biological Lab also samples water quality so problems are well documented. (P)

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: HCSWCD Jordan Riley WBP

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/14/18

EVALUATOR NAME: S. Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Feasibility for success - I like involvement of the Frenchman Bay partners. Very capable partners regarding water quality monitoring etc. but not sure of HCP's capability. Also vague about HCSWCD's involvement. Good sampling & analysis plan & education and outreach component. Not clear if effort will extend throughout watershed. Weak development of restoration measures.

Cost effectiveness - No breakdown of cost by category - project cost is expensive considering there will be monitoring and assessment but little development of specific measures to address stressors.

Q  
M

M

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: KCSWCD - Great Pond WBP

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/15/18

EVALUATOR NAME: A. Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*
Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.
\*\*\*\*\*

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Qualifications & experience - Excellent, KCSWCD has been a strong partner in NPS grant projects for a long time. (P)

Value of the waterbody - Very high, GP is the centerpiece of the Belgrade Lakes Region and provides tremendous recreational & economic value to the region. Side note - I attended camp Bonaseen in 1976. (P+)

Pollution problem/need - unfortunately, much of the lake is now eutrophic and suffers algal blooms in the fall. It appears GP is recycling phosphorus. State need to help GP restore its cold water fishery by increasing DO in deeper areas & improve overall water quality. (P)

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: KCSWCD - Great Pond WBP

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/15/18

EVALUATOR NAME: G. Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Feasibility for success - Fair, I am not sure what "alternative restoration feasibility analysis is" - allow treatment? (Not a great fit). - we have put a fair amount of effort into GP, however, the nonpoint areas have increased greatly since the 80's. I am not clear if this proposal would adequately plan for restoration. (P)

Cost effectiveness - Strong match commitment and low administrative costs. Task 5 seems excessive, but not over the top. Not much to critique here - estimated costs seem reasonable. (P)

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: KCSWCD *Webber - Three mile ponds WBP*

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) *5/14/18*

EVALUATOR NAME: *B. Berne*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

*Qualification & experience*

*KCSWCD - strong record coordinating grant projects and CRLA is an experienced late advocacy/steward organization (P)*

*Value*

*of waterbody - ponds have poor water quality and reduced appeal to swimmers/anglers/campers - I think \* - need to check w/ CMRD staff of this. Close to population centers, good public access and commercial alewife harvest. (P) (M)*

*NPS*

*Pollution problem/need - The ponds bloom annually and are in violation of GPA Criteria & strong need (P)*

*Sensibility for success - I am unclear on the execution of task 3, also these ponds have received attention to address blooms/poor water quality since the 1980's. Ponds have also had two (CRLA administered) grant projects with continuing poor water quality. No letters of support from towns. (M)*

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: *KSUCO - Hubbert Three mile ponds WBP*

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) *5/14/18*

EVALUATOR NAME: *A. Beane*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

*Cost effectiveness - Good, modest amount of grant funds for this project considering conceptual design and use of consultant. Low personnel expenses. ~~Low~~ Would like to see higher. Match commitment but meets requirement. (P)*



STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: CCSWCD - Highland Lake Watershed - WBMP

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/15/18

EVALUATOR NAME: G. Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Qualifications & experience - CCSWCD has excellent qualifications and P.M. is very experienced. (P)

Value of waterbody - Close to population centers but appears to have modest recreational value to the public - limited access (hand carry boat launch only). (Q)

NPS pollution problem - Not currently impaired (removed from list in 2016) but showing increasing phosphorous & algal growth. Most likely lake will be relisted as impaired in 2018. (P)

Sensibility for success - The proposal seems to contain the necessary steps to develop a good management plan, however, I got a strong sense of 'Deja Vu' reading this. Highland Lake has received a great deal of attention and been awarded

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: CSWD Highland Lake Watershed - WRAP

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/13/18

EVALUATOR NAME: S. Bernier

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

319 grants in the past which resulted in delisting in 2012 (good!) but it appears build out has continued in the watershed, BMP's haven't been maintained etc. - things have backslid so water quality is declining to the point relisting appears ~~imminent~~ <sup>more</sup> imminent. Not sure we should put resources into this.

(M)

Cost effectiveness - Good match but maybe too optimistic in estimating 273 HLA Volunteer hrs. High personnel expenses, need more clarification on Indirect Costs. I understand Highland Lake is a complex issue but the TAC effort seems excessive - compounding project cost / expected match.

?

(M)

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: YCSWCD - Kennebec River WBP

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/15/18

EVALUATOR NAME: B. Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Qualifications & experience - Applicant has strong qualifications / experience - Not much more to say on this YCSWCD has been a strong partner in water quality projects for many years. (P)

Value of waterbody - Drinking water supply for Kennebec service area (25%). Important recreational resource especially when considering Beach's Beach. Swimming, fishing, boating, etc. Also has bearing on tourism in popular southern Maine region. (P)

Pollution Problem/Need - High, river has elevated bacteria as documented by Maine Healthy Beaches & Statewide Bacteria TMDL. (P)

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: YSCWD - Kennebec River WBS

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: B. Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Feasibility for success - Like that they propose to map stormwater outfalls. Bacteria are notoriously hard to identify and track, strongly in favor of plan to use a consultant to coordinate project. - Like the concept of the watershed Action Plan. I feel like this is a strong proposal w/ a very good feasibility for success. (P)

Cost effectiveness - Fairly high cost project w/ a lot of personnel expense but this is a robust proposal which would accomplish a lot of tasks, so good bang for the buck. + there is a strong match commitment from the consultant & towns in the watershed, not to mention the utility districts. (P)

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: CCSWCD - Marshes Brook WBP

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: B. Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Qualifications & experience - Excellent qualifications and experience - the project coordinator also just completed a similar 604b planning project on Phillips Brook. (P)

Value of waterbody - Somewhat low, does not provide much by way of recreation - fishing, boating, swimming, etc. ~~is~~ part of undeveloped corridor which is important in southern Maine. (M)

NPS pollution problem/need - Brook is impaired w/ low DO and high bacteria. Also appears to have degraded habitat. Overall not a lot of data available regarding water quality/stressors. (P-)

Feasibility for success - Somewhat low in my opinion, for instance, no mention of quality control procedures. Regarding water quality monitoring - not clear on PRLP's qualifications to conduct

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: CCSUCD - Mashed Brook WBP

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: R. Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Sampling. Everything else is pretty standard but this proposal just didn't have strong appeal to me. Also, no NPS TMDL. (M)

Cost effectiveness - Strongest. Match commitment of all projects but high personnel expenses - project management to need to involve several different staff... discuss Aggressive TAC - Task 26 - Not sure with ET if necessary, otherwise, straight forward cost for what proposal will accomplish. (P)

## STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** Cumberland CSWCD (Mosher Brook)

**DATE:** May 17, 2018

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Kathy Hoppe

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*

**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Applicant Qualifications (10)
+ lots of experience with 319 & 604b projects (recent iffy performance?)
- don't see any ag experience 36% is ag and there is an ag survey; task 3e is all ag
Relative Value of Waterbody (10)
- not public drinking water, no specific public use of water body
+ important habitat
- no sport fishery although it has 3 species of fish (IFW survey)
NPS Pollution Problem/Need (15)
+ bacteria
- don't know how many sample or for how many years ... how old is data?
+/- was in draft NPS TMDL but removed from final because municipality argued against its inclusion – need to find out why?
- no bug data
+ low DO but watershed sounds wetlandy and maybe low DO is natural?
- states 'historic data indicates low DO' – so nothing recent?
- unknown type of ag (is the 37% row crop or livestock a combination?)
+ stream habitat walk
- Nothing about public sewer – is this area sewered? Talks about cross connections and also septics – I am confused.
+ Limited green space in Southern Maine

## STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** Cumberland CSWCD (Mosher Brook)

**DATE:** May 17, 2018

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Kathy Hoppe

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Feasibility of Success (25)
- no smoking gun – (e.g. large livestock operation) feels like a shotgun – lets survey everything ...
+ believe District can complete plan as proposed but - unsure if there is a problem and if it will be able to address it
- not clear if this is 5 or 10-year plan, what are we buying
- general feel of uncertainty
- 2yr – thought this was supposed to be 1 year?
- states high growth but no mention of ordinance or zoning review to address new development and potential new problems
- proposal speaks of contiguous important habitat but nothing is mentioned about exploring how to keep the habitat that is being used to justify the need – (e.g. if this contiguous habitat is gone is it still worth fixing the other issues?)
- no constituency clambering to improve, driven by listing but I question listing
- all retrofit no prevention yet in high growth area .. what is to say all this work is done that it isn't undone by new development?
Cost Effectiveness (25)
- number of meetings for small watershed seems excessive
? septic & sewer survey – not sure what product there will be, plus how much of watershed is sewered and how much on septic? Cost is low if sanitary survey
- might qualify for indirect costs but they are excessive compared to money for rest of federal money. Only need \$8,400 of grant money that is not indirect, to complete project. 65% of federal money is indirect
+ 43% grant v 57% match With so much grant going for indirect, why not just do the project without any grant funding?
- 7 staff for small watershed
Maine Business & Economic Impact (10)
Comprehensive Plan (5)



## STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** Cumberland CSWCD (Highland Lake)

**DATE:** May 17, 2018

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Kathy Hoppe

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*

**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Applicant Qualifications (10)
+ lots of experience with 319 & 604b projects (recent iffy performance?)
+/- no sub-grantees or contractors so no wondering who will do the work and if they have the skills Strong TAC – likely to depend on them.
+ lots of past lake protection work including on this lake
+/- Heather strong partner, gets reports in on time, Adam has wwtp engineering experience not sure about conservation BMPs/stormwater/NPS
Relative Value of Waterbody (10)
- limited public access (modest hand carry)
+ important wildlife habitat
+ locally important resource/lake (outside Maine's largest city and has 1000+ year round residence)
+/- Basically a Maine lake
- Not a destination lake due to limited public access
+ alewife run
NPS Pollution Problem/Need (15)
- not listed as impaired (was on list but came off) statement that it may go back on but I don't know if that is accurate?
+ increasing levels of phosphorus since delisting (VLMP data shows this to be true) - Wish report had had data in it
+/- sechi disc data shows mostly stable and all readings below 2m thus does not yet meet impairment status
+ P data and changes in algae community shows something is changing or changed.
+ we know generally where P comes from, doing watershed survey on their own 2018
- for one of the most studied lakes in the state, there isn't much info in proposal.

## STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** Cumberland CSWCD (Highland Lake)

**DATE:** May 17, 2018

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Kathy Hoppe

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

+ amount of new development (lots of development pressure) is what sets this lake apart from other Maine lakes.
<b>Feasibility of Success (25)</b>
+ loads of interest and past work
+ municipalities very involved
+ have successfully done work that resulted in water body being moved off impaired list
+ believe District can complete project as outlined
- don't understand why 5 or 10 year plan, shouldn't they know what they want for a plan? Shouldn't they understand it takes years of work – 5 not enough and they can always modify and update if a 10 year plan ?
- unsure what kind of septic system survey will be done. No reference to any guide or what data will be collected.
+ municipalities already reviewing ordinances – hopefully will address future threats
+ Good to check installed BMPs for O & M
+ does note use of NPS Site Tracker
- no mention of how BMPs will be evaluated – consider Marianne Huberts past BMP inspection work
- Need QAPP for task 3c ? or are they just doing P?
+ do say SAP
- with 1000 residences and many year-round seems like a good place for LakeSmart
- Windham hasn't been very proactive about controlling development in the watershed. No checks on it so letting good work of the past be undone, what is to prevent this from continuing in the future?
<b>Cost Effectiveness (25)</b>
- TAC seems pricey for 6 meetings
? can 604b purchase water quality monitoring equipment?
- Task 6 – cost seem high for the types of activities proposed. Missing numbers – (e.g. number or newspaper articles, # of meetings).
+ Outreach is needed.
- 50% of grant to indirect costs. Biggest ticket item
+ 53% federal v 47% match
- pricey for lake WBP
<b>Maine Business &amp; Economic Impact (10)</b>
<b>Comprehensive Plan (5)</b>

## STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** Hancock County Planning Commission (Jordan River)

**DATE:** May 18, 2018

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Kathy Hoppe

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*

**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Applicant Qualifications (10)
+ lots of planning and project management experience
- no 319 or 604b experience
- under General Project Plan is says "Frenchman Bay Partners 610" will help manage the project but they aren't described in Quals and I don't know who they are. They are also responsible for huge match
- 'Project staff' will develop WBP – lack experience working on water quality planning documents and projects.
- RPC is in flux, new staff and retiring staff
-/+ HCSWCD new staff, a bit shaky but they don't have a big role in project – yet someone needs to look at landuse and potential sources.
Relative Value of Waterbody (10)
+ important shell fishery – main value
+ important tourist area (drains to waterway adjacent to MDI)
- no mention of public access to the river itself, no public drinking water
+/- not a big recreational draw with MDI so close at the same time might need protection from so much local activity that may spill over
NPS Pollution Problem/Need (15)
+ bacteria impairment - DMR
+ some exploratory sampling of bacteria and septic system survey work – no smoking guns yet
- lack of clarity of possible sources (e.g. no mention of public sewer system and possible leaking pipes, no mention of livestock, possible number of septic systems in watershed)
+ near upper limit of bacteria to allow shell fishing. Not listed as impaired but is on threatened list.
- With lack of info/discussion on possible sources (landused landuse activities) doesn't appear they have a clear understanding of watershed.
Feasibility of Success (25)
- Uncertain if there is an understanding of what the potential sources are and how they will be explored. Appears focused on sampling which is good if it is combined with looking at landuses, sewer connects etc.

**STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** Hancock County Planning Commission (Jordan River)

**DATE:** May 18, 2018

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Kathy Hoppe

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

- Lack of experience in NPS projects and WBP writing. Consultant will have skills but they are not responsible for Task 7. Since task budget tables weren't completed correctly it is hazy as to what consultant is responsible for.
- Task 3 – not sure how many times TAC will meet.
- Unclear who 610 Committee is, again not part of Quls and at times might be referred to as “Frenchman Bay Partners” No letter of support for them – they are an unknown
- Doesn't appear much town involvement (need to do more than advisory committee if they are to help implement plan)
- no experience with WBP and not partnering (subgrant or contract) with entity that does.
- lacks wholistic look at watershed issues
<b>Cost Effectiveness (25)</b>
- Hard to say, but don't think there will be an approvable WBP as a result, nor a through enough plan on how to identify the sources.
+ Costs are not out of line
- big match from unknown (Frenchman Bay Regional Shellfish Committee and Community Lab)
- costs don't seem well thought out or show lack of experience in true cost (e.g. outreach)
+ met match
<b>Maine Business &amp; Economic Impact (10)</b>
<b>Comprehensive Plan (5)</b>

## STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** Kennebec CSWCD Great Pond

**DATE:** May 17, 2018

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Kathy Hoppe

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*

**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Applicant Qualifications (10)
+ applicant has long history of 319 and 604b projects
+ subgrantee also has long history of NPS projects
+/- description of consultant fairly complete but if internal recycling is so important not sure why that hasn't been highlighted as a needed qualification ...
+ strong team KCSWCD & BRCA (7Laks)
Relative Value of Waterbody (10)
+/- typical Maine lake; swimming, public boat launch; summer camps.
- no mention of public beach
+ Regionally important
+ center piece of town (built on edge of)
NPS Pollution Problem/Need (15)
? states 34% of P load is internal (planning AI treatment?)
? not on impaired list yet; P values (5-11 X=8.8)and sechi disc (4.2-9.1 X=6.3) don't look bad. Concern is low DO. P7
+ Have active lake association with Youth Conservation Corps, had multiple implementation projects, LakeSmart, plan another watershed survey ....so they should know ...
- Watershed survey should find 'new' problems since last, need to ask why new problems and look to prevent new problems – don't see where plan intends to prevent problems
- What about roads? Not much said ... so many years of experience and work – Private roads? Associations?
- no mention of other watershed activities (commercial & ag?)
Feasibility of Success (25)
- Past work points to active association, LakeSmart points to shorefront property work – all point to addressing existing NPS sources no mention of preventing new sources. (Ordinances, enforcement, road associations)
- Towns have no investment in effort (\$) and I they are moving toward in-lake treatment towns will need to be on board.

**STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** Kennebec CSWCD Great Pond

**DATE:** May 17, 2018

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Kathy Hoppe

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

+ have a nice group of experienced organizations and staff involved
+ believe the project will be completed but - not sure the document will provide the road map forward
- large watershed with many potential sources – unsure if AI treatment will solve problem or that it is impaired ‘enough’
+ fairly decent water quality (aka not severely impaired) hence likely ‘restorable’
<b>Cost Effectiveness (25)</b>
+ Costs seem reasonable for work to be done
- No real match from municipalities.
+ 49% fed v 51% match
+ low administration costs
- light on internal load specialist – hope 11.5 hours is enough.
<b>Maine Business &amp; Economic Impact (10)</b>
<b>Comprehensive Plan (5)</b>

## STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** Kennebec CSWCD Webber & 3 Mile

**DATE:** May 17, 2018

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Kathy Hoppe

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*

**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Applicant Qualifications (10)
+ applicant has long history of 319 and 604b projects
- Confused regarding consultant – on one level says they will go through hiring process on another level under Qualls they list a specific person and under match there is a consulting firm
+ Lake association has long history of NPS work and successfully completing projects
- should have a limnologist to look more wholistic – lots of blooms
- team could/should have more depth
Relative Value of Waterbody (10)
+ Public boat launch, swimming, fishing; aka typical Maine Lake
+ alewife reintroduction
+ blooms severely
NPS Pollution Problem/Need (15)
+ impaired water bodies, TMDL, P problem
+ past surveys point to road issues
+ recent road survey
- no info or findings/summary
- left unsaid is why Mud is not included
+ water quality is improving, wish to continue that work
- no mention of camp/residence issues, new development or camp conversions ?
+ recognized ag in watershed and said not an issue now
- Disconnect between past survey lack of details
Feasibility of Success (25)
+ I believe KCSWCD can complete the proposed project

## STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** Kennebec CSWCD Webber & 3 Mile

**DATE:** May 17, 2018

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Kathy Hoppe

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

- I am not convinced the WBP will be a complete WBP looking at all possible NPS sources. Seems very road centric which may indeed be where the serious problems exist but workplan seems to miss that the WBP is for all NPS issues (aka the WBP may conclude that only the roads need to be addressed that they are the causal agent but it doesn't appear that the process laid out will explore or review the other potential sources)
+ appreciate the candor that an in-lake treatment is not the goal
+ lots of past implementation and on-going work (YCC too)
- General Project Plan doesn't really describe clearly what will happen other than contracting
- missing active engagement
- missing 2 <sup>nd</sup> data review/not wholistic look at watershed
<b>Cost Effectiveness (25)</b>
+ costs seem reasonable however ..
- I have concerns that this will be a complete review of NPS sources and solutions and
- appears to be more of a road NPS planning document
- match just met (72 v 28%) (Match iffy with consultant who may not be hired)
- not a great deal of local support behind this based on match
+ low personnel costs.
<b>Maine Business &amp; Economic Impact (10)</b>
<b>Comprehensive Plan (5)</b>



## STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: York County SWCD

DATE: May 17, 2018

EVALUATOR NAME: Kathy Hoppe

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*

**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Applicant Qualifications (10)
+ applicant has experience with NPS projects
+ partner (WNERR) has monitoring experience and experience with volunteers & outreach
+ applicant has experience with grants, reporting, financial management
+ clearly describes consultant qualification needs
- while York CSWCD lacks depth, WNERR likely to pick up and carry if needed.
Relative Value of Waterbody (10)
+ recreational waterbody discharging to public beach, drinking water source in headwaters
+ swimming (contact recreation Gooch Beach)
+ fishery (not unique to area but used)
+ wildlife habitat for rare bird (important to tourist industry)
+ marina use – economic importance
NPS Pollution Problem/Need (15)
+ clearly states bacteria; listed in bacteria TMDL
- Muddled understanding of biomonitoring. Mentions attainment and nonattainment but not the cause of possible cause nor does it appear there will be any pursuit of possible cause. Thus not sure WBP will address source of bug impairment if listed in the future.
+/- lots of past bacteria monitoring and studies (appears no follow through with septic investigations – no mention that Watershed Risk Analysis resulted in any systems being fixed)
+ subwatershed monitoring – strong case for ‘we have been trying to figure out the source(s)’
+ beach closures
Feasibility of Success (25)
+ proposal is well explained and laid out; appears clear understanding of process

## STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** York County SWCD

**DATE:** May 17, 2018

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Kathy Hoppe

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

+/- are considering ordinance review; doesn't mention for what? New development? Property transfer? Goal of review since normally septic system is regulations are state subsurface ...
+ plan to talk to every town.
- Lots of past monitoring and some planning but not much mention of fixing problems with identified (pump out barge mentioned but not if it is being used; Watershed Risk Analysis IDed 16 properties in 2009 no mention of follow-up or action) Question – will they implement plan? Proven they can collect data can they take next step.
- 35% of watershed is in Lyman but no mention of them participating.
- Unclear what engineer will be designing
- unclear outfall mapping – will this only be Kennebunk and Kennebunkport or will it include Arundel and Lyman? What protocol will they follow? Will they simply get GIS coordinate or will they also inspect? No mention as deliverable.
- Unsure if cause of bug community will be identified and addressed in WBP.
+ good coordination
<b>Cost Effectiveness (25)</b>
+ costs for all tasks seem reasonable
+ match exceeds minimum (min 25%) – match is 41%.
- unclear what engineer will be designing since bacteria issue
<b>Maine Business &amp; Economic Impact (10)</b>
<b>Comprehensive Plan (5)</b>

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: HCPC (Jordan River)

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/22/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Phil Carey

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*
Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.
\*\*\*\*\*

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Lamoine Trenton } Comp plan status
(expired) (current)

Coastal Waters outlet / clamming / aquaculture
Qualifications:

HCPC is very much in transition
Jim Fisher & Tom Martin are gone
Anne K is a brand new
Sheri is the only person with a track
record, and she has always been
in a supporting role.
Also, Anne had problems producing timely
invoices while at MCRPC.

Application for over the page limit
Does not emphasize aquaculture
Does not identify potential land-based sources to investigate

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUTION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: CCSWCD (Moshal Brook)

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/22/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Phil Carey

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*
Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.
\*\*\*\*\*

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Table with 10 rows and 1 column for handwritten notes. Row 1: Gorham - Current Comp Plan. Row 2: 2-mile tributary of Presumpscot watershed / entirely in Gorham. Row 3: value: no public access, cons, easement, etc. Row 4: no great impact on Presumpscot River water quality. Row 5: Feasibility of plan is good except that it does not investigate or propose any measures to protect the habitat cited as the primary value of the waterbody.

quality

**STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: YESWCD (K-Bunk River)

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) PHIL CAREY 5/22/18

EVALUATOR NAME:

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.  
 \*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Partnership with Wells Reserve a plus
Watershed subject to heavy development (ie Southern Maine)
<del>Reasonable cost (\$5,639)</del>
High value, recreation, water supply, fisheries, access
Towns Comp Plan Status
Lyman inconsistent
Alfred expired
Arundel consistent
Kennebunk expired
Kennebunkport consistent
Biddford expired
Strong partnerships/interagency coordination (all towns, @ water districts, DEP, MHB, Wells Reserve)

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Kenn. CSWCD (Great Pond)

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/22/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Phil Carey

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Great Pond in Belgrade Lakes
Towns: Comp Plan Status:
Mercer           n/a
Rome            n/a
Smithfield     (expired)
Oakland        (expired)
Belgrade       (current)
Quals - well-established lakes association
Value - highly valued for recreation & aesthetics
MNAP Focus Area
Thorough problem/needs section - much detail
Feasibility <del>is</del> reduced by lack of involvement with Town
(e.g. review of ordinances, etc.) because?
cash/in-kind match     steering comm.
Reasonable cost for the work. ✓ Overmatch good

Need to assure familiar with full range of options

**STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUTION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Kenn. CSWCD (Webber & Threemile Ponds)

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/22/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Phil Carey

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.  
 \*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

<del>China Vassalboro Windsor</del>	
China Region Lakes Assoc. well-established	
Towns Comp Plans Status	
Augusta	current
Windsor	no
China	current
Vassalboro	no
Values <del>at</del> seem overstated (swimming?).	
<del>match</del>	
Budget match depends on contribution from consultant.	
If a different consultant were chosen, the <del>no</del> minimum match would not be met.	

**STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: CCSWCD (Highland Lake)

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/22/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Phil Carey

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.  
 \*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Westbrook	Falmouth	Windham	} Camp Plan Status
( <del>expired</del> )	(current)	(current)	
Town Camp Plan Status			
Windham	current	5/5/17	
Falmouth	current	2/7/14	
Westbrook	<del>expired</del>	6/26/13	
Many year-round residents - not only seasonal			
Limited public access. Will improvements be sustained?			
Falmouth rural development ordinance provisions are generally protective.			
Windham's new camp plan envisions mitigation of strip development on Rt. 302			



STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: CCSWCD - Mosher

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/6/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

(+) Ordinance review - high growth  
 (-) Not much focus on m.w. & monitoring  
 DEP would need to supplement only base flow monitoring of E. coli

Feas. Success Tasks: ② Stakeholder involvement - 3 public mtgs., town presentations + outreach public, TAC - 7 mtgs to guide surveys + analyze data. ③ Data collection/analysis - watershed boundary confirmation + mapping, existing data review of watershed, septic + sewer survey, Stream corridor + NPS survey, ag. survey, stormwater infrastructure survey + mapping/retrofit ID, WQ monitoring

④ WBP - eligible ~~or~~ MS4 requirement?

(+) Very comprehensive project design to evaluate NPS sources + solutions and generate local buy-in.

(+) Feasible to complete as laid out + would likely yield a strong plan

(+) Letter + commitment from Town + major landowner.

Cost - ④ 57% match is very strong. w/ \$10k from Town, PRLT monitoring + GCC in kind, Shaw Bros.

(+) Costs seem appropriate for most tasks/subtasks, although more emphases <sup>like</sup> needed for WQ monitoring - DEP could take on?

(-) \$55,558 is high cost for a WBP for a small stream w/ 1.26 sq mile watershed.

(?) Could be good investment to use as a model for other similar impaired streams. In future projects, scale back as needed.

(+) Large % grant for indirect - 65% of grant

(+) Not WQ data?  
 Do monitoring E. coli  
 PRLT - QAPP under VRMP needs SAP?  
 Significant staff time + Gretchen + others

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: CCSWCD - Mosher Brook

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.  
 \*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

++

Quals - CCSWCD has extensive experience w/ 319 + 604 b grants, including recent Phillips Brook plan. Jodie - project manager has strong local knowledge of Mosher Brook partners + local town involvement. Strong project team @ District w/ experience in GIS, engineering, outreach + 319/604b. Well rounded partner team as well. Cochran - Stormwater Coord. experienced + strong team member.

(?) 36% ag but no one w/ ag. quals

-

Value - habitat for Upland sandpiper, state-listed threatened bird - open field habitat. power line - popular hunting for several species. Large undeveloped block of land (369 acres). Only 1 potential barrier. 1989 IF+W survey - 3 species (mudpuppy stickleback, eel, pickerel). ~~At~~ Some value for small rural/suburban stream, but not many.

Problem/Need -

w/ Problem - Impaired for DO + E. coli. Draft NPS TMDL + Statewide Bacteria TMDL. Class B. Low DO measurements confirmed 2007.

Habitat assessment by DEP - relatively low score - incision + turbid.

Need - <sup>Dist</sup> NPS TMDL - indicated ag is likely source of sediment + nutrients to stream. (97% sed. load, 80% N load, 93% P load)

res. development + gravel pit other possible sources. "Sewer crossing + septic likely source of N." → What about bacteria?

(?)

Proposal did not provide any info about extent of DO or bacteria impairment.

(-)

I reviewed 2007 Aug. sonde data - falls below Class B daily w/ 5 mg/L + swing. Bacteria high in both baseflow + storms except in trib.

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: KCSWCD Webber-Threemile

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/15/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

+

Quals - <sup>KCSWCD</sup> Extensive experience w/ 6046 + 319 grants, including recent East Pond project. 7 Lakes Alliance subgrant also has extensive experience + collaboration has been good between the groups. Plan to hire consultants to develop plan. Some delays from both organizations in getting reports/deliverables to DEP, but solid products + work.

(?) Consultant limnologist part of plan

++

Relative Value - 3 summer youth camps, >1200 watershed + 750 shoreline residents, 2 marinas, golf course, Village commercial center. High lake recreational use, hiking trails overlook lake. 3 priority wetland types in watershed, 2 rare wildlife occurrences, 3 IWWH, numerous deer yards, 2 MNAP focus areas, 47 loons + 3 chicks in 2016 survey. Cold + warm water fishery (including brown trout + land locked salmon). Heart of the Belgrade region + tourism. Very high value to human + wildlife.

+ ?

NPS Problem/Need

Problem - Impaired SDT + TP. Increased Gloeocystis, ~~the~~ fall filamentous algal blooms, DO problem, P release from sediments, anoxic region has grown by 35 times since 1980s. 2016 study by WRS - 34% loaded from internet.

Need (NPS Sources) - 2000 survey - 357 sites (65% <sup>res.</sup>, 13% private roads, 10% driveways). 2009 <sup>Long Pond</sup> WBS identified reduction goals.

(?) Unclear who conducted 2012 CEAT project - which identified loading areas 2018 survey planned Sept. Sources not currently known but assumed to be roads + residential. Survey will document. Should also consider

(?) - commercial + ag. sources. Large watershed w/ extensive needs.

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: KCSWCD - Webber/Threemile

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/15/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

(?) Is the focus on in-lake treatment warranted? Seems appropriate to evaluate but ~~not~~ not a foregone conclusion. Can purpose be changed? (for designing + evaluate)  
 (?) How bad is the impairment? Ask Linda.

+ ? Feas. Success tasks include:

WQ Analysis, Internal loading estimates, watershed modeling, stakeholder meetings (3 sc mtgs, WQ comm. - 3 mtgs, 2 public mtgs), WBP

(?) Appears to be some WQ monitoring under task 3 - internal loading - no QAPP mentioned.

(+) Appears to be feasible project design w/ clear tasks, strong partners. Based on recent East Pond project, team is likely to produce a solid plan w/ strong participation + ownership.

(?) Large watershed w/ many, many likely sources. Able to address watershed sources?

(-) No town cash match  
Strong involvement?

(+) Lake is not severely impaired. Strong local interest in restoration

+ Cost Effectiveness 51% local match in addition to independent funding for 2018 watershed survey Match sources: \$5K BLA, 7LA - \$2750 in kind; \$6K Colby in kind; \$5800 volunteers. Strong quality of match from diverse partners. \$18K grant would be a good investment to get a high quality WBP for an impaired lake. Most tasks seem reasonable in costs.

(-) Modeling task - \$8688 seems high

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: CCSWCD → Highland Lake

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

- ① Feas. Success Tools - ② TAC - ~7 meetings ③ Watershed Assessment - compile + analyze information including: ④ septic survey ⑤ BMP inspections + outreach re: maintenance needs ⑥ WQ monitoring ⑦ Load modeling ⑧ Action Item Prioritization + Plan Development - ⑨ Outreach - presentation, web, Project is well sequenced + ties into existing efforts well. Can likely be achieved as laid out - although question whether enough info. available about WQ by end of 2019. ⑩ Strong involvement from key stakeholders, likely to be immed. implemented. Not clear if WQ problem only NPS, but will still provide clear direction to help protect/restore lake.
- + -? Cost - ⑪ 46% match. with very high quality sources. \$6K <sup>cash</sup> from Towns, Significant time from Towns. HLA - ~\$9000 including cash for monitoring equipment + 273 hrs. in kind.
- ⑫ Overall project cost relatively high \$71K (\$38K grant). Areas <sup>that appear</sup> high include indirect (\$19,431 - 1/2 of overall grant), TAC (although <sup>probably</sup> realistic given extensive involvement of group) → \$4700 → OK BMP inspections - \$4300, outreach \$4000 (relatively modest effort seems like
- ⑬ Is \$38K a good investment in this plan? (it would be lower cost) Would leverage extensive ongoing efforts.

① Lack of detail in tools on septic, WQ monitoring

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: CCSWCD - Highland Lake

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

++

Quals CCSWCD has extensive experience in 319 + 604b projects. Strong project team @ District w/ experience in 319/604b grant management, outreach + engineering. Other key partners include HLA, Towns + HLLT that have demonstrated expertise + knowledge re: Highland Lake.

+

Value - public carry-in launch, 300 acres conserved land in Falmouth: habitat for endangered E. Box turtle + suitable for endangered long-eared bat + s.w. pogonia. Newly established alewife run - 40,000/yr. 1000 watershed residents. How many shoreline residents? Appears to be average/low value compared to many <sup>ME</sup> lakes since not widely used by non-residents

+

NPS Problem/Need

Problem - listed as impaired in 1990 + delisted 2010 followed decade of work in watershed. Pico plankton blooms for past four years. Increased levels of P. May be listed again in next I.R. ⊖ Proposal sparse on the

Need - 1997 survey - 104 sites (42% roads), TMDL w/ basis of need. Identified res. properties as 60% of P load, 2018 survey planned. Possible/likely NPS sources: residential, roads, agriculture/horse farms, hydromod, septic, BMP O+M needs, shoreline/stream erosion.

⊖ Overall, this ~~section~~ proposal didn't provide quite enough information for full picture. Extensive watershed-wide development over past 10-20 years set this watershed aside from other lakes; due to proximity to Portland, very high growth.

**STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUTION NOTES**

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: KCSWCD - Webber 3 Mile

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.  
 \*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

+

Quals - KCSWCD has extensive 319/604b grant experience, recently with East Pond plan. Other project partners: CRLA - has long history w/ NPS work, but has not had a very strong presence in recent years. Jennifer Brockway is consultant - if hired through procurement would bring strong skills. Team has needed skills for project.

+

Value - Public boat launches on both ponds - also used for swimming. Campground on Webber. Fishing on both lakes (cold + warm) Alewife restoration + stocking started in 2001 - significant revenue from commercial harvest. How many residents? Important in region? Potential if restored? → 400 (not too many considering size)

(?)

Problem/Need

+

Problem - Both impaired for annual blooms. TMDLs completed 2003. Anecdotal reports that blooms are becoming less severe + shorter duration. May be due to alewife presence.

+

Need - Extensive surveys + studies of both ponds since 1980s. Last surveys in 1996 + 1997. High P loading from internal recycling, but watershed work needed before

(?)

alum is reasonable option. Ag. declines over time. Roads (private + town) and shoreline residences largest issues. 2017 - KCSWCD conducted road + culvert survey. → How many sites identified?

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: KCSWCD Webber/Threemile

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

- + ⊖ Feas. Success - Significant past watershed work since 1990s. Phase 1 + 11 319; YCCS - 65 BMPs installed; 86 TA visits. Camp Road Project - 57 sites fixed + 125 TA sites. YCC ongoing
- ⊖ Tasks Proposed - ① SC - meet 4 times; ② Watershed Assessment - compile info from 2017 road survey + ask for input from public on other sites. (Why isn't road survey info in proposal? Also, this isn't a comprehensive approach to finding non-road sites.) ③ Outreach - press releases, + presentations. ⊖ Doesn't appear to be way for active engagement, only updates. ④ Design - develop <sup>concept</sup> designs for priority sites. (Good idea) ⊕
- ⊖ ⑤ NBP
- ⊕ Project could be easily completed as presented by partners.
- ⊖ However, seems like the project is missing key components to make the plan + ultimately restoration likely - residential survey, internal loading analysis + community participation.
- + ⊖ Cost Tasks all appropriate + overall project very modest cost + decent investment that would help jumpstart efforts on restoration/NPS mitigation. Match - 28% is just above minimum. Quality of match isn't very strong, half from consultant who is not guaranteed selection following procurement. CRLA - just \$3K from in-kind



STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: HCPC

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Feas. Success - Tasks - ① Stakeholder Mtg - 2 mtgs to gather input.

③ TAC - 3 mtgs. ④ Sampling + Analysis - Storm event sampling, fluorometry, MST ⑤ QC/Data - Anecdata.org, database, QAPP.

⑥ Outreach - develop E+O Strategy + products ⑦ WBP

⑧ Shellfish Committee + partners appear to be highly motivated with recent efforts to sample river. Have reopened 130 acres

⑨ Sampling task includes development of MST method - unclear of this actual methods or sampling strategy.

⑩ Not clear how much towns are interested.

Cost - Match 40%. Diverse sources of match from many partners (8+) mostly in-kind support. → Not clear about level of interest + support of some partners. (most letters not considered since > pg limit)

⑪ Cost of E+O task (grant + match) seems very low considering level of detail described. Only \$2600 total (\$1400 grant, \$1200 match)

⑫ Cost of task 2 - 2 stakeholder meetings seems high \$3800.

Overall cost \$55K (\$33K grant) seems appropri. + good investment in plan.

Overall - Grant proposal exceeded max pg # by ~15 pgs.

Many attachments were not considered as a result.

ME Bus. + Econ. Impact Score should be 6 (not 10)

Project seems worthwhile but proposal could be further refined + developed. Without careful thought about potential NPS sources, difficult to know if proposal is focused on important areas. Proposal lacks watershed/ source assessment.

⑬ Some tasks lack description/breakdown of cost by category

① does not include watershed assessment of sources.

not clear why/how refers to

+? -

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: HCPC

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

+

Quals - HCPC has extensive experience w/grants from fed. + state sources. Staff has diverse experience in planning + proj. mgmt. No 319/604b experience. Larger team of HCSWCD + MDI + UMaine staff bring experience w/ 319/604b, water quality + outreach/communications. Strong qual. on this project team, although no direct experience w/ this type of grant project.

HCPC - long time Ex. Dir. leaving - staff changes project

+ ? -

Value Significant shellfish resource - although not clear how much of the Frenchman Bay program ~~landings~~ are from Jordan River. Boat ramp provides public access. Other water access points nearby but not in watershed. Proposal provides <sup>some</sup> info on economic value but doesn't mention other uses/values. Appears to also be 'gateway' to Acadia NP + include golf course (which abuts airport and many commercial businesses, Kisma Preserve, water slide park, RV Park etc.

(which abuts river slide park)

-

Problem/Need - Problem - Proposal lists as impaired for fecals. Confirm DMR raised concern + added to NPS Priority list due to threat. Not currently impaired by DEP. DMR station near ~~at~~ threshold for ~~disurb~~. 4 DMR sampling stations (what are those values?) 2017 rainfall study by DMR added 3 stations + local partners supplemented w/ 122 samples @ 16 sites. 6 sites exceeded 1 fecal thresholds. Some background info collected to date but not fully provided or explained in proposal. No information provided about potential sources of fecals in watershed.

**STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201802019

**RFP TITLE:** GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

**BIDDER NAME:** YCSWCD

**DATE:** (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Wendy Garland

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.  
 \*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

++ Quals - YCSWCD has extensive experience with 6046 + 319 grants. Recent staff change, but new Proj. Mgr. has very strong skills in proj. mgmt, WQ monitoring + NPS planning/implementation. Project partner Wells Reserve adds to team strength - Jake has coordinated the Kenn. VRMP volunteer program + Annie coordinates the Cape Neddick 319 project. Plan to hire consultants - engineer + plan writer.

++ Value - recreation includes fishing, swimming, kayak, boating. Freshwater includes wild brook + brown trout habitat + striped bass popular below H-of-T. Tidal portion popular boating location w/ 13 marinas, 300 slips, 360' dock. River's scenic qualities important to tourism. Gooch's Beach popular swim, surf, etc. Estuary + marsh habitat for saltmarsh sparrow (rare species) + saltmarsh foxglove. Massabesic Exp. Forest - includes several rare species, white cedar swamp + IWWH, + deer yards. Trails including Eastern trail intersect @ 5 stream sites. KKW public water supply include Kenn. River well which supplies 25% of the service area water. Very high overall value to tourism, recreation, natural/wildlife, drinking water.

++ Problem/Need Class B + SB. Impaired aquatic life + elevated fecals. Duck Brook also listed fecals.  
WQ Problems - Biomonitor. Station S-270 met in 1995, 2000 + 2010. Only Class C in 2005 + 2013. Likely to be listed in 2018 IR. Confirm w/ Leon. - yes Monitoring since 2009 by WNE, RR + VRMP. Increasing bacteria trends. 6 historic stations + 5 more added in 2017 @ mouth of major streams. 2017 geomean criteria exceeded everywhere except @ Kenn. Pond outlet.

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: YCSWCD

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Prob/Need-Continued

MHB monitoring Gooch's Beach since 2003. 111 advisories, 4 rainfall advisory days, 4 beach closures. 2007 study showed circulation brings pollutants back to beach w/ Kenn. River likely source. 2011 MHB report.

Needs

- 2001 survey - 88 sites (likely didn't focus on more than sediment)
- MSG-MHB study identified potential bacteria sources as septic, stormwater, boats, seaweed, waterfowl, + pet waste
- optical brightener survey by MHB showed areas w/ elevated OB + E.coli
- MHB septic prioritization - sanitary survey of 31 tier 1 risk properties. 16 flagged for followup.
- TMDL flagged agriculture sources as well.
- 21 severe fish barriers 66 potential barriers.

+ • Good background/foundation to develop plan. Important since it's a relatively large watershed. Need info on tribs + sources.

• Pump out facility near Arundel Wharf maintained by Kenn. River Committee

+ Feas. Success - ① SC + TAC - 3 SC mtgs + 3 TAC mtgs. ② WQ Monitoring - YCSWCD QAPP bracketing in 2 tribs + continued baseline. Outfall mapping + monitoring on main stem.

③ Watershed Assessment - watershed survey related to impairment, WQ data review, stressor analysis + mapping, ordinance review, eng. involved in BMP cost/feas.

④ Action Plan + Public Input - public forum + action plan. ⑤ WBP

⑥ Outreach - websites, press releases, town presentation

⊕ Appears to be a well thought out approach to developing the plan.

+ could be accomplished by the team. Would likely result in a useful informed plan. Good team of diverse stakeholders. Well leveraged by previous work.

⊖ May need supplemental WQ monitoring. Large watershed.

will need to think through approach carefully. (?)

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201802019

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: YCSWCD

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/16/18

EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

\*\*\*\*\*

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

+

<p><u>Cost</u> 41% match. Strong match quality from diverse sources. \$5K Kennebunk, \$3K Kennebunkport, \$2500 Arundel (!) KKW - \$4K cash, \$1K in kind, Volunteers \$13K. Task Costs seem appropriate although task 4 would need to be more fully developed to ensure it leads to useful information. \$41,600 grant would be a good investment in a plan for this relatively large watershed in SME.</p>



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Paul R. LePage  
Governor

Paul Mercer  
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
RFP #: 201802019  
RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects  
Watershed-based Plan - Development

I, (print name at right) Gregory E. Beane  
accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

Gregory E. Beane  
Signature

5/8/18  
Date



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Paul R. LePage  
Governor

Paul Mercer  
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
RFP #: 201802019  
RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects  
Watershed-based Plan - Development

I, (print name at right) Kathy Hoppe  
accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

Kathy Hoppe  
Signature

5/8/18  
Date



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Paul R. LePage  
Governor

Paul Mercer  
Commissioner

**AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**  
**RFP #: 201802019**  
**RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects**  
**Watershed-based Plan - Development**

I, (print name at right) Philip Carey  
accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

**I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.**

Philip Carey  
Signature

5/11/2018  
Date





STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Paul R. LePage  
Governor

Paul Mercer  
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
RFP #: 201802019  
RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects  
Watershed-based Plan - Development

I, (print name at right) Wendy Garland  
accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

Wendy Garland  
Signature

5/10/18  
Date