

**State of Maine**  
**RFP / Proposal Master Score Sheet**

**Instructions:** Complete the Master Score Sheet below providing all of the requested information for each bidder that submitted a proposal in response to the RFP. This document is to be included in the Selection Package submitted to the Division of Procurement Services for review/approval.

<b>SCORESHEET FOR RFP #201903060: Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvements</b>							
<b>PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:</b>		<b>Acton (2019R1-01)</b>		<b>Auburn (2019R1-02)</b>		<b>Auburn (2019R1-03)</b>	
<b>COST:</b>		<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$87,500</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$95,000</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$95,000</b>
<b>EVALUATION ITEM</b>		<b>POINTS AVAIL.</b>					
Section I: Public Infrastructure Information		25		8		11	
Section II: Environmental Benefit		50		11		30	
Section III: Proposed Culvert/Crossing Cost & Budget Information		25		7		11	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>100</b>		<b>26</b>		<b>52</b>	
<b>PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:</b>		<b>Cambridge (2019R1-05)</b>		<b>Cambridge (2019R1-06)</b>		<b>Charleston (2019R1-07)</b>	
<b>COST:</b>		<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$65,000</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$65,000</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$95,000</b>
<b>EVALUATION ITEM</b>		<b>POINTS AVAIL.</b>					
Section I: Public Infrastructure Information		25		13		13	
Section II: Environmental Benefit		50		38		39	
Section III: Proposed Culvert/Crossing Cost & Budget Information		25		12		12	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>100</b>		<b>63</b>		<b>64</b>	

**SCORESHEET FOR RFP #201903060: Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvements**

PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:		Cherryfield (2019R1-09)	Clinton (2019R1-10)	Lucerne in Maine Village Corp. (Dedham) (2019R1-11)	Denmark (2019R1-12)
COST:		Cost: \$89,140	Cost: \$45,000	Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$95,000
EVALUATION ITEM	POINTS AVAIL.				
Section I: Public Infrastructure Information	25	17	13	18	15
Section II: Environmental Benefit	50	30	26	33	23
Section III: Proposed Culvert/Crossing Cost & Budget Information	25	10	10	13	5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>43</b>
PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:		Dexter (2019R1-13)	Farmington (2019R1-14)	Farmington (2019R1-15)	Fayette (2019R1-16)
COST:		Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$93,750
EVALUATION ITEM	POINTS AVAIL.				
Section I: Public Infrastructure Information	25	11	19	17	17
Section II: Environmental Benefit	50	32	42	41	26
Section III: Proposed Culvert/Crossing Cost & Budget Information	25	13	16	17	14
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>57</b>



<b>SCORESHEET FOR RFP #201903060: Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvements</b>									
<b>PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:</b>		<b>Fort Kent (2019R1-17)</b>		<b>Freeport (2019R1-18)</b>		<b>Fryeburg (2019R1-19)</b>		<b>Fryeburg (2019R1-20)</b>	
<b>COST:</b>		<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$14,000</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$95,000</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$95,000</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$95,000</b>
<b>EVALUATION ITEM</b>	<b>POINTS AVAIL.</b>								
Section I: Public Infrastructure Information	25	11		10		17		16	
Section II: Environmental Benefit	50	27		30		34		26	
Section III: Proposed Culvert/Crossing Cost & Budget Information	25	12		15		10		10	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b><u>100</u></b>	<b><u>50</u></b>		<b><u>55</u></b>		<b><u>61</u></b>		<b><u>52</u></b>	
<b>PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:</b>		<b>Gorham (2019R1-21)</b>		<b>Houton (2019R1-22)</b>		<b>Marshfield (2019R1-23)</b>		<b>Monmouth (2019R1-24)</b>	
<b>COST:</b>		<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$95,000</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$95,000</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$35,300</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>\$95,000</b>
<b>EVALUATION ITEM</b>	<b>POINTS AVAIL.</b>								
Section I: Public Infrastructure Information	25	14		16		12		14	
Section II: Environmental Benefit	50	26		30		40		22	
Section III: Proposed Culvert/Crossing Cost & Budget Information	25	9		18		16		11	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b><u>100</u></b>	<b><u>49</u></b>		<b><u>64</u></b>		<b><u>68</u></b>		<b><u>47</u></b>	

**SCORESHEET FOR RFP #201903060: Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvements**

PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:		Palmyra (2019R1-25)	Phillips (2019R1-26)	Pownal (2019R1-27)	Rangeley (2019R1-28)
COST:		Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$95,000
EVALUATION ITEM	POINTS AVAIL.				
Section I: Public Infrastructure Information	25	17	16	11	13
Section II: Environmental Benefit	50	34	34	21	36
Section III: Proposed Culvert/Crossing Cost & Budget Information	25	13	13	11	11
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b><u>100</u></b>	<b><u>64</u></b>	<b><u>63</u></b>	<b><u>43</u></b>	<b><u>60</u></b>
PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:		Rangeley (2019R1-29)	Skowhegan (2019R1-30)	Starks (2019R1-31)	Thomaston (2019R1-32)
COST:		Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$75,000	Cost: \$95,000
EVALUATION ITEM	POINTS AVAIL.				
Section I: Public Infrastructure Information	25	15	13	17	11
Section II: Environmental Benefit	50	35	25	41	37
Section III: Proposed Culvert/Crossing Cost & Budget Information	25	11	9	15	17
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b><u>100</u></b>	<b><u>61</u></b>	<b><u>47</u></b>	<b><u>73</u></b>	<b><u>65</u></b>

**SCORESHEET FOR RFP #201903060: Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvements**

PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:		Turner (2019R1-33)	Washington (2019R1-34)	West Paris (2019R1-35)	West Paris (2019R1-36)
COST:		Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$75,000	Cost: \$70,000
EVALUATION ITEM	POINTS AVAIL.				
Section I: Public Infrastructure Information	25	14	12	16	16
Section II: Environmental Benefit	50	33	41	39	38
Section III: Proposed Culvert/Crossing Cost & Budget Information	25	17	16	14	14
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b><u>100</u></b>	<b><u>64</u></b>	<b><u>69</u></b>	<b><u>69</u></b>	<b><u>68</u></b>

PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:		Whitefield (2019R1-37)	Wilton (2019R1-38)	York (2019R1-39)	York (2019R1-40)
COST:		Cost: \$75,000	Cost: \$79,985	Cost: \$95,000	Cost: \$95,000
EVALUATION ITEM	POINTS AVAIL.				
Section I: Public Infrastructure Information	25	19	18	13	13
Section II: Environmental Benefit	50	28	28	34	34
Section III: Proposed Culvert/Crossing Cost & Budget Information	25	18	8	5	7
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b><u>100</u></b>	<b><u>65</u></b>	<b><u>54</u></b>	<b><u>52</u></b>	<b><u>54</u></b>



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Leslie Berlan  
Town of Acton  
35 H Road  
Acton, ME 04001

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Leslie:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Tony Beaulieu, City Engineer  
City of Auburn  
60 Court Street  
Auburn, ME 04210

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Tony:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Tony Beaulieu, City Engineer  
City of Auburn  
60 Court Street  
Auburn, ME 04210

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Tony:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143


The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Christopher Hall, Town Administrator  
Town of Bristol  
1268 Bristol Road  
Bristol, ME 04539

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Christopher:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143



The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Michael Watson, Chairman, Cambridge Board of Selectmen  
Town of Cambridge  
202 Ripley Road  
Cambridge, ME 04923

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Michael:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Michael Watson, Chairman, Cambridge Board of Selectmen  
Town of Cambridge  
202 Ripley Road  
Cambridge, ME 04923

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Michael:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'John Maclaine', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Town of Charleston  
125 School Street  
Charleston, ME 04422

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

To whom it may concern:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Town of Charleston  
125 School Street  
Charleston, ME 04422

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

To whom it may concern :

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Aurthur Tatangelo, Selectman  
Town of Cherryfield  
PO Box 58  
Cherryfield, ME 04622

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Aurthur:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143



The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'John Maclaine', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Earla Haggerty, Town Manager  
Town of Clinton  
27 Baker Street  
Clinton, ME 04927

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Earla:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Ann Fossett, Overseer Chair  
Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham)  
2073 Main Road, Suite B  
Dedham, ME 04429

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Ann:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Bertrand Kendall, Interim Town Manager  
Town of Denmark  
62 East Main Street  
Denmark, ME 04022

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Bertrand:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143



The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Town of Dexter  
23 Main Street  
Dexter, ME 04930

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

To whom it may concern:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Richard Davis, Town Manager  
Town of Farmington  
153 Farmington Falls Road  
Farmington, ME 04938

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Richard:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Richard Davis, Town Manager  
Town of Farmington  
153 Farmington Falls Road  
Farmington, ME 04938

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Richard:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143



The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Mark Robinson, Town Manager  
Town of Fayette  
2589 Main Street  
Fayette, ME 04349

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Mark:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fltch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Suzie Paradis, Town Manager  
Town of Fort Kent  
416 West Main Street  
Fort Kent, ME 04743-1040

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Suzie:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Adam Bliss, Town Engineer  
Town of Freeport  
30 Main Street  
Freeport, ME 04032

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Adam:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143



The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Katie Haley, Code Enforcement Officer  
Town of Fryeburg  
16 Lovewell Pond Road  
Fryeburg, ME 04037

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Katie:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'John Maclaine', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Katie Haley, Code Enforcement Officer  
Town of Fryeburg  
16 Lovewell Pond Road  
Fryeburg, ME 04037

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Katie:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Robert Burns  
Town of Gorham  
80 Huston Road  
Gorham, ME 04038

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Robert:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143



The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Marian Anderson, Town Manager  
Town of Houlton  
21 Water Street  
Houlton, ME 04730

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Marian:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charlestown - Bacon Road
- Town of Charlestown - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Wayde Carter, Selectman  
Town of Marshfield  
187 Northfield Road  
Marshfield, ME 04654

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Wayde:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143


The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Curtis Lunt, Town Manager  
Town of Monmouth  
PO Box 270  
Monmouth, ME 04259

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Curtis:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Town of Palmyra  
PO Box 6  
Palmyra, ME 04965

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

To whom it may concern:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'John Maclaine', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Maureen Haley, Town Manager  
Town of Phillips  
15 Russell Street  
Phillips, ME 04966

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Maureen:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Richard Clark  
Town of Pownal  
429 Hallowell Road  
Pownal, ME 04069

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Richard:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Traci Pitt, Town Manager  
Town of Rangeley  
15 School Street  
Rangeley, ME 04970

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Traci:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143



The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Traci Pitt, Town Manager  
Town of Rangeley  
15 School Street  
Rangeley, ME 04970

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Traci:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Greg Dore  
Town of Skowhegan  
225 Water Street  
Skowhegan, ME 04976

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Greg:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941 4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'John Maclaine', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Ernest Hilton, Selectman  
Town of Starks  
57 Anson Road  
Starks, ME 04911

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Ernest:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Valmore Blastow, Town Manager  
Town of Thomaston  
PO Box 299  
Thomaston, ME 04843

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Valmore:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Kurt Schaub, Town Manager  
Town of Turner  
11 Turner Center Road  
Turner, ME 04282

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Kurt:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Thomas Johnston, Town Manager  
Town of Washington  
PO Box 408  
Washington, ME 04574

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Thomas:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Wade Rainey, Town manager  
Town of West Paris  
25 Kingsbury Street  
West Paris, ME 04289

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Wade:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143



The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Wade Rainey, Town manager  
Town of West Paris  
25 Kingsbury Street  
West Paris, ME 04289

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Wade:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Darlene Beaulieu  
Town of Whitefield  
36 Townhouse Road  
Whitefield, ME 04353

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Darlene:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Rhonda Irish, Town Manager  
Town of Wilton  
158 Weld Road  
Wilton, ME 04294

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Rhonda:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Dean Lessard, Public Works Director  
Town of York  
186 York Street  
York, ME 04101

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Dean:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



JANET T. MILLS  
GOVERNOR

GERALD D. REID  
COMMISSIONER

July 12, 2019

Dean Lessard, Public Works Director  
Town of York  
186 York Street  
York, ME 04101

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 201903060,  
Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

Dear Dean:

This letter is regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) #201903060, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for Round 1 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 40 proposals which were reviewed using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP. The Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards for the following project proposals:

- City of Auburn - Sopers Mill Road
- Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham) - Lake View Avenue
- Town of Bristol - Old County Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bridge Road
- Town of Cambridge - Bailey Road
- Town of Charleston - Bacon Road
- Town of Charleston - Garland Road
- Town of Cherryfield - Willey District Road
- Town of Dexter - Mill Street
- Town of Farmington - Clover Mill Road
- Town of Farmington - Cummings Hill Road
- Town of Fayette - Richmond Mills Road
- Town of Freeport - West Street
- Town of Fryeburg - Farnsworth Road
- Town of Houlton - Morningstar Road
- Town of Marshfield - Church Lane
- Town of Palmyra - Libby Hill Road
- Town of Phillips - Reeds Mills Road
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#2)
- Town of Rangeley - Bald Mountain Road (#1)
- Town of Starks - River Road
- Town of Thomaston - West Meadow Road
- Town of Turner - Tidswell Road
- Town of Washington - Fitch Road
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#1)
- Town of West Paris - Ellingwood Road (#2)
- Town of Whitefield - Sennott Road
- Town of Wilton - Pond Road
- Town of York - Mill Lane (# 2)

AUGUSTA  
17 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017  
(207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826

BANGOR  
106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND  
312 CANCO ROAD  
PORTLAND, MAINE 04103  
(207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303

PRESQUE ISLE  
1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

The bidders listed received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful bidder. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,



John Maclaine  
Innovation and Assistance  
Department of Environmental Protection  
17 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017  
207-615-3279 (direct)  
207-287-2814 (fax)  
[john.maclaine@maine.gov](mailto:john.maclaine@maine.gov)

#### **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-01 - Acton

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>		<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.		x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.		x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.		x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources		x	
		<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>			
Section V. Public Infrastructure	(Max: 25 Points)	8	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit	(Max: 50 Points)	11	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information	(Max: 25 Points)	7	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	(Max: 100 Points)	26	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
 BIDDER: 2019R1-01 - Acton  
 DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
 PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25      Score:   8  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No failures, no documentation provided
Smaller structure opening- slipline
65 years old
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Reducing capacity of culvert
No studies, modelling
No info on failures
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Doesn't appear to be able to reduce flooding by making the opening smaller than current opening
Smaller pipe, but faster velocity may cause Downstream impacts
Already a smooth bore culvert
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, no other stormwater improvements noted
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
8.3 mile detour length, no # of affected residences/businesses listed
No cut-offs

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-01 - Acton

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT

Total Points Available: 50

Score:   11  

Evaluation Team Comments:

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout, sea-run brown trout, bass present
Not much information included
Doesn't open up passage to fish, project may be detrimental to stream habitat and fish passage as a slipline
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
No contact with Agencies
Slipline, no passage
DMR/IFW rate as low priority
Barrier
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
No additional criteria to benefit Fish and Wildlife
Does not meet 1.2 x BFW
No natural Bottom
Does not meet 100-yr flood standard
No additional design criteria benefitting wildlife/fish





**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-02 Auburn (Fish Hatchery Road)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	11	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	30	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	11	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>52</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-02 Auburn (Fish Hatchery Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 11

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No documentation of flooding provided
5 years to failure
Unknown age
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Currently undersized for 50- and 100-year storm events
Conducted studies on sizing
Almost overtopping road
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Doubling size of culvert
Studies were performed- hydraulic analysis
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, no other stormwater improvements noted
Lake Auburn – water quality concerns
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
No detour
2 cut-off, houses and municipal park
Service cut-off

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-02 Auburn (Fish Hatchery Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available:** 50

**Score:**   30  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Not a barrier
Brook trout present
IWWH, Modelled salmon habitat
Unnamed stream flowing in to Lake Auburn
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat viewer information- Potential Barrier
½ mile opened upstream, 110 feet to downstream crossing
DMR rates as low priority, IFW rates as medium priority
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
1.33 x BFW
Close to Lake Auburn
Natural bottom inside structure
8'W x 5'H x 47'L Concrete Box

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1-02 Auburn (Fish Hatchery Road)  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25          Score: 11

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$147,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 35.37
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$2500 average annual maintenance cost
Could be constructed in summer 2020
No engineering design
Unknown if engineering support is provided
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
No design provided
Does not have permits from ACOE

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-03 Auburn (Sopers Mill Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	12	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	33	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	8	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>53</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE**  
**TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-03 Auburn (Sopers Mill Road)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   12**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No documentation of flooding provided
5 years to failure
Structure is 9 years old/unknown
Poor condition- corrosion
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Currently undersized for 50- and 100-year storm events
Conducted studies on sizing – hydraulic capacity
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Doubling size of culvert
Studies were performed- hydraulic analysis
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, no other stormwater improvements noted
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
No detour
2 houses with 6 mile detour
Service detoured
Higher traffic volumes



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-03 Auburn (Sopers Mill Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**  
\*\*\*\*\*

Total Points Available: 50

Score: 33

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**  
\*\*\*\*\*

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
No documentation of flooding
Brook trout present
IWWH, Modelled salmon habitat
Unnamed stream flowing in to Androscoggin River
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat viewer information #20853, Barrier
.7 miles upstream to barrier, 0.7 miles downstream
DMR / IFW rates as low priority
ATS Critical Habitat, small amount opened
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
1.33 x BFW
8'W x 6'H x 40'L Concrete Box
Natural bottom inside structure

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**

**RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

**BIDDER: 2019R1-03 Auburn (Sopers Mill Road)**

**DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   8**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$160,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 40.63
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$1000 maintenance costs
Engineering costs appear high ~\$50k, other costs appear reasonable
No engineering design
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
No design provided
Does not have permits from ACOE

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-04 Bristol

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	12	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	32	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	9	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>53</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-04 Bristol

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score:   12  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No previous failures
Undersized
Structurally in OK condition- 20 years to failure
9 years old
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
No flooding documented
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Larger size structure, sized for 100-yr storm event
Sizing with HydroCAD, StreamStats
Not much info on results of analysis
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, no other stormwater improvements noted
Increased capacity
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
3.8 mile detour
No cut-offs
Service detoured
14 year round homes, 10 seasonal, 4 businesses affected

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-04 Bristol

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available:** 50

**Score:**   32  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout present, brown trout, bass
Unnamed stream flowing from Ross Pond to Boyd Pond
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat viewer information #11571, Barrier
.35 miles upstream to pond, no downstream barrier
DMR / IFW rates as low priority
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meeting 1.2xBFW
100 year flood sizing
Natural bottom inside structure

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-04 Bristol

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 9

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$74,250
Total Project Cost: \$109,250
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 32.04
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
No maintenance history provided, spent \$20k to replace & add culvert in 2010
Engineering costs appear high ~\$50k, other costs appear reasonable
engineering design
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
design provided
Does not have permits from ACOE, but has had discussion with ACOE
\$35k total engineering costs – on higher end
Gartley & Dorsky - Engineering
Est 75 year lifespan

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-05 Cambridge (Bailey Road)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the Infrastructure Improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	13	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	38	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	12	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>63</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-05 Cambridge (Bailey Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:**   13  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
previous failures >5 years
Undersized
Failure in 1-3 years, poor condition, voids in headwall stones
30+ years old
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
High risk for flooding, no additional documentation provided
Previous failures, overflow culvert installed
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Much larger structure, sized for 100-yr storm event
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, no other stormwater improvements noted
Increased capacity
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
5.5 mile detour
No cut-offs
Service detoured
1 year round homes, 3 businesses affected
Loss of dry hydrant if failure occurs

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-05 Cambridge (Bailey Road)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Total Points Available: 50

Score:   38  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Blacknose dace, creek chub, brook trout present, other species
IWWH, DWA, Black tern habitat, silver maple floodplain
Packard Brook
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat viewer information #19690, Potential barrier
.5 miles upstream to next crossing, 3.5 miles passable, 1.13 miles to downstream barrier
IFW rates as high priority
Modelled ATS habitat
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meeting 1.2xBFW (1.27) 22"W x 32"L pipe arch
100 year flood sizing
Natural bottom inside structure
Associated with another crossing on brook
Coldwater refugia



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-06 Cambridge (Bridge Road)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	13	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	39	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	12	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>64</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-06 Cambridge (Bridge Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score:   13  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
previous failure
Undersized
Failure in 5-10 years, poor condition, losing material
20+ years old
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
High risk for flooding, photos show clogging and debris issues
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Much larger structure, sized for 100-yr storm event
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, no other stormwater improvements noted
increased capacity
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
5.5 mile detour
No cut-offs
Service detoured
14 year round homes, 3 businesses affected
long detour

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-06 Cambridge (Bridge Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available:** 50          **Score:**   39  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Blacknose dace, creek chub, brook trout present, other species
IWWH, DWA, Black tern habitat, silver maple floodplain, tomah mayfly
Packard Brook
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat viewer information #19853, Potential Barrier
1.13 miles upstream to next crossing, 0.55 miles to downstream barrier
IFW rates as high priority, contact with regional biologist
Modelled ATS habitat
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meeting 1.2xBFW (1.27) 22'W x 8'H x 32'L pipe arch
100 year flood sizing
Natural bottom inside structure
Associated with another crossing on brook

# STATE OF MAINE TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-06 Cambridge (Bridge Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 12

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$65,000
Total Project Cost: \$70,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 7.14
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
<\$1000 over 10 years
Described events that have affected crossing
Reduced maintenance
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
No design provided
Does not have permits from ACOE yet
Project is inexpensive for sizing
\$6000 engineering costs
Associated with another proposal on Packard Brook – potential efficiencies



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-07 Charleston (Bacon Road)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	18	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	45	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	15	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	78	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-07 Charleston (Bacon Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:**  18

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Events in 2008, multiple in 20 years- partial washouts, overtopping road, bad washout in 2008
Failure in 2-3 years
25 years old
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Multiple pipes- loss of compaction?
Documentation of previous events
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Much large structure, reduction in flooding
Used StreamStats H&H study
Cross sections, stream profile and flow data provided
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, no other stormwater improvements noted
Increased capacity
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
>5 mile detour
39 homes, 10 business to detour
No cut-offs

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-07 Charleston (Bacon Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50            Score:   45**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Atlantic salmon downstream, Upstream modelled habitat, blueback herring, brook trout, sea lamprey, American eels, others
Many species of concern
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
High priority for salmon, critical habitat, cold water refugia
Reached out to agencies
Stream Viewer: #1303, Barrier
Opens 6.45 miles upstream, 5.67 to downstream barrier
Fishing opportunities – IFW letter
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Temperature modelling cited
Banks within structure, natural bottom
Stream simulation design
Associated with another crossing of stream proposed for grant
1.65 x BFW

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1-07 Charleston (Bacon Road)  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$162,098
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 41.39
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
>\$2000/year over 10 years – \$20K spent
Loss of fishing opportunities
Reduced maintenance
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
design provided
Does not have permits from ACOE yet, but has been in discussions
Project is inexpensive for sizing
\$10,000 engineering costs
Associated with another proposal– potential efficiencies
Construction support, good mix of partners
Construction in August 2020

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-08 – Charleston (Garland Road)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	14	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	45	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	10	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>69</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-08 – Charleston (Garland Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**  
\*\*\*\*\*

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 14

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**  
\*\*\*\*\*

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No failures reported
3 years to failure
Structure age: 25 years
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
No documentation of flooding, small size-easily clogged with debris
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Streamstats
Expected reduction in flooding from new crossing
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, increased capacity
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Detour- 3.2 miles, including all services
20 year round homes detoured, 27-30 businesses
Crossing wouldn't handle 5- or 25-year storms without scour per hydraulic analysis

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-08 – Charleston (Garland Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50**

**Score:   45**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE (15)</b>
Atlantic salmon present downstream, Upstream modelled habitat
6+ species present including blueback herring, American eels, Atlantic salmon, brook trout
Salmon critical habitat
Key riparian connector- undeveloped habitat block
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY (15)</b>
Habitat Viewer # 1286, potential barrier
Contact with IFW/DMR/USFWS
1.3 miles to upstream crossing( not barrier), 1.1 downstream
Brook trout spawning habitat upstream (active)
High priority for IFW
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT (20)</b>
10' BFW
12.5 clear span bridge proposed
Streamstats, hydraulic analysis for sizing, capacity
Design for 100 yr flow + 2 feet freeboard
No upstream barriers



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-08 – Charleston (Garland Road)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   10**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$80,000
Total Project Cost: \$105,698
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 24.31
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Maintenance history is general, no specific events
"thousands in repairs" – annual <\$1000
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Associated with another crossing within the system- potential efficiencies for construction if both projects awarded
Installation support available
Engineering design available
\$10k engineering costs
Permit not yet obtained from ACOE

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**

**RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

**BIDDER: 2019R1-09 – Cherryfield**

**DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	17	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	30	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	10	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>57</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1-09 – Cherryfield  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25                      Score:   17**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Failure frequency 1-2 years
1 year to failure
Structure age: 50 years
Serious degradation observed
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Flooded 6 times in 10 years
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Expected reduction in flooding from new crossing
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, increased capacity
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Detour- 1 mile
4 year round homes detoured, public services
Serious safety concerns
Jersey barriers placed and road narrowed

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060  
**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
**BIDDER:** 2019R1-09 – Cherryfield  
**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available:** 50      **Score:**   30  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE (15)</b>
USFWS- "unlikely presence of salmon" but modelled habitat upstream
species present include American eels, brook trout, sea-run brook trout
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY (15)</b>
Habitat Viewer # 50936, not considered a barrier
Contact with IFW/USFWS
0.63 miles to upstream crossing, 0.54 downstream
High priority for IFW
Opens 1 mile spawning/rearing habitat
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT (20)</b>
23-24 ft BFW
24 foot bridge proposed
Construction plan would reduce need for maintaining stream flow via pump, allowing passage during construction
Design for 100 yr flow

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060  
**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
**BIDDER:** 2019R1-09 – Cherryfield  
**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 10

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$89,140
Total Project Cost: \$107,220
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 16.86
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
maintenance includes erosion and pavement repairs ~ \$1000 annually
Failure liability, continual fixes to shoulder
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Associated with another crossing within the system- potential efficiencies for construction if both projects awarded
Installation support
Engineering design available
\$3k engineering costs
ACOE permit in-hand
Construct in 2019

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**

**RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

**BIDDER: 2019R1-10- Clinton**

**DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	13	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	26	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	10	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>49</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-10- Clinton

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   13**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Failure frequency 1-2 years
1 year to failure
Structure age: 56 years
Floor of crossing collapsing
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Frequent overtopping in spring, floods 2 of every 3 years
No exact dates provided, but related to spring melt
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Changing 2 culverts to 1 structure
Expected reduction in flooding from new crossing
No studies performed
Not much change in the overall size of structure width
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, increased capacity
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
No detour available
2 year round homes cut-off, 1 farm, and public services
Bottom of culvert is rotten



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-10- Clinton

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50**

**Score: 26**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE (15)</b>
Terrestrial passage not provided
Brook trout, shiners present
Undeveloped habitat block
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY (15)</b>
Habitat Viewer # 16601, Potential barrier – no barriers to headwaters, doesn't reference Habitat Viewer for upstream/downstream crossings
No spawning areas, etc.
0.63 miles to upstream crossing, 0.54 downstream
High priority for IFW
Opens 1 mile spawning/rearing habitat
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT (20)</b>
BFW unclear, 10'W x 6'H x 50'L box culvert proposed
Unknown if meeting 100 year flood standard
Unclear if backfilled and degree

STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1-10- Clinton  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019  
\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS

Total Points Available: 25      Score:   10  

\*\*\*\*\*  
Evaluation Team Comments:

Requested Amount: \$45,000
Total Project Cost: \$60,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 25.0
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
maintenance repairs - \$1000 annually
Filling holes, deck and settling
Regular debris removal
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Removal of ledge is required for box culvert- was an open bottom considered?
No engineering design
No permit application
\$no engineering costs provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-11 – Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>		<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.		x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.		x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.		x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources		x	
		<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>			
Section V. Public Infrastructure	(Max: 25 Points)	18	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit	(Max: 50 Points)	33	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information	(Max: 25 Points)	13	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	<b>(Max: 100 Points)</b>	<b>64</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-11 – Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:**   18  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Failure frequency >5 years
Photos of overtopping provided
Structure age: 12 years
Replaced in 2007
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
2018 storm damaged inlet, backing up water
Specific event identified
Hanging culvert, poorly aligned to stream channel, undersized
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
H&H study, StreamStats
Expected reduction in flooding from new crossing – pass 100 year storm at 80% capacity
4 ft structure to 18ft wide structure- big change in size
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, increased capacity
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Application says "cut-off" but unlikely these are actually cut-off as there is a detour available
30 year round homes, 40 seasonal homes, would need 7 mile detour and public services detoured

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-11 – Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50**

**Score:   33**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Bass, brook trout, landlocked salmon, Atlantic salmon, shiners present
Undeveloped habitat block
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Not surveyed in Habitat Viewer, unknown barrier info
No spawning areas identified
0.1.75 miles to upstream stone dam, no downstream barriers
IFW contact – good for landlocked salmon
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
BFW 12.4 feet, 18"W x 60'L bridge proposed
meeting 100 year flood standard @ 80% capacity
Stream simulation design
Modelled water temps – continues to be cold in future for cold water species

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-11 – Lucerne in Maine Village Corporation (Dedham)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25                      Score: 13

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$180,000
Some match not included in the match calculation, unclear of actual estimated costs, breakdown
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 47.22
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Installed in 2007, has been damaged
\$6k in repairs in 10 years
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Engineering design completed, unclear on engineering costs
Pending ACOE application
Construction Sept 2019
Unclear if engineering support available

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-12 – Denmark

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	15	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	23	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	5	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	43	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1-12 – Denmark  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Failure frequency 1-2 years
No photos of overtopping/failures provided
Structure age: 40+ years
Poor condition, imminent failure potential
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Overtopped 12 times, no specific dates provided
Built concrete wall to deflect water following failures
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Multiple culverts & overflow
Expected reduction in flooding from new crossing
No flooding analysis performed
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, increased capacity
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
11 year round homes, 31 seasonal homes and public services cut-off

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
 BIDDER: 2019R1-12 – Denmark  
 DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50                  Score:   23**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout present, others likely. Possible use by landlocked salmon and smelt
Benton Brook
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Not surveyed in Habitat Viewer
Contacted IFW
0.6 miles to upstream crossing, no downstream barriers to pond
IFW contact – good for landlocked salmon
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
BFW ~14 feet, size TBD – Concrete box
Will meet 1.2 x BFW
stream smart design
Functionality- "invisible to fish" mentions wildlife passage

# STATE OF MAINE TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #:** 201903060  
**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
**BIDDER:** 2019R1-12 – Denmark  
**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

## EVALUATION OF SECTION VII COST EFFECTIVENESS

**Total Points Available:** 25                  **Score:**   5  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$118,750
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 20.0
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
12 flooding events- maintenance/repair costs
No maintenance history or costs provided, added overflow culvert
Staff time costs of maintenance
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Engineering design not completed, unclear on engineering costs
No ACOE application submitted
Town would fund any additional costs
Unclear if engineering support available

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-13 – Dexter

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>	<u>Pass:</u>	<u>Fail:</u>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	11	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	32	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	13	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	56	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1-13 – Dexter  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25                      Score:   11**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No previous failures indicated, but in very poor4/hazardous condition, jersey barriers installed
No photos of overtopping/failures
Structure age: 54 years
Poor condition, imminent failure potential, DOT inspection(poor condition)
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
No flooding in 20 years, appropriately sized just in bad shape
Built concrete wall to deflect water following failures
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Wider structure but not much reduction expected 152% of current structure size, 4 feet wider, 1 foot taller
Questions regarding 100 year flood standard – possibly misunderstood
No flooding analysis performed
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, increased capacity
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
14 year round homes detoured 1 mile & services detoured

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-13 – Dexter

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available:** 50

**Score:**  32

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout, alewives present, others likely.
East Branch Sebasticook River
Modelled salmon habitat
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer # 19524, barrier
0.55 mi opened upstream, 0.05 downstream to crossing
Low priority for IFW/DMR
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
BFW ~13 feet, 26'W x 6.5'H x 19.2'L– 3 sided Concrete box proposed, natural bottom
Will meet 1.2 x BFW (1.46 x BFW)
Wider structure- beyond banks-terrestrial passage
Eliminate scour

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-13 – Dexter

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score: 13**

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$131,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 27.48
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Fill & safety maintenance
Future maintenance costs >\$52,000
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Preliminary design completed, unclear on engineering costs
No ACOE application submitted but have spoken with ACOE
Engineering support

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Farmington (Clover Mill Road 2019R1-14)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	19	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	42	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	16	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>77</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Farmington (Clover Mill Road 2019R1-14)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 19

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Previous flooding events frequency 1-2 years
No photos of overtopping/failures
Structure age: >32 years
Condition- starting to rust, but serviceable
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
States at least 5 washouts with exact dates
Contacted FEMA previously
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Much larger structure
StreamStats analysis
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, increased capacity of structure
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
No critical services on road but detour required
40 year round homes detoured 1.5-3.1 miles & services detoured
100 vehicles/day

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Farmington (Clover Mill Road 2019R1-14)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Total Points Available: 50

Score:   42  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout present, unnamed stream, tributary to Temple Stream
Undeveloped habitat block
Modelled salmon habitat
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer # 15270, barrier
2.7 mi opened upstream, 1.8 downstream to dam being removed in 2021
Contacted DMR, strong support from Atlantic Salmon Federation
DMR priority restoration area for Atlantic salmon – Paul Christman
Medium priority for DMR & IFW for restoration
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
BFW ~10-11 feet, 15'W x 57'L– 3 sided Concrete box proposed, natural bottom
Will meet 1.2 x BFW (1.36xBFW)
Wider structure- beyond banks-terrestrial passage
Hydraulic modelling, stream simulation design
Good cold water resource- temperature modelling

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1 – Farmington (Clover Mill Road 2019R1-14)  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25      Score: 16**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$276,677
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 65.66
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Over \$20k in maintenance costs over 10 years
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Preliminary design completed, unclear on engineering costs
No ACOE application submitted
Engineering support; 30% plans completed for engineering

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Farmington (Cummings Hill Road 2019R1-15)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	17	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	41	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	17	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>75</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Farmington (Cummings Hill Road 2019R1-15)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: \_\_17\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Previous flooding events frequency 3-4 years, 1 year to failure
No photos of overtopping/failures
Structure age: 40 years
Condition- poor
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
States at least 5 washouts with exact dates, 3 events in 2014 alone
Multiple culverts with overtopping issues
Regularly clogs with debris
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Much larger structure
StreamStats analysis
Multiple pipes to larger structure
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, increased capacity of structure
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
No critical services on road but detour required
331 year round homes detoured 3.2 miles & services detoured
Would create significant detour for residents of Temple in addition to 4 homes in Farmingotn

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Farmington (Cummings Hill Road 2019R1-15)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50      Score:   41**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout present, unnamed stream, Cummings Brook -tributary to Temple Stream
Several SGCN mentioned
Modelled salmon habitat
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer # 15272, Potential Barrier
3.6 mi opened upstream, 47 miles downstream after dam removed in 2021
Contacted DMR, support from Atlantic Salmon Federation
DMR priority restoration area for Atlantic salmon – Paul Christman
Medium priority for IFW for restoration, barrier 4 months/year due to shallow flows
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
BFW 18.1 feet,
24'W x7.25'H x 50'L– open bottom pipe arch proposed, natural bottom
Will meet 1.2 x BFW
Wider structure- beyond banks–terrestrial passage
Hydraulic modelling, stream simulation design
Good cold water resource- temperature modelling

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Farmington (Cummings Hill Road 2019R1-15)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:** 17

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$425,565
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 77.68
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Over \$20k in maintenance costs over 10 years
Maintenance costs, possible failure, longer life span of structure
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Preliminary design completed, unclear on engineering costs
No ACOE application submitted but will be submitted this summer
Engineering support 30% plans completed for engineering
Multiple partners for funding, large amount of match
Engineering costs- \$31,875

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**

**RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

**BIDDER: 2019R1 – Fayette (2019R1-16)**

**DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	17	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	26	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	14	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>57</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Fayette (2019R1-16)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   17**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Previous flooding events frequency 1-2 years, 1 year to failure
No photos of overtopping/failures
Structure age: 40 years
Condition- poor, often repaired, photos included
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
History of FEMA declarations – 11 times since 1974
Next flood will result in road closure
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Larger structure, although current design needs to be updated ( 3 pipes currently)
Design is outdated, not sized properly as designed – does not meet 1.2 x BFW
Multiple pipes to larger structure
StreamStats – 4.5 sq mile drainage area
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, increased capacity of structure
Less erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
No critical services on road but detour required 15 miles, no cut-offs
55 year round homes, 30 seasonal homes detoured 3.2 miles & services detoured
Significant detour length

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060  
**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Fayette (2019R1-16)  
**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Total Points Available: 50                      Score:   26  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout, American eels present, Hales Brook- outlet to Hales Pond
Salmon DPS, not Critical Habitat
Hales Pond
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Not surveyed in Habitat Viewer, but determined barrier by IFW
Did not answer question on upstream/downstream barriers
IFW supportive of 1.2xBFW structure
No priority habitats identified
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
BFW stated at 12 feet, but StreamStats estimates BFW at 16.8 feet, structure size TBD but meet 1.2xBFW, Natural bottom- plans need to be amended
Will meet 1.2 x BFW - but current design does not
No sizing analysis completed
Hydraulic modelling, stream simulation design
Design must be revised if awarded

### STATE OF MAINE TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1 – Fayette (2019R1-16)  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25                      Score:   14**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$93,750
Total Project Cost: \$125,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 25.0
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Spent >\$117k in previous FEMA declarations, continual repair of sinkholes
\$7400 in 2018 for repairs
Maintenance costs, possible failure, longer life span of structure
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Preliminary design from 1993 attached- this design will be insufficient as sized, but application states natural bottom and 1.2xBFW will be achieved
No ACOE application submitted
Engineering costs -\$5500; layout-\$5k, Permitting \$5k, geotechnical eng. \$5k
Engineering support available
Town staff will install

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Fort Kent (2019R1-17)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	11	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	27	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	12	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>50</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Fort Kent (2019R1-17)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   11**

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
1 failure >5 years ago, 1 year to failure
No photos of overtopping/failures
Structure age: 60+ years
Condition- broken, collapsed culvert with significant erosion
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Close to capacity at 100-year flow events
two 24inch culverts to a 48" culvert – not much improvement aside from eliminating 2 culverts
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Single structure from two structures
Not a big change in capacity, but may help with debris/clogging
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL, increased capacity of structure
Less erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Airport and services cut-off, 1 year round home & cattle farm cut-off
Also cuts off popular hiking trail, Forest Service

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Fort Kent (2019R1-17)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available:** 50

**Score:**   27  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout present. Unnamed tributary to Fish River
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer #33159, barrier
Opens 0.42 mi upstream to end of habitat available, assuming no barrier downstream per application
Spoke with Merry Gallagher & Frank Frost at IFW
Spawning area identified
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
BFW stated at 3 feet, structure will meet 1.2 x ZBFW based on that measurement – 4ft culvert proposed
StreamStats, runoff/peak discharge rates
Unsure if meeting 100 year flood standard – states “confident” it meets but nothing to back up claim
Embedded structure

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Fort Kent (2019R1-17)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score: 12**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$14,000
Total Project Cost: \$18,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 22.22
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Spent \$3k in 10 years
Maintenance costs, possible failure, longer life span of structure
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Preliminary design from 1993 attached- this design will be insufficient as sized, but application states natural bottom and 1.2xBFW will be achieved
No ACOE application submitted – application states that one is not necessary- this is incorrect if using coffer dams during construction. If awarded, ACOE must be contacted for confirmation of permitting need
Engineering costs -\$700 from Public Works
Engineering support not mentioned
DEP-Certified contractor to install, Town staff will install

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Freeport (2019R1-18)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	10	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	30	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	15	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>55</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1 – Freeport (2019R1-18)  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25                      Score:   10**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No previous failures documented, 5-10 years to failure
Structure Age: 11 years
Severely degraded, hanging culvert
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
No flooding incidents, but impacts of undersized structure seen downstream
High impervious cover in watershed
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Geomorphic assessment completed, downstream embankment failure, HydroCAD
Severely hanging (~8ft)
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
Urban Impaired Stream System, 319 Grant, 2012 TMDL- part of watershed management plan
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Road is bypass for Route 1 traffic- critical emergency route
Incision of stream documented- impacts from culvert, no cut-off or detour listed

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 ~ Freeport (2019R1-18)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Total Points Available: 50

Score: 30

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Crossing design is very steep, would fish passage be possible?
Rainbow smelt present
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
No Habitat Viewer ID given, determined to be barrier
Opens 0.11 mi upstream, barrier 0.71 miles downstream
No contact with resource agencies
Low priority for IFW/DMR
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Sizing not complete- will meet 1.2 x BFW, 10-12' wide
Bottomless pipe arch
Low flow channel, root wad deflectors & stepped pool structures for passage

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Freeport (2019R1-18)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 15

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$315,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 69.84
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Spent \$2500/year over 10 years
Maintenance costs & emergency access
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
No ACOE application submitted
Engineering costs -\$5000: Geotechnical, \$15k: design & permitting
Engineering support available, design not completed
4-6 week construction time

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**

**RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

**BIDDER: 2019R1 – Fryeburg (Farnsworth Road, 2019R1-19)**

**DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass:	Fail:
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	17	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	34	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	10	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>61</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Fryeburg (Farnsworth Road, 2019R1-19)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 17

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Overtops road every 1-2 years, estimated time to failure - 1 year
Structure Age: 50 years
Very poor condition, significant rusting
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Within 500-year floodplain
No photos, flooding documentation provided
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
5' culvert to 14' crossing
Increased capacity
StreamStats- meets 500 year standard
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
4 mile detour required
4 year round homes, 1 seasonal home detoured in summer. All cut off in winter

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Fryeburg (Farnsworth Road, 2019R1-19)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Total Points Available: 50

Score: 34

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout, "various nongame species"- Jim Pellerin MDIFW
Brook trout habitat documented Upstream, IWWH, other habitats & Species of Greatest Conservation Need in project area
"likely spawning habitat" present
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer ID 10046, potential barrier
Opens 1.7 miles upstream to pond (not a barrier), next crossing 1 mile downstream, barrier 2.7 miles downstream miles downstream
Contacted IFW Fisheries Biologist
Medium priority for IFW, low priority for DMR
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
11 ft BFW, new structure 14' wide, meets 1.2 x BFW
Multiplate arch, natural bottom
Unclear if banks installed within structure

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Fryeburg (Farnsworth Road, 2019R1-19)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:** 10

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$165,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 42.42
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Minimal \$ spent on maintenance over 10 years
Clearing blockages
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
No ACOE application submitted
\$15k engineering costs
Engineering support available, engineering design not completed- conceptual design completed
Associated with another proposal in Fryeburg- potential synergies/savings?

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Fryeburg (Wicks Road, 2019R1-20)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	16	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	26	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	10	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>52</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Fryeburg (Wicks Road, 2019R1-20)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:** 16

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Floods in spring, 1-2 frequency, estimated time to failure - 3 years
Structure Age: 50 years
poor condition, deformed culvert
Sinkholes in road
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Flood risk high with heavy rain
Backwater from river
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity 34" structure to 15' structure
StreamStats used for sizing – meets 500-yr event, big increase in size
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Cut-off access to 1 home, 1 business(farm)
No detour

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1 – Fryeburg (Wicks Road, 2019R1-20)  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**  
\*\*\*\*\*

Total Points Available: 50

Score: 26

\*\*\*\*\*  
Evaluation Team Comments:  
\*\*\*\*\*

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Warmwater species present per IFW assessment
Not much information provided on fisheries information
currently restricted flow on old course of Saco River
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer ID 10351, potential barrier
Opens 0.4 miles upstream (no barrier upstream), next crossing 2.3 miles downstream
Contacted IFW Fisheries Biologist
IFW supportive
Low priority for restoration by DMR/IFW
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Meets 1.2 x BFW 15'W x 4'H x 40'L box culvert proposed
Embedded 2 feet with natural bottom
Stream simulation design
500 year sizing, resistance to beaver dams

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Fryeburg (Wicks Road, 2019R1-20)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:** 10

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$171,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 44.44
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Minimal \$ spent on maintenance over 10 years
Closing road due to flooding
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
No ACOE application submitted
\$15k engineering costs
Engineering support available, engineering design not completed- conceptual design completed
Associated with another proposal in Fryeburg- potential synergies/savings?

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Gorham (2019R1-21)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	14	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	26	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	9	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	49	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Gorham (2019R1-21)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   14**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Failure 3 times in 23 years
Structure Age: 40 years
Very poor condition- folded
Deep culvert, being repaired with riprap
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Hydraulic analysis to be completed, will confirm that it will meet 100 year storm event
Increased capacity
Flooding event years listed
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
No overtopping of road, but nearby driveway is affected
9'wide structure to 16' wide structure
Size not finalized, lack of specifics
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
36 houses, 2 businesses detoured 3 miles, no cut-offs
no critical services

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Gorham (2019R1-21)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Total Points Available: 50

Score: \_\_26\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
No information provided on fisheries information, does not appear to have looked at stream viewer information
Not much information provided on fisheries information – simply states “unknown”
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Says not listed as a barrier, no Habitat Viewer information provided in application.
Habitat Viewer ID:8162 listed as potential barrier, but application does not include this information
Opens 1.25 miles upstream (no mention if it is a barrier), next crossing >1 mile downstream
Listed as a different stream name in Habitat Viewer
No survey data for IFW, Low priority for DMR
Much of the data not provided could have been found in Habitat Viewer- not sure if applicant attempted to find information based on what is provided vs. what is available
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Meets 1.2 x BFW but sizing Incomplete – estimated bank full width in Stream Habitat Viewer listed as 19.2 feet which is far from the 16 ft structure proposed at 1.2 x BFW. There is no map showing locations of BFW measurements or other documentation for reference reach/stream profile provided as requested in application
Pipe arch with natural bottom
100 year flood sizing TBD
Avoid bypass pumping by installing footings in the dry

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Gorham (2019R1-21)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 9

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$198,720
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 52.19
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
No documentation of maintenance costs, but says "considerable"
Avoid bypass pumping by installing footings in the dry
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
No ACOE application submitted, not contacted
\$14,720 engineering costs
Engineering support available, engineering design not completed- conceptual estimate only

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Houlton (2019R1-22)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	16	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	30	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	18	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>64</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Houlton (2019R1-22)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 16

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Failure in 2010 – overtopped road, documentation provided
Structure Age: 50 years
Very poor condition- major distortion of culvert, differential settling of culvert, continuous crack in structure
No photos
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Overtopped road in 2010, deformed/crushed ends - reducing capacity
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity
Modelling suggests overtopping 25 year storm event
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Manufacturing plant cut-off, contains hazardous materials on-site, no detour
no critical services located on road
Water line access would be cut-off

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 - Houlton (2019R1-22)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Total Points Available: 50

Score: 30

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
No information provided on fisheries information, does not appear to have looked at stream viewer information
Not much information provided on fisheries information - simply states "unknown"
Many Species of Greatest Conservation need identified
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer # listed as 30613, but this is an invalid number. No ID for this crossing. Upstream ID# is 30078 for railroad crossing, unknown if barrier
Says next barrier is <1 mile but next barrier upstream is 0.06 miles (#30078), downstream >75 miles
Potential salmon spawning habitat
High priority for IFW, Low priority for DMR
High diversity of aquatic insects
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Exceeds 1.2xBFW, banks within structure - 50' clear span bridge
Good preliminary plans & detail included- woody debris, banks
Natural bottom

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Houlton (2019R1-22)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$782,854
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 87.86
Very high match amount
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$36,000 spent on maintenance over 10 year period, \$6900 spent in 2010
Potential loss of manufacturing jobs or shutdowns- could hurt town economically
Project is "shovel ready"
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
ACOE has been contacted, no permit filed yet
engineering costs?
Engineering support available, design complete
Excavation costs appear on high end

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Marshfield (2019R1-23)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	12	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	40	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	16	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>68</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Marshfield (2019R1-23)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   12**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Failure in 2009, 2015; estimated failure in 1 year
Structure Age: 25 years
poor condition- rusting through bottom
No photos
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Dike in Machias floods regularly- this road is the detour for those events
Floods regularly
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity, from 4 ft structure to 13+ foot structure
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
7 year round homes, 2 seasonal homes detoured 1 mile. Application says cut-off but if there is a detour, it is not cut-off
Road is an important detour route for other localized flooding

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Marshfield (2019R1-23)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Total Points Available: 50

Score:  40

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout, alewives, American eels, sea-run brook trout, rainbow smelt present
Contacted DMR
Located on Smelt Brook
Currently perched crossing
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Not currently in Habitat Viewer, determined to be barrier
Blocked fish passage- .2 miles to next crossing- not a barrier, 0.75 miles to bog; downstream 2.64 miles to tide gate. Opens 3 miles of habitat
Middle River located downstream- kids only fishing location
Atlantic salmon Critical Habitat, modelled habitat upstream
DMR supportive of project, High priority for IFW
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Meets 1.2 x BFW, 100-yr flood standard
Additional barrier to be removed for project, good habitat improvements associated with crossing
open bottom box design

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Marshfield (2019R1-23)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score: 16**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$35,300
Total Project Cost: \$70,600
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 50.0
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$5500 for 2 events in 2009 & 2015
Pavement & other degradation from freeze/thaw cycles
Gravel & silt washes downstream, road shoulder washouts
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
ACOE has been contacted, no permit required as designed per Shawn Mahaney
\$10k engineering costs
Engineering support available, design complete
Work could begin August 2019

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Monmouth (2019R1-24)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
		<b>Points Awarded:</b>
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	14	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	22	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	11	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>49</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Monmouth (2019R1-24)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:** 14

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Overtops road, but hasn't failed, no photos provided
Structure Age: 40 years
Estimated failure in less than 1 year
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Overtopped in spring 2019, loss of roadway fines- currently only open to single lane traffic
Overtops roadway regularly
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity- can currently only handle 5-yr & 25-year events
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion- keep fines in roadway
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
20 year round homes, 20 seasonal homes detoured 4 miles
Flow through roadway has been observed – currently single lane traffic due to need for repairs

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**

**RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**

**BIDDER: 2019R1 – Monmouth (2019R1-24)**

**DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019**

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50                      Score:   22**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Alewives
Modelled habitat for Atlantic salmon -rearing
Stream flows into Wilson Pond
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer ID# 16375, potential barrier
0.7 miles opened upstream of crossing, 0.7 miles downstream to Wilson Pond Dam
Modelled salmon habitat
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Meets 1.2 x BFW, will not meet 100 year flood standard, 10' clear span concrete box
Natural bottom
H&H analysis performed
Field measurements needed to confirm BFW
Banks within structure

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Monmouth (2019R1-24)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**  
\*\*\*\*\*

**Total Points Available: 25                  Score: 11**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$315,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 69.84
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
No significant information regarding maintenance costs – indirect, loss of fines from road=phosphorus loading to lake
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
ACOE has not been contacted, no permit yet
\$19k engineering costs
Engineering support available, conceptual design
Work could begin 2020

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Palmyra (2019R1-25)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
		<b>Points Awarded:</b>
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)		17
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)		34
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)		13
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)		64

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Palmyra (2019R1-25)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:**   17  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Overtopped road 3 times in 20 years starting in 2000, but hasn't completely failed, no photos provided
Structure Age: unknown
Estimated failure in 3 years
Structure coating has failed and deteriorating, significant erosion of banks
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Overtopped in 3x in 20 years, numerous occasions water has risen to edge of roadway
Some documentation of flooding, no photos
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity- can currently only handle smaller storm events
New structure over capacity for 100-yr storm
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion, Nokomis Pond downstream- water supply
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
100+ year round homes, 4 farms, services detoured 3.7 miles

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Palmyra (2019R1-25)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Total Points Available: 50

Score: 34

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
No info on fish species provided, assumed fish are present
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer ID# 19805, barrier
No upstream barriers, 0.9 miles to next crossing
Modelled salmon habitat
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Meets 1.2 x BFW, will meet 100 year flood standard, 14'W x 4.5'H x 38'L bridge
Natural bottom
Hydraulic modelling HY-8
40% larger structure than needed for 100 year storm
Banks within structure, wildlife passage

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
 BIDDER: 2019R1 – Palmyra (2019R1-25)  
 DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
 COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25          Score: 13

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$150,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 36.67
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
~\$750/year for cleaning, debris
indirect, loss of fines from road=phosphorus loading to lake
Beaver issues- \$6000
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Design completed
ACOE permit in-hand
\$10k engineering costs
Engineering support available
Work could begin 2019

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Phillips (2019R1-26)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	16	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	34	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	13	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	63	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
 BIDDER: 2019R1 – Phillips (2019R1-26)  
 DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25      Score:   16**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<p><b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>          "continually" had large flows overtopping &amp; closing road, no photos or number of failures provided          Structure Age: unknown          Estimated failure in 3 years          Culvert rusting but difficult to assess due to overhanging rocks</p>
<p><b>FLOODING RISK</b>          Continually overtops, culvert deformed          No documentation of flooding provided, backwater from downstream</p>
<p><b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>          Increased capacity- much larger structure- 4' culvert to 17.5' span          StreamStats, H&amp;H</p>
<p><b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>          No TMDL          Less erosion</p>
<p><b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>          30+ year round homes, 10+ seasonal homes, 4 businesses, services detoured 5.5-12.25 miles          Poor quality detour road</p>

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Phillips (2019R1-26)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**  
\*\*\*\*\*

Total Points Available: 50

Score: 34

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout, Atlantic salmon modelled habitat
Undeveloped watershed upstream
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer ID# 15066, barrier
Barrier information doesn't appear to be accurate in Habitat Viewer, says open 1.83 miles upstream, but there is a crossing just above this location, downstream 20.8 miles open
Modelled salmon habitat
Sandy River watershed
Low priority crossing for DMR/IFW
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Meets 1.2 x BFW(>1.3xBFW), will meet 100 year flood standard, 17.5' W (clear span) x 6'H x 35'L bridge
Natural bottom
Hydraulic modelling
Stream simulation design
Banks within structure, wildlife passage
40% larger structure than needed for 100 year storm
Temperature modelling- cold water refugia into future

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Phillips (2019R1-26)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25                      Score:  13**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$133,791
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 28.99
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$30k spent over 20 years on repairs/maintenance
\$50k to replace with similar structure
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Design available
ACOE contacted
\$10k engineering costs
Engineering support available
Work could begin 2020

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-27 – Pownal

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass:	Fail:
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
		<b>Points Awarded:</b>
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	11	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	21	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	11	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>43</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1-27 – Pownal

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   11**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No previous failures, eroding shoulders, Major bank erosion noted
Structure Age: 54 years
Estimated failure in 10 years, inspected by DOT 6/1/16
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Does not flood
No documentation of flooding
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity- increase by 3 feet of width
StreamStats USGS peak flow
50 & 100-year storm discharge met
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
66 year round homes, services detoured 2.25 miles, no businesses cut-off
No cut-offs
790 trips/day

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1-27 – Pownal

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**  
\*\*\*\*\*

**Total Points Available:** 50

**Score:** 21

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**  
\*\*\*\*\*

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout
Royal River watershed
Northern Long-eared bat
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer ID# 8931 – not a barrier
1 barrier upstream, 10 barriers downstream
Input from DOT
No priority habitats identified
Medium priority crossing for IFW, low priority for DMR- but not a barrier currently
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Does not meets 1.2 x BFW, will meet 100 year flood standard based on USGS peak flow data, 15'W x 7.7'H x 55'L open bottom arch
Natural bottom
Unclear if banks within structure/wildlife passage provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1-27 - Pownal  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25                  Score:  11

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$139,880
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 32.08
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Maintenance clearing of blockages <\$1000/year
Not much information on maintenance provided
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
40% Design available
No ACQE permit yet, but has been contacted
\$18,380 engineering costs with permitting, bidding
Engineering support available- inspection listed as item

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Rangeley (Bald Mountain Camps 2019R1-28)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	13	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	36	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	11	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>60</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Rangeley (Bald Mountain Camps 2019R1-28)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   13**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No previous failures, overtopping of road, sinkholes in road, flashy system
Structure Age: 15+ years
Estimated failure in 3 years
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Does not flood
No documentation of flooding
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity- much larger structure replacing 3 culverts
HydroCAD peak flow – Wright Pierce analysis: currently can only handle 5-yr storm, but not flooding?
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
107 year round homes, services detoured 9.2 miles, no businesses cut-off
No cut-offs
Long detour length

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 ~ Rangeley (Bald Mountain Camps 2019R1-28)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Total Points Available: 50

Score: 36

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout
Heritage Water downstream
Northern Long-eared bat
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer ID# 23591 – potential barrier
0.8 miles upstream to next crossing- not a barrier, downstream to Rangeley Lake Dam
High priority crossing for IFW, low priority for DMR
Near spawning habitat
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Exceeds 1.2 x BFW, will meet 100 year flood standard based on USGS peak flow data, 15'W x 5'4"H x 60'L open bottom multiplate arch
Natural bottom
banks within structure/wildlife passage provided
H&H study
Stream simulation design

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Rangeley (Bald Mountain Camps 2019R1-28)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   11**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$212,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 55.19%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Not much information on maintenance provided
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Conceptual Design available
No ACOE permit yet, but has been started
engineering costs not specified
Engineering support available
Construction in 2020

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Rangeley (Bugle Cove 2019R1-29)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass:	Fail:
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	15	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	35	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	11	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>61</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Rangeley (Bugle Cove 2019R1-29)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No previous failures, overtopping of road, sinkholes in road
Structure Age: 20-30 years
Estimated failure in 3 years
Condition varies but is in disrepair, hanging, crushed outlet
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Does not flood
No documentation of flooding
20% chance of failure, low road cover
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity- much larger structure two 30" culverts to 18' wide box
HydroCAD peak flow – Wright Pierce analysis: currently can only handle 5-yr storm, but not flooding?
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
107 year round homes, services detoured 9.2 miles, no businesses cut-off
No cut-offs
Long detour length

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
 BIDDER: 2019R1 – Rangeley (Bugle Cove 2019R1-29)  
 DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50                    Score:   35**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout present
Heritage Water downstream
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer ID# 23506 –potential barrier
1 mile upstream to next crossing stated in application- there is a barrier upstream; downstream to Rangeley Lake Dam
High priority crossing for IFW, low priority for DMR
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Exceeds 1.2 x BFW, will meet 100 year flood standard, 19'W x 7'H x 50'L open bottom multiplate arch
Natural bottom
banks within structure/wildlife passage provided
H&H study
Stream simulation design

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Rangeley (Bugle Cove 2019R1-29)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   11**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$226,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 57.96%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Not much information on maintenance provided
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Conceptual Design available
No ACOE permit yet, but has been started
engineering costs not specified
Engineering support available
Construction in 2020

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Skowhegan (2019R1-30)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	13	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	25	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	9	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>47</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Skowhegan (2019R1-30)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score:   13  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Overtopped road within 5 years, no dates or years given
Structure Age: 50 years
Estimated failure in 1-3 years
Deteriorated invert of culvert
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Has flooded, repairs made to embankment
Photos showing repairs made
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Multiple structures to 1 crossing, but new crossing is smaller than combined size of existing culverts – pass debris better
No analysis performed on hydraulic capacity – to be performed
Unclear if reduction in flooding risk will be realized due to questions regarding sizing without documentation
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
No detour available
1 daycare, 8 homes cut-off

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Skowhegan (2019R1-30)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available:** 50

**Score:**   25  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
No information provided
Rowell Bog, Wesserunsett upstream
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer ID# 26673 , not included in application, listed as potential barrier
Doesn't appear to have checked Stream Habitat Viewer for information -states next crossing 1.25 miles upstream and unknown downstream- Habitat Viewer lists a potential barrier downstream of site
Low priority crossing for IFW, medium priority for DMR
No priority habitats identified, no contact with DMR/IFW
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
Not sure if meets 1.2xBFW. Application says yes, but estimated BFW in Stream Viewer is 21+ feet, estimated value given in application is 14 feet- big difference in measurements;
18'W x 8'H x 50'L proposed multiplate arch
Natural bottom – native representative material backfilled
Unclear if banks within structure/wildlife passage provided
No H&H study, Stream Stats
Not much information provided
Unclear if meeting 100-yr flood standard, will check

**STATE OF MAINE**  
**TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Skowhegan (2019R1-30)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII**  
**COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25

Score:   9  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$198,720
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 52.19%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
Unknown maintenance costs
Not much information on maintenance provided, repairs have been made
Footings outside stream banks
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
No Design available yet
No ACOE permit yet
engineering costs \$14720
Engineering support available

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Starks (2019R1-31)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	17	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	41	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	15	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>73</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1 – Starks (2019R1-31)  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25                      Score:   17**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Floods on regular basis in spring, average frequency 1-2 years
Structure Age: 30-40 years
Estimated failure in 3 years
No photos of failure/flooding
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Regularly floods in spring and makes road impassable
Major connector road
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Sized for 500-year event, experienced 500-yr event in 1987
StreamStats
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Less erosion- reduction in washouts
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
No cutoffs
20 year round homes, 15 seasonal homes, 3 businesses detoured 10 miles – long detour
Sandy River Road is a major connector between Route 43 & 134. DOT has used this road as a detour in past

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Starks (2019R1-31)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50**

**Score:   41**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout present
Modelled salmon habitat
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer ID# 15174 , not included in application but is a barrier, culvert drops 1 foot at outlet next crossing 3 miles upstream but is not a barrier, 20 miles downstream – good quality habitat opened
Low priority crossing for IFW, medium priority for DMR
No priority habitats identified, contacted with IFW
Modelled salmon habitat
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meets 1.2xBFW. 17.5'W x 10'H x 32'L bridge proposed
Natural bottom
Only impediment to fish passage above Weston Dam
Stream Stats
Temperature modelling- cold water refugia in future per IFW

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Starks (2019R1-31)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**  
\*\*\*\*\*

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:**   15  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$75,000
Total Project Cost: \$152,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 50.66%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$3-4k/year in maintenance costs – gravel washouts
No other avoided costs
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Design available
No ACOE permit yet
engineering costs \$11,500
Engineering support available
August 2020 construction anticipated

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Thomaston (2019R1-32)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	11	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	37	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	17	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>65</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Thomaston (2019R1-32)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score:   11  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No previous failures
Structure Age: 56 years
Estimated failure in 3 years, DOT inspection: poor to serious condition
No photos of failure/flooding
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
No washouts or flooding documented
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity, studies to be performed later
No documentation provided- will meet 1.2xBFW sizing
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
water quality concerns with shellfish area downstream – reduced sedimentation
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Detour length: 0.6 miles
60 year round homes detoured
No cut-offs

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Thomaston (2019R1-32)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Total Points Available: 50

Score:   37  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Alewife, Atlantic salmon present downstream
Shellfishery downstream
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Not identified in Habitat Viewer, determined to be barrier
Unknown barriers upstream, not much field information provided
Alewife streams identified in system
1 <sup>st</sup> crossing in system
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meets 1.2xBFW but no design, sizing TBD
Natural bottom, unclear if banks will be provided within structure
No hydraulic analysis

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Thomaston (2019R1-32)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:** 17

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$313,800
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 69.73%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$40k in maintenance since 2005
Impacts to downstream shellfish area- potential economic impact if closed due to sedimentation/nutrients
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
No design available
No ACOE permit yet
engineering costs not provided
Need some basic information on sizing to determine if costs are reasonable

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Turner (2019R1-33)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	14	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	33	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	17	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>64</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Turner (2019R1-33)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score:   14**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No previous failures
Structure Age: 47 years
Estimated failure in 3 years, DOT inspection: serious but serviceable condition, holes in bottom/unzipped
No photos of failure/flooding
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
No washouts or flooding documented
Passes most storms but backs up close to top of road, failure would lead to road closure
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity
Exceeds 100 year storm sizing
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
water quality concerns with shellfish area downstream – reduced sedimentation
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Detour length: 5 miles
77 year round homes, 10 seasonal homes, 1 farm detoured
No cut-offs
No guard rails currently
547 vehicles/day

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Turner (2019R1-33)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50                  Score:   33**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout present
Near significant brook trout habitat
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer #20733 – potential barrier
3.1 miles to next crossing upstream (not barrier), .6 miles downstream (not barrier)-12.6 miles to dam
Modelled salmon habitat, significant brook trout habitat
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meets 1.2xBFW but no design, 23'10"W x 10'1"H x 41'L aluminum box culvert
Natural bottom, unclear if banks will be provided within structure
Sizing done by Jones Associates

# STATE OF MAINE TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Turner (2019R1-33)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score: \_17\_**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$190,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 50%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$800/year in gravel, \$9500 over 10 years
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
design available
No ACOE permit yet
engineering costs \$13k, engineering support available
Construction in 2020

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Washington (2019R1-34)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
		<b>Points Awarded:</b>
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	12	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	41	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	16	
<b>TOTAL POINTS (Max: 100 Points)</b>		<b>69</b>



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Washington (2019R1-34)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

|  
**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score: \_\_ 12 \_\_**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
No previous failures, poor condition – seam separation & distortion of pipe; DOT: channel protection failure, wide cracks, spalling, seam separation
Structure Age: 32 years
Estimated failure in 10 years
No photos of failure/flooding
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
No documentation but anecdotally pipes are at capacity during rain events
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity, larger sizing
HY-8 analysis
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Decreased erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Detour length: 2.2 miles
12 year round homes, 2 seasonal homes, services detoured
No cut-offs

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
 BIDDER: 2019R1 – Washington (2019R1-34)  
 DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50                  Score:   41**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout, creek chub, blacknose dace, American eel present
Northern Long Eared bat
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer #14125 , potential barrier-also identified as barrier by IFW staff
4.8 miles to next crossing upstream (not barrier), no downstream barrier
Important for brook trout-IFW
High priority for IFW, low priority for DMR
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meets 1.2xBFW but no design, 26' W x 8'7" H x 64'L box with arch plate, open-bottom
Natural bottom, wildlife passage shelf
HY-8 analysis, streamstats – 100 year storm with 20% freeboard

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Washington (2019R1-34)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available: 25**

**Score: 16**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$195,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 51.28%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$10k in maintenance/repair over 10 years
Sedimentation downstream
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
design available
No ACOE permit yet
engineering costs \$18k, engineering support available
Construction in 2020

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – West Paris (Location ID#20587, 2019R1-35)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	16	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	39	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	14	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>69</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – West Paris (Location ID#20587, 2019R1-35)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score:  16

\*\*\*\*\*  
Evaluation Team Comments:

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Previous failures 1-2 year frequency, poor condition – end of lifespan
Structure Age: 35 years
Estimated failure in 3 years
Photos showing erosion, not during flooding/failure
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
No documentation but floods 1 time/year
Very little cover over culverts
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity, much larger sizing
Multiple culverts to one crossing – more than double existing size, reduce blockages, maintenance clearing
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Decreased erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Detour length: 5 miles
52 year round homes, 3 other roads, services detoured - says cut-off in application but there is a detour so not cut-off
No cut-offs

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – West Paris (Location ID#20587, 2019R1-35)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50                      Score:   39**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout
Undeveloped blocks of habitat, key riparian connector
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer #20587, potential barrier
1.4 miles to headwaters, 8.3 miles to downstream barrier (dam)
Contact with IFW
High priority for IFW, low priority for DMR
In-kind match from USFWS
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meets 1.2xBFW, conceptual design, 12.5'W x 4'H x 26'L bridge
Natural bottom, wildlife passage
streamstats – meets 100 year storm
Stream simulation design temperature modelling- cold water

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – West Paris (Location ID#20587, 2019R1-35)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 14

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$75,000
Total Project Cost: \$91,362
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 17.91%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$500/year in maintenance/repair over 10 years
Sedimentation downstream
\$50k to replace in-kind with same maintenance needs likely
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
design available
No ACOE permit yet, but contacted
engineering costs \$10k, engineering support available
Construction in 2020
Potential efficiency if 2 crossings on stream replaced

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – West Paris (Location ID#20588, 2019R1-36)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	16	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	38	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	14	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>68</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – West Paris (Location ID#20588, 2019R1-36)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score:   16  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Previous failures 1-2 year frequency, poor condition – end of lifespan, sinkholes, erosion
Structure Age: 35 years
Estimated failure in 3 years
Photos showing erosion, not during flooding/failure
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
No documentation but floods 1 time/year
Very little cover over culverts
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity, much larger sizing
Multiple culverts to one crossing – more than double existing size, reduce blockages, maintenance clearing
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Decreased erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Detour length: 5 miles
52 year round homes, 3 other roads, services detoured - says cut-off in application but there is a detour so not cut-off
No cut-offs

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060  
**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – West Paris (Location ID#20588, 2019R1-36)  
**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50                  Score:   38**

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout
Undeveloped blocks of habitat, key riparian connector, modelled ATS habitat
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer #20588, potential barrier
0.6 miles to headwaters, 8.3 miles to downstream barrier (dam)
Contact with IFW
High priority for IFW, low priority for DMR
In-kind match from USFWS
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meets 1.2xBFW, conceptual design, 10'W (clear span) x 3.5'H x 28'L open bottom box
Natural bottom, wildlife passage
streamstats – meets 100 year storm
Stream simulation design temperature modelling- cold water

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – West Paris (Location ID#20588, 2019R1-36)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:**   14  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$70,000
Total Project Cost: \$86,562
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 19.13%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$5000 in maintenance/repair over 10 years
Sedimentation downstream
\$50k to replace in-kind with same maintenance needs likely
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
design available
No ACOE permit yet, but in process
engineering costs \$10k, engineering support available
Construction in 2020
Potential efficiency if 2 crossings on stream replaced

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Whitefield (2019R1-37)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	19	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	28	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	18	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>65</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1 – Whitefield (2019R1-37)  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available: 25                  Score:   19**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Previous failures 1-2 year frequency, very poor condition erosion, debris, flooding, piping
Structure Age: 20+ years
Estimated failure in <1 year
Photos included
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Frequent road overtopping
Debris collects at inlet regularly, piping occurring
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity, much larger sizing
Hydraulic modelling, reduced erosion if not overtopping
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Decreased erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Detour length: 7 miles
37 year round homes, 17 seasonal homes, services detoured
No cut-offs
Sinkholes developing

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Whitefield (2019R1-37)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50**

**Score: 28**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout
Inland Waterfowl habitat, wetlands, non-native fish in Clary Lake
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
Habitat Viewer #3751 – 6 mos blockage, potential barrier
1.7 miles to barrier, 1.73 miles to downstream barrier (dam)
Contact with IFW- don't have fish assemblage data
low priority for DMR/IFW, Priority 2 under TNC Prioritization tool-restoration underway downstream
In-kind match from USFWS,
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meets 1.2xBFW, conceptual design, 10'W (clear span) x 3.5'H x 28'L open bottom box
Natural bottom, stable backfill, wildlife passage, allows for movement of sediment
meets 100 year storm, modelling

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
BIDDER: 2019R1 – Whitefield (2019R1-37)  
DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**  
\*\*\*\*\*

Total Points Available: 25          Score: 18\_\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$75,000
Total Project Cost: \$133,700
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 43.9%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
\$1500/year in maintenance/repair , proposed structure would last 75 years vs design life of in-kind replacement at 20 years
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
design available
No ACOE permit yet, but filed
engineering costs \$4700k, engineering support available
Construction in 2019
Potential efficiency if 2 crossings on stream replaced
Geotech/soils completed already-Summit Engineering

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – Wilton (2019R1-38)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
		<b>Points Awarded:</b>
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	18	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	28	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	8	
<b>TOTAL POINTS (Max: 100 Points)</b>		<b>54</b>



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score:  18

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Previous failures 1-2 year frequency, severely undersized, frequent flooding
Structure Age: 15-20 years
Estimated failure in 5 years
No photos included
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Flooding related to spring melt, frequency increasing over time
Culvert deformed
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity, much larger sizing: 3' to 17.5'
Cross sections included
Large wetland area upstream
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Watershed Management Plan – 319 grant funds
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Detour length: 5 miles
54 year round homes, services detoured
No cut-offs
Sinkholes from piping

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50**

**Score:   28**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
Brook trout, landlocked salmon, smelt, eel, togue, landlocked alewives
Critical Habitat for Atlantic salmon, multiple species of greatest conservation need
Non-native species present- not "invasive"
High quality warm/coldwater fishery
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
No Habitat Viewer information available, determined to be barrier
No barriers upstream but doesn't appear to be much available habitat upstream of site, 45 miles to downstream barrier (dam)
Contact with IFW- species information, flows to sandy river eventually
low priority for DMR/IFW
Passage at low flows
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meets 1.2xBFW, 17.5'W x 12'H x 45'L concrete box
Natural bottom, stable backfill, banks/wildlife passage
Says that project within 100 year floodplain so unable to meet 100-yr event
Watershed Management Plan
Good stream info and cross sections provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 8

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$79,985
Total Project Cost: \$108,085
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 26.0%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
No maintenance history or documentation provided
Positive social and economic improvements, emergency response, traffic management costs, property values
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
Pre-cast drawings available
No ACOE permit yet, but in-process
engineering costs \$6425, engineering support available
Construction in 2019
Section 7 consultation required, Army Corps has been to site

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – York (Mill Lane #1, 2019R1-39)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John MacLaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John MacLaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
		<b>Points Awarded:</b>
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	13	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	34	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	5	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>52</b>	

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – York (Mill Lane #1, 2019R1-39)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Total Points Available:** 25

**Score:**   13  

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Previous failures ~5 years, deteriorated inverts
Structure Age: 50 years
Estimated failure in 3-5 years
No photos included
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Regularly crests road shoulders
Deteriorated inverts, perched outlets
Same road as another culvert project (2019R1-40)
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity, much larger sizing: 3' to 17.5'
Cross sections included
Large wetland area upstream
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Decreased erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Detour length: 5 miles
20 year round homes, services detoured
No cut-offs
Bell Reservoir- water supply

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**  
**RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects**  
**BIDDER: 2019R1 – York (Mill Lane #1, 2019R1-39)**  
**DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50                      Score:   34**

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
No fisheries information provided in this section- later mentions brook trout
States salmon present, but is likely modelled habitat mentioned in stream viewer
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
No Habitat Viewer information provided in application, but map is attached. ID#55735, is a barrier
barriers 0.16 miles upstream unknown downstream. Habitat Viewer states 1 downstream barrier
estimated bankfull width in Habitat Viewer is 7.8 feet. Application states BFW as between 5-7 feet and
culvert size at 8 feet—need more information on how BFW was obtained. No cross sections or
measurement locations included with application
No contact with IFW/DMR
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meets 1.2xBFW as described in application, 8'W x 4'H x42'L concrete box proposed, but unable to
determine if actually meeting 1.2xBFW as sized
Natural bottom
Coordination with Wells Reserve staff
Need to calculate 100-year flood information, unable to determine currently if it will meet

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 - York (Mill Lane #1, 2019R1-39)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Total Points Available: 25

Score:  5

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$136,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 30.15%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
No maintenance history or record of repair costs
bypass pumping by using flood culvert
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
No plans, conceptual estimate only
No ACOE permit
engineering costs \$15k, engineering support available
Construction in 2020
Footings placed in the dry
Potential efficiencies if awarded with other project on the road proposed for replacement
Inconsistencies in prices/sizing info, structure costs not included

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060

**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – York (Mill Lane #2, 2019R1-40)

**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Instructions:** *The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is not meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Department of Environmental Protection

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** John Maclaine

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** John Maclaine, Kerem Gungor, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker

\*\*\*\*\*  
**SUMMARY PAGE**

<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>	<b>Pass:</b>	<b>Fail:</b>
◆ A portion of the grant money may be used to cover design and engineering services related to the infrastructure improvements, up to a maximum of 8% of the grant award.	x	
◆ Monies from this grant cannot be used for any permanent land acquisitions, conservation easements, etc.	x	
◆ The proposed structure to be replaced is a culvert located on a municipal road and is not owned by a private or state entity.	x	
◆ The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources	x	
	<b>Points Awarded:</b>	
<b>Numerical Score:</b>		
Section V. Public Infrastructure (Max: 25 Points)	13	
Section VI. Environmental Benefit (Max: 50 Points)	34	
Section VII: Cost & Budget Information (Max: 25 Points)	7	
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (Max: 100 Points)	<b>54</b>	



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – York (Mill Lane #2, 2019R1-40)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION V  
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Total Points Available: 25

Score:   13  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>RISK OF FAILURE DUE TO AGE/CONDITION</b>
Previous failures ~5 years, deteriorated inverts perched outlets
Structure Age: 50 years
Estimated failure in 3-5 years
No photos included
<b>FLOODING RISK</b>
Regularly crests road shoulders, overtopped in 2006
Deteriorated inverts, perched outlets
Same road as another culvert project (2019R1-39)
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>
Increased capacity
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO IMPROVING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT AREA</b>
No TMDL
Decreased erosion
<b>SAFETY and COMMUNITY IMPACT</b>
Detour length: 5 miles
20 year round homes, services detoured
No cut-offs
Bell Reservoir- water supply

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

BIDDER: 2019R1 – York (Mill Lane #2, 2019R1-40)

DATE: 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VI  
ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**Total Points Available: 50**

**Score:   34**

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH, INCLUDING SEA RUN FISH, NATIVE BROOK TROUT &amp; WILDLIFE</b>
No fisheries information provided in this section- later mentions brook trout
States salmon present, but is likely modelled habitat mentioned in stream viewer
<b>PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>
No Habitat Viewer information provided in application, but map is attached. ID#55621, is a barrier
Application says barrier 0.16 miles upstream unknown downstream. Habitat Viewer states 1 downstream barrier and 0.96 miles upstream to next barrier
estimated bankfull width in Habitat Viewer is 6.7 feet. Application states BFW as between 5-7 feet and culvert size at 8 feet—need more information on how BFW was obtained. No cross sections or measurement locations included with application
No contact with IFW/DMR
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR RESILIENCY &amp; HABITAT IMPROVEMENT</b>
meets 1.2xBFW as described in application, 8'W x 4'H x42'L concrete box proposed
Natural bottom
Coordination with Wells Reserve staff
Need to calculate 100-year flood information, unable to determine currently if it will meet

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #:** 201903060  
**RFP TITLE:** 2019 Grants for Stream Crossings Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects  
**BIDDER:** 2019R1 – York (Mill Lane #2, 2019R1-40)  
**DATE:** 6/18/2019, 6/19/2019

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF SECTION VII  
COST EFFECTIVENESS**

**Total Points Available:** 25          **Score:**   7  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested Amount: \$95,000
Total Project Cost: \$178,000
<b>FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>
Match %: 46.63%
<b>AVOIDED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT</b>
No maintenance history or record of repair costs bypass pumping by using flood culvert
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY</b>
No plans, conceptual estimate only
No ACOE permit
engineering costs \$15k, engineering support available
Construction in 2020
Footings placed in the dry
Potential efficiencies if awarded with proposal on same road
Inconsistencies in prices

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	3	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age		65 years		Structure Condition			Very poor (Habitat Viewer: Poor)				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The applicant didn't provide detailed info.			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hydraulic capacity of the culvert will be reduced due to proposed			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					The proposed project will not reduce frequency/severity of flooding						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works (Transfer Station)					
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	8.3				8.3					
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business					
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	No estimate given		No estimate given		No estimate given					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	>8.3		>8.3		>8.3					
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>					Fire chief's letter supporting the project (Emergency response would increase from 5-10 minutes to more than 30 minutes in the case of a culvert failure.						

→ info.  
→ stippling  
→ (if any)

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)

DATE: 6/10/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Total: 5  
50-207 brown trout  
brown trout  
smallmouth bass

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	brook trout, atlantic salmon (pmh),		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	only modeled(?) Atlantic Salmon		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	5	Source of Fish Species Info		Unclear		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	N/A					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		
			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			1.22	0.92		
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			Stocked by IF&W in the past		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?			UNKNOWN			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	7 ft	Type of crossing proposed		slipline existing culvert		
		Yes	No	Notes.		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown. No hydraulic capacity provided for		
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Streamstat outputs		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		N/A				
Evaluator's Notes	No improvement since sliplined culvert does not meet stream smart culvert design					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

the proposed sliplined culvert approach.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)

DATE: 6/10/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNER

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 87,500			Total Project Cost:	\$ 175,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 87,500			50		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Costs for staking up the banks on both sides of guardrail. No other cost mentioned.				\$10,000 / 10 years = \$1,000	
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$34K - \$36K price quotes from EJP for slipmiting the wharf.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	Project cost: \$175K Slipmiting cost: \$34-\$36K > The applicant has not provided an itemized cost estimation justifying \$175K project budget.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)

DATE: 6/10/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		3		5	10	15	20	25+
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	Unknown		Structure Condition			Overall poor, Pipe corroded.			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>									
High Risk for Flooding	Yes	No	Unknown		Notes				
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Multiple flooding events, No details				
Documentation of Flooding?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Existing culvert can't pass 50-yr peak flow				
Studies performed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Proposed culvert will pass 100-yr peak flow				
Other factors	HydroCAD modeling results (see Section VI)								
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>					1 (Municipal park)			
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	2(?)							
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Evaluator's Notes	<p>only two year round homes will be cut off in the event of failure.</p> <p>↓</p> <p>(Text: 2 # Cutoff: 1) discrepancy in the application.</p> <p>Access to one municipal park will be impacted</p> <p>Overall the safety impact is relatively low</p>								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)

DATE: 6/10/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNER

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic salmon (pnrd)		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Atlantic salmon (pnrd)		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	critical habitat for Atlantic salmon within 5 miles (USFWS)		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic salmon		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inland wetland & wading bird wild Eastern Brook Trout		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2	Source of Fish Species Info		ME Stream Habitat Viewer & USFWS → IPAC		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	No					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	0.5	110 ft // 11 ft below the crossing → is a barrier ↓ 2-mile down stream Lake Auburn dam. & habitat for wild Eastern Brook Trout.				
			Yes	No		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			Potential habitat for Atlantic salmon			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	6'	Type of crossing proposed		Concrete Box Culvert (W:8' & H:5')		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	width/BFW = 9/6 = 1.33 ✓		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	implied in "section VI"		
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not on open bottom culvert ← Proposed box culvert		
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream Stats (Culvert Drainage Area) & HydroCAD → hydrologic analysis		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)

DATE: 6/10/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$ 147,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 52,000			35		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Gravel → refreshed every year due to washouts.					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 39,500 (inc. permitting, construction admin & contingency)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	Preliminary engineering work done by Woodard & Curran.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)				1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age		Unknown			Structure Condition			Poor (overall)		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	undersized for 50-yr storm.		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No known flooding incidents		
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed culvert will pass the 100-yr peak flow.		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>		N/A	Emergency		School			Public Works		
Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
<b>Other Impacts</b>		N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured		<input type="checkbox"/>	2							
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>	6.0							
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>					<p>→ Limited impact on the safety of the community The culvert failure will have</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic salmon (present today)		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Atlantic salmon (present today)		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Atlantic salmon, wild eastern brook trout		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	" , brook trout		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2	Source of Fish Species Info		MS Habitat Viewer & USFWS IPAC		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	#20853	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			0.7		0.7	
			Yes	No		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	6 ft	Type of crossing proposed		Concrete box (H/W: 6/8')		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box width : bankfull width $\rightarrow$ 8 : 6 = 1.33 $>$ 1.2		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Woodard & Curran did the preliminary design work. The culvert appears to be on a "second" order.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$160,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$65,000			41		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	last year riprap side slopes
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$1,000 spent last year to riprap the side slopes. No routine maintenance cost was reported.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		<\$50,000	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	↳ TEC			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				
Evaluator's Notes	TEC is lumped with "permitting, construction administration & contingency" cost. Engineering support provided by Woodbird & Curran; major cost item (estimates) provided. Preliminary design is available.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	Installed in 2010 (Apr 9, 2013)			Structure Condition			Three 4.5' culverts which are perched.			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	one wash-out event. No hydrologic analysis		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					Insufficient cover over the culverts & wash-out incident in 2010.					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Probably the flooding risk will be reduced: the proposed culvert		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Only on the proposed culvert; limited information		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	5/9			2/8			4		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.8/6.4			3.8/6.4					
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	<p>Old County Rd → Informal seasonal bypass for Route 130.          → provides access to educational &amp; rec. facilities.          Businesses: one school, one library, one boat shop, one conservation trust preserve.</p>									

demonstrating the risk: the existing crossing → possible 100-yr peak flow.

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KOREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE				Yes	No	List species:
Fish present in stream				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, alewife, Atlantic salmon (pmh)
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alewives, brook trout, Atlantic salmon
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The culvert is on a stream connecting Boyd Pond & Ross Pond. Alewife
Invasive fish species?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Longmouth, smallmouth bass downstream
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info		IFW Biologist, Habitat Viewer		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		IFW Fisheries Biologist Wes Ashe (5/17/19)				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	# 11571	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			0.35		N/A	
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alewives
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				Cold water refuge for trout.		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	5.60 ft	Type of crossing proposed		Concrete box culvert (H/W: 4/1 + 6)		
				Yes	No	Notes
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Slightly less than 1.2 x b <sub>FW</sub>
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Embedded?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Natural bottom?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not naturalized bottom exhibiting similar characteristics with stream bed.
Performance measures identified?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Watershed Studies				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	USGS StreamStats
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Preliminary design provided by Garthey & Dorsky.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 74,250			Total Project Cost:	\$ 109,250	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 35,000			32		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Culvert replacement in 2010
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Hard to estimate with info provided. → \$20,000 spent on the culvert replacement in 2010.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 17,000 (Geotechnical & civil engineering)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	<p>Only preliminary design → for the sizing of the proposed culvert. The applicant reached out USACE about permitting.</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	≥5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1				3	5	10	15	20	25+
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	35 years				Structure Condition		critical (Details provided)			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Flooding frequency given, existing condition		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No photo documentation of flooding		
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed culvert has a larger cross-sectional area, more capacity		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream stats → for 10-yr peak flow 550 cfs		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5								
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	1						3		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5						5.5		
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	<p>Downstream side of crossing has a dry hydropoint.</p> <p>overall, safety &amp; impact to community don't appear to be high.</p>									

with photos  
hydrology analysis not provided  
reduce the flooding risk  
no detail on the proposed culvert capacity

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic salmon (pmh), creek chub,	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic salmon (only modeled) blacknose dace,	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-aquatic species in Upper Sebasticook Wetlands: Wood turtle, ponds (black tern & least st. bittern)	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood turtle, black tern, least bittern	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Upstream: Waterfowl & avian bird habitat	
Invasive fish species?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Bass, perch, pickerel in downstream Great Moose Lake.	
# Fish Species Present	3	Source of Fish Species Info	IF&W Stream Habitat Viewer			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Wesley Ashe, IF&W Fisheries Biologist					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	(Potential)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	#13690	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
			0.5 (No Barrier)		1.13 (Potential)	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A grant application "win" for this crossing	
Contributes to species restoration goals?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	≈ 15 ft	Type of crossing proposed	Pipe Arch			
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	width: BFW = 22/15 = 1.47 > 1.2	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(Actinomy) StreamStats	
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	A fairly significant upstream habitat (Packard Brook) will be opened with the proposed project					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$65,000			Total Project Cost:	\$70,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$5,000			7		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$5,000 / 10 year Physical road repair. No detail provided.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Approximately \$500 per year of maintenance. The applicant states that the culvert failure is imminent.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs (design, bidding, admin/inspection)		\$6,000	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	The actual cost may be higher than <u>\$70K</u> . No contingency provided in the cost estimate.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2-3	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	37 years				Structure Condition			Poor: rusting, pipe wall thin, voids in head walls			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Flooding every 3-5 years			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No photo documentation. No exact dates of flooding			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hydraulic capacity will be increased with the proposed culvert			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	StreamStats → 100-yr peak flow: 610 cfs			
Other factors					The applicant claims that the proposed culvert has a capacity exceeding 610 cfs						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	14						3			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5						5.5			
Evaluator's Notes	No public facilities on Bridge Rd. Medium impact on the community considering 14 homes & 3 homes that will be impacted.										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, atlantic salmon (prob), creek	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-aquatic species in upper Sebasticook wetlands: wood turtle, birds (black tern, least bittern)	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood turtle, black tern, least bittern	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Bass, perch, pickerel in downstream Great	
# Fish Species Present	3	Source of Fish Species Info	IFW Stream Habitat Viewer			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Wesley Ashe, IFW Fisheries Biologist					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier (potential)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	#19853	<input type="checkbox"/>			
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			1.13 (potential barrier)		0.55 (Not a barrier)	
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	15 ft	Type of crossing proposed	Pipe arch			
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w:bfw → 22/15 = 1.47 > 1.2	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Natural bottom will be stimulated	
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	streamstats	
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Another application proposes to upgrade the upstream (potential barrier) crossing.					

chub  
blacknose dace  
least bittern  
Moose lake

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$65,000			Total Project Cost:	\$70,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$5,000			7		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$5,000 within past ten years for road repair work
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	The town estimates avoided cost to be \$100K → considering a culvert replacement within next five years.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs (design, bidding, admin/inspection)			\$6,000
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Evaluator's Notes	The actual cost can be higher (no contingency in the cost estimate)					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-07)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

One event in 2008. Multiple events? over 20 years.

indicates high risk the flooding condition. Asst for the existing condition.

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		3	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	25 years		Structure Condition			structural deformation & corrosion				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Washed in 2008 and anecdotal evidence		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Year 2008 washout → Cost: \$11,500		
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	crossing width will be increased from 12.8' to 36' which will reduce the flooding condition. Asst for the existing condition.		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Detailed analysis for the proposed condition. Asst for the existing condition.		
Other factors					The culvert has 20% additional headspace to pass debris during a large storm					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5								
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	39					10			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5					5			
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	Main impact is on the residents of Bacon Rd. Their access to emergency services will be delayed due to the detour.									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-07)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNER

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

- American eel  
- Sea lamprey  
- Blueback herring

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic salmon (present)	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		5	Source of Fish Species Info		DMR (Atlantic Salmon), IFW (brook trout)	
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		Support letters from DMR & IFW				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	(Potential)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	#1303	<input type="checkbox"/>		
			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			6.45	5.67		
			Yes	No		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
(Active: brook trout; Potential: American eel, sea lamprey)						
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			See Ledwin's letter: "Operational plan for the restoration of diadromous"			Yes, salmon
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	21.7 ft		Type of crossing proposed		open bottom, deck structure	
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Span: 36' / RW: 21.7 → 1.67 1.2	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	
Natural bottom?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	HY-8 analysis	
Watershed Studies			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	StreamStats	
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife			Terrestrial organisms are facilitated by using bankline			
Evaluator's Notes	detailed design information (Stream Smart) by Alex Abbott (USFWS)					

USFWS (Sea lamprey)

Fishes to the Penobscot River

rocks under the crossing!

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM BUNGOR  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age		25			Structure Condition			Rusty & deformed			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>											
High Risk for Flooding					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cannot handle the 25-yr storm.			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
<b>Public Service Impact</b>		N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works				
Cutoff		<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>		N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business				
Number Cutoff		<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured		<input type="checkbox"/>	20								
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>	3.2				27-30		3.2		
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		<p>Existing culvert cannot handle the 25-yr storm!</p> <p>Significant impact on the local organizations &amp; businesses</p> <p>\$925K Cost of detour! One Correctional Facility!</p>									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	#1286 (Barrier)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			1.3		1.1	
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed			Notes	
		Yes	No			
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	+20% headspace for debris passage		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	The stream will remain "cold" for brook trout & (USGS interactive Attachment Explorer) Atlantic salmon by 2050 Support letters from IFW & DMR.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

with the project  
No upstream crossing/barrier  $\approx$  1.3 mile habitat will be moved

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 80,000			Total Project Cost:	\$ 105,698	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 25,698			24		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Thousands of \$ in reports
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Not much with avoided costs //					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$2500 → A. Abbott \$10,000 → Engineering plans & specs	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>			<p>Detailed itemized cost estimate with 15% contingency.</p> <p>Detailed preliminary design, hydrologic/hydraulic analysis by Alex Abbott (USFWS)</p>			

for bidding

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	50 years		Structure Condition				Upstream side bad shape			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					Photo documentation (almost) submerged culvert (4/8/19)					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	4								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1								
Evaluator's Notes	Limited impact → traffic (with) can be diverted to Route 1 in case of failure. → diversion to Main St & Wing Siding Rd (West) → (East)									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: Y6

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, American eel, Atlantic Salmon (pmh)		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Brook trout conserved by IFW					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	(No Barrier) #50136 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assessed: 2014		<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		0.63		0.54		
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Potential upstream & downstream barriers; relatively near → limited impact on opening habitat for fish. Also, 2014 assessment states the crossing was <u>not</u> a barrier.					

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$89,140			Total Project Cost:	\$107,220	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$18,080			17		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Repair holes in the concrete etc
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Reconst: \$300,000 Pavement: \$4,000 Shoulders: \$2,000					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			\$3,000 prelm.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	The applicant obtained ACOE general permit. "No effect" letter for Atlantic salmon from USFWS. Stream profile & proposed bridge cross-section by Dean Bradshaw, P.E.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)

DATE: 6/1/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1				2	5	10	15	20	25+
	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	56 years				Structure Condition			Poor → at the end of economical life		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>										
High Risk for Flooding	Yes	No	Unknown	Notes						
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Documentation of Flooding?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ancient odd evidence						
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hydraulic capacity does not increase much						
Studies performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	2					1			
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Evaluator's Notes	Medium Impact due to the cut-offs.									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)  
 DATE:  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KG  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

CEO site reconnaissance  
 (Buxton) →

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	# 16601	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential barrier		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Several miles unblocked reach period		1/2 mile to I-95 culvert	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed			open bottom concrete box culvert	
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BFW = 15' Culvert width = 10'	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unlikely that 10' culvert can meet	
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes		The culvert is <u>actually</u> in Habitat Viewer. (indicative of incomplete proposal preparation, research) BFW (Habitat Viewer) → 15'				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

100-yr peak flow!

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$45,000			Total Project Cost:	\$60,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$15,000			25		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		Not available	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b> No demonstrated engineering work on the proposed culvert. 7						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dedham (Lucerne in Maine Village Corp.) (2019R1-11)

DATE: 6/13/2019

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREN GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	≈ 12 years			Structure Condition					
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Overtopped in January 2018.		
Documentation of Flooding?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors				Old culvert washed out in 2007.					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	30			40				
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	7			7				
Evaluator's Notes	<p>* I checked the map → homes will not be cutoff. There will be detours                      ↳ check map with the panel!</p>								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dedham (Lucerne In Maine Village Corp.) (2019R1-11)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

*Habitat Viewer:  
Phillips Lake is documented  
degrade habitat*

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, landlocked Atlantic		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smallmouth bass in Phillips Lake		
# Fish Species Present	Source of Fish Species Info					
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	IFW Biologist Gregory Bur support letter for wild landlocked salmon.					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		1.75		Phillips Lake Dam		
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	12.4'		Type of crossing proposed		Steel beam bridge	
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	B.F.W = 12.4' Bridge width (span) = 18' → 1.45		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	90% capacity will pass 100-yr storm peak flow		
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	HY-8, 100-yr 80% capacity		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	No #51437 in Habitat Viewer. Scott Craig (USFWS) & Zack Steble (HCSWCD) → followed stream smart principles					

*Salmon*

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

*for design.*

*Profile & cross-section of the stream channel provided in engineering drawing.*

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
Structure Age	+40 years				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
					Structure Condition			Primary culverts are corroded			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					concrete headwall causes erosion on the downstream road						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	11			31						
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>					There is detour available. However, the applicant claims that the detour road is private & may not be available for thru traffic in case of a culvert failure. No critical public facility on the road.						

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

(review)  
 Search look:  
 Actual  
 cutoff  
 ff



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #: 201903060**  
**RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS**  
**BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)**  
**DATE:**  
**EVALUATOR NAME: KG**  
**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection**

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		Verbal IFW statement in favor of the project (Jim Pellerin)				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier <input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			0.6		0.1	
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The applicant intends to have 1.2 criteria met	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes		No design yet. No agency support letters. No engineering calc/drawing. (Verbal support only)				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$118,750	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$23,750			20		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	No maintenance on the crossing within the past decade.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		Not available.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Uncertainty on the cost estimate & local funding: it is unclear how much the town can commit if the total budget is higher than the estimated figure.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	3	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	54 years				Structure Condition			Poor (MeDOT Instruction 2012)			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>											
High Risk for Flooding	Yes	No	Unknown	Notes							
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Documentation of Flooding?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Studies performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>								
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency	School	Public Works							
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home	Seasonal Home	Business							
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	14									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1									
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	<p>MeDOT inspection in 2012 → highlighting structural issues of the culvert, Assessed as "poor" condition structure for more than 12 years (since 2006)</p> <p>The detour distance is short; the impact on the community is low.</p>										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFP #: 201903060**  
**RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS**  
**BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)**  
**DATE:**  
**EVALUATOR NAME: KG**  
**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection**

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE				Yes	No	List species:
Fish present in stream				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, alewives, Atlantic salmon (pond)
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Invasive fish species?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	#19524	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(Barrier)	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier				Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)	
				0.55 (#19592) (Barrier)	0.05 mile (#19515) (Potential Barrier)	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	27.9' (Habitat V.) 13' (upstream)		Type of crossing proposed		open bottom concrete culvert	
				Yes	No	Notes
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Embedded?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Natural bottom?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Performance measures identified?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Watershed Studies				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No demonstrated hydrologic study
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluators Notes		The existing structure already has open/natural channel bottom Existing $\rightarrow$ Barrier because $\rightarrow$ the width $\ll$ the bank full width				

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

May be a velocity barrier in high flow

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$131,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$36,000			27		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Jersey
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$20,000 Cleanup features \$22,000 Continues \$10,000 Debris			\$52,000		
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		Not applicable	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Engineering design/support: Plymouth Engineering (Scott Bradley, P.E.) USACE Got contacted. Lump-sum cost estimate -> Details not available.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Important Note:  
 A large hobble can be opened when the dam is downstream removed in 2021  
 Weak: Public safety Impact

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	32				Structure Condition						
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Detailed incident list (1987-2011)			
Other factors					Severely undersized: 36% of the natural channel width.						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	Very limited detour impact (checked the map). Motorists can use Russells Mills Rd in the event of a failure (Check Hubble Viewer Map) Atlantic Salmon Federation support letter.										

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#2 Review Note:  
 Bridge on Russells Mills Rd...

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)  
 DATE:  
 EVALUATOR NAME:  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic salmon (pmb)	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		DMR Biologist Paul Christman's support (4/2/19)				
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
#19270			2.4 (potential barrier)		1.8 (Wolton's Mill Dam)	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed			Notes	
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	20% headspace 100yr peak flow		
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	StreamStats		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		Detailed engineering plans given, stream smart principles followed when the downstream dam				

Temple Stream Dam? on Habitat Viewer

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME:

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$276,677	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$181,677			34		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Stream damage experts review
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$20,000 maintenance avoided for the next decade.					High cost decade
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$5,100 + \$10,250 ≈ 15,350	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	<p>The cost seems to be high for a 15-ft width crossing.            Joe McLean, PE (Acadia Civil Works): 30% design plans complete.            High habitat gain / Low public safety</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

Two downstream crossings  
not mentioned  
in the application:  
check GIS

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-15)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1				2	5	10	15	20	25+
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	40+ years				Structure Condition			Extremely poor		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	five incidents with exact dates		
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	331								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.2								
Evaluator's Notes	<p>MeDOT average daily traffic count for Cummings Hill Rd: ONLY 320                      There is a relatively short detour (Russell's Mills Rd &amp; Temple Rd)                      ↳ Adds + 0.8 mile to thru traffic //</p>									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-15)  
 DATE:  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KG  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic Salmon (pink)		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		DMR Biologist Paul Christman's support → Brook trout & Atlantic Salmon				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	#15272	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pot. Barrier		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed		Embedded concrete box culvert		
		18.1		Yes	No	Notes
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	width: BFW → 24/18.1 = 1.3 > 1.2		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		Two unsurveyed crossings under Russell's Mills Rd & Route 43 before the dam that will be removed (Habitat Viewer) → downstream				

\* Wrong ID# in the application

on

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-15)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: K6

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$425,565	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$330,565			22		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$20,000 10 year
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$2,000/year → saved in the future,,					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$31,780	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<p>Very detailed preliminary design (Secure limit stream long profile, cross-sections surveying work etc.)            Cost appears to be high for a 24' culvert: U U            ASF support letter, Engineering support: Joe McLean (Acadia Civil Works)</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (April 2007)	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age		40 years			Structure Condition			Significant metal corrosion, sink holes			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	See 15-year-history FEMA delimitation			
Other factors					Overlapping in April 2007. FEMA Applications. Request flooding report						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Most probably the proposed open bottom culvert will have			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Only StreamStats outputs provided			
Other factors					Preliminary analysis for the proposed culvert has not been performed						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
<b>Public Service Impact</b>		N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works				
Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>		N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business				
Number Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured		<input type="checkbox"/>	55		30						
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>	15		15						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		GIS: No cutoff, however significant detours will happen in the event of a failure. Emergency services to area residence will be impacted because of the detour in the case of a failure.									

Very poor  
 concerns  
 higher capacity  
 C  
 prob. flows to the crossing estimated

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		Wesley Ashe has a detailed support letter				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		No barrier (Hales Pond) *		GIS → 1 mile to Berry Road → crossing		
			Yes	No		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	12 ft	Type of crossing proposed		Pipe Arch (1993 Design)		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bottom width of the pipe arch is only ≈ 9'		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unknown		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	1993 MDOT Engineers design → Pipe arch culvert. The design must be revised & updated per current conditions & StreamSmart					

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 93,750			Total Project Cost:	\$ 125,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 31,250			25		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	2018 → Detailed \$7,400
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Approximately \$25,000 FEMA grant used on the crossing It appears that culvert replacement will save \$5-10K per year					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$5,500	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	<p>The design needs to be revised which will inevitably impact the project → cost. The applicant does not-at the moment-have engineering support for the project. USACE contacted.</p>					

monitor

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	60 years				Structure Condition			Structural issues (broken culvert/extension)			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100-yr stream stud: 61 cfs			
Other factors								The current capacity ≈ 80 cfs			
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>							2 (Municipal Airport, Maine Forest Service)			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	1						1 (cattle farm)			
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	<p>The failure will result in the <u>cutoff</u> for Airport Rd.                      Main community impact, in case of failure, is the inaccessibility of the municipal airport.</p>										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)  
 DATE:  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KG  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	Source of Fish Species Info					
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Phone calls to IFW biologists on 5/29/19					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	# 33459	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
N/A				Another 2000 ft		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	Stream Viewer 7.2	Type of crossing proposed		Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Natural bottom?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Applicant's bankfull width may be inaccurate $\rightarrow$ 3 ft versus Habitat Viewer 7.2 Approximately one-mile downstream, there is another road crossing before					

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

$\downarrow$  Fish River



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$14,000			Total Project Cost:	\$18,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$4,000			22		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Road repair
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		Design: \$700	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	<p>The project will open max. 0.7 mile upstream habitat, however, the proposed preliminary culvert sizing does not conform to the Stream Smart standards.</p>					

↳ Whet watershed x 320 acres, Not all of this area is fish habitat.  
 ↳ The applicant will not contact USACE. !

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)

DATE: 5/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1				2		5	10	15	20	25+
	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	11 years				Structure Condition						
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Concord Gulch Brook			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	Impact will be limited → short detours are available north of the crossing. No businesses/homes. LOW SAFETY IMPACT.										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)  
 DATE:  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KG  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Rainbow Smelt		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		No support letters.				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		0.11		0.9 (After Pond Dam)		
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed		Bottomless Pipe Arch		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		Grade issues. urbanized area. Very limited species. Low impact on AEP Not high value.				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$315,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$220,000			70		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$25,000 10 years
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Wash out → emergency replacement: <u>\$315K</u>					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$15,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Upstream area <sup>to be</sup> opened is limited. The project does not meet the project efficiency standard.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

*Low Safety/Community Impact*  
*Upstream habitat IFW support*  
*Bank trout*

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>					Failure Photos	N/A		Yes		No	
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
Structure Age					Structure Condition						
App. 50 years					Poor						
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	4			1						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	4			4						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>					GIS check: No cutoff only detour. No detour on the failure incidents. } low impact on the community						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME:

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, nongame fish species		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		John Pelletier IFW				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	#10046	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential		<input type="checkbox"/>		
				Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed		Bottomless Steel Multi-plate Arch		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BW = 11		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	500-yr peak flow will pass		
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream Stats		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes		No upstream crossing barrier. A priority habitat can be opened: <u>GIS</u>				

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME:

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$165,000	
<b>LOCAL FUNDING</b>	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$70,000			42		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Minimal
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$15,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes		No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes		No	
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Evaluator's Notes	Upstream habitat length/absence of upstream crossings: positive.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

↓ Low community/infra. impact  
↓ Low aquatic org. impact

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-20)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		3		5	10	15	20	25+
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	50 years		Structure Condition			Concrete m shape			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>									
	Yes	No	Unknown	Notes					
High Risk for Flooding	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Documentation of Flooding?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Studies performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1				1			
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Evaluator's Notes	<p>only one home &amp; business will be cutoff.            Low impact to community.</p>								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**

**RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS**

**BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-20)**

**DATE:**

**EVALUATOR NAME: KG**

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection**

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	#10351	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier						
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	will open only 0.4 mile habitat. No trout or salmon priority Low habitat improvement					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-20)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$171,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$76,000			44		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Minimal
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Future repairs will be avoided.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$15,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Project efficiency is low = Infrastructure/Habitat Improvement benefits do not justify the cost of the project.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2-3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	40		Structure Condition			Rusted (Very poor)				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works				
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business				
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	36				2				
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3				3				
Evaluator's Notes	<p><u>Spiller Rd on west &amp; Route 114 / Fort Hill Rd East</u>                      Limited detour, nearby alternative roads available.</p>									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)  
 DATE:  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KG  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	#8162	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier						
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	No support from agencies. No major fish species of interest. The culvert is <u>actually</u> on stream habitat viewer					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$198,720	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$103,720			52		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Not documented
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$14,720	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<p>The project can potentially connect 1-mile upstream &amp; 1-mile downstream habitat. → Only species: brook trout (?) The applicant didn't reach out to the agencies.</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	50 years			Structure Condition			Major distention of the pipe			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2010 overtopping. ↑ ↓		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25-yr peak flow crossing overtopping		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>						1			
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Evaluator's Notes	<p>Tate &amp; Lyle Ingredients Plant will be cut off.          ↳ Commercial impact on the community (business)</p>									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: ZG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		Frank Frost Support IFW, He has been to the site twice. (important)				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	+ Very detailed engineering analysis by multiple engineers, - There are multiple upstream crossings including the railway crossing					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$782,854	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$687,854			88		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$36,000/ 10 years
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<p>This is a well-prepared proposal. Its impact will be very limited if the upstream crossings which are less than 0.25 mile from this crossing are barriers. <sup>proof</sup></p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNER

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	25 years		Structure Condition			rusting through the bottom				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4' (Existing) → 13 1/4" (Proposed)		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works				
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business				
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	7		2		1				
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1		1		1				
Evaluator's Notes	<p>Short detour &amp; limited impact on the community                      No cut-off (contrary to the info given in the proposal)                      Large vehicle turns can be an issue</p>									

width of the crossing

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: XG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	5+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Erik Atkinson (DMR) & Gregory Burr (IFW)					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>					
			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	0.75		2.64			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed					
	Yes	No	Notes			
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Natural bottom?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	GIS Inp: At least crossing upstream the crossing one → Getchell Ln Ridge Rd Support letters and/or					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

crossing is not

The applicant claims the upstream



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: K6

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$35,300			Total Project Cost:	\$70,600	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$35,300			50		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$10,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Preliminary design drawings available. Dean Bradshaw, PE No USACE permit necessary per Mahoney's letter.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1				2		5		10	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	40 years				Structure Condition			Poor		
					<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Overlapped in April 2019		
Other factors					Currently one-lane traffic (5/11/19)					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Existing culvert can handle only 5-yr 24-h storm		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
	Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)										
<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
	Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured										
20										
Detour (miles)										
4										
Evaluator's Notes					Moderate impact on the community. Photos: The culvert may last more than one year till complete failure.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)  
 DATE:  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KB  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alewives, Atlantic salmon (pmh)		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		No contact with the biologists.				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier		# 16375	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		~0.6' Route 202 Crossing		0.7 - Wilson Pond Dam		
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed			Notes	
		Yes	No			
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Probably minimum 12' width is necessary.		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	→ See Report: 10x10' cannot handle 100-yr		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	No DMR/IFW support letter. Total habitat (1.4 mile upstream + downstream) upstream # 16375 Potential Barrier					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: K6

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$315,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$220,000			70		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	No significant
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	N/A					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$19,000	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<p>A 12' culvert instead of 10' wide culvert is necessary to meet wide the stream Smart Criteria                      ↳ How much will this change impact the overall project cost?</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-25)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

"OK"

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	3	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	N/A		Structure Condition			Sides rusted. Habitat Viewer				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Beaver activity noted.		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Three times in the past two decades		
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	40% freeboard → 100-yr peak		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	100+					4 (arms)			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.7					3.7			
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	<p>Complete washout &amp; failure may result in sedimentation in the local public water supply; Nokonia Pond                      For residents of Libby HM Rd max. detour would be 7 miles.</p>									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-25)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Habitat Viewer: Nokomis Pond		
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	#19805	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		0.9		1.65		
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	The project will connect Mud Pond to Nokomis Pond. ↳ Mud Pond's tractorholes.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-25)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: *KE*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$150,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$55,000			37		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Beaver Issues
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$750/yr for maintenance in the future					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$10,000 (design)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<p><u>Plan &amp; cross-section</u> → by <u>Dingo Engineering</u></p> <p><u>Medium importance:</u> → It would be high priority if it had IFW etc. support.</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)		1	2	3	5	10	15	20	25+	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	unknown				Structure Condition			Rusted, Deformed Cutoff		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Anecdotal: continual overtopping		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					Difficult to assess with given information					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	W: 4' → W: 17.5' SI		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream Smart application		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	+30			+10			+7		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5-12.25			5.5-12.25			5.5-12.25		
Evaluator's Notes	BIS → My estimate 5.5-mile is the maximum detour → (there is an alternative northern route) → Moderate impact on the community									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: YB

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	#15066	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		1.83		20.8		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed		Box bridge			
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	NY-8 results		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Three upstream crossings (#15061, #15063, #15058) Barrier ↳ Barrier			Stream SMART Design by Alex		

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

Abbott  
(USFWS)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: *KG*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$133,791	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$38,791			29		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$30,000 / 10 year
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$50,000 replacement cost with a similar structure.					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$10,000 Engineering plans & Specs	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	<i>USACE contacted.</i>					
	<u>Pros:</u> → Stream Smart Design → Atlantic Salmon Habitat			<u>Cons:</u> → Upstream barriers		

for bidding

Connector to "Surveyed" Spawning & Rearing Habitat of Atlantic salmon.

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	54 years			Structure Condition			Poor shape			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The flooding risk is low at the moment		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	↓ will be		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	66								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.25								
Evaluator's Notes	Detour is available (via Brown Rd) ↳ Impact is medium on the community									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	#8431	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (No Barrier)		<input type="checkbox"/>		
			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			1 mile upstream		10 miles to nearest Elm Street	
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	Estimated bankfull width (Habitat Viewer) $\rightarrow$ 17.5'. The applicant didn't measure bfw. The proposed structure is only 3' wider than the existing.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

Not a significant

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$139,880	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 44,800			32		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Repair estimate
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$500 - \$1,000 maintenance will be avoided.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	The applicant will slightly widen the crossing.					
	Upstream crossing: Under Brown Rd is a potential barrier (see #8876)					
	It is not clear if the stream smart criteria will be met!					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2-3		5	10	15	20	25+	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	+15 years		Structure Condition			rusting & sink holes in the road				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Existing culvert can handle ONLY 5-yr 24hr storm		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	107			← →					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.2								
Evaluator's Notes	<p>Relatively long detour around Bald Mountain for the area residents.                      Medium impact to the community.</p>									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook Trout	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	#2359	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			0.8		Rangeley Lake Dam	
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed			Steel with pipe arch	
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		The crossing is actually in Habitat Viewer; listed as "potential barrier"				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)  
 DATE:  
 EVALUATOR NAME: K6  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 35,000			Total Project Cost:	\$ 212,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 117,000			55		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	No signposts
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 12,700	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>						
<p>+ : No upstream barrier          - : Detailed preliminary engineering work → Next to "heritage" wild eastern brook trout habitat          - : Sustained slope. The watershed is on the side of Bald Mountain, Le 15%          → 0.8 mile mountain stream habitat will be opened.</p>						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		3		5	10	15	20	25+	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	30 years			Structure Condition			Various stages of disrepair			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	107			→					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.2								
Evaluator's Notes	Residents will have to drive around Bald Mountain Medium impact on the community. Assessed "poor" condition in ME Stream Habitat Viewer.									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**  
**RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS**  
**BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)**  
**DATE:**  
**EVALUATOR NAME: KG**  
**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection**

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	#23506	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		#23570 1 mile (BARRIER)		Rangeley Lake Dam		
			Yes	No		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	No contact with IFW (-) Less than 1 mile habitat will be opened to brook trout → upstream					

**Instructions:** The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Potential Barrier #23506

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: *KG*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$226,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$131,000			58		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	No significant
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			\$12,700
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<p><i>+ Detailed eng. analysis</i>      <i>- Habitat relatively short &lt; 1 mile upto the next upstream barrier</i></p> <p><i>+ Stream smart design</i></p> <p><i>open bottom arched culvert</i>      <i>- No support statement from IFW</i></p>					

*simulating stream bottom*

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)  
 DATE: 6/14/2019  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2-3	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	50				Structure Condition			Deteriorated Invert			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The road was overtopped within			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 years			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Two pipes in current condition may have more hydraulic capacity than the proposed capacity			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No watershed studies.			
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	8						1 (daycare)			
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	Steward Hill Rd is a dead-end. ↳ Failure will have <del>to</del> mainly impact 8 residents & daycare business.										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE				Yes	No	List species:
Fish present in stream				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Invasive fish species?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	#26673	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier						
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
				Yes	No	Notes
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Embedded?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Natural bottom?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Performance measures identified?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Watershed Studies				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	The applicant has not accessed or fully reviewed the Habitat Viewer. There is Class 1 & 3U Atlantic salmon habitat					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$198,720	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$103,720			52		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Not tracked
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<p>The proposal informational content is limited.                      Readily available sources (e.g. Habitat Viewer) weren't used in the proposal preparation                      Preliminary</p> <p>↳ Significant Class 1 &amp; 3 Atlantic Salmon habitat can be connected to <u>Cold Brook</u>: Class 1 Atlantic Salmon habitat.</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2-3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	App. 30-40 years			Structure Condition			Corrosion noted			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Back flow from Sandy River		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Raises 500-yr storm proposed		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20			15			3		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	10			10			10		
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>					<p>Medium-high impact on the community                      ↳ High thru traffic volume.                      Existing culvert (PHOTOS): Despite some corrosion, the CMP seems to be structurally OK</p>					

→ Crossing

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**

**RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS**

**BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)**

**DATE:**

**EVALUATOR NAME: YG**

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection**

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic salmon		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	#15174	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BARRIER		<input type="checkbox"/>	
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			3		Webster Dam	
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		+ : OPENS UP ≈ 1 mile of Class 1 & 3 Atlantic Salmon habitat f; Elizabeth Thordike (IFW) support letter! + Stream Smart detailed				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

*design by  
Alex  
Abbott*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: *KG*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$75,000			Total Project Cost:	\$152,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$77,000			51		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$3,000-\$4,000 gravel maintenance
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$11,500	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	<p>+ : Well-designed, Stream Smart crossing</p> <p>+ : Connect one mile tributary to Sandy River</p> <p>+ : HW support</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Alumni

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	class 1/3 Atlantic Salmon (modded)		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		unknown		unknown		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	No contact with IFW & DMR. West & East crossings in short distance to the culvert.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

Weak  
AOP: ↓

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	56 years			Structure Condition			DOT: Serious condition			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No flooding overtopping		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	↓		
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	60								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	0.6								
Evaluator's Notes	Low - impact on the community (Detour (South): Old Carthy Rd & Greenhouse HM Rd) Poor quality photos of the existing culvert.									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KB

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$ 313,800	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 218,000			69		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Benefit cost ratio → bridge → \$4000/year					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$20,500 (Engineering + Surveying)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	Very limited engineering work. Stream Stats not used; What's the damage area? What are the peak flows? The applicant has not determined the bankfull width					
	High uncertainty in price estimate					

GIS: There is a road crossing to the west of the culvert (close distance). This may be a barrier!

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	47 years				Structure Condition			DOT: "3" Serious condition			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Comes close to overlapping			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	77			10			1			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5			5			5			
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	<p>Medium impact on the community                      → trailer traffic concerns → in the detour road.</p>										

5/31/0

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)  
 DATE:  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KG  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	# 20733	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			3.1		# 20737 Bridge No Barrier 0.6	
			Yes	No		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed		Aluminum box culvert		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	⊕ Upstream → habitat → relatively long 3 miles MSHV, potential barrier					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

Not necessarily for fish

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: *KE*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$190,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$95,000			50		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Yes
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	<u>\$7,500</u> for pending maintenance (pavement) work.					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$13,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<p>+ : <u>3 miles upstream</u> habitat (Martin Stream)      - : No support letter (agency)</p> <p>+ : Plan view of the proposed culvert 1.2x bfw, 100-yr storm met</p> <p>embedded culvert</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	32 years		Structure Condition			SOME STRUCTURAL ISSUES			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>									
High Risk for Flooding	Yes	No	Unknown	Notes					
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Documentation of Flooding?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2x12' → pipe arch 26' → new culvert					
Studies performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency	School	Public Works					
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home	Seasonal Home	Business					
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	12	2						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1-2.4	1-2.2						
Evaluator's Notes	The crossing doesn't appear to have major structural issues.								

DOT (2017)

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: *KG*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE				Yes	No	List species:
Fish present in stream				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Brook trout, American eel</i>
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Invasive fish species?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
# Fish Species Present		<i>6+</i>		Source of Fish Species Info		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		<i>Wesley Ashe confirmed</i>				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	<i># 1425</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Potential Barrier)		<input type="checkbox"/>		
				Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier						
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed			<i>Multi plate arch culvert</i>	
				Yes	No	Notes
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>26' width RFW = 21.4'</i>
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Embedded?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Natural bottom?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Performance measures identified?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>HY-8</i>
Watershed Studies				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>StreamStats</i>
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	<i>Habitat viewer: Potential Barrier + Wes Ashe (IFW) confirmed species &amp; supports the project brook trout crossing condition: OK</i>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KC

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$195,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$100,000			51		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$1,000 approximately a year.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$18,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	reasonable price for an open-bottom (26 ft arch)					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

*+ Stream Smart by A. Abbott*

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	35			Structure Condition			OK (SHV) in 2013 Applicant: Near the end of life → life			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2x 3' (W) → Existing 12.5' (W) → Proposed		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>		N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works			
Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>								
<b>Other Impacts</b>		N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured		<input type="checkbox"/>	52				1			
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>	5				5			
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		Detour is available east of Ellingwood Rd. Medium impact on the community considering the number of the year-round homes to be impacted.								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Brook trout ↗

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Atlantic salmon (Molecular Rearing),		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Jim Pelton - confirmed wild brook trout					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	Potential barrier <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> #20587		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	1.4 No barrier up to the same		8.3 down to South Paris Dam			
			Yes	No		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed					
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	GIS: There can be two downstream barriers before the dam; Moody Brook Rd & Butterfield Rd intersection & Park St (Route 26). The applicant doesn't mention these crossings.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

++: Stream Smart Design by Alex Abbott & (USFWS)!

No agency support letter?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: *KG*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$75,000			Total Project Cost:	\$91,362	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$16,362			82		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Match has S Sink holes
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Save \$50,000 for replacement of the same type culverts.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes		No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<p>+; No upstream barrier → The stream will be opened all the way to its source.</p> <p>+; stream smart design</p> <p>+; Reasonable budget, good for brook trout.</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



8.48

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		3	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	35		Structure Condition			Rusted, Near the end of expected life				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream stats & HY-8		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business				
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	52				1				
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5				5				
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	Nearby detour east of Ellingwood Rd. Considering the impact on 52 year-round homes, medium impact on the community.									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: K6

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

*Made local  
Class 1 Rearing Habitat*

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic Salmon		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1	Source of Fish Species Info		Wild Brook Trout		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Jim Pelletier confirmed brook trout					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	#20588	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		0.6		8.3 miles down to South Paris Dam *		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed		Bridge			
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	* Two crossings downstream which can be barriers: (Moody Brook Rd & Butterfield Rd intersection) & (Park St/Route 26)					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

*There appears to be an upstream barrier: Firre Rd (0.6 mile upstream)  
w/ stream cannot proceed down by Alex Athoff (CIRFID)*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: *KG*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$70,000			Total Project Cost:	\$86,562	
<b>LOCAL FUNDING</b>	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$16,562			19		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Yes
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes		No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes		No	
			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	<p><i>As compared to the town's other proposal (Proposal #35), this proposal opens up a shorter stream reach as brook trout habitat</i></p> <p style="margin-left: 100px;"><i>↳ upstream</i></p> <p><i>- No support letter from the agencies</i></p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNSOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age		+20 years			Structure Condition			SHV: Poor (2008 survey)			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
<b>Public Service Impact</b>		N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works				
Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>		N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business				
Number Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured		<input type="checkbox"/>	37		17						
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>	7		7						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>					<p>Medium impact on the community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>→ USPS detour</li> <li>→ RSU 12 bus detour</li> <li>→ Resident detour</li> </ul> <p>GIS            &amp; Detour Route: Route 218 (West), Route 215 (East), &amp; Route 126 (South)</p>						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: *KG*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

*(pmh)*

7

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Atlantic salmon		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info		Stream Habitat Viewer (SHV)		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	IFW → FOR NO SPECIFIC INFO					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	# 3751	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		1.7		1.73 Clary Lake Dam		
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	10'	Type of crossing proposed		Precast concrete Bridge		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed bridge span = 15.7' → span: 4W > 1.2 ✓		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	+ No upstream barrier All the source of the stream ✓ SHV Bank full width: 11.5' Limited info on the watershed studies & hydrologic					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

Midcoast Conservancy support letter  
 Remains barrier at the Clary Lake outlet can - eventually open a bridge

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: K6

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$75,000			Total Project Cost:	\$133,700	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$58,700			44		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Longer lifespan with the proposed culvert as compared to a smaller, \$35,000					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			\$4,700
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	Dringo Engineering: Plan, cross-section & longitudinal profile.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNBOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	15-20 years			Structure Condition			deformed & corroded			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Anecdotal information		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	width: 3' (Existing) width: 17.5' (Proposed)		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Bankfull width measurement		
Other factors					culvert catchment & <sup>stream</sup> profile work					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Identified as high-priority NPS in Wilson Lake Watershed Survey m 2016		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	≈ 54								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	> 5								
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	Inconvenience/impact on the residents of Ford Rd. The detour is on the eastern shore of Wilson Lake. Medium impact.									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	16+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Yes. Confirmed several species in Wilson Lake.					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			No crossing *		Wilson Stream Dam	
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	10.5'	Type of crossing proposed		Precast, open bottom box culvert		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Span: 19.7' BFW: 10.5' Span: bfw > 1.2 ✓		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Detailed fish species confirmation by IFW. GIS #: ~ The applicant has not provided a distance. or the upstream habitat					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

Actually, a hillside drains into the crossing (wooded)



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$79,985			Total Project Cost:	\$108,085	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$28,100			26		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	subjective statements					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$6,425	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	<p>Water shed studies → catchment area of the crossing, peak flows &amp; preliminary engineering design are unavailable.</p> <p>It is unclear how much of a habitat will be opened for AOP with</p>					

He proposed project.

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNGOR

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1				3	5	10	15	20	25+
	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	50 years				Structure Condition			Deteriorated inverts.		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Crosses the road		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mother's Day 2006 event.		
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No engineering calculation provided		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5								
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	Mill Ln @ Bell Marsh Rd residents may have substantial detours									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wild eastern Brook Trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	#55735	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed 8' span may not meet 1.2		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	The applicant has not thoroughly reviewed the available databases such as MSHV					

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

ID# 55735

MSHV → Estimated bankfull width = 7.8'

- incomplete info on the fish species.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$136,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$41,000			30		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	bypass during construction					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	The application have very limited preliminary wotashed studies/ GIS resarces were not properly exploited. engineering work					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: KEREM GUNSOZ

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)				1	2-3	5	10	15	20	25+
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age		50		Structure Condition						
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>		N/A	Emergency		School			Public Works		
Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>								
<b>Other Impacts</b>		N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured		<input type="checkbox"/>	20							
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>	5							
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		<p>N Residents north of the culvert will need to use a long detour to access Route 91 (Cider Hill Rd).</p>								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: KG

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	#55621	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BARRIER		<input type="checkbox"/>	
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier						
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>	Very limited information. MSHV was not properly studied. No contact with IFW.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

→ no support letter.

The applicant states the crossing is not in MSHV: WRONG #55621 upstream/downstream crossing info missing.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: *KG*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$ 178,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 83,000			47		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	<p><i>preliminary info only on the bankfull width</i>  <i>↳ No study on the watershed, peak flows, capacity of the proposed structure. AOP info is unavailable.</i></p>					

*→ "Convent" price is missing in the cost estimate table.*

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

JM

### STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)

DATE: 6/10/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	3	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	65 yrs				Structure Condition.			very poor			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	no specifics - has flooded			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					hanging culvert						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	not mentioned			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	8.3			8.3			8.3			
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<del>2</del>	?			?			?			
Detour (miles)	<del>8.3</del>	8.3			8.3			8.3			
Evaluator's Notes	"All residents, businesses would be affected" Doesn't include numbers										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, brown trout, Atlantic salmon?		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	5	Source of Fish Species Info		Unknown - not complete		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	No					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	#55088	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	1.22 miles		0.92 miles			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			unsure			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed		Stipline			
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	violate	
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife			Stipline - higher invert elevation			
Evaluator's Notes	Stipline may be detrimental to fish passage + increase scaven					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$87,500			Total Project Cost:	up to \$150,000 to city \$75,000	
<b>LOCAL FUNDING</b>	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$87,500			50		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$) over 10 years	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	none
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	>\$10,000 for repairs to banks					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		n/a	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Cost seems very high given scope of project and lack of improvement Cost is higher than likely full replacement ✓					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

(12)

### STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)

DATE: 6/10/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Maclaine*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	<i>unknown</i>				Structure Condition			<i>poor-corrosion</i>			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>primary concern</i>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					<i>undesired for 50- + 100-yr storm events</i>						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>increased size</i>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Woodard + Curran hydraulic analysis</i>			
Other factors					<i>double size of previous</i>						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>							<i>1- Town Park</i>			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>1 (2?)</i>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>0</i>			<i>0</i>			<i>0</i>			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>0</i>			<i>0</i>			<i>0</i>			
Evaluator's Notes	<i>No critical public services would be cutoff at least 1 home</i>										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	brook trout, maxillate salmon hab		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	brook trout		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	brook trout		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1/2 mi downstream salmon modelled habitat		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2 listed	Source of Fish Species Info		Habitat viewer		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/> NO		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		1/2 mile		110 ft		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	6'	Type of crossing proposed		Box-concrete 8' wide		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.33 x BFW		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Backfilled bottom		
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Close to lake Auburn					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Maclaine*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$147,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$52,000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	<i>due to flexible annual repairs</i>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	<i>\$2500 gravel, grading / year</i>					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		<i>4 sided box vs. 3 sided box - cost</i>	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>unknown</i> <input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	<i>Completed Summer/fall 2020</i>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

12

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	unknown - 9 yrs				Structure Condition			perched - poor overall			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	no flooding documented			
Other factors					poor condition overall, safety concerns						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	larger structure			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	hydraulic analysis Woodard + Curran			
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	2-6 miles									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	No critical public services										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Critical habitat - salmon mortality causing habitat	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		2	Source of Fish Species Info			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		unknown				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> # 20853	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			0.70 miles (Barrier)	0.7 miles (not barrier)		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			critical habitat			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		6 ft	Type of crossing proposed		4 sided box-concrete	
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.33 x BFW	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	backfill	
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget							
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$ 160,000		
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match			
	\$ 65,000						
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>							
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	1000-vip map only repair in last 10 yrs	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade							
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>							
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 50K		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No			
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - en. h. h	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes		high eng. costs					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

TU

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	8 yrs				Structure Condition		plastic - undersized				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	low cover, multiple pipes			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					4 ft tall, increase size, no analysis						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	bigger size			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	hydroCAD, stream stats			
Other factors					to 6 ft box culvert low road elevation						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No TMDL			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	/			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>				1						
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6.8 miles			4						
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	5+9			2*+8			4			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.8			3.8						
Evaluator's Notes	3 culverts on narrow dirt road w/ no shoulders School + library detoured some detours are 6.4 miles										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Brown trout, SM + LM RASS (exotic)		
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11571		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
point .35 (not barrier)			h/a			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas - Wes Ashe - likely		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?		Connectivity				
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wes Ashe	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	5.6' est.	Type of crossing proposed		Box - embedded 6'		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1.12 x BFW		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	HydroCAD, StreamStats		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	sizing below 1.2x BFW, but embedded + not much fill depth					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)  
 DATE: 10/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 74,250			Total Project Cost:	<del>\$ 40,000</del> 100,250	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 35,750 <del>\$ 35,000</del>					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	n/a
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$20K spent in 2010 to replace w/ plastic culverts + added another culvert Little cover currently - freeze/thaw					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 35 k	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - Discussion		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gar: <i>Gar: Dovsky</i>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Evaluator's Notes	design has cost + lifespan benefits est 75 year design life conceptual eng. design high % env. costs					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

Jia

### STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)

DATE: 6/10/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1				3	5	10	15	20	25+
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	30+ yrs				Structure Condition			poor		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>										
High Risk for Flooding	Yes	No	Unknown		Notes					
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Documentation of Flooding?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Studies performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Other factors	increased stability									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>							
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>							
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5 miles					4 - mail, fire, police emergency			
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	1								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5 miles					3 5.5 miles			
Evaluator's Notes	multiple interior pieces of culvert have filled significant voids in headstones eroding									

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	White perch, dace, BKT, Creek chub		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	habitat present for Atlantic Salmon BKT		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tide water - muskrat, silver maple flood plain		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Black tern		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Recreation, IWWH		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bass, pickerel, perch?		
# Fish Species Present	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW/SHU			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Yes - IFW					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	potential	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19690	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		0.5 / 3.5 miles		1.13		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		modelled AT5 habitat		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?		IFW - higher priority		contiguity		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	17.2 measured	Type of crossing proposed		Pipe (22' span) (22')		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.27 x BFW (meas.) 1.32 x BFW on SHU estimate		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	mirroring natural substrate		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		none				
Evaluator's Notes	3.5 miles opened up stream					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	<del>\$70,000</del> \$65,000			Total Project Cost:	\$70,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$5,000					
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$5,000 over 10 YRS
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Failure is imminent cost in case of failure would hurt town budget					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$6,000 - 9.2%	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	project appears to be inexpensive for scope of work likely to have long structure life					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

JW

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: John MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	20+ yrs		Structure Condition			Poor - headwall voids				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	higher risk, no documentation		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	logging in photos		
Other factors					losing material - 2 culverts (7.5' each)					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					est BFW ~18 ft new structure = 22 ft					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5 miles - services								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	14					3			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5					5.5 - Farms			
Evaluator's Notes	Spring has led to loss of fill routinely blocked by debris culvert disintegration observed 2017									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)  
 DATE: 6/11/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE				Yes	No	List species:
Fish present in stream				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	brook trout IFW high priority
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	↓
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tombigbee mfg. 4,000-5 in area
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	DWA, IWWH, Black River Dam stream
Invasive fish species?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bass, perch, pickerel DS.
# Fish Species Present	4	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists? Yes. Wes Ashe - high priority for brook trout.						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
				Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier				1.13		0.53
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					BKT	
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		17.9/17.7	Type of crossing proposed		Pile arch	
				Yes	No	Notes
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	22 ft span > 1.2 x BFW
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	18" fill
Embedded?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	sand + gravel
Natural bottom?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Performance measures identified?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Watershed Studies				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife				reducing maintenance from debris jams + better possible during those jams		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)  
 DATE: 6/11/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Madeline  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget							
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 65,000			Total Project Cost:	\$ 70,000		
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match			
	\$ 5,000						
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>							
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Description of Events + recent	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$5,000 saved over 10 yrs reduced maintenance						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>							
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			\$ 6,000	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No			
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?			Yes	No			
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Potential cost savings if 2 projects awarded? mobilization costs reduced? inexpensive project synergies w/ other projects						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-07)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	3	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	25 yrs				Structure Condition						
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 smaller pipes			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	specific examples given			
Other factors					multiple pipes, likely to fail in flood event (2008 washout)						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	large structure			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream starts			
Other factors					much larger structure						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 miles - service/emergency									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	39						10			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 miles						5 miles			
Evaluator's Notes	Bad washout in 2008 \$11,500 for 1 storm over \$20,000 in repairs in 20 yrs										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-07)  
 DATE: 6/11/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species (alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	atl salmon downstream US interlocked habitat		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	atl salmon blueback herring		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Am. eels		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	salmon critical habitat many sp. of concern		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	6+	Source of Fish Species Info		DMR / IFW / USFWS		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		Yes, both				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> #1303		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			6.45		5.67	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		Brook trout, lamprey,		Yes	No	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?		likely future lamp Δ - stays cold water		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	21.7	Type of crossing proposed		36' Bridge		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.65 x BFW - for abutments		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		stream sim of sign - geomorphic + hydro				
Evaluator's Notes	includes longitudinal profile + other geomorphic / hydro elements banks in structure - multiple on brook-					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-07)  
 DATE: 6/11/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$162,098	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$67,098			41%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	specific failures mention of 2k/10 yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	maintenance fishing opportunities - new letter				crossing components	
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$10,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	ACOE <input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - discussion	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alex Abbott	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	Aug 2020 Build USFWS - in kind match good mix of partners					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME:

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3	4	5	10	15	20	25+	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	25 yrs			Structure Condition			rusted - poor			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	None		
Other factors					small size - easily clogged w/ debris					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream starts		
Other factors					same stream as another crossing requesting funding system					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	-3.2 + municipal services								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20						27-30		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.2 miles						3.2 miles		
Evaluator's Notes	Crossing would not handle 5- or 25-yr storms without scour per hydraulic analysis									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1				3	5	10	15	20	25+
	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	25 yrs				Structure Condition			rusted - poor		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	None		
Other factors					small size - easily clogged w/ debris					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream starts		
Other factors					same stream as another crossing requesting funding system					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	-3.2 + municipal services								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20						27-30		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.2 miles						3.2 miles		
Evaluator's Notes	Crossing wouldn't handle 5- or 25-yr storms without scour per hydraulic analysis									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Maclaine*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$80,000			Total Project Cost:	\$105,698.00	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$25,698					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	<i>general</i>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	<i>↳ "thousands in repairs" unclogging culverts not much for specific's</i>					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$10,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<i>ACE</i> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Alex Abbott</i>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Evaluator's Notes	<i>May be efficiency derived from project if both are awarded</i>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)

DATE: 5/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Maclaine*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	<i>50 yrs</i>				Structure Condition			<i>poor, crumbling concrete</i>			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>6 times in 10 yrs</i>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					<i>6 events in 10 years, serious degradation</i>						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>meets 100-yr flood standard</i>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>1 mile - Public services</i>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>4</i>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>1 mile</i>									
Evaluator's Notes	<i>Jersey barriers placed + road narrowed to prevent safety issues serious public safety concern</i>										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Madaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook Trout, Atlantic Salmon EELS		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unlikely presence of salmon - US FWS		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	American eels, sea-run BT		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	4+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Yes, IFW					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	# 50936	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IFW			
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		0.63		0.54		
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	23-24 ft	Type of crossing proposed		Bridge		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24 ft Bridge		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100yr flooding study		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife	opens 1 mile spawning/return habitat					
Evaluator's Notes	Construction plan would reduce need for maintaining (pumping) stream flow - allows for migration during portions of constr.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)

DATE: 5/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Madeline

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 89,140			Total Project Cost:	<del>\$ 18,080</del> \$ 107,220	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 18,080					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	erosion repair pavement repair \$7K/10 yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	failure, stability, shoulder erosion fixes degradation from freeze/thaw cycles					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		Engineering completed	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prelim.		Final design needed \$3K	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	ACOE <input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		maybe? Dean Bradshaw			
Evaluator's Notes	Permits in-hand already construct in 2019					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	56 yrs			Structure Condition			floor collapsing				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	frequent overtopping in spring			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2 of every 3 years			
Other factors					no exact dates but related to spring melt						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ungauged stream			
Other factors					2 culverts to 1 structure						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	services									
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	2						1 - Farm			
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	Bottom of culvert is rotten No detour available										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *MacDaine*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, Shiners	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	undeveloped habitat block	
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	2+		Source of Fish Species Info			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			NO <input type="checkbox"/>	16601	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			? nothing until headwaters?			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	unclear	Type of crossing proposed		Box culvert		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notes			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unsure - same span as existing pipes			
Embedded?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unclear if backfilled			
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown			
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife			no riparian usage			
Evaluator's Notes	Doesn't reference Stream Habitat Viewer data for US/DS crossings					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$45,000			Total Project Cost:	\$60,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$15,000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	estimate
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	regular unlogging + debris removal filler holes, deck + settling					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		n/a	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Design/build?		
Evaluator's Notes	Removal of ledge is required to fit box in unclear if permits have been obtained					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dedham (Lucerne in Maine Village Corp.) (2019R1-11)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> overflowing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> not failure			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	12 yrs				Structure Condition			replaced in 2007			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	reduced culvert capacity			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	specific instances			
Other factors					2015 storm damaged culvert inlet, backing up H <sub>2</sub> O hanging culvert, poorly aligned						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					damaged culvert, undersized						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	no emergency access									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	30			40			not sure if cut-off			
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	30			40						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	7			7						
Evaluator's Notes	photos show culvert capacity severely limited since crushed										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Dedham (Lucerne in Maine Village Corp.) (2019R1-11)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SM Bass, Brook trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Atl Salmon, landlocked salmon		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	3+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW / USFWS		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Yes	IFW, USFWS, IFW - good for wild landlocked salmon				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	# 51957	not surveyed	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)			
	1.75 to stone dam?		no DS barriers + lake			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Contributes to species restoration goals?		habitat				
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW supports	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	12.4'	Type of crossing proposed		18' Bridge		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Bridge		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	conducted rubble counts ✓		
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	@ 80% capacity		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		stream sim design?				
Evaluator's Notes	3x bigger structure, good H <sub>2</sub> O temps for coldwater sp.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Dedham (Lucerne in Maine Village Corp.) (2019R1-11)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$180,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$35,000 - 50k? - isn't add up					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	installed 2007, damaged \$6k over 10 years
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	reduced in stream work time					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		? unclear	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits ACOE	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	unclear		<input type="checkbox"/>
Evaluator's Notes	To complete Sept 2019					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ← → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	40 + yrs			Structure Condition			POOR				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	overtopped 12 times			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	no specific dates			
Other factors					built concrete wall to deflect following failures						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	increased capacity			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					multiple culverts + overflow culvert deflector wall. damaged @ inlet end						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	services									
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Detour									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	11			31						
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	No detour									
Evaluator's Notes	"imminent failure" potential many cut-offs less debris maintenance after storms 12 "events"										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Magline  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout - otters likely		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	possible use by landlocked salmon + smelt		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1 + 15		Source of Fish Species Info		IFW, other fish into pond	
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		Yes, IFW				
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	NO <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		0.6 miles		0.2 to pond		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		unknown - no survey data from IFW		Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	~14 ft		Type of crossing proposed		concrete box	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	Yes	No	Notes			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	But not yet determined			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	? likely given description			
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	functionality for "invisible" to fish			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	likely if 1.2 x BFW			
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		wildlife passage				
Evaluator's Notes	Wildlife passage mentioned, culverts hanging currently stream smart design?					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$118,750	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$23,750					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	0 in 10 yrs adding over the
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	cleaning after storms - some overtyped 12x before - fixing					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		unknown - TBD	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	?		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?	?		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	still needs design Town would fund any overruns					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Maclaine*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes but backshape	No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	<i>very poor "hazardous" (SEP)</i>					Structure Condition		<i>very poor "hazardous"</i>			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Not in 20 years +</i>			
Other factors					<i>appropriately sized but deteriorating</i>						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>1 mile - service S</i>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>14</i>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>1 mile</i>									
Evaluator's Notes	<i>exposed rebar undermined abutment, delaminating concrete moderately travelled road heavy erosion noted DOT-inspected in 2012</i>										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout, alewives		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	moxelated salmon habitat		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	3+	Source of Fish Species Info		MSHV		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1824	<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		0.55	0.05			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					8.	
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	131	Type of crossing proposed		3 sided box - 19.5'		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.46 x BFW		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w/ footings		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		Nicker, beyond banks				
Evaluator's Notes	eliminate scour of channel will terrestrial passage be included (banks)?					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	131,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 36,000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	10,000 in 2015
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	fill + safety maintenance future maint 52,000 as provided numbers					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		? none shown	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> has filled w/ ACEE		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> P - mobile Eng.	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	preliminary design plans					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
Flood risk	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	> 32 yrs				Structure Condition			starting to rust - but so nice			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	@ least 5 washouts			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	includes exact dates			
Other factors					contacted FEMA previously						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Much bigger structure			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream stats			
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	services									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	40			?			?			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.5-3.1 miles									
Evaluator's Notes	No critical services located on road, but creates a 5.1 mile detour (round trip) for municipal + emergency services 100 vehicles/day										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook Trout	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	many wildlife spp mentioned	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modelled salmon habitat at-risk wrt the spp.	
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Undesired species not mt-back	
# Fish Species Present	2+ listed		Source of Fish Species Info		DMR/IFW/MSHV	
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	DMR/IFW - strong support ASF					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> # 15270		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DMR			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			2.7	1.8 - Dam, being removed 2021		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists					DMR - Priority restoration area	
	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR P. Christman	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	10-11'		Type of crossing proposed		15 ft 3 sided box	
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.36 x BFW	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	hydraulic modelling	
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife			stream sim design - banks			
Evaluator's Notes	current structure size = 26% of 1.2x BFW size Good cold water reservoir into future wildlife passage					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95000			Total Project Cost:	\$276,677	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$181,677					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	yes \$20K/10yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	save \$20K in maint over next 10 years					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	ACOE <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Support from DMR/ Atlantic Salmon Federation. Engineer's assistance - Aerial Civil Works "30% Plans" are completed to date.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-15)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	40			Structure Condition			poor			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	regularly clog w/ debris		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 dates, 3 x 19 2014		
Other factors					multiple culverts on road w/ overtopping issues					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	+ less debris issues		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream starts		
Other factors					multiple pipes to large structure					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.1 + services								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	331*			?			unknown		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.2								
Evaluator's Notes	No critical services on road *would create significant detour for residents of Temple in addition to 4 homes in Farmington									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-15)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacGinle  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	several mentioned		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modelled salmon habitat		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		IPW/DMR/USFWS		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	yes, IPW/DMR					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	4 mos/yr	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Shallow flow	# 15272	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			3.6	47 miles after dam removal		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
Downstream					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals? High priority for salmon restoration						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR P. Christman	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	18.1	Type of crossing proposed		open bottom pipe arch		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	inspections		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream starts hydrological model		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		banks within structure				
Evaluator's Notes	stream sim design temperature modelling: stable source of cold water in future					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-16)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$ 425,565	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 330,565					
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$20K est. over 10 yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	maintenance, possible failure longer life span					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		?	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			\$ 31,875	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	this summer <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	30% plans completed to date large match amount reference reach materials included multiple partners for funding					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	40 years?			Structure Condition			often repaired holes in pipe			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	history of FEMA declarat 6-5 11 times since 1974		
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Design should be replaced		
Other factors					next flood will result in road closure					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	less erosion		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<del>55</del>			<del>30</del>		← don't think these are cut off			
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	55			30					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	15 miles			15 miles					
Evaluator's Notes	- each time road flooded closed for at least 5 days - critical connection road for first responders in Wayne - mutual aid over page limit, unanswered questions old design - riprap upon is not recommended as designed									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Many in IFW letter		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	eels		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Salmon DPS, not CH		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Am. el,		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hales Pond		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present (per IFW)	10+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Yes, letter from Wes Ashe (IFW) supportive of 1.2x BFW structure					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES				
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Did not answer		"none known"		"none known"		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR	supportive		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?		upstream passage				
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW supportive	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	12 ft	Type of crossing proposed		pipe arch		
Stream starts → 16.8 FT BFW		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	design referenced not 1.2x BFW			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	amend to open bottom			
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	? n/a			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a			
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unsure as designed - less than			
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1/2 BFW			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Revised design will have open bottom current design does not meet 1.2x BFW					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 93,750			Total Project Cost:	\$ 125,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 31,250					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Yes, maint but notes track
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input type="checkbox"/> FEMA	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Spent \$117,407 in repairs after FEMA declaration. Continual repair of sinkholes in road, increase in span \$7,400 in 2019.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 5,500 + \$5k permit thing	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	- can't exist but insufficient to current standards		\$5k geotech	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
Contracted	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> prob need more tb			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - layer		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Design from 1993 - 8-10 ft span if awarded, need updated design plans. All town staff installing - likely problems if current design is implemented.					

significant concerns on proposed size + lack of concrete information about what they plan to install. 12x BFW/100-yr flood designed structure would be more than 2x bigger than proposed. Not sure prices would be consistent with required structure size

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	1 failure Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	5	10	15	20	25+	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	60+ yrs		Structure Condition			Broken, collapsed culvert significant erosion			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>									
	Yes	No	Unknown	Notes					
High Risk for Flooding	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1/2 sq mile drainage area					
Documentation of Flooding?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
Other factors	close to capacity @ 100yr events								
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Studies performed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	hydrology					
Other factors	2-24" culverts to 48" - 2 culverts to 1								
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency	School	Public Works					
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	all cut-off		Airport, services					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home	Seasonal Home	Business					
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	1		1 - cattle farm					
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	no detour - dead end road							
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Evaluator's Notes	also cuts off popular hiking trail head, forest service								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Maclaine*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE				Yes	No	List species:
Fish present in stream				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>none listed</i>
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>none listed</i>
Invasive fish species?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
# Fish Species Present	<i>1+</i>	Source of Fish Species Info		<i>IFW?</i>		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	<i>Yes, IFW - Frank Frost, Mary Galloway</i>					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>33159</i>				
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)			
	<i>0.42 - end of habitat</i>		<i>not answered / none?</i>			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	<i>Brook trout spawning</i>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	<i>3 ft</i>	Type of crossing proposed		<i>CAMP</i>		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>struct of W of 3ft -</i>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>embedded</i>			
Embedded?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>unsure</i>			
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>UNSURE, no study, just "confident"</i>			
Watershed Studies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>stream stats, runoff/park discharge</i>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 14,000			Total Project Cost:	\$ 18,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 4000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$3000 over 10 yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	maintenance + loss of access					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		?	\$700 - public work w/ assistance
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	De-certified contractor in public works says not in ACOE jurisdiction and don't need permit → this is incorrect + permits are needed. If awarded, this <u>must</u> be communicated to applicant!					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	11 yrs		Structure Condition		severely degraded, hanging					
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	high impervious in WS		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					no failures/overlapping but impacts seen downstream					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	no flooding		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	geomorphic assessment hydroCAD		
Other factors					current culvert perched 8 feet					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	urban impaired stream system		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	geomorphic assessment + downstream embankment failure		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works				
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	critical emergency route								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business				
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Evaluator's Notes	steep unprotected slopes degraded downstream from culvert influence									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	rainbow smelt	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	1+		Source of Fish Species Info			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	NO <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
			0.11 miles		0.71	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					unsure	
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed			bottomless pipe arch	
					Notes	
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	sizing not complete		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Concord Gully NS Management plan <sup>1</sup>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		DMR flow channel, secondary benefits				
Evaluator's Notes	Root wad deflectors for bank stability + habitat stepped pool structures for passage					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$220,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$220,000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$2500/yr \$25000 over 10 yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	maintenance + emergency access					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		Geotech - \$6000 Design, permits - \$15K (20K)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	likely, but higher		<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering support?	Town staff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Andrew Johnson		<input type="checkbox"/>
Evaluator's Notes	design not complete, so cost est. is likely rough 4-6 week construction time					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
over-top	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	50 yrs		Structure Condition			very poor					
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yearly			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	none included			
Other factors					yearly flooding, in 500yr flood plain						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream starts			
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Flooding mitigation less erosion			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 (+3 other lots)			1						
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 summer			1 summer						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 miles			4 miles						
Evaluator's Notes	cutoffs in winter + lengthy detour in summer										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLainie  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout habitat 1 unit		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	up stream of SGCN		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	various non-game spp. - IFW, Jim Kellerman					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10046		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IFW			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
(Ho pond) 1.7, not barrier				1.0 / 2.7 to barn ✓		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
"likely spawning habitat"				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals? Brook trout						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	11 ft	Type of crossing proposed		multiple arch		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	14 ft span		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	500 yr met		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$165 K	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$70 K					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	minimal
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	avoided repair costs in future + cleaning blockages					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	← conceptual design only		\$15 K	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> fine tune Eng.		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Evaluator's Notes	<del>5</del> another proposal. any efficiencies? savings?					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-20)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1				3		5	10	15	20	25+
	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	50				Structure Condition			concave - deformed			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	during heavy rain			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					road sinkholes						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	much larger structure pick water			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream starts			
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	1						1			
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	cut off access to full time residents + farmland										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-20)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Maclaine*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	warmwater spp	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<del>not applicable</del>	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	not applicable	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	?	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?			Yes - IFW 11m Pelletier			
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10351			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
none - .4 miles up available			2.31			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					Supportive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed		Box culvert		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2 feet		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream sim		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	500 yr sizing		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes		currently restricted flow to old course of Seaco River resistance to beaver dams				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-20)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95 K			Total Project Cost:	\$ 171 K	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 76 K					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	minimal
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	closing road due to flooding					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	conceptual design			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pine Tree Eng.		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Evaluator's Notes	<del>summary</del> another grant proposal - any efficiencies?					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	by 3x in 23yrs Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
unknown	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2-3		5	10	15	20	25+
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	40		Structure Condition			Very poor - folded			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>									
	Yes	No	Unknown	Notes					
High Risk for Flooding	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	crosses driveway					
Documentation of Flooding?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	not tracked					
Other factors	currently being repaired w/ riprap - deep culvert								
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Studies performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	not yet but H+H will be done to confirm 100yrs					
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	nearby driveway flooding erosion					
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency	School	Public Works					
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home	Seasonal Home	Business					
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	36		2 - chimney lumber					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3		3 V+H Equip.					
Evaluator's Notes	No critical services located on road but would have to detour								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Mackinnon  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	no further info provided		
Presence of anachomous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	un known	Source of Fish Species Info		no info		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		unsure				
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	Not a barrier?	NO	FE162	NO	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			1.25	1		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					unknown	
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	12-19'	Type of crossing proposed		pipe arch		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		Yes	No	Notes		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	sized for 1.2x BFW		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	still to be determined		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	to be completed		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes		Avoid bypass flow by constructing footings in the dry				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95,000			Total Project Cost:	\$ 198,720	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 103,720					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	Not documented
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	avoid bypass flow costs by placing footings in the "considerable"					dry
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 14,700.00	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> assumed	Ransom	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Evaluator's Notes	Award					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Maclaine*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency (2010)	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	50 yrs			Structure Condition			Major distortion of pipe				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	overtopped in 2010			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	info on 2010 event			
Other factors					differential settling along culvert length distorted continuous crack in culvert						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modelling suggests overtopping in storms > 25 year event			
Other factors					DS retaining wall has failed, crushed ends + uneven settlement						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	less erosion			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>							1 - water line			
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>							1			
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>							No detour			
Evaluator's Notes	Manufacturing plant would be cutoff (30 employees) + stores hazardous chemicals on-site										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Trout present + habitat would offer significant amt of trout habitat	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	many	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	high diversity of aquatic insects modelled At. Salmon habitat	
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		MSHV, IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Yes, IFW - supportive		multiple crossings			
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 30613 -- not valid		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	< 1 mile		~ 75 miles			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			Potential salmon spawning hab.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	~ 30 ft	Type of crossing proposed		Bridge 50' clearspan		
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	
Natural bottom?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife			banks within structure			
Evaluator's Notes	Good prelim. plans + detail included - shows bank's + rocky debris design is complete					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95 K			Total Project Cost:	\$ 782,854	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 687,854					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	36000 over 10 yrs \$6900-2010
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	loss of manufacturing jobs/ shutdowns of factory reduce flooding					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - contacted		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> W/		Pierce		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Evaluator's Notes	Project is shovel-ready large amount of match + partnerships established ACOE has been contacted, but no permit + good investment for improvements					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
2009, 15	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	25				Structure Condition			poor - rusting thru bottom			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	floods regularly			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2009, 2015			
Other factors					dike in Machias floods regularly - this road is the detour road						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 ft to 13 + ft			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	less erosion			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7			2			1			
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	7			2			1			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 mile			1 mile			1 mile			
Evaluator's Notes	<p>→ don't think these are actually cut-off if there is a detour available</p> <p>This road is important detour for flooding happening in Machias</p>										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	trout, alewife, eels sea run BKT, smelt		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	mudpuppy, ATS habitat; critical habitat		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	rainbow smelt		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	middle river AS - kids only better smelt habitat overall		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	5+	Source of Fish Species Info		DMR		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	+ <del>not</del> YES, DMR support					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	Not in viewer		Perched		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IFW	
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			0.2 - not barrier / .75 to bridge		2.64 - tide gate	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			Yes - ATS			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	11 feet	Type of crossing proposed		open bottom box-bridge		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		open bottom banks in structure				
Evaluator's Notes	big improvements to passage + habitat availability additional barrier to be removed for project (pipe in stream)					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacGine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$35,300			Total Project Cost:	\$70,600	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$35,300			50%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$550 for 2 events 2007, 2015
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	road shoulder washouts - erosion pavement degradation from freeze/thaw gravel + silt washes downstream					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		Yes, complete \$10k	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prelim plans			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes		No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in hand/exempt		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes		No	
			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Evaluator's Notes	Work could begin Aug 2019 opens 3+ miles of habitat					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No		
over top	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	40				Structure Condition			poor			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2019			
Other factors					Overtopped spring 2019 - loss of roadway fines currently single lane traffic						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	can only currently handle 5 yr storm event			
Other factors					only meeting 25 yr event						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Keep fires in road - erosion of roadway			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20			20						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 miles			4 miles						
Evaluator's Notes	numerous overtopping + flow through roadway observed currently single lane traffic due to repairs										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alewives		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modelled salmon rearing		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Salmon modelled habitat - rearing		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		MSHV		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	16375	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			0.7	0.7 - Wilson Pond Dam		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
modelled Salmon habitat				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an Important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alewives		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	~8ft	Type of crossing proposed		concrete box - OB		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	10' clear span		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 year event		
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wright-Pierce H+H (memo)		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		BMPs within structure				
Evaluator's Notes	Stream flows into Wilson Pond field measurements needed to confirm size of BFW					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95K			Total Project Cost:	\$ 315,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 220,000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	no significant documents
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	loss of road gravel fines into lake - phosphorus					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prelim design		\$ 19,000	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	Anticipate constr. in 2020 high project costs					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team-consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-25)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)		1	2	3	5	10	15	20	25+
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	?		Structure Condition						
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors				overtopped 3x in 20 years					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FHWA FY-8 model		
Other factors				sized greater than 100-yr storm feed Nokomis Pond - water supply					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	over capacity for 100ys		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	services detour							
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	100+					4 - farms		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.7 miles					3.7 miles		
Evaluator's Notes									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-25)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	assumed - no info		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	no info		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modelled - Atlantic salmon habitat		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	none known		
# Fish Species Present	0+	Source of Fish Species info		no info provided		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		Unclear - but				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19805	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)			
	0.9/10 US barriers		3.2 miles			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Contributes to species restoration goals?		unsure				
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	10+ ft	Type of crossing proposed		Bridge		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	with additional capacity		
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modeling		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		wildlife passage				
Evaluator's Notes	40% larger structure than needed for 100 yr storm uprooted trees downstream; wildlife passage included					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-25)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95.5K			Total Project Cost:	\$150,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 55,000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	~750/yr. for cleaning
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	reduce erosion Beaver ISSUES - \$6000					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$10,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in hand	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dirigo Eng.	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	Construction late summer 2019 - permits in hand					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

(TW)

### STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)  
DATE: 6/14/19  
EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b> "continually had large flows overtopping" losing road										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age					Structure Condition	rusted, but difficult to assess - overhanging rock				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	continually overtops		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	no specific dates included		
Other factors					difficult to assess given condition					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	30+			10+		4			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5-12.25			5.5-12.25		5.5-12.25			
Evaluator's Notes	concerns for emergency services + access / law enforcement									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	brook trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	brook trout		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	salmon moderate threat habitat		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1+	Source of Fish Species Info		MSTU		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	unclear					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 15066			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
0.0+ → open 1.83 US		20.8				
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?		Sandy River - high priority rest. area			Yes	
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	12-13'	Type of crossing proposed		3 sided concrete box		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	> 1.3 x BFW		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	temp modeling, hydraulic		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Stream simulation design		cold water refugia			
	US - undeveloped watershed					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacGine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95k			Total Project Cost:	\$ 133,791	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 38,791					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$20k over 10yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$50k for replacement with similar structure mult. times; \$3k/yr in maintenance,					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$10k	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> completed		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	constr. support		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	Construction - summer 2020 longer lifespan + reduced maintenance Good plans + includes stream profile					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)				1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age		54 yrs			Structure Condition					
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					major bank erosion, concern for failure					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	USGS Peak Flow regression for 50-, 100-yr events		
Other factors					/					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	reduced erosion / sed entering stream		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>		N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works	
Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>								
<b>Other Impacts</b>		N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business	
Number Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	66			66			doesn't appear to be actual cut-offs if detour available	
Number Detoured		<input type="checkbox"/>	66							
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>	2.25							
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		inspected 6/1/16 by DOT 700 Trips/day on road no public facilities on road currently open-bottom structure								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Madeline  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	NLER		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	7	Source of Fish Species Info		MSHV, IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		NO - input only from DST				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	# 8931 N.A	<input type="checkbox"/>	a barrier			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			1 (barrier)	10 (barrier - dam)		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?			unlikely			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	17.5 est	Type of crossing proposed		OB Arch		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notes		
				less than 1.2, < BFW		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	USGS peak Flow data		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	not currently under stream reduce maintenance for clearing obstructions					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95K			Total Project Cost:	\$139,880	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$44,850					
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	not much info provided
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	maintenance clearing of blockages					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs 40% w/ permitting/bid	\$18,380		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> contacted →	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> generally		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - insp. listed as item		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	seems pretty high on permitting costs - \$400 for ACOE					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	15+ yrs				Structure Condition	sink holes in road				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>										
	Yes	No	Unknown	Notes						
High Risk for Flooding	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	no data on overtopping art study shows the structure is undersized						
Documentation of Flooding?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Other factors	not currently shown to overtop road									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Studies performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Other factors	can currently handle only 5-year storm									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>							
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	erosion reduction						
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency	School	Public Works						
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	services								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home	Seasonal Home	Business						
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	107								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.2 miles								
Evaluator's Notes	rusting out + misshapen - multiple small culverts									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	↓		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Heritage water - L&B DS		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		Yes, IFW				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	Not barrier <input type="checkbox"/> 23591 - P. L. to a carrier <input type="checkbox"/>					
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		0.8 - no barriers		2 - Rangeley Lake Dam		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		near spawning habitat		Yes	No	
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				Heritage water		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	7-8'		Type of crossing proposed		open bottom pipe arch/multiple te	
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	exceeds 1.2 x BFW		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	shown on plans, but not mentioned - assumed?		
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	in cover letter		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	H+H study - Wright + Harce		
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	↓		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	stream sim design					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95 K			Total Project Cost:	\$212,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$117 K			55.19 %		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	no significant repairs documented
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	none documented					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		not specified	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	conceptual design			
Schedules & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	app started		<input type="checkbox"/>
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		w/night finance		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Evaluator's Notes	construction - 2020					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	3	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	20-30 yrs				Structure Condition			vary - disrepair			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	no overtopping events			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					sink holes in roadway, rusting out, hanging						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	flooding not main concern			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	H+H			
Other factors					can only handle 5-yr 24 hour storm						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	reduction in erosion			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.2 miles									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	107									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.2 miles									
Evaluator's Notes	rusting, multiple culverts hanging/perched										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Downstream - Heritage water		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	/ +	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	Not in viewer 23506		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 12 non. barrier			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			1 mile - "no barriers" Rangeley Lake dam			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			BKT habitat		Yes	No
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?			Heritage water			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	12-15'	Type of crossing proposed		OB multiple arch		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	H + H study		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		wildlife passage - banks				
Evaluator's Notes	Stream sim design					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Madeline

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95K			Total Project Cost:	\$226K	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$131,000			57.96%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	no sec reports documented
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	efficiencies if 2 projects awarded					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$12,700	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	prelim.			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> compiled	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wright Pierce		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	2020 constr. - efficiencies w/ other project on stream if constructed together					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Madaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1				2	3	5	10	15	20	25+
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	50 yrs				Structure Condition			deteriorated invent			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	overtopped + repair required			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	within 5 yrs.			
Other factors					erosion requiring riprap protection						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	increase in size			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	H+H will be completed			
Other factors					2 pipes to 1 structure						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	erosion reduction			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	services									
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	8						1 (Day care)			
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	Dead end road children could be stranded undersized										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown - Rowell Bay - US Wessex - At-US		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown		
# Fish Species Present	?	Source of Fish Species Info		?		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	none					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	26673	<input type="checkbox"/>	not a barrier		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		1.25		unknown pot. barrier		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	14'	Type of crossing proposed		Pile arch		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Est BFW in SHV ≥ 21 + ft		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ya		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Native material representative		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	will check, but likely		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	not much info provided - no info from MITSV					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Madaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95K			Total Project Cost:	\$198,720	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$103,720			52.19%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	No documentation but repairs have been made
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	emergency access for residents should it fail					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$14,720	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ransom Eng.		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Footings outside stream banks					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	3	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	30-40 yrs			Structure Condition					
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	regularly fails during spring road impassible		
Documentation of Flooding?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors				major connector road					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	500 year sizing		
Studies performed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream stats		
Other factors				new structure meets 500 yr storm event experienced 500 yr storm in 1987					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	reduction in washouts		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	services 10 miles							
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20			15		3 + bus. traffic		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	10 miles			10 miles		10 miles		
Evaluator's Notes	Sandy River Road is major connector between Rte 43 and Rte 134 DOT has used road as a detour in past for state roads								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903080  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modelled salmon habitat		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Yes IFW					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	15774	<input type="checkbox"/> no # in application	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	3 miles - no barrier		20			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			Thermal refuge - good habitat per IFW letter			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	11.3' (12-ft field)		Type of crossing proposed	Bridge		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Notes 17.5' span			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a			
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	500 yr met			
Watershed Studies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Modeling			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife			only impediment to anadromous US of western			
Evaluator's Notes	Sandy River watershed - 100 yds DS culvert drops 1 ft @ outlet currently					

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 75K			Total Project Cost:	<del>\$</del> 152K	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 77K			50.66%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$3-4K/yr gravel
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	gravel washing PS - \$3-4K every year eliminate this maintenance no avoided costs					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$11,500	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	- Alex. Abbott?			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A. Abbott?		<input type="checkbox"/>
Evaluator's Notes	Aug 2020 construction					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Madaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3	5	10	15	20	25+	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	56 yrs		Structure Condition			POOR-POOR to serious cond			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	duration of events has raised concern of conditions	
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors					poor condition combined w/ longer duration events				
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	not yet, but will be	
Other factors					erosion + sediment to DS areas				
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	reduce H <sub>2</sub> O quality impacts to shellfish area	
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	60							
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	0.6 miles							
Evaluator's Notes	Road used as Route 1 bypass by locals								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Maclaine*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species (alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alewife, Atl. Salmon present		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATS		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	alewives salmon		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	shellfishery DS		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	not in view		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	unknown		unknown			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		alewife streams		Yes	No	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	not known	Type of crossing proposed		OB Box - concrete		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TB Designed		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		1st structure in system w/ alewife/ATS				
Evaluator's Notes	Flows to St. George River - growing aquaculture industry reduce potential for H2O quality impacts					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95K			Total Project Cost:	\$313,800	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$218,800			69.73 %		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	40K since 2005
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	impacts to DS shellfish beds - economic activity due to H <sub>2</sub> O quality impacts cost-benefit.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		?	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		unknown <input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		unknown <input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	would need to know size of structure to determine if cost is reasonable					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)

DATE: 6/22/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2		5		10		15	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	47 yrs			Structure Condition						DOT "Serious but serviceable"
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	passes most storms but backs up + close to top of road		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					failure to fix could lead to road closure					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	assumed better		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	inspections by DOT Sizily-Jones Associates		
Other factors					holes in bottom plate - unzipped bottom					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	exceed 100yr flood standard		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	services								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	77			10			1 (farm)		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 miles			5 miles			5 miles		
Evaluator's Notes	no guardrails currently 547 vehicles / day									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903080  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME:  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	near significant BKT habitat	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modelled ATS habitat 1WWT, NLER	
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	nonnative DS	
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info	MSHV			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	not barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 20733	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)			
		3.1 - not barrier	0.6 (not barrier)			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			Modelled ATS, sig. BKT		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	19-20 ft	Type of crossing proposed	ALUMINUM Box culvert			
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	will open up stream to MSHV # 20709 - total 12.5 contiguous miles of stream habitat					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95K			Total Project Cost:	\$ 190K	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 95K			50%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$500/yr grave \$9500 over 10 yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	undermining pavement, grave maintenance \$7500 avoided costs					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		? \$13K	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notman Chamberlain II <input type="checkbox"/> Walsh Eng.		
Evaluator's Notes	copy letter - exceeding available funds for infrastructure grant ensures project would be done constr. → 2020					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Madaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	32 yrs			Structure Condition			poor			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	no documentation but immediately - pipes are at capacity during rain events		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					seam separation + distastion of pipe					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	larger sizing - increased capacity		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	HY-8		
Other factors					at capacity					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Damariscotta Lake WS		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	siltation from culvert channel protection		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	services						Fire protection water		
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	12			2					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.2 miles			2.4 miles					
Evaluator's Notes	suspected in 2017 - multiple concerns about condition									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Maclaine*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>brook chub, blacknose dace, brook trout, eel</i>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>NLEB</i>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>modified ATS habitat</i>		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	<i>6+</i>	Source of Fish Species Info		<i>(FW/MSHV)</i>		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	<i>Yes, Wes Ashe IFW</i>					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>14125</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		<i>4.8</i>		<i>None</i>		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by (IFW) or DMR		<i>Wes Ashe</i>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				<i>IFW - BKT</i>		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	<i>21.4 (meas)</i>	Type of crossing proposed		<i>box w/ Arch plate (or)</i>		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>n/a</i>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>n/a</i>		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>stream stats w/ 20% floodbank</i>		
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>RY-8</i>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		<i>wildlife passage shelf in structure</i>				
Evaluator's Notes	<i>includes passage for wildlife</i>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95K			Total Project Cost:	\$ 195,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 100K			51.28%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$10K over 10 yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	sedimentation DS					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		?	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dirigo Engr.		<input type="checkbox"/>
				Med CORs + Cons. - assistance		
Evaluator's Notes	construct in 2020					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> -erosion	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	35 yrs			Structure Condition			end of lifespan			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	floods 1x/year		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	limited		
Other factors					erosion of roadway					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modelling		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	erosion		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	services						5 miles (30 other roads)		
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	say cut-off but there is detour								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	52						1		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 miles								
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacGine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout 4S/DS		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Undeveloped blocks Key riparian connector		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1+	Source of Fish Species Info		MSHU		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Yes, Jim Pellerin					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 20587			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		1.4 (no barrier) to stream source		8.3 - Dam		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				Jim Pellerin		
Contributes to species restoration goals?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	10'	Type of crossing proposed		Bridge		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.25 x BFW clear span		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream starts make 1		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		wildlife passage - temp modeling				
Evaluator's Notes	In-kind services from USFWS stream sim design					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 75K			Total Project Cost:	\$ 91,362	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 16,362			17.91%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	5000 spent over 10 yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	50K to replace + have same issues over time (+ >5000/yr in maintenance)					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 10K	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	conceptual			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Based on initial cost, savings would be, recaptured by lack of ongoing maintenance over time					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Madaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	3	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	35 yrs				Structure Condition			near end of lifespan			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	overtops 1-2x/year			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	sinkholes + erosion			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	erosion prevention			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 miles - services						3-roads			
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not cutoff → there is detour									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	52									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 miles									
Evaluator's Notes	no services located on road										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *MacLaine*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brook trout	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modified ATS habitat key block connector of upstream habitat	
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info	MSHV			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	Yes IFW - Jim Pellavin					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 20589			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			0.6 to headwater #20589	8.3 - Dam		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR J. Pellavin			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	6.7'	Type of crossing proposed				
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	clear span 10' - 1.5x BFW	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a	
Natural bottom?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	invertebrate stream	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w/ 20% free board	
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	currently blocks passage to headwaters - shallow depth connects headwaters to rest of stream					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 70,000			Total Project Cost:	\$ 6,562	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 16,562			19.3%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$ 5000 spent on reporting
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	maintenance gravel, man hours \$50k to replace + more to maintain in kind					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 10 K	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in process		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	USFWS - m fund services assistance from Alex Abbott Construct July 2020					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Madaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	erosion, debris, flooding				
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	20 yrs +				Structure Condition			very poor, piping			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	frequent road flooding			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					debris collects @ inlet, piping occurring						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	hydraulic modelling			
Other factors					erosion + piping along culvert						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	accelerated erosion as result of overtopping road way			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	services - 7 miles									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	37			17						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	7 miles			7 miles						
Evaluator's Notes	sinkhole developed - suspected piping occurring										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 WWF, wetlands	
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	non-native fish in Clay Lake	
# Fish Species Present	1+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW/USFWS		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?			Yes - no specific assemblage info			
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3751	leaves blockage		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			1.7	1.73 - outlet dam		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			Yes - combined			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	10'	Type of crossing proposed		Precast bridge		
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stable	
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	
Natural bottom?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	movement of sed.	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modeling	
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife			banks for wildlife passage			
Evaluator's Notes	Priority 2 under TNC prioritization tool accommodates sed. movement - restoration efforts underway					

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 75 K			Total Project Cost:	\$ 133,700	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 58,700			43.9 %		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	~1500/yr
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	proposed structure would last ~15 yrs vs current design life of 20 yrs					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 4700	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dirigo Eng.			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> filed		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	geo tech/soils - Summit Engineering - already spent could construct Sept 2019					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	15-20 yrs				Structure Condition			severely undersized frequent flooding			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	frequency increasing			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Spring events			
Other factors					sinkholes from piping						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X Sections			
Other factors					upstream wetland complex - overlaps significant + upstream proposed > 1.2 x BFW prof						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(B19 grant funds) WS Mgmt Plan			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	services - emergency possible									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	54									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	> 5 miles									
Evaluator's Notes	culvert deformed, piping causing long detour > 1.2 x BFW structure proposed										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	brook trout, landlocked salmon, smelt, eel, toad, Atlantic salmon	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	salmon critical habitat, eel, smelt	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	eel, BFT, Loon, bald eagle	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	bald eagle, star sp. listed	
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	non-natives present	
# Fish Species Present		16+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW/MSHV	
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		yes - confirmed spp.				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input type="checkbox"/> not a barrier			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
none upstream					45 - Wilson Stream Dam	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
located in stream					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		12.5' wide	Type of crossing proposed		open bottom box	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	over 1.2x BFW	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	
Natural bottom?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	passage, Harding	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	within 100 yr flood plain - unable to meet	
Watershed Studies			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	WS Mount Plan	
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife			oversized banks for wildlife passage			
Evaluator's Notes		Wilton stream flows to Sandy River good stream data & cross-section into cross-sections passage in low flows high quality cold/warmwater fishery				

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 79,985			Total Project Cost:	\$ 108,085	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$ 28,100			26%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	none
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	positive economic + social improvements emergency response, traffic management costs, prop. value					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	pre-cast drawings		\$ 6425	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In process		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> likely	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	work could begin in 2019 work window sect 7 consultation required - USACE has been to site (Leah Neal) DPW labor w/ 319 project funding also					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	50 yrs		Structure Condition			deteriorated inverts				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	regularly crests road shoulders		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	overtopped 2006		
Other factors					perched outlets - PS erosion?					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hydraulic - will be checked		
Other factors					on same road as another proposed culvert site (2019 R1-40)					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	shoulder erosion		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	services						Bell reservoir - public H <sub>2</sub> O		
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 miles								
Evaluator's Notes	perched outlets									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MacLaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown (in app)		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown " id for states		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown " salmon present ??		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown "		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	" "		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	says salmon, trout		
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		MSHV		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	NO	none in habitat viewer				
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	55735	<input type="checkbox"/>	no ID is a barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		0.6		unknown - 15		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	5-7'	Type of crossing proposed		8' concrete box		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		Yes	No	Notes		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	assumed - application unclear		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	if backfilled or open bottom structure		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	need to calculate		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Coordinating w/ Wells Reserve Staff Didn't research Habitat Viewer info well - confusing					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Maclaine*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget							
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 95 K			Total Project Cost:	\$ 178,000 \$ 136 K		
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match			
	\$ 41,000			30.15%			
AVOIDED COSTS							
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	no record of repair costs	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Bypass pumping avoided by through flood culvert saves \$						
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN							
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 15 K total		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	- conceptual estimate				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes		No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes		No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	<i>efficiencies if constructed @ same time?</i> <i>footing placed in the dry</i> <i>construction in 2020</i> <i>Station 6+00 Street 11.</i>						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Madaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	50 yrs		Structure Condition			deteriorated inverts				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	crests road shoulders		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	overtopped 2006		
Other factors					perched outlets					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	H+H TB completed		
Other factors					on same road as proposal # 2019R1-39					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	shoulder erosion		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>						Bell reservoir - water supply			
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 miles								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Evaluator's Notes	perched outlets, history of high water									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Maclaine

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	not in question		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	says salmon present?		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	salmon?		
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		MSHV		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		No				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input type="checkbox"/> No ID		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		0.16		Unknown		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				?		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	5-7'	Type of crossing proposed		8' concrete box		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	assumed 4-sided box backfilled		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	need to calculate		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	Coordinating w/ wells reserve staff Didn't include much from MSHV - confusing answers to work item opened.					

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Madlaine  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	\$95K			Total Project Cost:	\$136,000 + 178K	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	\$41,000			<del>30.15%</del>		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	no record
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Bypass pumping through flood pipe - construction only n/a					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$15K	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	conservative estimate			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	Stephen Bradstreet, P.E. efficiencies - same time as other culvert footings in dry construction in 2020					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)

DATE: 6/10/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlnecker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2-3	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age			Structure Condition			<i>"very poor"</i>					
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>420 yrs.</i>			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles) <i>8.3 mi</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>							<i>troubled section</i>			
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured <i>3 mi</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>25% of town</i>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>?</i>			<i>?</i>			<i>?</i>			
Evaluator's Notes											

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlmecke ✓  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit							
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:			
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT, BNT, SUB			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	modelled AT salmon habi?			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	not wild BKT?			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
# Fish Species Present	3	Source of Fish Species Info		app.			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY							
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies				
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>	barrier - slows habitat		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas						Yes	No
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals		
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY							
Stream Bank full width	7 ft.	Type of crossing proposed		Slip line falling culvert			
		Yes	No	Notes			
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		also by undersized w/ slip lining makes fish passage worse					
Evaluator's Notes							

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)

DATE: 6/10/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	87,500		Total Project Cost:	175,000		
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	87,500			50		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	10,000 over 10 yrs fill
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						
least cost approach w/ slip lining undersize not addressed, no stream habitat benefit, no design, no permits, COST ↑						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stehlneger*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age		<i>? unknown</i>			Structure Condition			<i>poor - corrosion</i>			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>multiple in 20 yrs</i>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					<i>450, 100 yf.</i>						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>D.S. L. Auburn pest projects for upstream</i>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact		N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>							<i>park</i>		
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts		N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff		<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>1 of 2</i>								
Number Detoured		<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>									
Evaluator's Notes		<i>sheed end road.</i>									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)  
 DATE: 6/10/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit					
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BICT	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	1	Source of Fish Species Info			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY					
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>	no?	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	barrier <del>at</del> 0.5 mi		110 ft		
		2 mi. lake down		Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?					
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY					
Stream Bank full width	4 ft, 6 ft	Type of crossing proposed		8 ft (+1.2 x BFW) box culvert	
		Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife					
Evaluator's Notes	low priority for salmon?				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)  
 DATE: 6/11/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlmecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	107,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	32,000			25		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	2500 gravel grading
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		39,500	E.P.C.A.C.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age		? 220 yrs			Structure Condition			poor			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	none known 20 yrs.			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					250 yrs. flood						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
<b>Public Service Impact</b>		N/A	Emergency		School			Public Works			
Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Other Impacts</b>		N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff		<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2								
Detour (miles)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6								
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>											

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)  
 DATE: 6/11/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlecker*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT, At. salmon		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	salmon?		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2	Source of Fish Species Info		U.S. Habitat Viewer		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		1.5 mi		1.5 mi. Audubon R.		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
rearing salmon				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> ?	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed		box culvert, embedded		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	<i>contingent habitat ATS, upstream class 2,3 modeled previous habitat</i>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	160,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	65,000			41		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	rip out
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			50,000	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> high	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> high	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 90	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)		1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	9 yrs			Structure Condition			? plastic 9 yrs!			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					road washed out 2009 / replaced culverts					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>					hydro cad. StreamStats					
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>							recreational		
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 9			2 8			4		
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.8 6.4			3.8 6.4					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Evaluator's Notes										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)  
 DATE: 6/11/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *stahlacker*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT + sea run, AT salmon <i>BKT</i>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>alewife, BKT, AT salmon</i>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>wadell's salmon</i>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	<i>4</i>	Source of Fish Species Info		<i>IFW, town</i>		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	<i>yes</i>					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	<i>0.35 mi to pond</i>	<i>none</i>				
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	<i>salmon, alewife, BKT</i>	Yes	No			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?		<i>BKT, alewife, salmon</i>				
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	<i>5.6 ft.</i>	Type of crossing proposed		<i>embedded box culv.</i>		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		<i>16 acres alewife spawning habitat</i>				
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)

DATE: 6/11/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *stuhlrecker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	74,250			Total Project Cost:	110,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	35,750			32.5		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	↑
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$20k in 2018 - 3 projects					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$35,000 all assoc.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Dorsley</i>			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)		1	2	3	5	10	15	20	25+
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	30+ yrs.		Structure Condition			poor			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors					failing fill due to flooding, voids				
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						5.5 mi.		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>						wait, police, fire, ambulance		
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	1					4		
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5					5.5		
Evaluator's Notes	loss of day hydrant if fails								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahler*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT, dace, co. chub	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	mottled salmon BKT	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	mottled	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	3	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW, town		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	IFW					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			3.5	(6.75/2nd)		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	17 ft	Type of crossing proposed		20ft? pipe arch culvert		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Notes			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	cold water refugia too BKT sister project nearly expands habitat					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Schlicker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	65,000			Total Project Cost:	70,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	5,000					
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	<i>see below</i>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$5K in 10 yrs.					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		6,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Engineering support?		Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> *	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<i>synergy w/ other local projects</i>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	37 yrs			Structure Condition	poor				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3-5 yrs.		
Documentation of Flooding?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors				loss of fill, voids					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency	School	Public Works					
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>			4 - 5.5 mi					
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home	Seasonal Home	Business					
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	14		3					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5		5.5					
Evaluator's Notes	loss of clay hydrant if culvert fails								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	with BKT, dace, chub		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	mudpelted At. Salmon		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	3	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	yes					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)			
	1.13	6.66		further project		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	10	Type of crossing proposed		arched culvert		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Notes			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Watershed Studies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	cold water vertigia - BKT spawning + summer habitat					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	65,000			Total Project Cost:	70,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	5,000			7		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$5k/10yrs.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		6,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-07)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlweber

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3-5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age				Structure Condition	poor				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors				Stream Stats					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>						5 mi, LE, FD, bus, amb.		
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	39					10		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.8					5		
Evaluator's Notes									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-07)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stah/necta*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BCT A. salmon, lamprey, black-headed		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A salmon, eel, BCT brook		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	sea lamprey		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	5+		Source of Fish Species Info		IFW/DMR	
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	letters of support		IFW + DMR bios.			
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)			
	6.45 pot. barrier		5.67 pot. barrier			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		yes DMR			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	26.7		Type of crossing proposed		bridge	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		Notes	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		yes, restoration bank passage			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-07)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahneck

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	162,098	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	67,098			41		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$20k over 10 yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	no 50k upgrade of existing to similar dolvents					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			10,000
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2		5	10	15	20	25+
Structure Age	25 yrs?		Structure Condition			150 studs / posts?			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 yr flood capacity	
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20					27-30		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.2					3.2		
Evaluator's Notes	MCF impacted for delivery, preserved through out								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BLET, salmon D.S.		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	mottled A. salmon		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	salmon spawning D.S.		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW/DMR		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	yes letters from DMR/IFW					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		1.3 mi.		1.1 mi.		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				yes		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	10'	Type of crossing proposed		12' box bridge		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"average" width		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		terrestrial passage				
Evaluator's Notes	passage of other terrestrial species on banks access for diadromous, BB Heron + loon prey					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlbrecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	80,000			Total Project Cost:	105,698	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	25,698			2%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	regular debris removed no rock culvert replacement					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		15,500 perm. time	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
6/10 yrs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)		1	2	5	10	15	20	25+	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	50 yrs			Structure Condition			poor - crumbling concrete box		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hydro Calc 100 yrs. flood		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	4							
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1							
Evaluator's Notes	concrete culvert bridge & lane.								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: stahl/necker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	see up BKT, salmon ect		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	4+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW, DESF		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	yes	BKT salmon spawning, the rest of letters				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	not barrier <input type="checkbox"/>	?		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	0.63	1 mi. above + below		.54		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR salmon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	23	Type of crossing proposed		40 ft + bridge		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	3.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	Bridge	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?	"	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	"	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?	"	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		terrestrial passage afforded				
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stalneck

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	89,140			Total Project Cost:	107,220	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	18,080			17%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
7/10 yrs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	6000 pavement & shoulders					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	prelim.		prelim. \$3000	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		few, DEP	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	2019 construction					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	no doc.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	56				Structure Condition			poor - floors rusted collapsing			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2/3 years			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	no analysis of 100 yr for 5420g			
Other factors					just enlarged 1963 bridge steel						
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	EWS, FIVE									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	2						1			
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>											

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahl/nectar  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT, shiner sp?	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	2	Source of Fish Species Info		town		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	w/ H					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/> not business			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			none			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes		No
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	15 → 40 ?	Type of crossing proposed		box culvert		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notes			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	only 10 ft w.			
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown			
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife			no riparian passages as stream w/ oth			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	45,000			Total Project Cost:	60,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	15,000			25		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	estimated
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	debris removal post-storm					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			0	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	concrete box, natural bottom similar to previous box installed - no engineering plans					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dedham (Lucerne in Maine Village Corp.) (2019R1-11)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	overlapping <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	12			Structure Condition			damaged inlet wash out of wall				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					100yrs, stream silt						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	>100yo. stream			
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	30?			40?						
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	30			40						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	7			7						
Evaluator's Notes	cut off or <u>nots</u>										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dedham (Lucerne in Maine Village Corp.) (2019R1-11)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT, LWS		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	At. Salmon		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	3+	Source of Fish Species Info		agency data		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)			
	1.75 mi.	2 mi. + Park (Hood)	Phillips Lake			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	Stone dam		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
USFWS + IFW letters	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	12.4 measured	Type of crossing proposed		bridge 18'		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	HY8, stream studies		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		riparian passage - lanes				
Evaluator's Notes	add water refugia in summer					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dedham (Lucerne in Maine Village Corp.) (2019R1-11)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: St. Hrecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget					
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	180,000
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match	
	35,000 (NFPF \$50k match*)			47%	
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>					
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation \$6k/10y05
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$35-70k for lesser box or culvert if no funding				
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>					
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		? USDA
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		USACE submittal #20	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?	Yes		No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwedder

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
2-5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	70+				Structure Condition	poor - 4 bet's, 1 post through piping				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	12 events in recent yrs		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					design not shown					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	11			31					
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Evaluator's Notes	private road avoided but no permission									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahnecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT Cross, W.S, P. smelt		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?		
# Fish Species Present	1+	Source of Fish Species Info		local/town		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		no				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier <input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			0.6		0.2 pond	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			YES			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	4'	Type of crossing proposed		compete to culvert		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	11' now	Yes	No	Notes		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	proposed		
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown but proposed		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	two main culverts currently needed					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlnacker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	118,750	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	23,750			25% no = 20%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TBD			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwedder

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	DOT in specification				
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		N/A	Yes	No		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5-10	15	20	25+
Structure Age	57		Structure Condition			poor failing concrete abutments, deck				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>		N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works			
Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>								
<b>Other Impacts</b>		N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured		<input type="checkbox"/>	14							
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>	1 mi.							
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		area does not flood, bridge just in poor condition								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahliuecker*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit							
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BET		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	no better salmon rearing alewife		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	no better salmon rearing		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		3+	Source of Fish Species Info		?		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		?					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY							
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 w.o.?	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)				Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		0.55 Bet.		0.05 Bot. Bar.			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas						Yes	No
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?							
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY							
Stream Bank full width		27.9'	13' deep Type of crossing proposed		+7 ft over existing 19.5' box culvert		
			Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1.5x current, undersized		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	questionable -		
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife			none				
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>							

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlnacker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	131,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	36,000			27		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1,000	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$10K debris removal, ACOKE permits					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> paid		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwacker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	32+				Structure Condition			collected water overtopping, plugging			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 over tops			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream stats			
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	40			?						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.5 to 3.1			1.5 to 3.1						
Evaluator's Notes											

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w/labelled for salmon spawning	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w/labelled for salmon	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	3+	Source of Fish Species Info		DMR IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	yes					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			2.7	1.8 jumps to 4.5 mi in 2021		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			salmon			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR <i>Choustruck</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	10-11'	Type of crossing proposed		concrete 3 side box		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	1.36+	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	make → Temple 500 Flood plain		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	plus, T. str. + flood plain		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		terrestrial passage (riparian)				
Evaluator's Notes	2021 walton's Mills Dam removal restores Temple Stream connectivity, Temple 2,200 units of salmon cold water habitat					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnocker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	20% contingency 276,677	
<b>LOCAL FUNDING</b> ASF, DFWF DCM, TRSF USFWS state	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	181,677			66%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	no doc. T
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	≈ \$20,000 over next decade too wide market \$10,000 (20K over last year)					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		32,975?	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	30% above (100% summer)		14,200 + 18,175	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-15)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
48 yrs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	46+			Structure Condition			poor on steep hillside frequent plugging			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	yes 48		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.1 mi.			1.1		1.1			
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	? 331 + Fabrication			?		?			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.2 mi.								
Evaluator's Notes	synergy w/ clover mill Rd project over nearby schwa stream									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-15)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: stah/nexten  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	B&T		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wooded Salmon spawning		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Salmon		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		DMR, IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 no.	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	3.6 mi stream		to Temple Str.			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		Salmon		Yes	No	
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				yes		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	18.1	Type of crossing proposed		metal pipe arch open bottom <del>with</del> culvert		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	wood to the passage - banks? Temple Str has 2,200 units of Salmon habitat? 6092 units Salmon habitat in Co maning's Bl.					

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Synergy w/ nearby Clover Hill Stream Rd project on Salmon stream -Page 2-

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-15)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahneck*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	425,565	
LOCAL FUNDING <i>ASF, NFWF, TRC</i> <i>Ad. TRS Feb 05/15</i>	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	330,565			78%		
AVOIDED COSTS <i>NO 4A</i>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
<i>16 yrs &gt; \$20K</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>30% now done</i>		<i>31,875</i>	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	46 yrs 3 repaired				Structure Condition	poor, lost Fall			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors					w/EMA, FEMA inspections				
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency	School	Public Works					
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	bugging						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	EMS	↓						
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home	Seasonal Home	Business					
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>		30						
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	55	30						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	15	15						
Evaluator's Notes									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT, sweet potatoes	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	eel	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT priority	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CW Pond access	
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		4+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW	
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			6.5 miles barrier		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
			1.85 mi total		between ponds	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		12	Type of crossing proposed		covered rock culvert	
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Notes	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	proposed - need new design	
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	14' bell pipe to meet	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes		peeled outlets, old design needs update				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	93,750			Total Project Cost:	125,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	31,250			25		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	117,000 FEWA
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		6,000 layout (superv.)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			5,000 geotech.	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	+5,000 permitting		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	none yet - needs update design for 1.2 BW & 100 yr flood					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	?	Structure Condition			poor	one culv. partially blocked 2nd road, evening				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	not over-used, close		
Other factors					poor flow through existing 2 culverts					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	yes					air port, forest service			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	1					1 farm			
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Evaluator's Notes	loss of access to airport, town #1 howe. Dead end.									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahinecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wild BKT		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		1+ IFW		Source of Fish Species Info		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		Yes - Frost, Gallagher				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Barrier?		<input type="checkbox"/> Frost, Gallagher		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		0.42 mi		Fish River		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?		Yes				
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		3+		Type of crossing proposed		4' round culvert
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	? ask engineers		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	? engineers		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		NO upstream passage				
Evaluator's Notes		But access to Fwdm Fish River				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Stah/recter*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	14,000			Total Project Cost:	18,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	4,000			22		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$3000 in 1st + 10 yrs. - include repairs					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		design + 700? by P.W. Director	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	DEP & PDR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	design by P.W. Director w/ DACIF					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	? 11 yrs.				Structure Condition			evolving well, pecked 8" DS.			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors					wall failure, scar due to 8" O.S. height						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	cited culvert replacement			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	303d impaired, 502 W.I.S 2012 TMDL			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	EMS, Fire, PD						XS			
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			/Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	busy downtown traffic makes West St. critical for EMS, Fire, PD. to south of town <u>2,000+ trips/day on West St.</u>										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stg h/Necken  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>	Yes	No	List species: .			
Fish present in stream	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	? possible habitat			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	swelt if add. waste @ Allen Rd.			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	swelt?			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	near estuary w/ swelt			
Invasive fish species?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
# Fish Species Present	0-1		Source of Fish Species Info			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	no but 8' perch			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			1800 ft		both directions	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			D.S. 0.71 mi. Allen Rd dam		Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?			?			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed		Notes			
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Watershed Studies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	urban impacted, runoff			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	stepped pools if steep, logs/root wads in stream					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	315,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	220,000			70		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2500/10		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	just emergency fix/washout					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		20,000 geo, design, permitting	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?	town		engineer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	expensive, tough site, large watch d+traffic flow, small euw. fish gain, large w.g. gain from plans (TMDR, U.I. Stream)					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b> <i>Wasty</i>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5/ yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)		1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	<i>deletes? 50 yrs.</i>			Structure Condition			<i>Very poor rust wood embank</i>			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>3x gain with sizing for 500yr fl ood</i>		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>		N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works			
Cutoff		<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>								
<b>Other Impacts</b>		N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff		<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>4 + 3 lots</i>		<i>1</i>					
Number Detoured		<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>summer only 4 + 3</i>		<i>1</i>					
Detour (miles)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>4</i>		<i>4</i>					
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>		<i>Cutoff winter summer detour</i>								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit					
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT, several non-game	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	3+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW	
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	yes - IFW				
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>					
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	1.7 to 3		not a barrier mile		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?	yes, BKT				
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>					
Stream Bank full width	1	Type of crossing proposed		4' wide culvert with steel plate arch	
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	Notes
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	500 yr. exceeded design		
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife	bipartisan passage				
Evaluator's Notes					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget							
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	165,000		
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match			
	76,000						
AVOIDED COSTS							
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	minimal yearly	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade							
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN							
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			projected 15,000	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	prelim.				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No			
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes							

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-20)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1-2 yrs <input type="checkbox"/>	3-4 yrs <input type="checkbox"/>	>5 yrs <input type="checkbox"/>	Failure Photos	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2		5	10	15	20	25+	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	? 50 yrs ±			Structure Condition			crushing, sinkholes in road			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Back Floods from Seaco R.		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					low lying in relation to downstream Basin at flood stage					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream stat 5 → 500 yrn design		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	E us, five (for home)								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	1						farm land		
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-20)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahneck  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	warm water from below		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown - cold water etc.		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	3+?	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	yes					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	none? spring 4 mi	2.31 mi. via Saco		oakbar		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW warm water	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	12	Type of crossing proposed		concrete clam shell bar		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	500 yr. flood		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		500 yr.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"	
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	cold water stream but not documented					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-20)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahnecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	171,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	76,000			44		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	conceptual only		15,000	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

#: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	3	10	15	20	25+		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	40 yr		Structure Condition			very poor - restrict aboutments				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3 times in 23 yrs.		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>				bus					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>				3					
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	30					2			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3					3			
Evaluator's Notes										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlhecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	valley road		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	poor connectivity due to barriers		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	?	Source of Fish Species Info		?		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	no					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	1.05 m.	6 crossings	4 crossings			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			?			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	? 12-19 D/S	Type of crossing proposed		concrete box 6' x 8'		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		none				
Evaluator's Notes	poor response into, little to go on & poor connectivity to other areas (up & D.S. barriers) - connectivity issues better on stream viewers -					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlnacker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	198,720	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	103,720			52%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	not # documented but considerable
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	prelim.		14720	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwedel

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
RISK OF FAILURE									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A		Yes	No
once	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	5	10	15	20	25+	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	50		Structure Condition 9' dia hanging end, crosser						
FLOOD RISK				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	no diked		
Documentation of Flooding?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 yr flood over top		
Other factors				head differential implies undersized					
REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
SAFETY & IMPACT TO COMMUNITY									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	man, plant					water line		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>						man, plant		
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Evaluator's Notes	underground water line @ risk w/ failure 35 jobs @ plant to include debris hazardous chemicals on site								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit					
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>	Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wadebrook salmon		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	trib. to Meduxuekeag R.		
Invasive fish species?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW	
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	yes				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY					
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
	2.5 mi to I-95 blockage		10 miles upstream to Medux. R.		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	both		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?	lett. vs IFW, Fed			yes	
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY					
Stream Bank full width	30 ft.	Type of crossing proposed		50' arch bridge	
		Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife					
Evaluator's Notes	Connectivity of 10 mi. to Meduxuekeag River if I-95 solved - 2.5 mi w/ this project				

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

high value BKT + eventual salmon - Page 2-  
cold water trib.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	782,854	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	112,000 Town 500 to 550,000 FEMA 687,854 to total needed			85% if all funded		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	36K in 10 yrs.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	debris removal; \$6,900-2010					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		?	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope?	?		Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	showing ready 2019?					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwedder

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	25 yrs				Structure Condition		poor, pecked				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2x in 10 yrs? 1 water cave			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 not			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	7			2			1			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1			1			1			
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>											

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahnecker*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>D&amp;T</i>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>S.R. D&amp;T, Svelt alewife salmon, eel</i>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	<i>6+</i>	Source of Fish Species Info		<i>DMR, IFW, USFWS</i>		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	<i>yes</i>					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			<i>75 ft long - 3 to 4 mi - 2.64 mi</i>			
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?			<i>yes</i>			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	<i>11</i>	Type of crossing proposed		<i>13'4" w, concrete box culv.</i>		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	<i>MDOT to repair b.s. weepies by the tidal gate, this culvert is perched, no passage currently many species</i>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	35,300			Total Project Cost:	70,600	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	35,300			50%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	erosion 2000 pavement 4000					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	partial design		? OK	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	8/2019 construction					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwedel

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2019	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	5	10	15	20	25+	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	40 yrs		Structure Condition			poor - rusted out bottom; loss of roadbed			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes / Low	
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	high storage in pond	
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors					road bed falling				
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors					only 25 yr. proposed - low				
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20			20				
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	4			4				
Evaluator's Notes									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stuhnecker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.7 mi above we dotted salmon rearing habitat		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alewife		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5 species muskie, pike, walleye		
# Fish Species Present	1-2+	Source of Fish Species Info		DMR		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	✓					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		0.7 to 100		6.0' post dam		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed		10' concrete box culvert			
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3 wide streams A/B		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	NO 25 05 50 yb. 10' → 12'		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	terrestrial passage?					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	315,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	220,000			70%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	N/A					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	prelim w-p		19,000	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope?			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>						
BFW to 1.2? wide struts w above/below 10' proposed only, 25% struts not 100% 12' upsize mentioned → 50% cost ↑ for 10' box						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-25)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlnacker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	?		Structure Condition			poor, rust, inlet			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3x in 20 yrs + near inlet <i>Stah</i>	
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream stiles	
Other factors					4' → 14'				
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	D.S. Wolkowicz D.W. Supply	
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.7							
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	100+					4 farms		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.7					3.7		
Evaluator's Notes									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-25)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wooded salmon not yet present		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	not yet - salmon		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	pools above/below		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	?	Source of Fish Species Info		viewed?		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	no					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	beaver <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Feeding			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			0.9 mi above wood P.	3.2 mi to BARRIER		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		rearing	salmon		Yes	No
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				yes?		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	11'	Type of crossing proposed		concrete bridge 14'		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	++	Yes	No	Notes		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	riparian passage		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	pls	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife	riparian passage					
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-25)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	150,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	55,000 <sup>45 Palmyra</sup> <sub>10 W.D.</sub>			37%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$6k/10 yrs beaver
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	long term failure					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		pilot design	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	prelim.			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACORE	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Diongo		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	very flat gradient above/below beaver activity most likely normal F.W. fish assemblage/brown water. 2019 w/ p/b.v.s					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b> 2 <sup>3</sup> unknown										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age					Structure Condition	poor to unknown				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?continually overtopps		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream stats 100yr - 378 cfs		
Other factors					seasonal closures -					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	12.25			12.25 bus		also 12.25			
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	30+			10+		4+			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	12.25 mi			12.25 mi		12.25 mi			
Evaluator's Notes	shorter detour is poor quality road. EMS/P.D. delays low lying area D.S. back flooded by Saubey R. @ high water									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	woodlled salmon		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sandy R. salmon hds.		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		DMR		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		USFWS, DMR				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		1.83		20.8 mi westward		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
? woodlled salmon					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					yes	
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
USFWS/DMR salmon	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	13'	Type of crossing proposed		concrete box body		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		riparian passage afforded				
Evaluator's Notes	proximal to other streams w/ crossing improvements in recent yrs. (crossings)					

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	133,791	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	38,791			29%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	30k/10 yrs.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3k <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	annual debris removal minimized 50k to replace w/ similar material					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		5000 USFWS	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	premium now		2500 + 10,000 + 2500 const. management	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		NOPE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		USFWS <input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlwecker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)		1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	58			Structure Condition			"legitiated"			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not flood		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					not long enough, shoulders collapsing					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	on 50 or 100 yr are to outside		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	66								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.25 mi								
Evaluator's Notes										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Julia Neenan*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	trout		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	long eared bat?		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	+	Source of Fish Species Info		town, stream viewer		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	no					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	NO	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		1 mi crossing bar		10 mi. Royal R. Elm St.		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT?
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						no barrier
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	17.5	Type of crossing proposed		pipe arch - steel flow?		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	Notes		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			15 ft, less than 15 ft HV		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	not > 50 + 100 yr. flood		
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?	?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?		
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	12 ft does not flood so proposing 4, 2 sp. @ 15 ft width - does meet 50 + 100 yr flood.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	139,880	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	44,880			32%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	500-1000 yr. for shoulders
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	40% design		≈ \$10,280	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		ACCRF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> done?	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	15+ yrs			Structure Condition	3 collectors rusting out				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not flood, but under size		
Documentation of Flooding?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	on 50 or 100 yr flood		
Studies performed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w+p		
Other factors				rust + erosion will cause failure + needs BKT risk passage					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater Improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.2			9.2 bus		9.2		
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	10' YR + seasonal					N/A		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.2			9.2				
Evaluator's Notes									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: sth/neckar

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wild BKT		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	heritage BKT		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	" " moosebrook wagon track		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1 +	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	yes					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	* 0.8 mi to headwaters	500 ft to moose brook				
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	likely ?
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	7-8'	Type of crossing proposed		15' galv. steel arch		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		improved passage				
Evaluator's Notes	synergy w/ another project on same rd.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahneck*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	212,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	117,000			> 58%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	eventual failure					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100% W-P done		12,700	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	ACORE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> prepared		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	* Synergy/ mobilization with nearby Baldwin Rd. project to share expenses. Savings.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlmecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age					Structure Condition	poor, rusted misshapen SITE SIZING			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors					hung/peached, sink holes from water flow				
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency		School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	?		bus? 9.2		9.2			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.2		9.2		9.2			
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	107		4R+Seas.					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.2		9.2					
Evaluator's Notes									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahinacker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, <u>or wild</u> brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	head-top water collection to Mooselock watershed		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wild BKT		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	head-top Mooselock-		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1 +	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	IFW yes					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> poached culverts			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			1.1 mi. stream/wetland	to head-top lake		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				Yes	No	
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				YES - BKT		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	12-15'	Type of crossing proposed		pipe arch culvert 19'		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		Yes	No	Notes		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	w - P work		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		openian passage				
Evaluator's Notes	Synergy w/ nearby project (salve road) save mobilization					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	226,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	131,000			58%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	Failing system replacement later penetration culverts - replace for fish pass.					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	prelim w-p		12,700	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	?		Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	* Synergy w/ nearby Bald Mt Rd culvert project. Save mobilization costs.					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stehlwacker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1-3 yrs				1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	50				Structure Condition			<i>comp pipe arch</i>			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>EWS, Fire</i>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	8						1			
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	<i>Dead end/cut off</i>										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlwecker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit					
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	?	Source of Fish Species Info		—	
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	no				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY					
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
		1.25 mi unknown barrier?		? no info	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY					
Stream Bank full width	1# 2146W	Type of crossing proposed		18' Concrete Box	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		Yes	No	Notes	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	size later - check
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		possible riparian passage			
Evaluator's Notes	little to no benefit # 26073 potential barrier				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlnacker*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	198,720	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	103,720			52%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	not documented
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	upstream watershed in past					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TBD after funding		14,720	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b> 1981 - 500 yd x 1000 @ SITE									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	30+/unknown			Structure Condition			Rusted out, pebbled, washing out		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors				bank floods from Sausby River					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	increased sizing		
Studies performed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	stream state		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	vedge gravel/wash out flows to stream		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						1		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	10 EMS, Fire			10 bus		10 road repairs		
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20			15		3		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	10			10		10		
Evaluator's Notes	Road used as by pass when bridges in Starks village are under construction.								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>	Yes	No	List species:			
Fish present in stream	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wedded to 5 salmon			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	trib to Sandy R. Salmon habitat			
Invasive fish species?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
# Fish Species Present	2+		Source of Fish Species Info	IFW, DMR		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	barrier & protected			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)			
	3 mi Rte 43 culvert?		open to Lemou/Sandy			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No		
Contributes to species restoration goals?	YES BKT, salmon					
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	12-14	Type of crossing proposed	17.5' inside span 26' concrete bridge/structure			
	Yes	No	Notes			
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	500 yr. design + 20%			
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife	DUPLEX PASSAGE					
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	75,000			Total Project Cost:	152,164	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	77,000			51%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	3-4,000/yr gravel
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	all associated maintenance					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	USFWS		11,500	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahl/Necker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	3	10	15	20	25+	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	56			Structure Condition			poor to serious DOL		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	failure primary concern		
Studies performed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	proposed, sizing		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	prevent failure		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	60							
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	0.6							
Evaluator's Notes	this road keep local traffic off Rte 1 especially in busy summer season.								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Stef Ineeta*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>alewife 5 mi / 35 ponds wounded for salmon</i>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>wounded salmon</i>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>large alewife population</i>		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	<i>2+</i>	Source of Fish Species Info		<i>town, DMR</i>		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	<i>no</i>					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<i>NO</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles) <i>?</i>			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier <i>?</i>	<i>into salmon veedout + alewife ponds</i>			<i>none - to seaward</i>		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			<i>YES - salmon</i>			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed		<i>concrete box - open bottom</i>			
	Yes	No	Notes			
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>size T.B.D.</i>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>T.B.D., YES</i>			
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	<i>alewife and salmon habitat benefit</i>					

*\* check location*

*64 mi*

*YES - salmon*

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	313,800	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	218,800			70%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	>2005 \$45,000 reports
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	31k+/yr <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		20,500 e.ug. + surveying	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	upon grant			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
prelim. design			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Full plans			Engineering support?	No		
micro engineering			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						
expensive project but high watch -						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	47			Structure Condition	serious rot 3 pavement, not thru.				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	adjacent lands/under road		
Documentation of Flooding?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency	School	Public Works					
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5		5					
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home	Seasonal Home	Business					
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<del>47</del>	1						
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	77	10	1 150 employees					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5	5	5					
Evaluator's Notes	<p>close to overtopps          large egg farm - 15 tractor trailers daily          primary access for 150 employees</p>								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Hahnacker*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	not confirmed presence		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1 +	Source of Fish Species Info		town		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	no					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	barrier at high flow			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		3.1 Upstream (miles)		0.6 Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		5.1		12.6 mi total - 0.2 mi sat		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	20	Type of crossing proposed		23' 10" aluminum box		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Notes		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	60275 to U.S. cold water fish, 6.25 mi to highly BKT - BKT habitat					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	190,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	95000			50		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	avoided \$950
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	<del>None</del> \$7500 pavement					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		13,000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	ACCEPT <input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RENEW	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b> <i>undersized - high water in spring</i>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	32			Structure Condition			<i>scour/erosion/seams failure DOT insp.</i>			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					<i>appears undersized for spring freshet</i>					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>less erosion</i>		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					<i>narrow, no gravel banks, rocks in stream</i>					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>less erosion no cars in brook</i>		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.4						2.4		
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	12			2					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.4			2.4					
Evaluator's Notes	<i>Five water access south of culvert for homes on Augusta Rd. (hydraul/sweep?)</i>									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Neenan  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wild BKT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	updelved salmon eel		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	washed salmon		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wild BKT var. yr. classes		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	6+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	yes wes Ashe					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	4.8 mi.		11 mi. total			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		w/inst h2		Yes	No	
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				BKT wild		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW w/ BKT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	21.4	Type of crossing proposed		cover box each plate		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		rip-rap on passage on banks				
Evaluator's Notes	good wild brook trout because - multiple yr. classes nearly 5 mi. open to next barrier					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlnecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	195,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	100,000			51% of 195,000		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	lack of gas valve, alignment dashes into stream				sedimentation D.S.	
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	partial - 18,000		18,000	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
2x yr	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)				1	2	3	10	15	20	25+
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	35			Structure Condition			Sink holes, 0-5 ft deep end of life span			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2x spilling/fall yr.		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2x annually new S/F		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					debris blockage of undersized culverts					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 EMS/Fire						5		
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	52						1		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5						5		
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)  
 DATE: 6/15/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahl/Neuman  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BET		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wabelebed salmon		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wabelebed salmon		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BET		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	YES IFW					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
1.4			813 mi. S. Paris Dam			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		Yes	No	
wild BKT		IFW or DMR		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?		YES				
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW wild BKT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	9-10	Type of crossing proposed		concrete box open bottom		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	12.5'		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		special passage				
Evaluator's Notes	bad habitat blocks					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)

DATE: 6/15/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	75,000			Total Project Cost:	91,362	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	16,362			18%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$5,000/yr includes embankments
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		2500.00	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	USFWS		\$5,000 USFWS + 10,000 for plans/bidders	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACORF pending	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> low	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	inexpensive for outcome, BRT habitat X Systems \$\$\$ w/ nearby Ellingwood Rd crossing of woody Dk + woody Dk toib					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlweber

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2	3	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	35 yrs			Structure Condition			poor rusting out steel holes			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stream Stats USGS temp, HY8		
Other factors					with more erosion					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	less erosion		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3 in total roads			→					
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business				
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	52		1		B				
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5		5						
Evaluator's Notes										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BWT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	wild BWT unadmitted salmon		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		Yes - Dellemin				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	6.56 mi	8.3 mi. S Paris Dam				
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?		yes BWT				
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	60'	Type of crossing proposed		concrete box culvert/no. open bottom		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.5		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		improve passage				
Evaluator's Notes	2 wo. for barrier to passage. synergy w/ woody bk. crossing in drainage same					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahinecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	70,000			Total Project Cost:	86,562	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	16,562					
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$5,000/10yrs
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$50k eventual steel column replacement → N/A					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		2500 - USFWS	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	partial USFWS		10,000 engineering + 2500 USFWS = 12,500	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACORE	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	* synergy w/ woody Blk crossing to open more habitat for woody Blk. drainage + economy of scale re. mobilization?					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlwecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		bad piping, sinks, erosion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	20+ yrs				Structure Condition	mostly ok, piping, sink holes, erosion				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					erosion					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	yes, 100 yr. w/tech studies		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	Five, untraveled			P.O.			1		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	7								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	31			17					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	7			7					
Evaluator's Notes	rough shape (soil, erosion)									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlwecker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BCT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	non-natives in lake		
# Fish Species Present	1+	Source of Fish Species Info		town, TNC		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	IFW - no info TNC - medium priority					
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6 mo / yr			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)			
	1.7 mi		1.73 to Cheryl outlet			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas	brook trout habitat			Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TNC	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	10'	Type of crossing proposed		concrete culvert open bottom		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	Notes		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget					
Total Amount Requested:	75,000			Total Project Cost:	125,000
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match	
	50,000 still 25,000 total			44%	
AVOIDED COSTS					
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	6-8 times year, debris removal annual gravel fill				
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN					
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		8700 engt soils
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Design		
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACORE		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?	Yes		No		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	75 vs 20 yr. structure life 2019 construction				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)		1	2	5	10	15	20	25+	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	15-20		Structure Condition			overlapping structure opening revision			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	just BF width	
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wilson h watershed survey	
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 EMS-five							
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	54							
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5							
Evaluator's Notes	reduce sedimentation								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlaecker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT?		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	salmon below site & lake		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BKT, L.S., LKT, smelt, haddock		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	L. alewife?		
# Fish Species Present	<i>10+</i>	Source of Fish Species Info		<i>town - M.S.H.V. IFW</i>		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	<i>all species C.W. in lake below site</i>					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		<i>no barriers ? mi.</i>		<i>wilson str/lake to m</i>		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<i>smelt, D.S.</i>		Yes	No	
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				<i>maybe erosion/smelt</i>		
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	<i>12.5</i>	Type of crossing proposed		<i>Coarcted box culv. open bottom</i>		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Notes		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>15.5 opening</i>		
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>toed - in wetland - over town</i>		
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>319 project in progress</i>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	<i>2016 watershed survey - erosion @ site - 10' deep 12 acres upstream wetland</i>					

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposed review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

*6 sedimentation in smelt habitat & C.W. habitat D.S. in Wilson L.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlnacker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	79,985			Total Project Cost:	108,085	
LOCAL FUNDING <i>14,000 319 grant</i>	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	28,100			26%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	<del>to be evaluated</del>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	eventual failure traffic reworkment costs?					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN <i>likely</i>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs per			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	partial-started cost			\$6425
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dirig?		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)

DATE: 3/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahwacker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3-5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	50 yrs.		Structure Condition			<i>peppercorn damaged culverts u/s</i>			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>unknown</i>	
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>						<i>loss of access to reservoir / AD</i>		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20							
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5							
Evaluator's Notes									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stuhlmecker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	unknown		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	? possible (smelt?)		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW) B.W.H. BKT?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	3	1+	Source of Fish Species Info		none, just B.W.H.	
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	no weak effort on fisheries					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	B.W.H.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
?			2 unknown (6.6mi)	to Smelt Bk York River		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals? Beginning w/ Habitat BKT, salmon?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	7'	Type of crossing proposed		concrete box		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes	w/ Jacob Amel mentioned - no fisheries info, main part of book, another project proposed on a town					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	136,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	41,000			30%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	no failures
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	prelim sketch		15,000	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		no ACORE	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	3 eventually		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: *Steph Inceker*

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b> <i>2006 overflow / erosion</i>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3-5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age					Structure Condition	<i>pevoked erosion few downed</i>			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>once</i>	
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>20</i>							
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>5</i>							
Evaluator's Notes	<i>access to reservoir for P.D.</i>								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: *Stahlacker*  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>unknown</i>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>? swelt downstream</i>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	<i>1+</i>	Source of Fish Species Info		<i>?</i>		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	<i>no</i>	<i>Jacob Aron - w/ DMR</i>				
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier						
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width	<i>7'</i>	Type of crossing proposed		<i>Concrete box-culvert flow</i>		
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>proposed</i>		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		<i>raped passage</i>				
Evaluator's Notes	<i>on trib. to back on other project in York</i>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: Stahlacker ✓  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	178,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	83,000			47		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		15,000	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Prelim Ransom Con			
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	eventually?		<input type="checkbox"/>
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

ACTON (2019R1-01)  
#1

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)  
 DATE: 6/12/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: W. P. [Signature]  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age					Structure Condition						
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?			
Other factors					NO CURRENT SIDEWALKS						
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IS CAPACITY INCREASED?			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	4.3			→			→			
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	237 AC DRAINAGE, CRACK ACROSS PAVEMENT										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brown Trout / Bass		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		UNKNOWN AGENCY		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		UNKNOWN				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
1.22			0.92			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			NO BARRIERS IDENTIFIED		Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			UNKNOWN		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	---		
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		---				
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Acton (2019R1-01)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDUC, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	87,500			Total Project Cost:	175,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	87,500			50%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	N/A					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<p>DOES NOT MEET 1.2, 100YR, STREAM SMART. . .            ANY OR ALL STANDARDS FOR CULTURE TREATMENT</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

AUBURN (2019R1-02)  
#2

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDILL

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	UN KNOWN				Structure Condition						
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UN KNOWN			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UN KNOWN			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	LAKE AUBURN HAS HAD PAST PROJECTS.			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	-2 + (one)?									
Number Detoured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	MUNICIPAL PARK? LOCATION UNKNOWN.										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BROOK TROUT		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1	Source of Fish Species Info		?		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		YES				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		0.5		2		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level: as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	?		
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	STREAM STAYS / HYDROCAD		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-02)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	147,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	52000			35.3%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	SENT
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	2500 IN MAINT.					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		39,500	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						
SOAT OF ... NO DECISION PLANS						

27% OFF TOTAL COST MATCH  
75% OFF MATCH  
2020  
MAY CONTAIN

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

AUBURN (2019R1-03)  
#3

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)  
DATE: 6/13/19  
EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVID A.  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age						Structure Condition					
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>						Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works				
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year-round Home			Seasonal Home		Business				
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	2									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	L.O									
Evaluator's Notes	EXIST 48" CORRUGATED PROPOSED 8'x6' BOX CONCRETE 2.5' DEPTH										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADORR, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	? vgs?		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	? 1500		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		0.7		0.7		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed		Notes		
6 ft				concrete		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	—		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	HYDRO AND STATS		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Auburn (2019R1-03)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WARDOLL, DAVID P.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	160,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	65000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	1000/10yr R.R.P.A.P.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	NONE					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		50,000	31% OF TOTAL 77% OF MATCH
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	<p align="center">MAY/COULD WINDOW CONSTRUCTION IN 2020</p>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

#4

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	PLASTIC 9 yrs			Structure Condition			PANELLED		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>									
High Risk for Flooding	Yes	No	Unknown	Notes					
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
Documentation of Flooding?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Other factors	THE CURRENT CROSSING HAS NOT FLOODED								
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Studies performed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Other factors	MARKETS STREAM SWIFT?								
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency	School	Public Works					
Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home	Seasonal Home	Business					
Number Cutoff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	14	10						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.8 / 6.4	3.8 / 6.4	4					
Evaluator's Notes									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)

DATE: 6/19/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	4+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		YES				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	11571		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			N/A		N/A	
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UNKNOWN.		
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		1				
Evaluator's Notes		SILVER GRANTS AND H/DAD CAD.				

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Bristol (2019R1-04)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	79,250			Total Project Cost:	(109,250) (170,000)	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	35,750 350,000			32.5%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	PAPERWORK 2010 W/3 PLASTIC
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		35,000 31% TOTAL 100% PER	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/> (YES)		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						
DESIGN PLAN NOT PROVIDED BUT DONE (CONCEPTUAL)						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#5

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADSWORTH

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age					Structure Condition						
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	STREAM STAYS			
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	1						3			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5						5.5			
Evaluator's Notes	LOSS OF DAY HYDRANT										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	3 +	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		2.5 miles		1.13 miles		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. IMPLIES UNKNOWN.		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903080

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-05)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	65000			Total Project Cost:	78000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	5000			7%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	5000/10 Yr
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		5000	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			8% TOTAL MATCH W/ TOTAL	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						
NO PLANS PRESENTED						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#6

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WOODRILL

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	37 yrs				Structure Condition			POOR			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	14									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	SIS						3.5			
Evaluator's Notes											

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)

DATE: 6/19/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	DOWN ST WEAH		
# Fish Species Present	3+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	1.3	2.1 +				
		Yes	No			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ASK OWNER		
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UNKNOWN		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"		
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	STH.A.W. STAYS		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cambridge (2019R1-06)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAN WA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	65000			Total Project Cost:	70,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	5000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	5000/10YR PERIOD
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		6000	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			8.5% TOTAL OVER MATCH	
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

# 7

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-07)  
 DATE: 6/14/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1		2		5		10		15	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age					Structure Condition					
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	NOT SHOWN.		
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					NICE WORK OF STUDY - FULL STREAM SWAMP					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	39								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5						10		
Evaluator's Notes										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-07)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVIDA

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	—	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	5 +	Source of Fish Species Info	DMR IFW OBSERVATION			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input type="checkbox"/>			
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			1.95		3.67	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-07)

DATE: 6/14/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	162 098	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	67 0 98			41 % (ONLY 6% TOWN)		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	120,000
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	A LOSS OF FISHING					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			13 000
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#8

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)  
DATE: 6/17/19  
EVALUATOR NAME: WADSWORTH  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3	5	10	15	20	25+	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	25 YRS			Structure Condition			RUSTY / ROTTEN		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors				UNPRISIZED FOR SCOUR AND 25YR + STORMS					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20					27-30		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.2					3.2		
Evaluator's Notes	MULTIPLE OTHER IMPACTS								

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDEN, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g: Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	1+	Source of Fish Species Info	F+W			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	YES					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			3	1		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903080

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Charleston (2019R1-08)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDEN, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	80000			Total Project Cost:	105,698	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	25,698			24% LOCAL MATCH - 10.1%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	NONE NOTED
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	REPLACEMENT W/ INFRASTRUCTURE CROSSING.					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		\$ 13000	(12%) MORE THAN LOCAL MATCH
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

# 9

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)  
DATE: 6/17/19  
EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	50 yrs old				Structure Condition	POOR BOY CULTURAT				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	STREAM STATS		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	1								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Evaluator's Notes	LOWED RESPONSE TO IDENTIFIABILITY.									

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDLE, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	3+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(YRS?)		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		0.63		(?) 0.54		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	HYPERCAD AND STREAM STAS		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Cherryfield (2019R1-09)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	89,140			Total Project Cost:	107,220	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	18,080 <sup>town</sup>			16.8%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	7000/10yr
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		3000 (?) CALL P PARLIAM	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#10

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)

DATE: 6/17

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDKLL

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	56 yrs				Structure Condition	poor / RUSTING				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	LOCAL AWDKCPOTES		
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	?		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	2						1		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	?						?		
Evaluator's Notes										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVIDA.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	NOT CONFIRMED		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		UNKNOWN		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		LAST TO HEADWATER		1.5		
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists:	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UNKNOWN		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife					X	
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Clinton (2019R1-10)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDILL, DARRA.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	45000			Total Project Cost:	60000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	15000			25%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	10000? UNKNOWN.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	CLEANING AND FILLING HOLES, DUCK SETTLEMENTS					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			?
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			?
	<input type="checkbox"/> ?		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#11

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Dedham (Lucerne in Maine Village Corp.) (2019R1-11)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADOKILL  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	12 yrs			Structure Condition			DAMAGED			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	30			40					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	7			7					
Evaluator's Notes										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dedham (Lucerne In Maine Village Corp.) (2019R1-11)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: MADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info		USFWS		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ?		
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		1.75		PHILLIPS		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dedham (Lucerne in Maine Village Corp.) (2019R1-11)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	9,5000			Total Project Cost:	150,000 / 180,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	35,000 / 50,000			? ? ?		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	6000/10yr
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		? ? ?	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#12

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	20+(40)				Structure Condition			POOR.			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	11			21						
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes											

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ANRCPOTAL		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	3+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		0.6		0.2		
		Yes	No			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UNKNOWN		
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"		
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"		
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	"		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife		"				
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Denmark (2019R1-12)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVIDA,

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	118,750	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	23,750			25%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	RAPID RMP, 2 TIMES IN 20 YRS					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			?
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	DESIGN NOT FINISHED					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#13

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	5.4 yrs.				Structure Condition	BAD SHAPE / POOR				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>										
	Yes	No	Unknown	Notes						
High Risk for Flooding	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Documentation of Flooding?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Studies performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency	School	Public Works						
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home	Seasonal Home	Business						
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	10								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 mi								
Evaluator's Notes										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	-		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	-		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	NO					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	0.55	0.05				
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed					
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UNKNOWN (27.9) (13)?		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Dexter (2019R1-13)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDUK DAYAL

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	131000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	36,000			27.4 %		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	10000/10yr
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$52000 * QUALIFIED.					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		?	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

# 14

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)  
DATE: 6/17/19  
EVALUATOR NAME: WADDILL, DAVID A.  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	32+				Structure Condition	BETTER RUSTY BOLT				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2010		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>				?			?		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.5 to 3.1			.			.		
Evaluator's Notes										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info		DMR, IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			2.7	1.9		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-14)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95,000			Total Project Cost:	276,677	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	181,677			65%		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	20000 / 10Y
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	N/A					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		32,975 11%	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes						

NO MATCH TO JOT  
ANY LOCAL SPENT

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#15

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-15)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WAPPELL  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	40 years				Structure Condition	ROBUST OUT				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	?			?					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.2			3.2					
Evaluator's Notes										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-15)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDLE, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		—		4 Down River		
		Yes	No			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SS HYDRO		
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Farmington (2019R1-15)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	425565	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	330565			NOT AVAILABLE		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	20000/18yr
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		31975	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#16

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)  
DATE: 6/17/19  
EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	40 yrs				Structure Condition			HOLES IN SURFACE			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	35			30						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	15			15						
Evaluator's Notes											

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAYIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	3+		Source of Fish Species Info			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			Yes	No		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	WITH RRD SIGN		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1		
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A		
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	B		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	11		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fayette (2019R1-16)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADSWORTH, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	93750			Total Project Cost:	125000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	31250			<del>125000</del> 25%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	45000 / 95% K5
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	\$0 X					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			21500
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#17

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)  
DATE: 6/17/19  
EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	6d(?)		Structure Condition			ROTTING OUT			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	USGS + NRCS	
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>						1		
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Evaluator's Notes	OTHERS CUTOFF AIRPORT, FORTS SERVICE TRAIL BRAD								

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WATSON, PAXI D A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		1+	Source of Fish Species Info			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?			Yes			
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			THE WATLAND	THE FISH RIVER		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PARTLY		
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PARTLY		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent (2019R1-17)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAYIDA.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	14,800			Total Project Cost:	1800	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	4000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	3000/10
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		700	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#18

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)  
DATE: 6/17/19  
EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	11 yrs				Structure Condition			?			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	HYDROCAD			
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	319 URBAN IMPAIRED			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	○									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	—									
Evaluator's Notes											

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**

**RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS**

**BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)**

**DATE: 6/17/19**

**EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVID A.**

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection**

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier						
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			DOWNSTREAM		0.71	
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Freeport (2019R1-18)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	315000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	220000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	25000/10
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	TRAFFIC / SURD.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			20000
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#19

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MADRICK  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	50 YRS			Structure Condition					
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors				WITHIN 300YR FLOOD PLAN					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	STUDY IN STATE		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
<b>Public Service Impact</b>	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
<b>Other Impacts</b>	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	4			1				
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	4			1				
Evaluator's Notes	3 OTHER LOTS								

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		0.7		2.7		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	500yr		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-19)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDY, DAVIDA

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	165000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	70000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	w/initials
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	N/A					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			15000
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#20

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-20)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: WADPRIL

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	50 yrs.				Structure Condition					
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	1						1		
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Evaluator's Notes	1									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Fryeburg (2019R1-20)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: XADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		0.4		2.31		
		Yes	No			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	500 YR.		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Frvebura (2019R1-20)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	171000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	76000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	MINUTE
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	CLOSURE OF ROAD.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

# 21

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)  
 DATE: 6/18  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	40YR				Structure Condition	POSTED				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BX IN 25YRS		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	36						2		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3						3		
Evaluator's Notes										

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

15 #

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)  
 DATE: 6/18/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species	
Fish present in stream			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	JNKPDWJ	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
1.25			1.25		> 1	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
<input type="checkbox"/>					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	JNKPDWJ	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S	
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Gorham (2019R1-21)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDLELL, DAYIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	975000			Total Project Cost:	198720	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	103720					
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	CONS/PER/AP/CE
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	N/A					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		14700	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#22

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)

DATE: 6/19

EVALUATOR NAME: W. K. O'Donnell

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	50 YRS			Structure Condition			CRBS HAD.			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	OVER 11 TOPPED 2010		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					DR FLOW K/D					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Evaluator's Notes	CHEMICAL PLANT W/ STORED HAZARDOUS									

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

55#

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)  
 DATE: 6/18/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVIDA.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE				Yes	No	List species:
Fish present in stream				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Invasive fish species?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier <input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Upstream (miles)				Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier				15	75	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	align="center"> <input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	align="center"> <input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
				Yes	No	Notes
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Embedded?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Natural bottom?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Performance measures identified?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Watershed Studies				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903080

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Houlton (2019R1-22)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WHODEN, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	792,854	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount:			Percent Match		
	687,854					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	36000/10710
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade:	3					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)  
 DATE: 6/18/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: VIADRU  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	25yr				Structure Condition			RUSTING THROUGH			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	7			2			1			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1			1			1			
Evaluator's Notes											

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

25 11

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)  
 DATE: 6/21/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WARDEN, DAVIDA  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	5+	Source of Fish Species Info		IFW		
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	support					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		0.2 / 0.15		0.269		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Marshfield (2019R1-23)

DATE: 6/19/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	35 300			Total Project Cost:	70 600	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	35 300					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	5500/10
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		180000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

# 24

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)  
 DATE: 6/18  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	40 yrs			Structure Condition			ROAD SOFTENING		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	STANHAM STATS		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	OLD 319 PART		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20			20				
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1			4				
Evaluator's Notes									

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

4571

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)  
 DATE: 6/19/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDUK, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	6+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			0.7		0.7	
					Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Monmouth (2019R1-24)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	98000			Total Project Cost:	395000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	22000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	None
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	LOSS OF FINDER TO LAKE					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		19000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-25)

DATE: 6/18

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2-3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	?		Structure Condition			RUSTED				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3x 20 yrs		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors					N/A & ASSES					
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	100+					4			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.7					3.7			
Evaluator's Notes										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-25)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit					
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A-S Lumps	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?					
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY					
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
		0.9	1.65		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY					
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed				
	Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife					
Evaluator's Notes					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Palmyra (2019R1-26)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADSWORTH DAVIDA

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	150000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	55000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	750/yr
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	N/A					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		10000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#26

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)  
DATE: 6/17/19  
EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	How many Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2-3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	?			Structure Condition			RUSTED			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMALL FRT		
Other factors					100YR - 379 CF5					
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	30			12			4		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5 - 12.25			5.5 - 12.75			12.25		
Evaluator's Notes										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVID P.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	17		Source of Fish Species Info			
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input type="checkbox"/>			
			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			12.83		20.8	
			Yes	No		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?			SANDY RIVER			
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.3		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Phillips (2019R1-26)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDEN, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	133791	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	38791					
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	30000/10yr
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	No other					
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		10000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	SUPPORT					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#27

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)  
 DATE: 6/18/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDULL, DAVIDA  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	54			Structure Condition			ALL ABOUT B+S.		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SOME		
Studies performed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	STATS/STATS		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	66							
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.25							
Evaluator's Notes	MDOT INSPECTION OWNER, 2016								

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE				Yes	No	List species:
Fish present in stream				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Invasive fish species?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?		M D D T O W L Y				
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer				Agency or Agencies		
Identified as Barrier				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier				Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)	
				/	0	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists:	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	align="center"> <input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	align="center"> <input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
				Yes	No	Notes
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Embedded?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Natural bottom?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Performance measures identified?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
100-yr flood criteria Will be met				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Watershed Studies				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Pownal (2019R1-27)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	139880.	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	44880					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	5000
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	BLOCK ASK CLEARANCE.					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				
Evaluator's Notes	POST CONSTRUCTION					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)

DATE: 6/19/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDRELL, DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	15+		Structure Condition			SINKHOLE/RUST			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	262 CFS / 100 YR.	
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMAAA-SMAAS TR 20	
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	107							
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.2							
Evaluator's Notes									

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

8.11

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)  
 DATE: 6/18/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVIDA  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	24	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>					
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier	0.8	- N/A				
		Yes	No			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed					
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-28)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WOODBURY, DAVIDA

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	212,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	117000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	K
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	N/A					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#29

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)  
 DATE: 6/18/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: W. Appleby, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	20-30				Structure Condition			POUGHOUT			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PASS SMC			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year-round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	107									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	97									
Evaluator's Notes											

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)  
 DATE: 6/18/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903080

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Rangeley (2019R1-29)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WOODRUM, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	226000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	131000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	#0
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	N/A					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		12700	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes	Some WHAT H16A					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)

DATE: 6/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDEU

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	50+				Structure Condition						
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	OVERSTOOD ROAD			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	8						1			
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes											

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)  
 DATE: 6/13/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, PAUL A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		1.25		UNR Down		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Skowhegan (2019R1-30)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WOODKILL, DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	198720	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	103720			(52%)		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	#0
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	← #0 →					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			14,720
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	A & B 120					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#31

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)  
DATE: 6/18/14  
EVALUATOR NAME: WADDILL, DAVID A.  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	3	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	30-40?		Structure Condition			ROTTED OUT.				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PASSIVE BOOYAL		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20			15			3		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	10			10			10		
Evaluator's Notes	107 H.P.M.L.									

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)  
 DATE: 6/18/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADSWORTH, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		3		2.0 miles		
				Yes	No	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists:	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Starks (2019R1-31)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: HADJIKUL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	75,000			Total Project Cost:	152,000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	77,000			50.6%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	3-2000/YEAR
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	NOY M.				SOME QUESTION	
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			11500
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				
Evaluator's Notes	A. ADD DESIGN <del>ASSED</del>					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#32

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)  
 DATE: 6/19/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WOODRUL, DAVIDA  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	3	5	10	15	20	25+	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	56 yrs			Structure Condition			MDOT - POOR		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Documentation of Flooding?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	66							
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	0.6							
<b>Evaluator's Notes</b>									

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)

DATE: 6/13/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
		UNKNOWN		UNKNOWN		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Assumed		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Assumed		
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Thomaston (2019R1-32)  
 DATE: 6/19/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAYIDA.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	313800	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	218800			69% TOWN \$		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	4000/yr
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	(COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS) OF 4.20					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			20500
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	UNKNOWN ASSUMES YES NO IDRA, NO BENEFIT OF BANK WIDTH TO EVAL					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#33

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)  
DATE: 6/17  
EVALUATOR NAME: WIADOREL  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)		1	2	5	10	15	20	25+	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Structure Age	47 YRS			Structure Condition			MDOT SERIOUS BUT SERVICEABLE		
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BACKS UP BUT NO FLOOD		
Documentation of Flooding?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	77			10		1		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5			5		5		
Evaluator's Notes									

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

EE 14

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)  
 DATE: 6/17/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WARREN L. DAVID J.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	1+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		3.1		0.6		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Turner (2019R1-33)

DATE: 6/17/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	75000			Total Project Cost:	190000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	95000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	1000/HR
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	AVOID LOSS OF PADM. DEPT \$7500					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs			13000
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)

DATE: 6/16

EVALUATOR NAME: V. ADORAL

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)		1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age	32 yrs				Structure Condition	MDOT DATA (available on file)				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UNCOVERED		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	160 YR & 20% TRA (see memo)		
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home		Seasonal Home		Business				
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	12		2						
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 to 2.4		1 to 2.4						
Evaluator's Notes										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

48 #

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)  
 DATE: 6/19/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	6+	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		
		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		4.8		11		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Washington (2019R1-34)

DATE: 6/19/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADSWORTH, PAUL A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	195000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	100000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	10000 / RWA
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		18000	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



#35

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)

DATE: 6/18

EVALUATOR NAME: WADSWORTH

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)					1	2	5	10	15	20	25+
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Age	35 yr			Structure Condition			END OF LIFE(?)				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes				
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1-2 times yr max				
Documentation of Flooding?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	-				
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	.1				
Studies performed?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SS/				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	52						1			
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Evaluator's Notes	3 STAFF RDS.										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

22 \*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)  
 DATE: 6/19/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDUM, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
<b>STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY</b>						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		1.2		8.3		
		Yes	No			
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY</b>						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-35)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDY, DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	75000			Total Project Cost:	91362	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	16362					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	5000/10x2
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	4560					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		15500	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#36

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDRELL

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes		No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	35 YR.				Structure Condition	RUSTED / END OF LIFE				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1-2 times a year		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	STR. STABS / H/8		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	52						1		
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5						5		
Evaluator's Notes										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

02/21/19

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**  
**RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS**  
**BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)**  
**DATE: 6/19/19**  
**EVALUATOR NAME: WARDEN DAVID A.**  
**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection**

Environmental Benefit							
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE		Yes	No	List species:			
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
# Fish Species Present	2+	Source of Fish Species Info					
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?	IF+W						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY							
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies				
Identified as Barrier	X	<input type="checkbox"/>					
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)				
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier							
						Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?							
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals		
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY							
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed						
		Yes	No	Notes			
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife							
Evaluator's Notes							

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: West Paris (2019R1-36)

DATE: 4/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL DAY IP A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	70000			Total Project Cost:	86562	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	16562					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	YRS
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		18800	(16000)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

# 37

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)  
DATE: 6/18/19  
EVALUATOR NAME: WOODMAN, DAVIDA  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	20+		Structure Condition				SHUK HOLE PIPING			
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes		
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No TATES, -> DSRIS		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Studies performed?					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	NOT SPECIFIED		
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	37			17					
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	7			7					
Evaluator's Notes										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)  
 DATE: 6/18/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDUK, PAULDA.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present		Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier <input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)	
			1.7		1.73	
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists:		Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals
IFW		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Whitefield (2019R1-37)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	75000			Total Project Cost:	133700	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	58700			1		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		18,700	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

# 38

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)  
DATE: 6/19/19  
EVALUATOR NAME: W ADDALL, DAVID A.  
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure										
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>										
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	15 to 20 yrs		Structure Condition			PIPING				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>				Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FREQUENT FLOODS			
Documentation of Flooding?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	/			
Other factors										
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>										
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Studies performed?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	STREAM 7/10 FLOODS + SOME CROSSING			
Other factors										
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>										
TMDL?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>										
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	54								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5								
Evaluator's Notes										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE: 6/18/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit							
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:			
Fish present in stream		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
# Fish Species Present	10+	Source of Fish Species Info					
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?							
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY							
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies				
Identified as Barrier		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Upstream (miles)			Downstream (miles)				
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		ND		ND			
						Yes	No
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?							
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals		
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY							
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed					
		Yes	No	Notes			
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife							
Evaluator's Notes							

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: Wilton (2019R1-38)

DATE: 6/12/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDAR, DAVIA

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	79985			Total Project Cost:	108085	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	28100			-		
AVOIDED COSTS						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$0
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade						
PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING & DESIGN						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		1625	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope			Yes	No		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Engineering support?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Evaluator's Notes						
ENGINEERING LIKELY						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#39

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)  
 DATE: 6/18  
 EVALUATOR NAME: MADDELL  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure											
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>											
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)		1	2	5	10	15	20	25+			
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Structure Age	50 YRS				Structure Condition	DATA FOR THE INDUSTRY					
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes			
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	OVERLOOKED BUT FRACTION			
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BACKUPS			
Other factors											
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>											
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOT MUCH.			
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other factors											
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>											
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>											
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School			Public Works			
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home			Business			
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20									
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5									
Evaluator's Notes	SUBSTANTIAL DATA										

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

PS 11

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060  
 RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS  
 BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)  
 DATE: 6/18/19  
 EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, DAVID A.  
 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Environmental Benefit						
STATED BENEFIT TO FISH & WILDLIFE			Yes	No	List species:	
Fish present in stream			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Invasive fish species?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
# Fish Species Present	11	Source of Fish Species Info				
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier			Upstream (miles)	Downstream (miles)		
			1.25	VIA DOWN		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas					Yes	No
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width		Type of crossing proposed				
			Yes	No	Notes	
Crossing width ≥ 1.2 stream width			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Assumed	
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Embedded?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Natural bottom?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Performance measures identified?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
100-yr flood criteria Will be met			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Assumed	
Watershed Studies			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-39)

DATE: 6/19/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDALL, PAYIDA

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	136000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	41,000					
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	\$0
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	NO COST ASSESSED					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		15000	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Yes		No			
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Engineering support?	Yes		No			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

#40

STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)

DATE:

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Public Infrastructure									
<b>RISK OF FAILURE</b>									
Failure Frequency	N/A	1-2 yrs	3-4 yrs	>5 yrs	Failure Photos	N/A	Yes	No	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated Time to Complete Failure (yrs)	1	2	5	10	15	20	25+		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Structure Age					Structure Condition				
<b>FLOOD RISK</b>					Yes	No	Unknown	Notes	
High Risk for Flooding					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Documentation of Flooding?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY/SEVERITY OF FLOODING</b>									
Expected reduction in flooding frequency or severity					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Studies performed?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other factors									
<b>CONTRIBUTES TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>									
TMDL?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other related stormwater improvements aside from increased capacity of crossing?					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>SAFETY &amp; IMPACT TO COMMUNITY</b>									
Public Service Impact	N/A	Emergency			School		Public Works		
Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other Impacts	N/A	Year round Home			Seasonal Home		Business		
Number Cutoff	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Number Detoured	<input type="checkbox"/>	20							
Detour (miles)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5							
Evaluator's Notes	LOW DETOURS								

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

08/14

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFP #: 201903060**  
**RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS**  
**BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)**  
**DATE: 6/19/19**  
**EVALUATOR NAME: WADDELL, DAVID A.**  
**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection**

Environmental Benefit						
<b>STATED BENEFIT TO FISH &amp; WILDLIFE</b>		Yes	No	List species:		
Fish present in stream		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of anadromous species, alewife, Atlantic Salmon, or wild brook trout		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Presence of state or federal Threatened or Endangered species (aquatic or terrestrial)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (IFW)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Adjacent to other significant resources (e.g. Significant Wildlife Habitat, significant fisheries)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Invasive fish species?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
# Fish Species Present	Source of Fish Species Info					
Contact with DMR/IFW biologists?						
STATEWIDE PRIORITIZATION FOR AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY						
Make Stream Habitat Viewer			Agency or Agencies			
Identified as Barrier	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Distance to the Next Crossing or barrier		Upstream (miles)		Downstream (miles)		
Priority habitat identified such as spawning areas		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Determined to be an important barrier or watershed for restoration goals by IFW or DMR		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Contributes to species restoration goals?						
Priority Level as determined by DMR/IFW Biologists	Top priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low Priority	Detrimental to restoration goals	
IFW	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DESIGN CRITERIA FOR HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND RESILIENCY						
Stream Bank full width	Type of crossing proposed					
		Yes	No	Notes		
Crossing width $\geq$ 1.2 stream width		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Stream substrate in bottom of culvert?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Embedded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
If embedded, is stream bed backfill proposed?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Natural bottom?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Performance measures identified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
100-yr flood criteria Will be met		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Watershed Studies		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Additional design criteria that benefit fish & wildlife						
Evaluator's Notes						

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

BIDDER NAME: York (2019R1-40)

DATE: 6/19/19

EVALUATOR NAME: WADDEN/DAVIDA.

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Cost & Budget						
Total Amount Requested:	95000			Total Project Cost:	178000	
LOCAL FUNDING	Match Amount			Percent Match		
	83000			46.6%		
<b>AVOIDED COSTS</b>						
Annual Maintenance Cost (\$)	N/A	0-\$1,000	\$1,001-2,000	>\$2,000	Maintenance History & Documentation	#0
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other avoided costs associated with upgrade	N/A #0					
<b>PROJECT EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATED BY ENGINEERING &amp; DESIGN</b>						
Engineering Design	Yes	No	Total Engineering Costs		15000	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Schedule & Permits	Pending Application		Yes	No		
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Costs are within reasonable range given project scope	Engineering support?		Yes	No		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Evaluator's Notes	COST ESTIMATE SHOULD BE 155000 (?)					

*Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.*



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF Environmental Protection

Janet T. Mills  
Governor

Jerry Reid  
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

I, (print name at right) KEREM GUNGOR  
accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

[Signature]  
Signature

6/18/2019  
Date



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF Environmental Protection

Janet T. Mills  
Governor

Jerry Reid  
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

I, (print name at right) James F. Stahlnecker  
accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

James F. Stahlnecker  
Signature

6/10/19  
Date



STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF Environmental Protection

Janet T. Mills  
Governor

Jerry Reid  
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
RFP #: 201903060

RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

I, (print name at right) John MacLaine  
accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

John MacLaine  
Signature

6/10/19  
Date





STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF Environmental Protection

Janet T. Mills  
Governor

Jerry Reid  
Commissioner

**AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

**RFP #: 201903060**

**RFP TITLE: 2019 GRANTS FOR STREAM CROSSING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS**

I, (print name at right) DAVID A. WADDLE  
accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

**I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.**

David A. Waddle  
Signature

6/7/19  
Date