	RFP# 202106082				
202	1 Grants f	for Stream Crossing	Infrastructure Improv	rements	
Bio	lder Name:	2021R-01: Alna, Egypt Road	2021R-02: Bangor, Essex Street	2021R-03: Biddeford, Landry Street	2021R-04: Bradford, Lagrange Road
Prop	osed Cost:	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Applicability	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14	13	12	Not scored
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	45	20	23	Not scored
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17	11	12	Not scored
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>47</u>	0
Bio	lder Name:	2021R-05: Bridgton, Wildwood Road	2021R-06: Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road	2021R-07: Brownfield, Phen Road	2021R-08: Buckfield, Bear Pond Road
Prop	osed Cost:	\$125,000	\$100,000	\$125,000	\$120,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Applicability	Pass/Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16	Not scored	12	18
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	23	Not scored	43	39
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	10	Not scored	18	11
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>49</u>	0	<u>73</u>	<u>68</u>

RFP# 202106082					
202	21 Grants	for Stream Crossing	Infrastructure Improv	rements	
Bio	lder Name:	2021R-09: Burnham, Pond Road	2021R-10: Chapman, West Chapman Road	2021R-11: China, Ingraham Road	2021R-12: Clinton, True Road
Prop	osed Cost:	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Applicability	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12	16	14	15
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	25	25	25	37
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	15	15	10	17
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>69</u>
Bic	lder Name:	2021R-13: Cornville, Molunkus Road	2021R-14: Deer Isle, Sunshine Road	2021R-15: Dixmont, South Road	2021R-16: Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road
Prop	osed Cost:	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Applicability	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14	17	12	10
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	28	38	43	24
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17	17	12	16
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>50</u>

	RFP# 202106082				
202	1 Grants f	or Stream Crossing	Infrastructure Improv	rements	
Bid	lder Name:	2021R-17: Enfield, Mohawk Rd South	2021R-18: Enfield, Mohawk Rd North	2021R-19: Garland, Campbell Road	2021R-20: Garland, Oliver Hill Road
Prop	osed Cost:	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Applicability	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12	15	12	12
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	32	30	35	34
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11	11	11	14
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>60</u>
Bid	lder Name:	2021R-21: Gorham, Westcott Road	2021R-22: Hartland, Beans Corner Road II	2021R-23: Levant, Horseback Road	2021R-24: Lewiston, Old Webster Road
Prop	osed Cost:	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Applicability	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12	14	9	9
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	31	28	24	31
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	15	12	13	16
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>56</u>

	RFP# 202106082				
202	21 Grants f	for Stream Crossing	Infrastructure Improv	rements	
Bio	lder Name:	2021R-26: Norridgewock, Red Barn Road	2021R-27: Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road	2021R-28: North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road	
Prop	osed Cost:	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Applicability	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	19	10	14	16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	40	25	28	38
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	15	12	12	17
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>71</u>
Bio	lder Name:	2021R-29: Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road	2021R-30: Peru, Dickvale Road	2021R-31: Phillips, East Madrid Road	2021R-32: Pittston, Blodgett Road
Prop	osed Cost:	\$125,000	\$48,000	\$125,000	\$125,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Applicability	Pass/Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	Not scored	Not scored	16	16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	Not scored	Not scored	47	26
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	Not scored	Not scored	11	11
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	0	0	<u>74</u>	<u>53</u>

RFP# 202106082					
202	1 Grants 1	for Stream Crossing	Infrastructure Improv	rements	
Bid	lder Name:	2021R-33: Pittston, Pinkham Road	2021R-34: Richmond, Beedle Road (West)	2021R-35: Richmond, Beedle Road (East)	2021R-36: Rockport, West Street Extension
Prop	osed Cost:	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Applicability	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	21	20	18	16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	32	30	31	22
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	16	18	14	17
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>55</u>
Bid	lder Name:	2021R-37: Rockport, Robinson Drive	2021R-38: Rumford, Prospect Avenue	2021R-39: Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road	2021R-40: Standish, Moody Road
Prop	osed Cost:	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Applicability	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	23	10	17	14
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	23	27	31	32
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	19	16	16	11
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>57</u>

# **State of Maine**

# **Master Score Sheet**

	RFP# 202106082				
202	1 Grants f	or Stream Crossing	Infrastructure Improv	rements	
Bidder Name: 2021R-41: Starks, Locke Hill Road Mitchell Brook Road Dix Point Road Parsons Road					
Prop	osed Cost:	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$42,500	\$125,000
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Applicability	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	22	18	16	16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	45	46	29	37
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	14	12	12	17
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>70</u>





March 7, 2022

Charles Culbertson Town of Alna 1574 Alna Rd Alna, ME 04535

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Charles:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Richard May City of Bangor 73 Harlow Street Bangor, ME 04401

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Richard:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Craig Chekan City of Biddeford 205 Main Street Biddeford, ME 04005

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Craig:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Vittoria Stevens Town of Bradford PO Box 26 Bradford, ME 04410

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Torri:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

# STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Nikki Hamlin Town of Bridgton 3 Chase Street, Suite 1 Bridgton, ME 04409

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Nikki:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Dave Riley, Selectman Town of Brooklin PO Box 219 Brooklin, ME 04616

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Dave:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Clifton Cousins, Road Foreman Town of Brownfield 82 Main Street Brownfield, ME 04010

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Clifton:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Lorna Dee Nichols Town of Buckfield P.O. Box 179 Buckfield, ME 04220

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Lorna:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Charlie King Town of Burnham 247 Horseback Rd Burnham, ME

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Charlie:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Sandra Fournier, Town Manager Town of Chapman PO Box 500 Mapleton, ME 04757-0500

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Sandra:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Rebecca Hapgood Town of China 571 Lakeview Dr China, ME 04358

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Rebecca:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Frank Gioffre Town of Clinton 27 Baker Street Clinton, ME 04927

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Frank:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Mel Blaisdell Town of Cornville 509 W Ridge Rd Cornville, ME 04976

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear Mel:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

James H. Fisher, Town Manager Town of Deer Isle PO Box 46 Deer Isle, ME 04627

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

¢425 000 00

## Dear James:

Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
True Road	\$125,000.00
Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
South Road	\$125,000.00
Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Westcott Bood	\$125,000.00
Westcott Rodu	7123,000.00
Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
	Phen Road Bear Pond Road Pond Rd West Chapman Road True Road Molunkus Road Sunshine Road South Road Red Bridge Road Mohawk Rd North Mohawk Rd South Oliver Hill Road

Milo	Medford Road	¢12E 000 00
IVIIIO	ivieutora Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

David Bright Town of Dixmont PO Box 100 Dixmont, ME 04932

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

## Dear David:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Lisa Sekulich, P.E. City of Ellsworth 1 City Hall Plaza Ellsworth, ME 04605

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Lisa:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Charles Frazier Town of Enfield 789 Hammett Road Enfield, ME 04493

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Charles:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

**Dakota Bates-Moody** Town of Garland 108 Corinth Road Garland, ME 04939

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Dakota:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Terry Deering Town of Gorham 80 Huston Road Gorham, ME 04038

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Terry:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Chris Littlefield Town of Hartland PO Box 280 Hartland, ME 04943

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Chris:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Scott Pullen Town of Levant PO Box 220, 691 Town House Road Levant, ME 04456

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Scott:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

John Kuchinski City of Lewiston 27 Pine Street Lewiston, ME 04039

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear John:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Mike Conley Town of Milo 6 Pleasant Street Milo, ME 04463

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Mike:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

# STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Richard LaBelle Town of Norridgewock 16 Perkins Street, PO Box 7 Norridgewock, ME 04957

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Richard:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Clark Batson Town of North Yarmouth 10 Village Square Rd North Yarmouth, ME 04097

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Clark:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Diana Asanza Town of Old Orchard Beach 1 Portland Ave Old Orchard Beach, ME 04064

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Diana:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

**Brad Hutchings** Town of Peru PO Box 429 Peru, ME 04290

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Brad:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Maureen Haley, Town manager Town of Phillips 124 Main Street Phillips, ME 04966

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Maureen:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Mary Jean Ambrose Town of Pittston 38 Whitefield Road Pittston, ME 04345

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Mary:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Laurisa Loon, Town Manager Town of Richmond 26 Gardiner Street Richmond, ME 04357

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Laurisa:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

# STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Jonathan Duke Town of Rockport 101 Main Street Rockport, ME 04856

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Jonathan:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Dale Roberts Town of Rumford 145 Congress Street Rumford, ME 04276

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Dale:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS





March 7, 2022

Don Kinney Town of Skowhegan 225 Water Street Skowhegan, ME 04976

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

# Dear Don:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

### STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION





March 7, 2022

Roger Mosley Town of Standish 175 Northeast Rd, Rte 35 Standish, ME 04084

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

### Dear Roger:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA) #202106082, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 44 proposals and has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00	
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00	
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00	
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00	
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00	
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00	
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00	
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00	
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00	
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00	
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00	
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00	
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00	
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00	

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

### STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION





March 7, 2022

Ernie Hilton Town of Starks 57 Anson Rd Starks, ME 04911

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

#### Dear Ernie:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA) #202106082, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 44 proposals and has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

#### STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION





March 7, 2022

Robert Van Riper Town of Temple PO Box 549 Temple, ME 04984

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

#### Dear Robert:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA) #202106082, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 44 proposals and has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00	
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00	
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00	
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00	
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00	
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00	
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00	
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00	
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00	
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00	
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00	
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00	
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00	
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00	

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

### STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION





March 7, 2022

Jesse Dunbar Town of Tremont PO Box 159 Bernard, ME 04612

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

#### Dear Jesse:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA) #202106082, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 44 proposals and has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00	
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00	
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00	
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00	
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00	
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00	
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00	
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00	
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00	
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00	
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00	
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00	
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00	
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00	

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

#### STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION





March 7, 2022

Dean Lessard Town of York 186 York Street York, ME 03909

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA # 202106082, 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects

#### Dear Dean:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Applications (RFA) #202106082, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection for 2021 Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvement Projects. The Department received 44 proposals and has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Alna	Egypt Road	\$125,000.00
Brownfield	Phen Road	\$125,000.00
Buckfield	Bear Pond Road	\$120,000.00
Burnham	Pond Rd	\$125,000.00
Chapman	West Chapman Road	\$125,000.00
Clinton	True Road	\$125,000.00
Cornville	Molunkus Road	\$125,000.00
Deer Isle	Sunshine Road	\$125,000.00
Dixmont	South Road	\$125,000.00
Ellsworth	Red Bridge Road	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd North	\$125,000.00
Enfield	Mohawk Rd South	\$125,000.00
Garland	Oliver Hill Road	\$125,000.00
Garland	Campbell Road	\$125,000.00
Gorham	Westcott Road	\$125,000.00
Hartland	Beans Corner Road II	\$125,000.00
Lewiston	Old Webster Road	\$125,000.00

Milo	Medford Road	\$125,000.00	
Norridgewock	Winding Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
North Yarmouth	Sweetser Road	\$125,000.00	
Phillips	East Madrid Road	\$125,000.00	
Pittston	Pinkham Road	\$125,000.00	
Pittston	Blodgett Road	\$125,000.00	
Richmond	Beedle Road (West)	\$125,000.00	
Richmond	Beedle Road (East)	\$125,000.00	
Rockport	Robinson Drive	\$125,000.00	
Rockport	West Street Extension	\$125,000.00	
Rumford	Prospect Avenue	\$125,000.00	
Skowhegan	Steward Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
Standish	Moody Road	\$125,000.00	
Starks	Locke Hill Road	\$125,000.00	
Temple	Mitchell Brook Road	\$125,000.00	
Tremont	Dix Point Road	\$42,500.00	
York	Parsons Road	\$125,000.00	

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in enhancing the stream crossings in your community.

Sincerely,

John Maclaine

Innovation and Assistance

Department of Environmental Protection

17 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0017

207-615-3279 (direct)

207-287-2814 (fax)

john.maclaine@maine.gov

#### STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-01 Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	45
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>76</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-01 Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Alna Charles Culbertson

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Randy Butler, Dirigo Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-01 Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Alna

Road name: Egypt Road Stream Name: Ben Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 10'Rx55'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 26 years

Bankfull width and method: 21.3. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 26'Sx16'Hx28'L, Bridge

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** No overtopping, crossing undersized-high velocities. MDOT inspected the downstream headwall and determined it to be deficient and in need of repair.

Change in culvert width: 2.6 not imminent failure. slip lined?

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: every year or two

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 10.7 miles -25 Residences, 1 Business

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:91; homes, 1000-acre Hidden Valley Nature Center

In 2013, and again in 2021, the Town of Alna received a "bad bridge letter" from the MDOT

not a heavily used road, no cut offs long detour

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-01 Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	45

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: Stream Smart Longitudinal profile survey

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 21.3 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes
Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: Stream Smart Longitudinal profile survey

Additional Comments: ledge may be issue but is being addressed with rock weirs, blasting of

ledge, good field work and prep/design, open bottom

\$105k in engineering

Barrier status, source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 3747

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS CH, ATS DPS, 77.4 Units ATS Class 1 & 3 modelled habitat

ATS CH, ATS DPS, 77.4 Units ATS Class 1 & 3 modelled habitat

Water quality improvements: large DS scour pool

Support letters, other notable benefits: High priority habitat area, support from Sean Ledwin

(DMR), Jason Seiders (IFW)

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Surveyed ATS spawning and rearing habitat

immediately downstream

IFW Resource/Habitat Comments: No water when surveyed in 1999.

lots of habitat above, good improvements and good habitats available

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 7.6 miles

ATS CH, ATS DPS, 77.4 Units ATS Class 1 & 3 modelled habitat

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-01 Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

**Fish present, source of info:** sampling data, which indicate the presence of Atlantic salmon, and American eels as well as the following minnow species during 2006 and 1999 surveys: white sucker, black nosed dais, creek chub, and common shiner

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$\$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$570000 Total Match: \$445000

% Match proposed: 78.07%

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: 60000 Construction year: 2022, 6 weeks

Feasibility for success: other funding not yet secured, but good overall project. Higher engineering

costs

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-02 Bangor, Essex Street

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria		<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	13
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	20
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>44</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-02 Bangor, Essex Street

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

City of Bangor Richard May

### Consultant/Agent Info:

n/a, city engineering

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-02 Bangor, Essex Street

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	13

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Town Name:** Bangor **Road name:** Essex Street

Stream Name: Unnamed tributary to Penjajawoc Stream

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 5.5'Wx3.5xHx27'L, OB-concrete, stone

Crossing Age: 65+ years

Bankfull width and method: 6.63. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 10'Sx5'H x 56'L, 4 Sided Concrete Box Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No

Estimated time to failure: 5-10 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: Yes, flooding due to

"snow curb" during melt, incorporates drainage improvements. Dry stack stones failing

Change in culvert width: 1.8x

not imminent failure, flooding due to non-stream impacts

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 6.25 miles, 70 homes, 1 business

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:2934

travel to/from Orono disrupted

flooding damage, photos - narrow roadway, high crash location, fatal accident in 2019

highly travelled road, high speed,

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-02 Bangor, Essex Street

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	20

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: Topo survey, conceptual design

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 6.63 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes, not yet

completed

### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Embedded

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - MDOT Type D Gravel

**New Structure considerations:** Topo survey, conceptual design

Additional Comments: don't know slope or skew, no survey good BFW measurements,

closed bottom structure

\$10,000 in engineering-city staff

Barrier status, source: No Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1094

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATC CH, 21.13 ATS Modelled habitat rearing units

ATC CH, 21.13 ATS Modelled habitat rearing units

Water quality improvements: Penjajawoc Stream is Urban Impaired Stream, improved hydraulic

and habitat connectivity with increased structure size

Support letters, other notable benefits: No contact with fisheries agencies

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Modeled ATS rearing habitat; above Penjajawoc Marsh

IFW Resource/Habitat Comments: No data available

not a barrier no fish, water quality benefit from project

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 0 miles, not a barrier

ATC CH, 21.13 ATS Modelled habitat rearing units

Fish present, source of info: none listed, impaired

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-02 Bangor, Essex Street

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$\$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$250000 Total Match: \$\$125,000

% Match proposed: 50%

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan

Army Corp Permit info: No Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$300 for riprap

Construction year: 2023

Feasibility for success: budget items are vague, but funding from budget

RFP #: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER:** 2021R-03 Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection Name of RFP Coordinator: John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria		<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	23
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12
Total Points	<u>100</u>	<u>47</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-03 Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

City of Biddeford Craig Chekan

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Steve Blake, BH2M Engineers

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-03 Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Biddeford Road name: Landry Street Stream Name: Richardson Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: ~3'Wx2'Hx70'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 25+ years

Bankfull width and method: 4.38. Multiple Field Average

**New Structure size & type:** 6'Sx6'Hx70'L, Open Bottom Concrete Box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No

Estimated time to failure: 3-5 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: No flooding or

damage reported, undersized

Change in culvert width: 2

condition is OK, undersized but no current damage

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 1 Mile; 10 Homes, 14 Businesses, 3 critical infrastructure

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:3136

culvert is located approximately 0.5 miles from the City's public works facility, approximately

1,000 feet from the Regional Airport and approximately 1 mile from the public schools

DOT paving moratorium after work planned in 2 years

high traffic counts, shorter detour,

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-03 Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	23

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: existing conditions and plan view sketch

Bankfull width, method: 4.38

Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes, not yet

completed

### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - Natural Stream Bed

New Structure considerations: existing conditions and plan view sketch

Additional Comments: sizing is high confidence, lack of field work on profile, no info on

\$12000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 10137

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS Modelled Habitat listed, but not present (-1.00)

ATS Modelled Habitat listed, but not present (-1.00)

Water quality improvements: crossing is perched, Thatcher Brook is Urban Impaired Stream

Support letters, other notable benefits: Contacted IFW ER - general recommendations

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

IFW Resource/Habitat Comments: No salmonids; warm

319 water quality, watershed of urban impaired stream

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 8.9 miles

ATS Modelled Habitat listed, but not actually present (-1.00 in MSHV)

Fish present, source of info: none listed, impaired

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-03 Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

**Requested funding:** \$\$125,000 **Total Project Cost:** \$161000

Total Match: \$36000

**% Match proposed**: 22.3%

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch

Army Corp Permit info: No Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$7500 Construction year: 2022, 4 weeks

Feasibility for success: modest costs, reasonable engineering costs, lower match, funding budget

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER:** 2021R-04 Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection Name of RFP Coordinator: John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria		<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	Х	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.		X
Scoring Sections	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	n/a
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	n/a
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	n/a
Total Points	<u>100</u>	<u>n/a</u>

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-04 Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Following discussion by the grant review team, the existing crossing structure was determined to meet the definition of a bridge and does not meet the definition of a culvert in RFA#202106082. Therefore, this proposal is not currently eligible for consideration.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Bradford Vittoria (Torri) Stevens

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Randy Butler, Dirigo Engineering

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Project does not qualify-meets definition of a bridge

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-05 Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria		<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	23
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	10
Total Points	<u>100</u>	<u>49</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-05 Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Bridgton Nikki Hamlin

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Brent Bridges, Woodard & Curran

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-05 Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Bridgton

Road name: Wildwood Road Stream Name: Sucker Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 5'Rx25'L, RCP

Crossing Age: 60 years

Bankfull width and method: 12.17. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 15'Sx5'Hx25'L, 4 Sided Concrete Box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No, indicated following award

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: Flooded 2x in last

10 years, requires regular cleaning. Damage documented

Change in culvert width: 3

condition is OK, but flooding is frequent, mention documentation but not provide

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes?

**Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?:** every few months in summer during heavy rain events

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 8 Homes, 1 business, 1 critical infrastructure

Detours: 0

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic: AADT:241; homes; no alternate route exists, affects Camp Wildwood, Wastewater treatment

plant

cut offs and treatment plant affected

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-05 Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	23

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: None

Bankfull width, method: 12.17

Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Embedded

Banks within structure? No (none shown in plans)

Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: None

Additional Comments: so much we don't know about design, slope, skew, no plans

no plans or sketch included, performance criteria not completed

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8571

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: Wild Brook Trout Habitat

Wild Brook Trout Habitat

Water quality improvements: large DS scour pool, change in stream slope, culvert perched,

impounding water US

Support letters, other notable benefits: information pending from agencies at time of application

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

IFW Resource/Habitat Comments: No salmonids, v. warm

2+ miles opened, stream water qual benefits,

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 2.14 miles

Wild Brook Trout Habitat

Fish present, source of info: Brook trout, per MSHV

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-05 Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	10

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$\$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$231264

Total Match: \$106264

**% Match proposed**: 45.9%

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: None

**Army Corp Permit info:** Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: none reported

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: higher engineering costs, no plans, good cost estimate provided, matching

funds available.

\*Grant request and match do not equal total project costs shown

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-06 Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria		<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.		X
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	n/a
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	n/a
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	n/a
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>n/a</u>

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-06 Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Following discussion by the grant review team, the existing crossing structure was determined to meet the definition of a bridge and does not meet the definition of a culvert in RFA#202106082. Therefore, this proposal is not currently eligible for consideration.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Brooklin Dave Riley, Selectman

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Andrew McCullough, PE

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Project does not qualify-meets definition of a bridge

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-07 Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	43
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	18
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>73</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-07 Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Brownfield Clifton Cousins, Road Foreman

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Matt Streeter, Trout Unlimited

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-07 Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Town Name:** Brownfield **Road name:** Phen Road **Stream Name:** Cole Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 2 - 5.5Sx3.5'Hx31'L, oval metal pipe

Crossing Age: unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 13.84. field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 14'

New Structure size & type: 17.8'Sx5.4'Hx21'L, Open Bottom Concrete Box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure: 3-5 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: The road was

overtopped at this culvert in October of 2011 and

October of 2017. undersized-hydraulic modelling. Significant rusting/deterioration, holes in culvert

Change in culvert width: 1.61818182

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: yes, 1x per year

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 6.21 miles; 3 detoured homes, 11 businesses, 3 crit infrastructure

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:49

emergency response delays

low volume road, detour is around 6 miles

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-07 Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	43

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: full profile, survey, restoration plan included Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 13.84

Bankfull width method: field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 14'

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes
Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: full profile, survey, restoration plan included

**Additional Comments:** upstream measurements only but using higher modelled value for sizing-good reasoning for size choice,

\$10,000 engineering costs, restoration plan included

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 10399

**Benefits to Fish & Wildlife:** Wild Brook Trout Habitat, historic ATS habitat -Cold water refugia, spawning, and

spawriing, and

nursery habitat for wild Brook Trout

Wild Brook Trout Habitat, historic ATS habitat -Cold water refugia, spawning, and nursery habitat for wild Brook Trout

**Water quality improvements:** DS scour pool, Cole Brook provides important cold water refugia, spawning, and nursery habitat for wild Brook Trout

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** Assistance from USFWS, IFW \$ as match; "MDIFW has sampled the Shephards River drainage extensively and counts it one of the best wild Brook Trout resources in southern Oxford County."

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** Wild BKT; Good trout stream; Focus drainage for TU connectivity restoration

top priority for IFW, good habitat, last remaining barrier for watershed, cold water habitat, next one upstream replaced

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 2.83 miles

Wild Brook Trout Habitat, historic ATS habitat -Cold water refugia, spawning, and nursery habitat for wild Brook Trout

Fish present, source of info: Wild brook trout

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-07 Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	18

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$135299

Total Match: \$10299

% Match proposed: 7.6

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**Army Corp Permit info:** Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: 1000

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: lower match but has small road budget, good plan, confident in success.

Reasonable engineering costs

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-08 Buckfield, Bear Pond Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	18
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	39
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>68</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-08 Buckfield, Bear Pond Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Buckfield Lorna Dee Nichols

### Consultant/Agent Info:

Alex Abbott

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE**: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-08 Buckfield, Bear Pond Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	18

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Buckfield

**Road name:** Bear Pond Road **Stream Name**: Drew Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 4'x31'L CMP, 2 - 1.5'x31' HDPE, metal and plastic

Crossing Age: 20, 2, 2 years

Bankfull width and method: 13.5. field average 2 up 2 downstream,

New Structure size & type: 17.5'Sx8'Hx34'L, Open Bottom Concrete Box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** The road completely washed out around 2013 and

was damaged again around 2015. Road overtopping 2-3 times over last 10 years, requires annual cleaning. Culvert is rotting and peeling up on inside, photos.

Change in culvert width: 3.5

previous failure, regular flooding, overflow culverts

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: yes, annually Impact

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 15 miles; 11 homes, 25-30 businesses, 3 critical infrastructure

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:328

long detour, emergency delays

significant amount of commercial (logging,

delivery, paving, well drilling, septic pumpers, agricultural support businesses etc.) vehicles use this road. It is a major thoroughfare between Turner, Hartford and Buckfield. The current crossing has no guard rails for safety, a new crossing as proposed would include these. long detour, delays, moderate vehicle use

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-08 Buckfield, Bear Pond Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	39

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: full profile, survey, restoration plan included Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 13.5

Bankfull width method: field average 2 up 2 downstream,

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: full profile, survey, restoration plan included

Additional Comments: good sizing and field work, stream smart, stream sim well followed

7500 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 22239

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.5 units upstream, brook trout

habitat, IWWH

ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.5 units upstream, brook trout habitat, IWWH

**Water quality improvements:** stream simulation design, large scour pool, impounds water upstream, no upstream barriers

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** Paul Christman of MDMR does not consider this site to be a high priority for his office due to the number of downstream dams currently limiting access to wild Atlantic salmon. This stream has known wild Eastern brook trout habitat, represents an area of cold water streams likely to remain resilient in the face of climate change, and this crossing represents the most important barrier in this

catchment with over four miles upstream limited.

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** Wild BKT; relatively warm

big improvement over current crossing, opens good amount of habitat opened, good quality

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-08 Buckfield, Bear Pond Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 4.15 miles

ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.5 units upstream, brook trout habitat, IWWH

**Fish present, source of info:** brook trout, black nosed dace,

creek chub, and white suckers per IFW survey. Contact with DMR?IFW.

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$120000 Total Project Cost: \$125,000

Total Match: 5000

% Match proposed: 4%

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$10000

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable engineering, very low match, erosion and sed costs may be too

low. Budgeted funds for match (\$5k, 1/2 paid by IFW)

Design concerns or clarification required:

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-09 Burnham, Pond Rd

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	Points Available	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	25
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	15
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>52</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-09 Burnham, Pond Rd

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Burnham Charlie King

### Consultant/Agent Info:

Plymouth Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE**: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-09 Burnham, Pond Rd

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Town Name:** Burnham **Road name:** Pond Rd

Stream Name: Meadow Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 11'Sx7.5'Hx56'L, oval metal pipe

Crossing Age: 56 years

Bankfull width and method: 15.2. 11' chosen for structure width due to stone walls from mill DS that

are 11 feet wide

New Structure size & type: 12'Sx9.5'Hx54'L, Open Bottom Concrete Box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure: 5-10 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** crossing caused flooding in 2016 (road closed), annual cleaning and beaver issues. Culvert ends have rusted off, erosion under & behind culvert

Change in culvert width: 1.09

closed road previously due to flooding, condition is deteriorating but not imminent failure

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: yes, annually

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 5.26 mile detour; 15 homes, 1 critical infrastructure

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:533

emergency services

longer detour

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE**: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-09 Burnham, Pond Rd

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	25

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: full profile, survey, 90% plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 15.2

Bankfull width method: 11' chosen for structure width due to stone walls from mill DS that

are 11 feet wide

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes, but not full

stream smart level with scour depths, pools etc.

### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed No

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - Visual analysis

New Structure considerations: full profile, survey, 90% plans

Additional Comments: doesn't meet stream bankfull widths, should consider removal of walls

to size properly, models support field measurements

\$16000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16567

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: IWWH

**IWWH** 

Water quality improvements: location upstream from Unity Pond

Support letters, other notable benefits: contacted IFW/DMR-no response

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Trib to Unity Pd. **IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** Warmwater species

no upstream alewife habitat, 20 miles opened although habitat may be marginal for brook trout

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 20.32 miles

**IWWH** 

Fish present, source of info: brook trout, alewives DS?

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-09 Burnham, Pond Rd

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	15

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$200000

Total Match: \$75000

% Match proposed: 37.5

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Site Specific 90%+ Plans

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$2,700 road repairs, \$20,000 debris removal over 10 years

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable engineering, good match, reserve fund

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER:** 2021R-10 Chapman, West Chapman Road

**BIDDER:** 2021R-10 Chapman, West Chapman Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	25
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	15
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>56</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-10 Chapman, West Chapman Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Chapman Sandra Fournier, Town Manager

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

William Olver, Olver Associates

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-10 Chapman, West Chapman Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Chapman

Road name: West Chapman Road

Stream Name: Alder Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 4.5'Rx60'L, CMP

Crossing Age: unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 18.5. modelled value chosen over measured field values as

"representative",

New Structure size & type: 22'Sx8'Hx60'L, 4 Sided Concrete Box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure: 5-10 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** no overtopping of road, beaver issues-screen installed, damage to roadway reported from flooding. In 2017 inlet side plugged and 6 foot section was destroyed.

Change in culvert width: 4.8

some flooding issues but no overtopping, previous partial failure

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, regular cleaning & beavers

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 15 homes, 1 business

Detours: 0

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:0 cut offs

Floods residences nearby when culvert backs up. Potential impacts to recreation are (Scopan Mountain) low volume road, cut off

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-10 Chapman, West Chapman Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	25

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: preliminary survey, BFW

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 18.5

Bankfull width method: modelled value chosen over measured field values as

"representative",

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed??

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed yes, but unclear if BFW is accurate

Natural Bottom information: Yes, embedded 2 feet

Banks within structure? ?

**Type of bottom -** recommendation from agencies

New Structure considerations: preliminary survey, BFW

**Additional Comments:** no profile included, worried since design is done, longitudinal profile will likely not be completed, didn't fill out performance criteria, BFW should be measured beyond culvert influence, not really a fully stream smart design

\$50,000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 30817

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: Brook trout habitat. IWWH

Brook trout habitat, IWWH

Water quality improvements: perched and large scour pool at outlet

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** no contact with DMR, contacted IFW, 1975 survey, brook trout, suckers, various "baitfish"

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** There is minimal flowing water upstream of Alder Lake. Alder Lake itself would only be seasonal brook trout habitat at best. It does provide connectivity for aquatic organisms and several nonsport fish, but as brook trout enhancement it should rank low lower quality habitat, unsure if amount opened is accurate based on MSHV

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 5.1 miles

Brook trout habitat. IWWH Fish present, source of info: brook trout

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-10 Chapman, West Chapman Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	15

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$540000 Total Match: \$415000

% Match proposed 76.8%

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$500 cleaning, \$2500 repairs to structure, \$5000 road closures

Construction year: 2023

Feasibility for success: match is still uncertain, large percentage is not currently in hand. High

engineering costs

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-11 China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	25
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	10
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>49</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-11 China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of China Rebecca Hapgood

### Consultant/Agent Info:

St. Germain

**RFP #:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-11 China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: China

**Road name:** Ingraham Road **Stream Name**: Hewitt Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 2 culverts - 2.75'Rx52'L; 2'Sx52'L, CMP

Crossing Age: unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 7.25. Field measured, 1 upstream, 1 downstream only. Is significantly

lower than modelled values

New Structure size & type: 9.5'Sx7'Hx36'L, Metal arch on concrete footers

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: n/a

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** no overtopping reported in last 10 years, no wash outs. Undersized with 2 culverts. Culverts are rusted out in bad shape

Change in culvert width: 1.4

bottom rusted out, sections coming undone inside, piping, no flooding

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, regular cleaning & beavers

Impact

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 3.3 mile detour; 19 homes

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:130; emergency response delays

Ingraham Road is a through road that connects Route 32 and Kidder Road. If the crossing were to fail, it would take emergency responders longer to reach citizens. Hewitt Brook crosses under two more roads (Tyler Road and Shuman Road) before joining the Sheepscot River and debris from the failure could cause damage to these downstream crossings.lower volume road, shorter detour

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-11 China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	25

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 7.25

Bankfull width method: Field measured, 1 upstream, 1 downstream only. Is significantly

lower than modelled values

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed yes, but unclear if BFW is accurate

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - Pebble count

**New Structure considerations:** BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

**Additional Comments:** BFW measurements are not outside influence, low confidence in the sizing based on significantly lower modelled value being chosen, need more field work, need bottom pool elevations in addition to tops, so long profile is a little unclear, slope of stream

\$10000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 3772

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: Brook trout habitat. ATS CH

Brook trout habitat, ATS CH

**Water quality improvements:** tributary to Sheepscot River, The current Ingraham Road culverts have significantly altered the hydrology of the upstream area. The culverts are causing the upstream location to behave as a flat wetland due to their size and inlet elevation.

Support letters, other notable benefits: contacted DMR, no response. IFW- no impacts of concern DMR Resource/Habitat Comments: Looks like headwaters to Sheepscot trib. very far upstream

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** Warmwater species trib to Sheepscot River, but warmwater fisheries in this location

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 4.58 miles

Brook trout habitat, ATS CH

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-11 China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

Fish present, source of info: brook trout

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	10

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding:\$ \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$150000

Total Match: \$25000

**% Match proposed** 16.6%

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**Army Corp Permit info:** Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: unknown

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: moderate project costs, reasonable engineering, some design concerns,

match in hand

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-12 Clinton, True Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	15
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	37
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>69</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-12 Clinton, True Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Clinton Frank Gioffre

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Randy Butler, Dirigo Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE**: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-12 Clinton, True Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	15

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Clinton Road name: True Road Stream Name: Beaver Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 3 - (2) 5'Rx32'L; (1) 6'Rx30'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 58, 58, 40 years

Bankfull width and method: 15.8. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 22'Sx10'Hx56'L, Open bottom concrete box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure: <1 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** no overtopping of road, annual cleaning, flooding damage reported with photos included. HY-8 analysis overtops at Q50. The bottom has rotted out of the two CMP culverts This is causing the crossing to sag away from the road surface which has eroded the ro

Change in culvert width: 1.4

culverts have no bottom, sinkholes, flooding damage, short failure time anticipated

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, annually

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 2 homes, 1 business

**Detours:** 0

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT: 103 cut offs

Damage happens about once annually with high flows; most recent was on Oct. 31, 2021 with a 1.5" rain.

2 homes, low volume, cut offs lower overall community impact

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-12 Clinton, True Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	37

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: full profile, survey, 90% plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 15.8 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: full profile, survey, 90% plans

**Additional Comments:** good design, initial concerns about the confluence of stream above the site but DS bankfull measurements seem consistent with modelling. DOT reviewed design

\$17,500 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16601

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS Modelled habitat 55.64 units class 1

ATS Modelled habitat 55.64 units class 1

**Water quality improvements:** Yes, there is a large scour pool with resultant aggraded material. A debris dam has virtually plugged off the original channel

and caused a new channel to be formed. There is also a 12'x30' plunge pool downstream of the overflow culvert. All of

these issu

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier

Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for restoration and protection. (See

attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Not much for salmon but probably has eels etc.. **IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** More survey needed. Temps suitable for trout. good amount of habitat, not much fisheries info, unsure impact of turnpike crossings but

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 9.15 miles

ATS Modelled habitat 55.64 units class 1

Fish present, source of info: assumed present

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-12 Clinton, True Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: 232500 Total Match: 107500

% Match proposed: 46.2

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

Army Corp Permit info: Application submitted

Costs over previous 10 years: 500

Construction year: 2022 (assumed, indicates 2021)

Feasibility for success: engineering includes bidding/permitting, reasonable costs, good match in-

hand

Design concerns or clarification required:

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-13 Cornville, Molunkus Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	28
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>59</u>

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-13 Cornville, Molunkus Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Cornville Mel Blaisdell

### Consultant/Agent Info:

Gabe Gauvin, KV COG

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-13 Cornville, Molunkus Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Cornville

Road name: Molunkus Road Stream Name: Mill Stream

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 14.4'S x9'Hx40'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 55 years

Bankfull width and method: 34. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 59'Sx9.9'Hx28'L 24' channel width, Bridge Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

**Estimated time to failure:** says <1, not sure this is accurate years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** No overtopping, annual debris cleaning, damage to roadway from flooding reported. Partial failures/collapsing of the culvert and buckling of the road have occurred each spring for the last 2-3 years.

Change in culvert width: 4.1

don't see the issues described for condition or the reason for potential failure in time frame listed

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, annually

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 8 miles; 50 homes, 4 businesses

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:275

emergency response delays; Aging and damage done to the culvert as well as a

lack of stormwater and runoff management at the site has led to the continued degradation of the culvert and caused buckling of the road. Resurfacing and patching projects have been required to maintain safe passage of vehicles over the stream crossing. 50 homes impacted, longer detour

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-13 Cornville, Molunkus Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	28

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, profile, prelim plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 34 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? No

**1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed** Yes, but concerns over measurement locations

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes
Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: BFW, profile, prelim plans

Bankfull widths may be less than anticipated and the design may be problematic for MaineDOT Bridge Program. Additional field work needs to be completed and size established

**Additional Comments:** looks like all the BFW measurements were taken within the culvert influence area. Crossing is likely extremely oversized and may run into issues with DOT standards. Bank full width value needs to be re-evaluated

\$12000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26695

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS modelled habitat 93.62 units, drainage of alewife pond

ATS modelled habitat 93.62 units, drainage of alewife pond

**Water quality improvements:** backwater upstream, stream bank erosion downstream. 36.46 total miles upstream opens 2.2

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** 1985 survey sound blacknose dace, common shiner, sunfish, eels, and sucker, Electrofishing data from neighboring streams has confirmed adult wild brook trout in Paine Brook (upstream), and nursery habitat for young brook trout in Cold Brook (downstream).

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

IFW Resource/Habitat Comments: No file or data on stream

Wesserunsett Lake drainage with stocked alewives but inaccessible, lots of other barriers in system

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 2.23 miles ATS modelled habitat 93.62 units, drainage of alewife pond Fish present, source of info: American eels, brook trout likely

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-13 Cornville, Molunkus Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost:\$ 498000 Total Match:\$ 373000

% Match proposed: 74.8

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

**Army Corp Permit info:** Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$5000-10000

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: costs are bid, town funds and loans. May need some redesign and

additional field work to meet DOT standards

**Design concerns or clarification required:** Bankfull widths may be less than anticipated and the design may be problematic for MaineDOT Bridge Program. Additional field work needs to be completed and size established

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-14 Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	17
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	38
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>72</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-14 Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Deer Isle James H. Fisher, Town Manager

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

n/a

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-14 Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	Points Available	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	17

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Deer Isle

Road name: Sunshine Road

Stream Name: Meadow Brook (tidal)

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 4'Rx40'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 60 years

Bankfull width and method: 8.4. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 12'Sx12'Hx40'L, 4 Sided Concrete Box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes, and consulted with Region

Engineer

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** no overtopping but damage from flooding in last 10 years. During extreme storm events the culvert has been undermined. The most recent occurrence was 10/30/21.

Change in culvert width: 3

sinkholes, patching, concrete deteriorating but likely not as quick a failure

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 11.5 mile detour; 368 homes, 8 businesses

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:1207; emergency response delays

A food processing facility, our only road construction company and the Haystack Mountain School of Crafts are on the Sunshine side of Meadowbrook and would also be forced to etour. many homes & businesses affected, would be very impactful to community

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-14 Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	38

**Evaluation Team Comments:** 

Field work: full profile, survey, 90% plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 8.4 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes, Tidal exceeds 1.2xBFW

Natural Bottom information: Yes, embedded

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - Umaine analysis

**New Structure considerations:** full profile, survey, 90% plans

Longitudinal profile

**Additional Comments:** no cross section info on embedment, concerns about stream bed material staying put, says banks but not shown in plans. Need more detail on the design, elevations, slope? Application says longitudinal profile but did not see one with application. Designers

University of Maine Student Engineering Team. 58 page report

includes extensive calculations justifying their recommendation for a 12 foot ID box culvert.

~150k in engineering donated

Barrier status, source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 51137

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: TWWH. IWWH

**Water quality improvements:** This is a tidal culvert with approximately 12' fluctuation in water level every six hours. One concern is that failure of the culvert during a storm surge could carry away a significant portion of the road and be very difficult to repair

Support letters, other notable benefits: outreach to DEP, DOT staff

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Smelts. Excellent location to conduct smelt restoration project

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** This is not a brook trout stream. No resource concerns. historic rainbow smelt habitat, listed as "limited due to culvert", no brook trout. Could be a significant benefit to smelt

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 0.63 miles

TWWH, IWWH

Fish present, source of info: sea run rainbow smelt, eels likely

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-14 Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$400000 Total Match: \$275000

% Match proposed: 68.7

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$10000

Construction year: 2022 (assumed, says 2021)

Feasibility for success: engineering costs are high but student group-engineering, 205k from ARPA,

additional money require town meeting

Design concerns or clarification required: Longitudinal profile

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-15 Dixmont, South Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	х	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	43
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>67</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-15 Dixmont, South Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Dixmont David Bright

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Joseph McLean, Acadia Civil Works

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-15 Dixmont, South Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Town Name:** Dixmont **Road name:** South Road

**Stream Name**: Unnamed tributary to Olney Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 3.5'Rx44'L, CMP

Crossing Age: unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 8. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 10'S8'Hx50'L, Metal arch on concrete footers

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: 5-10 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** No overtopping but does occasionally catch logs requiring cleaning. Undersized. The existing crossing is a corrugated metal structure

and is showing signs of deterioration. Photos included. During recent heavy and repeated rain events in fall 2021, the un

Change in culvert width: 2.8

deterioration eroded embankment, no overtopping due to overflow culvert-erosion at outlet of overflow

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, occasionally

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 5.4 miles: 13 homes

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic: AADT:0; emergency response delays The crossing has no safety barriers to prevent vehicles

from leaving the roadway and plunging into the stream. moderate detour, low traffic

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-15 Dixmont, South Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	43

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 8
Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Additional Comments: good design and field measurements, multiple average BFW

\$15k in engineering

Barrier status. source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1642

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: Inland Wading Bird and Waterfowl Habitat

Deer Wintering Areas, numerous special concern species

Inland Wading Bird and Waterfowl Habitat

Deer Wintering Areas, numerous special concern species

Water quality improvements: diversion of flows through old nearby culvert causes erosion in the adjacent riparian area and weakening of the road shoulders; stream simulation used

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** IFW letter of support, Olney Brook is a tributary to Marsh Stream, which is considered a priority habitat for restoration by The Nature Conservancy in partnership with NOAA Fisheries; funding from 1) The Nature Conservancy (private)

- 2) National Fish Passage Program grant (federal)
- 3) Eastern Brook Trout Joint Venture grant (federal)

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Adjacent to surveyed ATS spawning and rearing habitat; Tributary to Marsh Stream

### **IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:**

support from agencies, brook trout priority area, 2 miles opened

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 2.14 miles

Inland Wading Bird and Waterfowl Habitat

Deer Wintering Areas, numerous special concern species

Fish present, source of info: wild brook trout

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-15 Dixmont, South Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost:\$ 250000 Total Match: \$125,000

% Match proposed: 50

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

Army Corp Permit info: No Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: 0

Construction year: 2023

Feasibility for success: not all match is in hand, more grants needed.

Design concerns or clarification required:

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-16 Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	10
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	24
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	16
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>50</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-16 Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

City of Ellsworth Lisa Sekulich, PE

### Consultant/Agent Info:

Andrew McCullough, PE

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-16 Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	10

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Ellsworth

**Road name:** Red Bridge Road **Stream Name**: Branch Lake Stream

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 17'Sx13'Hx68'L, Pipe Arch

Crossing Age: 47 years

Bankfull width and method: 46.3. Stream Stats and MSHV model

New Structure size & type: 50'Sx18'Hx75'L, Metal arch on concrete footers

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: 3-5 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: Pipe is near the end

of its lifespan based on inspections and life spans of similar structures.

Pictures attached. 1/2 of BFW

Change in culvert width: 2.9

deterioration, no flooding, nearing end of lifespan

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 9 miles

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:417; no listed homes, businesses

emergency services

no numbers affected listed

**RFP #**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-16 Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	24

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: Preliminary plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 46.3

Bankfull width method: Stream Stats and MSHV model

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes, TBD

## **1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed** Almost (1.07 x modelled BFW)

Natural Bottom information: Yes. Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - "Natural Earthen Substrate bottom"

#### New Structure considerations: Preliminary plans

**Additional Comments:** huge structure, only bankfull width modelling used, not quite 1.2xBFW based on calculated value, no field bankfull measurements, likely to have a lot of changes, possible DOT issues, no stream smart longitudinal profile included. No plan view or site spec

\$46K in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 50879

**Benefits to Fish & Wildlife:** Brook trout habitat, within drainage of alewife pond, ATS rearing habitat (modelled) 4.4 units

Brook trout habitat, within drainage of alewife pond, ATS rearing habitat (modelled) 4.4 units **Water quality improvements:** dam down gradient at the inlet to Leonard Lake was removed to improve fish passage. Only 1 potential barrier upstream would open 65 miles total, (US looks to be passable sometimes)

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** Contacted IFW/DMR; input from Greg Burr- no fisheries concerns.

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:**A remnant dam was removed at the confluence of this stream with the Union River two years ago. Restoring connectivity at this location will build upon that work.

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** In this area there is not a need for brook trout passage. no brook trout passage need, dam upstream to lake, listed as unstocked inaccessible alewife pond

#### Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- .9 miles

Brook trout habitat, within drainage of alewife pond, ATS rearing habitat (modelled) 4.4 units **Fish present, source of info:** wild brook trout

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-16 Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$725000 Total Match: \$600000

**% Match proposed** 82.7%

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: 0

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: high match, from budget, planned. High engineering costs. Based on span,

and Federal Hwy requirements price could end up higher

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-17 Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	32
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>55</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-17 Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Enfield Charles Frazier

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

n/a

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE**: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-17 Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Enfield

Road name: Mohawk Rd South

Stream Name: unnamed trib to Penobscot R

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 4'Rx60'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 40 years

Bankfull width and method: 5.5. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 8'WxTBD'Hx60'L, Open bottom arch on footers

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: n/a

Estimated time to failure: 3-5 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: undersized based on BFW measurements. Current culvert was repaired recently after a hole eroded in the top. Culvert dips in the middle, backwater upstream

Change in culvert width: 2 condition and roadway issues

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 5 miles; 54 homes detoured

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:103

residential, emergency services

lower volume road, moderate residential impacts

RFP #: 202106082

**RFP TITLE**: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-17 Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	Points Available	Points Awarded
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	32

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: Plan view

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 5.5 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - TBD

**New Structure considerations:** Plan view

Additional Comments: improved design good survey and long profile info, could use more

info on substrate (recommend pebble count)

\$20000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Barrier per photos

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: not surveyed

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat, pygmy snake

tail

brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat, pygmy snake tail

Water quality improvements: backwater upstream, 1.5' drop DS

Support letters, other notable benefits: no contact with fisheries agencies

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:**Not managed for sea-run species, but is in critical ATS habitat and is a tributary to the Penobscot River.

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

rare FW mussel, Penobscot R watershed

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 0 miles

brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat, pygmy snake tail

Fish present, source of info: likely present, none listed, eels

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-17 Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$157000

Total Match: \$32000

% Match proposed 20.3

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch

Army Corp Permit info: No Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$15000

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: engineering seems high, good match quality.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-18 Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	15
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	30
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>56</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-18 Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

## **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Enfield Charles Frazier

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

n/a

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-18 Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	15

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Enfield

Road name: Mohawk Rd North

Stream Name: unnamed trib to Penobscot R

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 4.5'Rx56'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 40 years

Bankfull width and method: 7.2. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 9'SxTBD'Hx65'L, Open bottom arch on footers

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: n/a

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** Overtopped road approximately 4 times in last 10 years, regularly obstructed. Flood damage photos included. Road closed for 3 days in 2019 due to flooding. Culvert was damaged/curled up, roadway slumping

Change in culvert width: 2 culvert peeled up, flooding issues

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: yes, annually

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 5 miles; 54 homes detoured

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:103 residential

emergency services

lower volume road, moderate residential impacts

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-18 Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	30

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: Plan view

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 7.2 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - TBD

**New Structure considerations:** Plan view

Additional Comments: improved design good survey and long profile info, could use more

info on substrate (recommend pebble count)

\$20000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Barrier per photos

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat

brook floater habitat within 1 mile. ATS modelled habitat

Water quality improvements: backwatered upstream, culvert deformed

Support letters, other notable benefits: no contact with fisheries agencies

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Not a mapped stream and no information on

management. In critical ATS habitat and is a tributary to the Penobscot River.

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

rare FW mussel, Penobscot R watershed

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 0 miles

brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat

Fish present, source of info: likely present, none listed, eels

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-18 Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$175000

Total Match: \$50000

% Match proposed: 28.5

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch

Army Corp Permit info: No Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$15000

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: engineering seems high, good match quality.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-19 Garland, Campbell Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	35
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>58</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-19 Garland, Campbell Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Garland Dakota Bates-Moody

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Randy Butler, Dirigo Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-19 Garland, Campbell Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Garland

Road name: Campbell Road Stream Name: Otis Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 6'Dx44'L; 3'Dx44'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 50+, 36 years

Bankfull width and method: 15.6. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 22'Sx11.5'Hx35'L, Bridge

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes, Design reviewed

Estimated time to failure: 3-5 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** Although the culvert does not overflow, it frequently backs up and floods the upstream area, inundating low lying areas near the road. The existing culvert pipes are corroded and breaking up. There are holes in the inverts and sides of the culverts. The e

Change in culvert width: 2.4444444444

no overflow but condition issues, bottom completely gone from sections

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 6 miles: 40 homes detoured

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:217, emergency services

Occurrences of increased torrential rain and spring flow will likely increase, and will over flow the road. 6 mile detour, 40 homes

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-19 Garland, Campbell Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife**

	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	35

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 15.6 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes Type of bottom - Pebble count

**New Structure considerations:** BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Additional Comments: good sizing and field work, stream smart, stream smart followed, but

unsure about riprap detail upstream of crossing

\$17500 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1513

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 &3 49.12 units, IWWH

ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 &3 49.12 units, IWWH

Water quality improvements: large scour pool, potential effects from DS culvert

Support letters, other notable benefits: This crossing has been identified as an important Fish

Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier

Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for restoration and protection. (See

attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)

DMR Resource/Habitat Comments: Not managed for sea-run species, but is within critical habitat and adjacent to management. Above Garland Pond

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** More survey needed. Area near road too deep to sample. nearby alewife possible but unlikely to be in this stream, warmwater spp, high priority watershed for TNC, tied to other project on stream

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 3.5 miles

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-19 Garland, Campbell Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 &3 49.12 units, IWWH

**Fish present, source of info:** "This stream had blacknose dace, creek chub, and white sucker." Jason Seiders, Fisheries Resource Supervisor, Maine Dept of Inland

Fisheries & Wildlife

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: 187500

Total Match: 62500

% Match proposed: 33.3

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: 0

Construction year: 2023

Feasibility for success: status of match uncertain. Reasonable costs overall

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-20 Garland, Oliver Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	34
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	14
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>60</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-20 Garland, Oliver Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Garland Dakota Bates-Moody

## **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Randy Butler, Dirigo Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-20 Garland, Oliver Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Garland

Road name: Oliver Hill Road

Stream Name: Unnamed trib to Kenduskag Stream

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 6'Dx40'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 40 years

Bankfull width and method: 13.9. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 18'Sx10.4'Hx49'L, Bridge

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: 3-5 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: No flooding

indicated but HW/D of 1.0 (i.e. full flowing culvert) is 200 cfs, less than Q25. Currently erosion on the road bank above the inlet, signs of corrosion, and squatting.

Downstream channel is undercutting road

embankment.

Change in culvert width: 3

beaver dam elevation is above road, 2 channels upstream

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 4.7 miles; 50 homes, 1 business

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:874

emergency services. This roadway is used as a bypass from Garland center to Dover-

Foxcroft.

Concerns that the existing culvert is significantly undersized and will eventually wash out as storm intensities

increase.

no flooding, condition issues, beaver dam above site higher elevation

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-20 Garland, Oliver Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	34

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 13.9
Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes
Type of bottom - Pebble count

**New Structure considerations:** BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

**Additional Comments:** good sizing and field work, stream smart, stream smart followed, but unsure about riprap detail upstream of crossing

\$17500 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1293

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 19.58 units, IWWH,

Brook trout habitat

ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 19.58 units, IWWH, Brook trout habitat

**Water quality improvements:** Upstream road bank is being eroded and downstream bank along the road has undercut the road fill. These will be repaired as part of the project.

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier

Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for restoration and protection. (Seeattached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:**Not managed for sea-run species, but is within critical habitat and adjacent to management. Above Garland Pond

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** Suitable temps, but no BKT found during brief survey. unsure of status of brook trout presence,

TNC support, 3 miles opened, tied to other project for restoration, unsure if next crossing upstream is actually open, may be less habitat opened

#### Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 3.1 miles

ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 19.58 units, IWWH, Brook trout habitat **Fish present, source of info:** "This stream had blacknose dace, creek chub, and white sucker." Jason Seiders, Fisheries Resource Supervisor, Maine DIFW.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-20 Garland, Oliver Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	14

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$207500

Total Match: \$82500

% Match proposed: 39.7

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

Army Corp Permit info: Application submitted

Costs over previous 10 years: 0

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: match is planned, reasonable costs overall

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-21 Gorham, Westcott Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	31
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	15
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>58</u>

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-21 Gorham, Westcott Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Gorham Terry Deering

## Consultant/Agent Info:

Stephen D. Harding, Sebago Technics

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-21 Gorham, Westcott Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Gorham

Road name: Westcott Road

Stream Name: North Branch Little River

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 10'Dx52'L, CMP

Crossing Age: unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 16.7. unable to complete field work, used modelled 16.7' for sizing

**New Structure size & type:** 20'Sx10'Hx52'L, Open bottom concrete box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** No flooding but is stream "pinch point". This year (2021), the MDOT posted a weight restriction of 22 tons as the culvert invert is deteriorating and the top of culvert is deflected.

Change in culvert width: 2

DOT posting, not much info on condition, no photos, no flooding

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 8.1 miles; 7 homes, 1 business detoured

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:308

emergency services

heavy truck traffic, increasing development

longer detour, moderate traffic

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-21 Gorham, Westcott Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	31

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: Plan view

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 16.7

**Bankfull width method:** unable to complete field work, used modelled 16.7' for sizing **Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?** Yes, TBD

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes, based on MSHV, need more

Natural Bottom information: Yes. Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes
Type of bottom - Pebble count
New Structure considerations: Plan view

Additional Comments: no design or cross section completed, sketch plan view, modelled

BFW no field measurements, Long profile TBD

\$35,000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8722
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: Wild brook trout

Wild brook trout

Water quality improvements: Stream smart design will be completed at this

location. A stream profile will be surveyed at a minimum 20-30 BFW's up and downstream. Pebble count and gradations will be utilized to

determine the stream bed substrate.

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** No Fisheries agency contact, but "According to Matt Craig of the Casco Bay Estuary Partnership, addressing this crossing would remove a potential barrier to aquatic organisms identified in the Maine Stream Habitat Viewer which would improve

habitat connectivity in this sub-watershed of the Presumpscot River with anticipated benefits to wild

Brown Trout and anadromous species."

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:**Not managed for sea-run species. Tributary below Sebago Lake.

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** Wild BKT; good trout stream here and upstream drainage good brook trout habitat per IFW, support from Casco Bay Estuary Partnership

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 1.08 miles

Wild brook trout

Fish present, source of info: brook trout

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-21 Gorham, Westcott Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	15

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$500000 Total Match:\$ 375000

% Match proposed: 75%

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Site Plan Sketch

Army Corp Permit info: No Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$15000

Construction year: 2023

Feasibility for success: higher engineering costs, permitting and bidding. Source-town budget

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-22 Hartland, Beans Corner Road II **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria		<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	28
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>54</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-22 Hartland, Beans Corner Road II **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Hartland Chris Littlefield

#### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Randy Butler, Dirigo Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-22 Hartland, Beans Corner Road II **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Hartland

**Road name:** Beans Corner Road II **Stream Name**: Thompson Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 3's'4'Hx28'L, Concrete Box

Crossing Age: 96 years

Bankfull width and method: 9.2. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 11.5'Sx9.3'Hx48'L, Open bottom concrete box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: no flooding but

crossing is undersized. Concrete headwalls are cracked; downstream

headwall is leaning out. Culvert is barely longer than road width and has steep slope from road grade

to top of headwall with

no shoulder on which to mount guardrail. Guar

Change in culvert width: 3.5

guard rail is failing, concrete condition issues, erosion, no flooding, road edge slumping, narrow road

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes **Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?:** No **Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 5 miles; 100 homes, 3 critical infrastructure

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:188

emergency services

Central Maine Power has a sub-station located approximately 0.8 miles south of the site on Beans Corner Road. Distribution lines from this are a primary source of 3-phase power to the town of Hartland, including a nursing home and mill complex substation and distribution lines, safety concerns with guard rails, narrow road

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-22 Hartland, Beans Corner Road II **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	28

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 9.2
Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - Pebble count

**New Structure considerations:** BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Additional Comments: Robust design, good field work and stream smart design, wing walls,

good longitudinal profile

\$18500 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16699

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: Atlantic salmon modelled habitat class 1 5.61 units, IWWH

Atlantic salmon modelled habitat class 1 5.61 units, IWWH

**Water quality improvements:** New crossing will be meet stream simulation design standards, including 1.2 times bankfull

width, stabilized streambank inside culvert and table for terrestrial organism passage. Downstream headwall is failing, allowing road fill to erode into the stream.

Support letters, other notable benefits: contacted IFW, no info available

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Not managed for sea-run species, but is a tributary to the Sebasticook River

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

correction of erosion, modelled salmon habitat, .4 miles opened

**Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat-** 0.4 miles Atlantic salmon modelled habitat class 1 5.61 units, IWWH

Fish present, source of info: unknown

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-22 Hartland, Beans Corner Road II **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$143500

Total Match: \$18500

% Match proposed: 12.8

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

Army Corp Permit info: Application submitted

Costs over previous 10 years: \$3000

Construction year: 2023

Feasibility for success: matching funds planned, reasonable costs

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-23 Levant, Horseback Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria		<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	9
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	24
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	13
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>46</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-23 Levant, Horseback Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Levant Scott Pullen

#### Consultant/Agent Info:

Plymouth Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-23 Levant, Horseback Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	9

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Levant

Road name: Horseback Road Stream Name: Black Stream

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 16.5'Wx9.1'Hx66'L, Pipe arch

Crossing Age: 60 years

Bankfull width and method: 21.9. Stream Stats and MSHV model

New Structure size & type: 26'Sx11'Hx66'L, Multiplate Pipe Arch Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: 5-10 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: no flooding annual

cleaning required. Culvert deteriorating with small holes a rusting, deformation

Change in culvert width: 1.5

deterioration, no flooding, cleaning regularly, sagging

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: yes, annually

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 10 miles; 37 homes

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:665

emergency services

sagging indicates issues under road. Major connector in Levant and Stetson, multiple

crossings before entering Kenduskeag Stream

longer detour, major connector Levant and Stetson

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-23 Levant, Horseback Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	24

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: Site plan view

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 21.9

Bankfull width method: Stream Stats and MSHV model

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

**1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed** Yes, based on Stream Stats, need

more info

Natural Bottom information: Yes, embedded

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - "natural stone"

New Structure considerations: Site plan view

Additional Comments: modelled BFW, not measured. More info needed on "natural stone" concerned about the inclusion of turbidity curtain rather than cofferdam for work area. Banks and stream material in crossing. Plan shows a different type of structure (bridge) than indicated \$15000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1582

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: Brook trout habitat, IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat

Brook trout habitat, IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat

Water quality improvements: stream banks hardened to prevent erosion from misalignment

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** contacted agencies, no IFW response. DMR: not managed for salmon, but have had some in watershed, not expected to use area above Hermon Pond

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:**Think the ID is off. Eels. Downstream lakes were once Eel study area

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** Not exactly sure which crossing this is. I don't see a crossing of Black St with the Horseback Rd although there are multiple tribs to Black that cross the Horseback Rd.... In any case, there are no Priority BKT streams in this area. significant amount would be opened, likely warm water areas directly upstream but could provide

access to other cold water , correcting misalignment

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 13.67 miles Brook trout habitat, IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat Fish present. source of info: brook trout

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-23 Levant, Horseback Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	13

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$200000

Total Match: \$75000

% Match proposed: 37.5

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

Army Corp Permit info: No Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: 15300

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: match is part in-kind part cash from town sources. Costs seem reasonable

Design concerns or clarification required:

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-24 Lewiston, Old Webster Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	9
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	31
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	16
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>56</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-24 Lewiston, Old Webster Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

City of Lewiston John Kuchinski

#### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

n/a

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-24 Lewiston, Old Webster Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	9

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Lewiston

**Road name:** Old Webster Road **Stream Name**: No Name Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 12'D x 30'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 52 years

Bankfull width and method: 18.6. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 25'Sx11'Hx25'L, open bottom arch Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: 10 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** no flooding, overtopping reported. Extensive corrosion along the waterline of crossing, channel banks eroded downstream

Change in culvert width: 2

beaver dam in photo, no flooding, deterioration

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No, but debris in photo

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 3.4 miles; no number affected listed

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:626

emergency services

shorter detour, no numbers of impacts shown

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-24 Lewiston, Old Webster Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	31

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 18.6 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? "flood survey profile",

profile TBD during design

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - TBD

**New Structure considerations: BFW** 

**Additional Comments:** good field work for Bankfull width, not much of a design, no longitudinal profile submitted, aluminum box culvert may be a problem with DOT rules. Unsure of actual size of structure. Conflicting structure information

\$50k in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 20291

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS CH. ATS modelled habitat 50.56 units

ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 50.56 units

Water quality improvements: scour pool downstream; 145 acres alewife habitat blocked

Support letters, other notable benefits: contacted IFW, no response

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:**current river herring restoration area. DMR currently stocks this location

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** No salmonids, ; warm alewife pond upstream, "No Name Pond" stocked by DMR but inaccessible

**Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat-** 7.219999999999999 miles ATS CH. ATS modelled habitat 50.56 units

Fish present, source of info: alewife, ATS?, eels

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-24 Lewiston, Old Webster Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$570000

Total Match: \$445000

% Match proposed: 78.07

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan

Army Corp Permit info: Application submitted

Costs over previous 10 years: 0

Construction year: 2023

Feasibility for success: engineering costs higher, but big project. Bond funding

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-25 Milo, Medford Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	19
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	40
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	15
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>74</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-25 Milo, Medford Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Milo Mike Conley

#### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Randy Butler, Dirigo Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE**: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-25 Milo, Medford Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	19

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Milo

Road name: Medford Road Stream Name: Rapid Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 5'Dx58'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 40 years

Bankfull width and method: 11.8. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 15.2'Sx8.8'Hx44'L, Open bottom concrete box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** no flooding reported. Culvert has started to collapse, bottom is rusted out, sink hole has started in roadway.

Change in culvert width: 3.04

end of culvert is severely deformed, rusting condition issues, upstream abandoned concrete road culvert to be removed

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, 30x year

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 19 miles; 25 homes, 1 business

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:366

emergency services

long detour, delays, moderate vehicle use

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-25 Milo, Medford Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	40

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 11.8 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Should consult with wildlife biologists regarding dewatering of IWWH upstream. Could the 2nd culvert removal be opened to match bankfull width?

**Additional Comments:** good BFW measurements, open bottom, longitudinal profile included, good plans. Could the 2nd culvert removal be opened to match bankfull width?

\$20000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 2586

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS, CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.35 units class 1 & 3

ATS, CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.35 units class 1 & 3

**Water quality improvements:** Downstream scour pool, upstream area impounded by this culvert and box culvert just upstream. Back watered upstream

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** Contacted agencies. DMR: "... the habitat does not look favorable for Atlantic Salmon; mostly flat water and lacking

riffle/run habitat. But, since it is in the Pleasant River drainage there could be chance. This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for restoration and protection. (See

attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Adjacent to surveyed ATS spawning and rearing habitat **IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

In watershed with ATS, removal of additional upstream crossing. 4 miles opened.

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 4.28 miles

ATS, CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.35 units class 1 & 3

Fish present, source of info: brook trout

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-25 Milo, Medford Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	15

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$192000

Total Match: \$67000

% Match proposed 34.8

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**Army Corp Permit info:** Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$10000

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable costs, planned match funding

**Design concerns or clarification required:** Should consult with wildlife biologists regarding dewatering of IWWH upstream. Could the 2nd culvert removal be opened to match bankfull width?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-26 Norridgewock, Red Barn Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	10
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	25
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>47</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-26 Norridgewock, Red Barn Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Norridgewock Richard LaBelle

#### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Patrick Gere, St. Germain

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-26 Norridgewock, Red Barn Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	10

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Town Name:** Norridgewock **Road name:** Red Barn Road **Stream Name**: Turner Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: (3) 4.5'Dx38, 3.75'Dx38, 4.5'Dx38', CMP

Crossing Age: Unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 8.75. single upstream downstream measurements

New Structure size & type: 9.5'Sx6.25'Hx40.5'L, Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: n/a

Estimated time to failure: 5-10 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: Clearing is needed

at least annually, largely due to beaver

damage. No overtopping of road. Two out of three of the culverts are deteriorating at the

outlet end.

Change in culvert width: 0.73---less

condition isn't horrible, rust at outlets

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: yes, annually

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 11.4 miles; 4 homes, 1 business

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:53

emergency services

low volume road, longer detour

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-26 Norridgewock, Red Barn Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	Points Available	Points Awarded
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	25

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 8.75

Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed No, also need more measurements

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: BFW. Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Need to double check BFW with more measurements and check footer elevations

Additional Comments: good long profile, cross section doesn't show banks although indicates banks. Only 1 up and down stream BFW measurements provided, lower than modelled values. Doesn't look like the footings will be placed below scour line based on numbers and design provi

\$10000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26663

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 28.03 units

ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 28.03 units

**Water quality improvements:** large scour pool, impoundment upstream. The existing Red Barn Road culverts have significantly altered the hydrology of the upstream area. The culverts are causing the upstream location to behave as a flat wetland due to their size and inlet elevation.

Support letters, other notable benefits: contacted agencies, no concerns

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments :** Trib to Kennebec. probably has eels. Not much for salmon.

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** No file or data on stream almost 4 miles opened, connects a good stretch of upstream and downstream to river

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 3.9 miles

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-26 Norridgewock, Red Barn Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 28.03 units

Fish present, source of info: brook trout (anecdotal)

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: 153000

Total Match: 28000

% Match proposed: 18.3

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary design

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: unknown for clearing culverts

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable costs, planned match funding

Design concerns or clarification required: Need to double check BFW with more measurements

and check footer elevations

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER: 2021R-27 Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road DATE: 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection Name of RFP Coordinator: John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife		28
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>54</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-27 Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Norridgewock Richard LaBelle

#### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Patrick Gere, St. Germain

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-27 Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Town Name:** Norridgewock **Road name:** Winding Hill Road **Stream Name**: Bombazee Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 6'Dx63'L, CMP

Crossing Age: Unknown years

**Bankfull width and method:** 14. single upstream downstream measurements

**New Structure size & type:** 14.9', Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: 5-10 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: Clearing is needed

at least annually, largely due to beaver

damage. No overtopping of road. The culvert has started to fail – the bottom

has rusted significantly and is ripping apart in one

section

Change in culvert width: 2.5

condition issues, significant deforming, rusting

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: yes, annually

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 11.6 miles; 25 homes, 2 businesses

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:133. Winding Hill Road has several private residences, a church, and a business that would require a five mile/ten-minute detour be made by fire and medical responders in an emergency.

steep grade low traffic, longer detour

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-27 Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	28

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 14

Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed No, also need more measurements

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - Pebble count

**New Structure considerations:** BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Need to double check BFW with more measurements and check footer elevations

**Additional Comments:** concerns about footing design, not sure of the elevations the footers will be placed at or if it will be below scour- no scour line denoted on plan or new structure elevations. Concern with lack of more BFW measurements

\$10000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26690

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS CH. ATS modelled habitat 23.89 units

ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 23.89 units

**Water quality improvements:** large scour pool, There is a large scour pool downstream of the invert under Winding Hill Road. This is followed by significant

sediment deposition and other woody debris accumulation in the brook that does not end until approximately

275' downstream.

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** contacted agencies, no concerns, TNC Support letter **DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Trib to Kennebec. Probably has eels. Not much for salmon.

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** File states "poor habitat" almost 13 miles opened, not great habitat but some upstream tributaries

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-27 Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 12.72 miles

ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 23.89 units

Fish present, source of info: brook trout (anecdotal)

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$\$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$195000

Total Match: \$70000

% Match proposed: 35.8

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary design

Army Corp Permit info: No Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: unknown

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable costs, planned match funding

Design concerns or clarification required: Need to double check BFW with more measurements

and check footer elevations

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-28 North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	х	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	38
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>71</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-28 North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of North Yarmouth Clark Batson

#### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Randy Butler, Dirigo Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-28 North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: North Yarmouth Road name: Sweetser Road Stream Name: Toddy Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 4'Dx54'L, 15"Dx54'L, CMP

Crossing Age: Unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 12.3. Multiple Field Average

**New Structure size & type:** 15.2'Sx10'Hx64'L, Open bottom concrete box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: no over topping but flooding damage reported. Rusted bottom of metal pipe, crushing of pipe at band connection, most likely a broken/

corroded band clamp, water running under pipe. possible sink holes

Change in culvert width: 2.76

culvert condition deteriorated, piping occurring, erosion

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 2 miles; 50 homes, 1 critical infrastructure

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic: AADT:94

Public water supply for Town of North Yarmouth, 12-inch supply line runs over the culvert. If crossing fails, it could interrupt public water supply. There would be no other water main connection between Yarmouth water district water supply wells. Water on outlet side of pipe flows with high velocity directly into a clay bank undermining large hemlock and pine trees. Currently trees have fallen into brook and forced, water flow into road way bank. Causing erosion along road, short detour, water main over pipe. low volume road

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-28 North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	38

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 12.3 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes
Type of bottom - Pebble count

**New Structure considerations:** BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

ensure that crossing design that involves stream realignment/alteration is discussed with regulatory agencies

**Additional Comments:** good design, and fieldwork. Good thought put into the water management and design. Some old stream will be disconnected, so will need to be looked at in permitting for any negative impacts but should help realign stream properly

\$22k in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8670

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: brook trout habitat

brook trout habitat

**Water quality improvements:** terrestrial passage included, large DS scour pool and erosion corrected. The outlet flow has a 90 degree turn, which has resulted in a large scour pool and significant erosion of a steep slope northeast of the pool.

Support letters, other notable benefits: contacted IFW

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Far from main stem Royal River, maybe eels **IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** Wild BKT; one of few populations in the Town wild brook trout habitat not much opened

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 0.34 miles

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-28 North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

brook trout habitat

Fish present, source of info: Stream has wild brook trout, Stickleback, and Black nosed Dace.

- James Pellerin, Regional Fisheries Biologist, Maine DIFW

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$277000

Total Match: \$152000

% Match proposed: 54.8

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

Army Corp Permit info: Application submitted

Costs over previous 10 years: none reported

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable costs, planned match funding

Design concerns or clarification required: ensure that crossing design that involves stream

realignment/alteration is discussed with regulatory agencies

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-29 Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.		х
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	n/a
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	n/a
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	n/a
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>n/a</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-29 Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

The proposed project is located on a road segment listed as "State-Aid" in MaineDOT's Mapviewer. Projects located on State Aid Roads are not eligible for consideration under RFA#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Old Orchard Beach Diana Asanza

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Charles Daigle, Wright-Pierce

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-30 Peru, Dickvale Rd

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.		X
Scoring Sections	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	n/a
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	n/a
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	n/a
Total Points	<u>100</u>	n/a

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-30 Peru, Dickvale Rd

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Following discussion by the grant review team, the existing crossing structure was determined to meet the definition of a bridge and does not meet the definition of a culvert in RFA#202106082. Therefore, this proposal is not currently eligible for consideration.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Peru Brad Hutchings

## **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Calderwood Engineering

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

DATE: 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

**Individual Evaluator Comments:** 

Project does not qualify-meets definition of a bridge

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-31 Phillips, East Madrid Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	47
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>74</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-31 Phillips, East Madrid Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

## **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

## **Contact information:**

Town of Phillips Maureen Haley, Town Manager

## **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Alex Abbott

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-31 Phillips, East Madrid Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Phillips

Road name: East Madrid Road

**Stream Name**: Black brook tributary (Sandy River WS)

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 2'Dx46'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 20 years

Bankfull width and method: 12.5. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 15.3'Sx9'Hx44'L, Open bottom concrete

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** no overtopping over last 10 years, frequent clogging. Damage has occurred from flooding. The inlet is currently deformed slightly, and has been

subject to inundation in the past, with the embankment

fill above subsided and eroded.

Change in culvert width: 7.5

undersized, flood damage, downstream scour/hanging, not very old

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, 1-2x

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 5.5 miles; >20 homes, >20 businesses, >5 infrastructure

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:155

Approximately 16 Phillips residences rely immediately on access to state Route 142 along the East Madrid Road, and would be forced to detour approximately 5 miles if this culvert were to fail. Further, Madrid Township residences along this road would be a public and private access would be similarly limited, including fire, police and ambulances, school buses, public works, commercial traffic and delivery services. Additionally, the heavyweight traffic from the nearby asphalt plant has an impact on the viability of the current culvert. heavy loaded vehicles, moderate detour

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-31 Phillips, East Madrid Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	47

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 12.5 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

**Additional Comments:** great fieldwork and restoration plan, sediment composition, measured values. Confident in design and install

Prelim design, engineer TBD

Barrier status. source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15063

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.5 Units ATS high priority modelled habitat,

**IWWH** 

ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.5 Units ATS high priority modelled habitat, IWWH

**Water quality improvements:** large outlet drop and scour pool. Salmon spawning area downstream. Another project on this stream (DS) was completed in 2020. In addition to this site, two private downstream sites nearby will be removed and replaced with one much larger Stream Simulation

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** Attached letter from Paul Christman of DMR – key tributary to provide Coldwater

refuge for juvenile Atlantic salmon moving up from spawning sites in

the Sandy River downstream from this site. Attached letter of support from Elizabeth Thorndike of IFW – historic brook

trout stream with cold-water habitat

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:**Modeled ATS habitat and managed for ATS immediately downstream

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** BKT documented downstream and crossing downstream was recently replaced with fish passage

potential for AT salmon, brook trout, good cold water habitat, rec from DMR, near spawning areas and rearing sites

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 1.96 miles

ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.5 Units ATS high priority modelled habitat, IWWH

Fish present, source of info: brook trout, possible Atlantic salmon

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-31 Phillips, East Madrid Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$144000

Total Match: \$19000

% Match proposed: 13.1

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: 0

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable costs, match is lower, other grant funds in hand, in-kind and

town funds

Design concerns or clarification required:

RFP #: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER:** 2021R-32 Pittston, Blodgett Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection Name of RFP Coordinator: John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	Points Available	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	26
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>53</u>

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-32 Pittston, Blodgett Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

## **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Pittston Mary Jean Ambrose

## Consultant/Agent Info:

St. Germain

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-32 Pittston, Blodgett Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Pittston

Road name: Blodgett Road

Stream Name: Unnamed tributary to Eastern River

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 7'Dx40'L, CMP

Crossing Age: Unknown years

**Bankfull width and method:** 21.5. single upstream downstream measurements, chose modelled value of significantly less. Measured value: 21.5'

New Structure size & type: 9.5'Sx6'Hx40'L, Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No

Estimated time to failure: <1 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: No overtopping,

beaver damage/cleaning every year. water flows beneath

and around the structure from one side to the other. Rusted out and not functioning as designed

**Change in culvert width:** 1.3571428571 erosion of shoulders, beaver and flooding damage

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** yes?, but unsure based on BFW measurements, need to calculate

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: yes, annually

**Impact** 

**Cut Offs:** 12 Homes **Detours:** n/a, cut-off

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:143

Twelve homes down Blodgett Road would be completely isolated from critical public services and all town

amenities should the crossing fail.

higher # of cutoffs

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-32 Pittston, Blodgett Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	26

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: Longitudinal profile, prelimes design

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 21.5

Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements, chose modelled value

of significantly less. Measured value: 21.5'

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed No

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes Type of bottom - Pebble count

**New Structure considerations:** Longitudinal profile, prelimes design

More detailed info should be provided and field measured BFW

**Additional Comments:** don't have confidence in the bankfull width measurement accuracy, calculated value is significantly less than field measurement which should be from a representative portion of the stream. Scour depth included but stream slope (overall) seems like it is b

\$10k in engineering. Low confidence in bankfull width and structure sizing

Barrier status, source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15975

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 35.81 units

IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 35.81 units

**Water quality improvements:** impounds water upstream, scour pool DS. Proposed structure is about 1/2 of measured BFW. NOTE: Should be measuring BFW outside culvert influence with multiple averaged measurements

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** contacted agencies, no salmon habitat or fisheries concerns with project

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Adjacent to (upstream of) high priority Smelt habitat **IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

fish present, critical habitat for ATS, modelled habitat, 2.8 miles opened

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 2.8 miles

IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 35.81 units

Fish present, source of info: Yes, observed

RFP #: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-32 Pittston, Blodgett Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: 153000

Total Match: 28000

% Match proposed: 18.3

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: unknown

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: funding planned, Reasonable costs

Design concerns or clarification required: More detailed info should be provided and field

measured BFW

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-33 Pittston, Pinkham Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria		<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety		21
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	32
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	16
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>69</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-33 Pittston, Pinkham Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

## **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Pittston Mary Jean Ambrose

## Consultant/Agent Info:

St. Germain

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-33 Pittston, Pinkham Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	21

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Pittston

Road name: Pinkham Road

Stream Name: Stony Meadow Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 8'Dx60'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 32 years

Bankfull width and method: 25. single upstream downstream measurements, chose single DS

measurement for sizing, modelled value (typically underestimated) is ~20'

New Structure size & type: 31'2"Sx10'Hx33'L, Open bottom concrete arch

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes, Plans reviewed

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** The crossing overtops 2-3 feet annually and causes a full road closure every spring for approximately a week. On Oct 31, 2021, heavy rains flooded the road and required a three-day road closure. Significant portions of the metal culvert have eroded and it

Change in culvert width: 3.8875

relatively good condition on top, multiplate dislodged, but frequent flooding and road closures yearly

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, frequently

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 6 miles; >65 homes, 2 critical infrastructure

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:298

Pinkham Road is a through road that connects Route 126 and Route 226. Those commuting to Togus VA

Hospital frequently use this road. The Pittston Central Fire Station is located on Route 126, when this Pinkham

Road crossing overtops, all the houses to the

The culvert's current condition has warranted the road weight limit to be reduced to 13 tons. Signs of significant

damage to the structure were observed during the stream survey.

weight limited, moderate detour, Togus VA

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-33 Pittston, Pinkham Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	32

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: Longitudinal profile, prelimes design

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 25

Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements, chose single DS

measurement for sizing, modelled value (typically underestimated) is ~20'

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes. Open bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: Longitudinal profile, prelimes design

**Additional Comments:** longitudinal profile has been completed, but unsure of the methodology used to arrive at slope for project (over 150' DS) but doesn't have bankfull width measurements. Based on 1 measurement downstream only, not confident in sizing

\$10k in engineering, design reviewed by DOT

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15996

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat 56.66 units

ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat 56.66 units

Water quality improvements: The culvert has resulted

in the backwatered upstream portion acting as a wetland with slower moving water and a greater bankfull width than would occur naturally.

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** Denis-Marc Nault of MDMR indicated "Atlantic Salmon parr have been

stocked upstream but in low numbers for a research project" and "parts of

the tributary have been surveyed and has limited juvenile salmon habitat." Contacted IFW: No fisheries concerns

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Surveyed ATS habitat immediately downstream;

Tributary to Togus Stream

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

good amount of habitat opened, possible Atlantic salmon presence from stocking

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 5.2 miles

ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat 56.66 units

Fish present, source of info: Atlantic salmon

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-33 Pittston, Pinkham Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	16

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$375000 Total Match: \$250000

% Match proposed: 66.6

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: unknown

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: funding planned, \$250k in match. Reasonable costs

NOTE: Should be measuring BFW outside culvert influence with multiple averaged measurements

RFP #: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER:** 2021R-34 Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection Name of RFP Coordinator: John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria		<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	20
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	30
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	18
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>68</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-34 Richmond, Beedle Road (West) **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

## **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Richmond Laurisa Loon, Town Manager

## Consultant/Agent Info:

Robert Prue, Pine Tree Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-34 Richmond, Beedle Road (West) **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	20

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Richmond

**Road name:** Beedle Road (West) **Stream Name**: Abagadasset River

Existing Culvert Size & Material: (2) 4.5'Dx80'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 35+ years

Bankfull width and method: 13.6. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 17'Sx6'Hx60'L, 4 Sided Concrete Box Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: <1 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: The crossing has

caused flooding six times over the past 2 years

and over 30 times over the past 10 years. The road is showing significant erosion at the crossing

at both the inlet and outlet. Road closures during flooding

Change in culvert width: 1.8

no light seen through culvert, unzipping, flooding issues, road closures

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, 1-2x per year

#### **Impact**

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 7 miles; 10 homes, 5 businesses

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:230

emergency services

The erosion at both the inlet and outlet has resulted in excessive debris that is plugging culverts. The roadway

is undermined at each flood event, which will ultimately lead to complete failure of the crossing. If the road

continues to flood it is in danger of collapsing.

moderate detour length, few residences, moderate traffic

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-34 Richmond, Beedle Road (West) **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	30

**Evaluation Team Comments:** 

Field work: full profile, survey, 90% plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 13.6 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, embedded

Banks within structure? Yes Type of bottom - Pebble count

New Structure considerations: full profile, survey, 90% plans

Check elevations on invert

**Additional Comments:** good field work but have questions about slope and elevation of new crossing structure

\$15k in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15907

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS CH, Brook trout habitat

ATS CH, Brook trout habitat

**Water quality improvements:** Flooding has caused erosion at the roadway fill slope banks, and at the inlet and outlet, as well as a scour pool

at the outlet. The new crossing will eliminate the erosion and the scour pool. DS anadromous spp but "iffy" at this site--warm

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** Wes Ashe MDIFW did say that a larger structure would certainly benefit this site by limiting erosion, enhancing

road integrity, providing natural flow, and reducing any artificial ponding on the upstream. This crossing is on the

Abadagasset River, which is designated as a critical habitat for Atlantic Salmon, Alewife, Sea-Run Rainbow

Smelt, Wild Eastern Brook Trout, and Sea-run Rainbow Smelt.

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** no managed sea-run species in immediate area **IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

stream viewer indicates 8.4 miles opened upstream but Rte 295 is not surveyed and unlikely to allow proper passage beyond, less than 1 mile likely

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 8.4 miles

ATS CH, Brook trout habitat

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-34 Richmond, Beedle Road (West) **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

Fish present, source of info: creek chub, pumpkinseed sunfish -IFW survey. Contacted DMR, no

response, brook trout

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	18

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$374000

**Total Match: \$249000** 

% Match proposed: 66.5

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

Army Corp Permit info: Application submitted

Costs over previous 10 years: \$4000 in 2020, unsure of other years

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable costs, match doesn't seem to be in hand currently but planned to

be raised

Design concerns or clarification required: Check elevations on invert

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-35 Richmond, Beedle Road (East) **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	Points Available	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	18
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	31
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	14
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>63</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-35 Richmond, Beedle Road (East) **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Richmond Laurisa Loon, Town Manager

## **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Robert Prue, Pine Tree Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-35 Richmond, Beedle Road (East) **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	18

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Richmond

Road name: Beedle Road (East)

Stream Name: Unnamed tributary to Abagadasset River

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 6'Dx68'L, CMP

Crossing Age: 35+ years

Bankfull width and method: 7.1. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 9'sx8'Hx45'L, 4 Sided Concrete Box Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: n/a

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** overtopping over road approx. 2x year, damage reported, undersized. The culvert inlet is damaged. There is considerable

road erosion at the crossing that puts the integrity of the

road at risk. This culvert is over 35 years old, is split at a joint, and i

**Change in culvert width:** 1.5 culvert in very bad shape, end turned up

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 5.1 miles; 10 homes, 5 businesses

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:230

emergency services

Approximately twice a year the crossing overtops and drains into

the fields of Roseberry Farm, causing damage to crops and impacting the farmer's livelihood. Currently the crossing does not have any guardrails

moderate detour length, few residences, moderate traffic

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-35 Richmond, Beedle Road (East) **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife**

	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	31

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: full profile, survey, 90% plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 7.1 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

## 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, embedded

Banks within structure? Yes Type of bottom - Pebble count

**New Structure considerations:** full profile, survey, 90% plans Skew direction should be revisited to improve alignment

Additional Comments: more distance for longitudinal profile to ensure elevation is correctly

established for stream bed and slope-skew could eb improved

\$15k in engineering

barrier, perched Barrier status, source: Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS CH

ATS CH

Water quality improvements: Abadagasset River is designated as a critical habitat for Atlantic

Salmon, contains Alewife, Sea-Run Rainbow Smelt, Wild

Eastern Brook Trout, and Sea-run Rainbow Smelt. DS scour pool

Support letters, other notable benefits: contacted agencies, no response from DMR

DMR Resource/Habitat Comments: no managed sea-run species in immediate area

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

multiple downstream unmapped barriers, farm field, possible brook trout per Wes Ashe

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 0 miles

ATS CH

Fish present, source of info: creek chub, brook trout might be present- Wes Ashe @ IFW

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-35 Richmond, Beedle Road (East) **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	14

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$226000 Total Match: \$101000

% Match proposed 44.6

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

Army Corp Permit info: Application submitted

Costs over previous 10 years: none

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable costs, match doesn't seem to be in hand currently but planned to

be raised

**Design concerns or clarification required:** Skew direction should be revisited to improve alignment

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-36 Rockport, West Street Extension **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria		<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	22
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>55</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-36 Rockport, West Street Extension **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

## **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

### **Contact information:**

Town of Rockport Jonathan Duke

## **Consultant/Agent Info:**

n/a

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-36 Rockport, West Street Extension **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Rockport

Road name: West Street Extension

Stream Name: Oyster River

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 5.02'Sx5.4'Hx33'L (MSHV) (2nd overflow also), CMP, Plastic

Crossing Age: unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 14. Multiple Field Average (11.5', went with higher calculated value

**New Structure size & type:** 15.75'x7.5'Hx40'L, Open bottom concrete box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: <1 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** overtopped 5 out last 10 years, cleaning 3x per year, flooding damage photos provided.31 October 2021, the road was almost washed out. Slightly damaged to a point where it will be replaced in 2022. Road closure

Change in culvert width: 3.15

overtopping frequently, deteriorating condition

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, 3 x year

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 2.6 mile detour

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:670

unknown affect to homes, businesses

emergency response

shorter detour, no other info on affected residences etc.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-36 Rockport, West Street Extension **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	22

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 14

Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average (11.5', went with higher calculated value

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? No

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Natural bottom
Banks within structure? Yes in plan

Type of bottom - TBD

**New Structure considerations:** BFW

**Additional Comments:** bankfull width inside structure is different than recorded(13' vs 14'), concerned with crushed stone along footer--could this cause subsurface flow/dewatering of channel at low flows? Need longitudinal profile to determine slope and scour depth

\$15k in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 4421

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS CH

ATS CH

**Water quality improvements:** This crossing has two culverts. One larger than the other which serves as the primary.

Support letters, other notable benefits: Contact with USFWS, IFW, designated Critical Habitat

DMR Resource/Habitat Comments: Tributary to St. George River;

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** 

multiple upstream and downstream barriers, limited habitat above, unlikely

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 0.87 miles

ATS CH

Fish present, source of info: assumed, ATS unlikely per Wende Mahaney USFWS

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-36 Rockport, West Street Extension **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$473000 Total Match: \$348000

% Match proposed: 73.5

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**Army Corp Permit info:** Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: unsure

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: high match, funds planned, high project cost

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-37 Rockport, Robinson Drive

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	х	
<ul> <li>The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.</li> </ul>	х	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	23
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	23
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	19
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>65</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-37 Rockport, Robinson Drive

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Rockport Jonathan Duke

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

n/a

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-37 Rockport, Robinson Drive

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	23

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Rockport

Road name: Robinson Drive Stream Name: Oyster River

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 12'Sx8'Hx56'L, CMP, Plastic

Crossing Age: unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 31. Multiple Field Average (26.5', went with higher calculated value

New Structure size & type: 31' spanx10'H x31'L?, Open bottom aluminum arch

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: <1 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** previous failures, extremely bad condition, collapsing photos included of damage. 31 October 2021. Road washed out due to torrential rain.

Change in culvert width: 2.5 essentially completely failed, buckled

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes,

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 28 homes, 1 business

**Detours:** no detour

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:149

emergency response, residential neighborhood cutoff completely

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-37 Rockport, Robinson Drive

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	23

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 31

Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average (26.5', went with higher calculated value

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed??

### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open Bottom

Banks within structure?? Type of bottom - TBD

**New Structure considerations:** BFW

Roadway is being relocated, we need more info on restoration of stream channel will also likely require permitting. New stream channel should be restored to a natural condition at least bankfull width. How far back will road be removed?

**Additional Comments:** questions about roadway removal and stream restoration of previously impacted area. Permitting likely. Only basic plans, no site specific info on footings longitudinal profile, depths- may not need to use the accolated value and go with the field average.

**Barrier status, source:** No Barrier per viewer, currently impassable (photos)

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 4523

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS CH

ATS CH

Water quality improvements: DS sedimentation noted, no passage currently as culvert is collapsed

Support letters, other notable benefits: Contact with USFWS, IFW, designated Critical Habitat

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Tributary to St. George River;

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** BKT found in nearby locations. Temperatures suitable. washed out and poorly replaced--erosion and potential stabilization issues currently

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 0 miles

ATS CH

Fish present, source of info: assumed, ATS unlikely per Wende Mahaney USFWS

RFP #: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-37 Rockport, Robinson Drive

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	19

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$772000 Total Match: \$647000

% Match proposed 83.8

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**Army Corp Permit info:** Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: 300000 Construction year: 2023 assumed

Feasibility for success: high match, funds planned, high project cost

#### **Design concerns or clarification required:**

Roadway is being relocated, we need more info on restoration of stream channel will also likely require permitting. New stream channel should be restored to a natural condition at least bankfull width. How far back will road be removed?

Structure type is likely to be issue with MaineDOT. Coordination as soon as feasible is recommended

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-38 Rumford, Prospect Avenue **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	10
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	27
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	16
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>53</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-38 Rumford, Prospect Avenue **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Rumford Dale Roberts

### Consultant/Agent Info:

Robert Prue, Pine Tree Engineering

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE**: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-38 Rumford, Prospect Avenue **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	10

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Rumford

Road name: Prospect Avenue

Stream Name: Unnamed trib to Androscoggin River

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 4'Dx42'L, Concrete pipe

Crossing Age: 60+ years

Bankfull width and method: 8.3. Multiple Field Average

New Structure size & type: 10'Sx8'Hx45'L, 4 Sided Concrete Box Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: 5-10 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: overtops 1x year on

average, cleaning every spring, deteriorating culvert 60+ years old

Change in culvert width: 1

flooding but condition is not near failure yet due to material/size

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, annually

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 0.7 mile detour, 24 homes

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:270

emergency response; Prospect Avenue is a heavily used road. The Flooding/Damage has not been severe enough to fully close the road to date, but it does increase maintenance costs for the Town and if not addressed the culvert is at risk of failing. short detour

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE**: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-38 Rumford, Prospect Avenue **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	27

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 8.3
Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, embedded 2 ft

Banks within structure? Yes
Type of bottom - Pebble Count

**New Structure considerations:** BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Additional Comments: pretty much flat slope, includes profile, good elevation

\$15k in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 23187

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: Wild brook trout habitat

Wild brook trout habitat

Water quality improvements: stream simulation design

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** contacted agencies, limited information available on drainage

DMR Resource/Habitat Comments: Above anadromy for all species except eels

IFW Resource/Habitat Comments: No file or data on stream

wild brook trout habitat, not much fisheries data available, 1 DS potential barrier

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 0.2 miles

Wild brook trout habitat

Fish present, source of info: likely, brook trout habitat mapped, not much info available

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-38 Rumford, Prospect Avenue **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$244000 Total Match: \$119000

% Match proposed: 48.7

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Site Specific 90%+

Army Corp Permit info: Application submitted

Costs over previous 10 years: none

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: detailed budget, reasonable costs, funds committed

Design concerns or clarification required:

RFP #: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER:** 2021R-39 Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection Name of RFP Coordinator: John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	17
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	31
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	16
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>64</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-39 Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Skowhegan Don Kinney

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

St. Germain

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-39 Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	17

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Skowhegan

Road name: Steward Hill Road Stream Name: Cold Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 18'Sx7'Hx45'L; 5'Dx45'L, pipe arch, CMP

Crossing Age: Unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 23.05. field measured, 1 US, DS each, matches models

New Structure size & type: 30'S(26'CS)x8'Hx26'L, Open bottom concrete arch Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: significant rust

damage noted (2 crossings), no overtopping or flood damage noted

Change in culvert width: 1.4

culvert in bad shape, unzipping along both sides

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 7 homes

**Detours:** 0

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:123 cut offs

emergency services

cut offs, lower volume road

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-39 Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	31

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 23.05

Bankfull width method: field measured, 1 US, DS each, matches models Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open Bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - Visual analysis

**New Structure considerations:** BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans

Additional Comments: only 1 upstream and 1 downstream BFW measurement used-matches models but still would like to have higher confidence in the sizing with more data points. Cross section and long profile included, no info on elevation of footings. Not sure if understand how \$10k in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26673

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: ATS CH. ATS modelled habitat 91 units

ATS CH. ATS modelled habitat 91 units

**Water quality improvements:** impounds water, very large island was observed in the middle of this stream downstream of the

Support letters, other notable benefits: contacted agencies, no impacts indicated

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** Tributary to Wesserunset Stream

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** File states "good spawning/nursery habitat, some adult habitat, YOY BKT efished"

good spawning and young of year habitat for Brook trout per IFW file, opens over 6 miles upstream **Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat-** 6.62 miles

ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 91 units

**Fish present, source of info:** none listed, assumed, "Cold Brook is a tributary of the Kennebec River, a river with known Atlantic salmon populations."

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-39 Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$378000 Total Match:\$ 253000

% Match proposed: 66.9

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**Army Corp Permit info:** Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: unknown

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable budget items, fund status planned

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER:** 2021R-40 Standish, Moody Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection Name of RFP Coordinator: John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	32
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>57</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-40 Standish, Moody Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Standish Roger Mosley

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

Ransom Consulting

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-40 Standish, Moody Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **EVALUATION OF SECTION II** Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	14

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Standish Road name: Moody Road Stream Name: Sticky River

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 4'Dx30'L, CMP

**Crossing Age**: Unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 11. measured in 2019 (no data), matches modelled values

**New Structure size & type:** 13.5'Sx4'Hx30'L, Open bottom concrete box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure: 1-5 years years

Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age: no overtopping

reported, regular cleaning. Undersized only 30% of 1.2xBFW sizing

Change in culvert width: 3.375

can't see through culvert, rusting along water line, significant erosion. Based on stream viewer erosion photos, possible previous overtopping

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, regular cleaning

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

Detours: 5.64 mile detour

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:313 none reported

The Town of Standish Public Works Facility is located adjacent to the culvert. Access to the

facility would be

no info on impacts, moderate detour length

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-40 Standish, Moody Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	32

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: none

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 11

**Bankfull width method:** measured in 2019 (no data), matches modelled values **Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?** Yes, TBD

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed No\* footers pinch

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open Bottom

Banks within structure? Yes Type of bottom - Pebble Count

New Structure considerations: none

**Additional Comments:** like to know more about how the bankfull width measurements were conducted, but seems consistent with the modelled values. Structure width at widest meets 1.2xBFW but since the stream channel elevation is at the elevation of the footings is between footin

\$18,000 in engineering

Barrier status, source: Potential Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8707

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: Brook Trout Habitat, Documented Alewife Habitat

Brook Trout Habitat, Documented Alewife Habitat

Water quality improvements: There is only one other partially obstructed culvert between this

location and the documented Alewife

Habitat of Sebago Lake. Current upstream backwater

Support letters, other notable benefits: no contact with fisheries agencies

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:**Above managed range for all species except maybe

eels; Tributary above Sebago Lake

IFW Resource/Habitat Comments: Wild BKT

wild brook trout present- in priority conservation area- erosion into riparian areas

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 1.8 miles

Brook Trout Habitat, Documented Alewife Habitat

Fish present, source of info: wild brook trout, alewives?

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-40 Standish, Moody Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	11

### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$237050 Total Match: \$112050

% Match proposed: 47.2

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan

Army Corp Permit info: No contact

Costs over previous 10 years: none

Construction year: 2023

Feasibility for success: reasonable costs, budget, funding uncertain

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-41 Starks, Locke Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	Х	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	Х	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	22
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife		45
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness		14
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>81</u>

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-41 Starks, Locke Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Starks Ernie Hilton

#### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

n/a

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE**: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-41 Starks, Locke Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	22

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Starks

Road name: Locke Hill Road Stream Name: Duley Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 3'Dx60'L, HDPE

Crossing Age: 1 years

Bankfull width and method: 13. Multiple Field Average, upstream only (higher than models)

**New Structure size & type:** 17.8'Sx8'HX40L, Open bottom concrete box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure: 1-3 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** Overtops 1x per year, requires cleaning, severe damage noted in photos (2020); number of 36 inch culverts have been tried and failed over years

Change in culvert width: 5.2

flooding photos, complete washout in 2020, replaced in kind

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: Yes, annually

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 15 homes, 10 businesses

Detours: 0

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:80

homes & businesses

town water line, no guard rails, emergency access & deliveries cut off

many businesses, homes cut off

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-41 Starks, Locke Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	45

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: Bankfull width, longitudinal profile, restoration plan

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 13

Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average, upstream only ( higher than models)

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes

### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open Bottom

Banks within structure? Yes
Type of bottom - Pebble Count

New Structure considerations: Bankfull width, longitudinal profile, restoration plan

Removal of downstream material may require permitting considerations due to distance downstream and temporary access road impacts. Please check with DEP and Army Corps staff

Additional Comments: good measurements and design. Restoration plan and all info included. Good profile, some concerns about impacts beyond crossing site in regards to permitting, but will likely improve function of crossing structure. Good water management plan - thoughtful 2018-concrete arch installed nearby Watson Stream

Barrier status, source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15724

**Benefits to Fish & Wildlife:** Brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat class 1 & 2 25 units

Brook trout habitat. ATS CH. ATS DPS. ATS modelled habitat class 1 & 2 25 units

**Water quality improvements:** large scour pool, stream sim design, long lived structure design **Support letters, other notable benefits:** support from IFW (Liz Thorndike), brook trout known directly downstream. Support from DMR-important salmon priority watershed

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:**Modeled ATS rearing habitat downstream; Tributary to Lemon Stream

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** BKT documented downstream and crossing directly downstream was recently replaced with fish passage

Sandy River Watershed, brook trout present, priority for IFW, DMR (Salmon), almost 6 miles opened. Downstream, crossing already replaced

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 5.86 miles

Brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat class 1 & 2 25 units

Fish present, source of info: wild brook trout, IFW confirmed, salmon present in watershed

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-41 Starks, Locke Hill Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	14

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$198675

Total Match: \$73675

**% Match proposed** 37%

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**Army Corp Permit info:** Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$25-30k "minimum"

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable costs, funding-town meeting approval 2022

**Design concerns or clarification required:** Removal of downstream material may require permitting considerations due to distance downstream and temporary access road impacts. Please check with DEP and Army Corps staff

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-42 Temple, Mitchell Brook Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	18
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	46
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>76</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-42 Temple, Mitchell Brook Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Temple Robert Van Riper

### Consultant/Agent Info:

St. Germain

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-42 Temple, Mitchell Brook Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	18

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: Temple

**Road name:** Mitchell Brook Road **Stream Name**: Henry Mitchell Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 9'Sx6'Hx41'L, CMP

Crossing Age: unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 14.9. Multiple Field Average

**New Structure size & type:** 19'Sx9'Hx40'6"L, Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: Yes

Estimated time to failure: <1 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** This elliptical, closed-bottom culvert is undersized and a short distance from a downstream bridge on Intervale Road. Should the culvert fail in an extreme event it could take out the bridge. The road shoulders adjacent to the crossing wash out every year

Change in culvert width: 2

aluminum pipe? Joints are coming undone, deformed, embankment erosion, piping

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 5 Detours: 0

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:0

5 homes cut off

Every year erosion of the road shoulder is repaired at an approximate cost of \$2,500.

multiple homes cut off, likely heavy flow due to steep watershed

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-42 Temple, Mitchell Brook Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	46

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: Bankfull width, longitudinal profile

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 14.9 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes, TBD

#### 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open Bottom

Banks within structure? Yes
Type of bottom - Pebble Count

New Structure considerations: Bankfull width, longitudinal profile

Make sure to get IFW wildlife review comments due to northern spring salamander Additional Comments: field average used, good longitudinal profile included, substrate analysis. Cross section doesn't show banks or footers in relation to elevation, if footers exposed it won't be meeting 1.2xBFW- based on the presence of Special concern salamander make sure almost 16" clear span indicated, is that at stream grade?

Barrier status, source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15726

**Benefits to Fish & Wildlife:** Wild brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.22 units ATS modelled habitat, northern spring salamander habitat, existing ATS rearing habitat 1/4 downstream, largely undeveloped high quality habitats

Wild brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.22 units ATS modelled habitat, northern spring salamander habitat, existing ATS rearing habitat 1/4 downstream, largely undeveloped high quality habitats

**Water quality improvements:** MDMR and USFWS have identified this area of the central Sandy River as a priority restoration area. MDMR

plants Atlantic salmon within Temple Stream and has had tremendous success with juvenile production in the

watershed. USFWS have been monitoring summe

**Support letters, other notable benefits:** Paul Christman indicated the project is located a ¼ mile from active egg

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-42 Temple, Mitchell Brook Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

planting sites and the downstream Walton's Mill Dam will be removed in 2022. This is a high priority project for MDMR and they fully support the funding request. Refer to attached letter of support. Becca Settele of MDIFW indicated that this project area intersects with Northern Spring Salamander habitat, which is considered a state special concern species. Establishing a stream crossing that follows Stream Smart guidelines will benefit this rare species.

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:**Surveyed ATS habitat immediately downstream; Tributary to Temple Stream

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** Sampled 2016, many YOY BKT and two age classes Sandy River Watershed, brook trout present, priority for IFW, DMR (Salmon), almost 6 miles opened. Downstream, crossing already replaced, almost 2 miles opened, northern spring salamander habitat-cold stream habitats. Dam removal DS happening in 2022

#### Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 1.75 miles

Wild brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.22 units ATS modelled habitat, northern spring salamander habitat, existing ATS rearing habitat 1/4 downstream, largely undeveloped high quality habitats

Fish present, source of info: Wild brook trout, DS salmon rearing habitat

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER:** 2021R-42 Temple, Mitchell Brook Road **DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$170000

Total Match: \$45000

% Match proposed: 26.4

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Site Specific Preliminary design

Army Corp Permit info: Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$25000, \$2500 per year

Construction year: 2023

Feasibility for success: reasonable costs, planned funding, likely need more erosion control \$

Design concerns or clarification required: Make sure to get IFW wildlife review comments due to

northern spring salamander

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-43 Tremont, Dix Point Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	х	
The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	29
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>57</u>

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-43 Tremont, Dix Point Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability		

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of Tremont Jesse Dunbar

### **Consultant/Agent Info:**

GF Johnnston & Assoc

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE**: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-43 Tremont, Dix Point Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Town Name:** Tremont

Road name: Dix Point Road Stream Name: Tinker Brook

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 6'Dx55'L, CMP

Crossing Age: Unknown years

Bankfull width and method: 6.4. no measurements, MSHV

New Structure size & type: 8'Sx8'Hx55'L, Open Bottom Concrete Box

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: n/a

Estimated time to failure: 3-5 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** March 21st 2019 storm combined high tide with surge. This elevation reached 4 feet above the highest annual tide in this area. The road is approximately 5 feet above the current HAT line, with storm surge and wave conditions it is reasonable expectation of overtopping in future

Change in culvert width: 1.3

condition seems reasonable but undersized, road elevation need to be raised for SLR?

**Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard:** Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

**Cut Offs:** 33 Homes **Detours:** no alt route

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic:

AADT:101; all residences cut off

FEMA Flood maps and Maine Dept. of Ag Slosh Map shows the potential future flood issues for this section of road.high cut offs

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-43 Tremont, Dix Point Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	29

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: None

**Bankfull width, method, & confidence:** 6.4 **Bankfull width method:** no measurements, MSHV

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? No, none intended 1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes, based on MSHV, need more info

**Natural Bottom information:** Natural bottom

Banks within structure? Shown in plans but is made of cobbles

Type of bottom - Cobbles

New Structure considerations: None

Must be at least 1.2x Bank full width, with longitudinal profile, sediment analysis. Would be a good project, but the design is really lacking in meeting the goals of the grant program.

Additional Comments: No real data-driven justification for sizing. Crossing should likely be longer, clean round stone may be a fish passage issue, should do a pebble count sediment analysis. Although BFW Sizing doesn't improve much and elevation shown may end up with a drop

\$6000 for engineering; No real justification for sizing, seems to back up water currently, how can we be sure passage will happen here? Basing sizing on tidal flows is a legitimate metric for sizing but it doesn't discount the other aspects of the grant p

Barrier status. source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 13898

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: TWWH, Modelled ATS habitat 1 unit, Rainbow smelt habitat

TWWH, Modelled ATS habitat 1 unit, Rainbow smelt habitat

**Water quality improvements:** need to know a lot more about the sizing rationale. It may be ok for passage but can't be sure without some additional information

Support letters, other notable benefits: contacted DMR, no input provided, no IFW contact

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:**Google Earth image clearly shows a gravel stream bed above the crossing. MSHV lists as sea-run smelt habitat. Also can see outwash from perched culvert onto delta below crossing.

**IFW Resource/Habitat Comments:** This is not a brook trout stream. No resources concerns. Mapped as limited rainbow smelt spawning habitat on MSHV, doesn't open much area but will be of high value to smelts that may not go very far upstream. Design doesn't adequately consider habitat and won't make much improvement. Could lead to blocking pass

Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 0.32 miles

TWWH, Modelled ATS habitat 1 unit, Rainbow smelt habitat

**Fish present, source of info:** alewives, sea run smelt, Atl. Salmon habitat-not likely present (per stream viewer)

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-43 Tremont, Dix Point Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	12

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$42500 Total Project Cost: \$85000

Total Match: \$42500

% Match proposed: 50%

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Conceptual plan/ plan view

**Army Corp Permit info:** No contact

Costs over previous 10 years: 0

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: not a big ask, but design, sizing concerns. Funding in place.

**Design concerns or clarification required:** Must be at least 1.2x Bank full width, with longitudinal profile, sediment analysis. Would be a good project, but the design is really lacking in meeting the goals of the grant program in the current design

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-44 York, Parsons Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

### **SUMMARY PAGE**

**Department Name:** Environmental Protection **Name of RFP Coordinator:** John Maclaine

Names of Evaluators: Jon Cullen, David Waddell, James Stahlnecker, John Maclaine

Pass/Fail Criteria		<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Applicability		
The proposed structure to be upgraded is located on a municipal road, is not owned by a private or state entity, and is not located on a road segment classified as a "State-Aid" road.	X	
The proposed project includes matching funds from local or other sources.	X	
• The proposed project is for the upgrade of a culvert, not currently a bridge as defined by the RFA.	X	
Scoring Sections		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety		16
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife		37
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness		17
<u>Total Points</u>		<u>70</u>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-44 York, Parsons Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# OVERVIEW OF SECTION I Applicability

Section I. Applicability	

### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Project qualifies for scoring under RFP#202106082.

#### **Contact information:**

Town of York Dean Lessard

### Consultant/Agent Info:

James Lowry, Fuss& O'Neil

**RFP #**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-44 York, Parsons Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# EVALUATION OF SECTION II Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section II: Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety	25	16

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Town Name: York

**Road name:** Parsons Road **Stream Name**: Josias River

Existing Culvert Size & Material: 9'Sx7'Hx60'L; 4'Dx60'L, pipe arch, CMP

Crossing Age: unknown, 15 years

Bankfull width and method: 15.5. Multiple Field Average

**New Structure size & type:** 20'Sx6'Hx56'L, Open bottom arch

Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure: 5-10 years

**Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age:** Culvert fully washed-out in 2006 and was reconstructed, no other overtopping/flooding events noted in last 10 years. Crossing is backwatered upstream

Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard: Yes

Regularly obstruction or maintenance required?: No

**Impact** 

Cut Offs: 0

**Detours:** 3.1 miles; 50-60 homes, 2 businesses

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic: AADT:1101; Greenleaf Parsons Road is a connector to Clay Hill Road and other roads off of

Clay Hill Road immediately west of the Maine Turnpike.

The culvert is located approximately 1,600 feet upstream of the crossing at the Maine Turnpike, and a failure at the Greenleaf Parsons Road could potentially cause damage at the turnpike crossing. high traffic volume, potential turnpike influence if washed out, moderate detour

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-44 York, Parsons Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

## EVALUATION OF SECTION III Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section III: Benefits to Fish & Wildlife	50	37

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Field work: bankfull width, concept plans

Bankfull width, method, & confidence: 15.5 Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average

Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? Yes, TBD

1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed Yes

Natural Bottom information: Yes, Open Bottom

Banks within structure? Yes

Type of bottom - TBD

New Structure considerations: bankfull width, concept plans

Additional Comments: no profile yet but says it will be completed. Good cross section, exceeds 1.2xmeasured BFW, some field work needed, like the inclusion of low flow channel in design \$30,000 in engineering including bidding/permitting

Barrier status. source: Barrier

Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 55791

Benefits to Fish & Wildlife: Brook trout habitat, Riparian connector; undeveloped habitat blocks,

Rare animal threatened species

within 1 mile of site

Brook trout habitat, Riparian connector; undeveloped habitat blocks, Rare animal threatened species within 1 mile of site

**Water quality improvements:** large scour pool, backwater. Replacement of the crossing will result in improved habitat connectivity between the downstream mainstem and a large upstream functional network (5.1 miles).

**Support letters**, **other notable benefits**: The Region A Fisheries Biologist was contacted and indicated support for the improved aquatic connectivity to benefit wild and stocked brook trout.

**DMR Resource/Habitat Comments:** no known nearby resources; above culverts on 95

IFW Resource/Habitat Comments: Wild BKT, stocked BKT, longnose dace

brook trout present and stocked, corrects habitat issues, many other barriers in the stream including Rte 95-unsure of passage

#### Habitat Opened/Improved: upstream habitat- 5.1 miles

Brook trout habitat, Riparian connector; undeveloped habitat blocks, Rare animal threatened species within 1 mile of site

Fish present, source of info: Brook trout, American eels

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER: 2021R-44 York, Parsons Road

**DATE:** 11/16/22, 1/4/22, 1/5/22, 1/6/22, 1/7/22, 1/28/22

# **EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Section IV: Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	25	17

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Requested funding: \$125,000 Total Project Cost: \$330000 Total Match: \$205000

% Match proposed: 62.1

**Engineering** 

To be stamped?: Yes

Level of plan included: Conceptual plan/ plan view & cross section

**Army Corp Permit info:** Contact

Costs over previous 10 years: \$39,769 was spent to repair the road and culverts after the Mother's

Day Storm of 2006. none spend since repairs

Construction year: 2022

Feasibility for success: reasonable costs for project size, funds not yet committed

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

DATE: 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Alna
Road name	Egypt Road
Stream Name	Ben Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	10'Rx55'L CMP
Crossing Age	26
Bankfull width and method	21.3; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	26'Sx16'Hx28'L Bridge DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, crossing undersized-high velocities. MDOT inspected the downstream headwall and determined it to be deficient and in need of repair.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.6 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	every year or two
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 10.7 miles -25 Residences, 1 Business
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	1000-acre Hidden Valley Nature Center In 2013, and again in 2021, the Town of Alna received a "bad bridge letter" from the MDOT

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

name
Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
Yes
Stream Smart Longitudinal profile survey
Yes
Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks? - Yes
Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 3747
High priority habitat area, support from Sean Ledwin (DMR), Jason
Seiders (IFW)
, ,
7.68 miles
ATS CH, ATS DPS, 77.4 Units ATS Class 1 & 3 modelled habitat
sampling data, which indicate the presence of Atlantic salmon, and
American eels as well as the following minnow species during 2006
and 1999 surveys: white sucker, black nosed dais, creek chub, and
common shiner

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$570000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$445000, 78.07%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$60,000.00

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Construction year	2022, 6 weeks
Feasibility for success;	\$105k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Alna
Road name	Egypt Road
Stream Name	Ben Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	10'Rx55'L CMP
Crossing Age	26
Bankfull width and method	21.3; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	26'Sx16'Hx28'L Bridge DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	1-3 ? Thinking longer lived, does not look that bad. 5-10 years?
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, crossing undersized-high velocities. MDOT inspected the downstream headwall and determined it to be deficient and in need of repair.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.6 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	every year or two
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 10.7 miles -25 Residences, 1 Business Few trips per day.
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	1000-acre Hidden Valley Nature Center In 2013, and again in 2021, the Town of Alna received a "bad bridge letter" from the MDOT

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

<u></u>	
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	Stream Smart Longitudinal profile survey
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
·	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Looks impassible especially at lower flow due to drop at DS
	end, opens large stretch of salmon habitat with corrected passage.
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 3747
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	High priority habitat area, support from Sean Ledwin (DMR), Jason
Water quality improvements;	Seiders (IFW) IF&W, DMR, TOC support and ATS watershed. Open
Support letters, other notable	bottom will help with fish passage with proposed rock weirs to
benefits	facilitate passage through 6+% slope.
Habitat Opened/Improved	7.68 miles Good amount of ATS habitat.
Habitat Opened/Improved	
	ATS CH, ATS DPS, 77.4 Units ATS Class 1 & 3 modelled habitat
Fish present, source of info	sampling data, which indicate the presence of Atlantic salmon, and
	American eels as well as the following minnow species during 2006
	and 1999 surveys: white sucker, black nosed dace, creek chub, and
	common shiner Most likely BKT benefit as well, though not noted.
	DMR indicates "surveyed ATS spawning and rearing habitat
	immediately downstream." Score 5.
	I miniculately devined cannot be one of

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$570000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$445000, 78.07%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$60,000.00
Construction year	2022, 6 weeks
Feasibility for success;	\$105k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Past engineering included, assumed? Average feasibility?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen** 

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Alna
Road name	Egypt Road
Stream Name	Ben Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	10'Rx55'L CMP
Crossing Age	26
Bankfull width and method	21.3; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	26'Sx16'Hx28'L Bridge DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, crossing undersized-high velocities. MDOT inspected the downstream headwall and determined it to be deficient and in need of repair.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.6 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	every year or two
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 10.7 miles -25 Residences, 1 Business
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	1000-acre Hidden Valley Nature Center In 2013, and again in 2021, the Town of Alna received a "bad bridge letter" from the MDOT

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen** 

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	Stream Smart Longitudinal profile survey
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 3747
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	High priority habitat area, support from Sean Ledwin (DMR), Jason
Water quality improvements;	Seiders (IFW)
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	7.68 miles
	ATS CH, ATS DPS, 77.4 Units ATS Class 1 & 3 modelled habitat
Fish present, source of info	sampling data, which indicate the presence of Atlantic salmon, and
	American eels as well as the following minnow species during 2006
	and 1999 surveys: white sucker, black nosed dace, creek chub, and
	common shiner

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$570000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$445000, 78.07%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$60,000.00

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen** 

Construction year	2022, 6 weeks
Feasibility for success;	\$105k in engineering;
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Rock weirs in addition to the bridge construction. Downstream photo shows what appears to be an older steel pipe that was slip-lined with a corrugated metal pipe; making fish passage even more unlikely. Well done application. If the ledge drop extended across the entire historic streambed was this passable to begin with?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE: 1/3/2022** 

**EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell** 

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Alna
Road name	Egypt Road
Stream Name	Ben Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	10'Rx55'L CMP
Crossing Age	26, somewhat new
Bankfull width and method	21.3; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	26'Sx16'Hx28'L Bridge DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	1-3,
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, crossing undersized-high velocities. MDOT inspected the downstream headwall and determined it to be deficient and in need of repair.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.6 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	every year or two
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 10.7 miles -25 Residences, 1 Business
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	1000-acre Hidden Valley Nature Center In 2013, and again in 2021, the Town of Alna received a "bad bridge letter" from the MDOT

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

III Dollollto to 1 loll & 11	ii. Deficits to Fish & Whalie		
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average		
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:		
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Yes		
	Stream Smart Longitudinal profile survey 😊		
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes		
sizing, considerations			
performed			
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count		
Banks within structure?	Banks? – Yes 😊		
New Structure considerations			
Barrier status, source	Barrier		
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 3747		
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	High priority habitat area, support from Sean Ledwin (DMR), Jason		
Water quality improvements;	Seiders (IFW) 😊		
Support letters, other notable			
benefits Habitat Opened/Improved	7.00 miles		
Habitat Opened/Improved	7.68 miles ©		
	ATS CH, ATS DPS, 77.4 Units ATS Class 1 & 3 modelled habitat		
Fish present, source of info	sampling data, which indicate the presence of Atlantic salmon, and		
	American eels as well as the following minnow species during 2006		
	and 1999 surveys: white sucker, black nosed dais, creek chub, and		
	common shiner		

### **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$570000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$445000, 78.07%, Town 210,000, Applied for grants for remainder
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$60,000.00

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-01- Alna, Egypt Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Construction year	2022, 6 weeks
Feasibility for success;	\$105k in engineering, seems high Include two companies.
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	E+S seems low for project.
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bangor
Road name	Essex Street
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Penjajawoc Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5.5'Wx3.5xHx27'L OB-concrete, stone
Crossing Age	65+
Bankfull width and method	6.63; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'Sx5'H x 56'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Yes, flooding due to "snow curb" during melt, incorporates drainage improvements. Dry stack stones failing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.81 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6.25 miles, 70 homes, 1 business
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	travel to/from Orono disrupted flooding damage, photos - narrow roadway, high crash location, fatal accident in 2019

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, not yet completed
profile?	Topo survey, conceptual design
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - MDOT Type D Gravel
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
	Barno. Tes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1094
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	No contact with fisheries agencies
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles
	ATC CH, 21.13 ATS Modelled habitat rearing units
Fish present, source of info	none listed, impaired

**III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness** 

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$250000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$125000, 50%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$300 for riprap
Construction year	2023

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Feasibility for success;	\$10,000 in engineering-city staff
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bangor
Road name	Essex Street
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Penjajawoc Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5.5'Wx3.5xHx27'L OB-concrete, stone
Crossing Age	65+
Bankfull width and method	6.63; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'Sx5'H x 56'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Yes, flooding due to "snow curb" during melt, incorporates drainage improvements. Dry stack stones failing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.81 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6.25 miles, 70 homes, 1 business <i>Many homes, high traffic count.</i>

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

travel to/from Orono disrupted

flooding damage, photos - narrow roadway, high crash location, fatal

accident in 2019 High speed street, high traffic.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, not yet completed
profile?	Topo survey, conceptual design
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes Good confidence in this width assessment. Slope has not been
sizing, considerations	completed yet, so questions about istallation? D Gravel streambed, not
performed	as good as matched material. No substrate assessment.
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - MDOT Type D Gravel Not as natural.
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1094
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	No contact with fisheries agencies
Water quality improvements;	Water quality benefits expected but low/no fisheries benefit.
Support letters, other notable	
benefits Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles
nabitat Opened/improved	
	ATC CH, 21.13 ATS Modelled habitat rearing units
Fish present, source of info	none listed, impaired WQ impacts but nothing for fisheries. DMR
	indicates "modeled ATS rearing habitat; above Penjajawoc Marsh."
	Score 4.

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$250000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$125000, 50%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Costs over previous 10 years	\$300 for riprap
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$10,000 in engineering-city staff
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Cost estimates via "city engineering dept." Other costs in addition, additive. Fairly loose estimate. Money is in place, despite lack of cost detail.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

DATE: December 30, 2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bangor
Road name	Essex Street
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Penjajawoc Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5.5'Wx3.5xHx27'L OB-concrete, stone
Crossing Age	65+
Bankfull width and method	6.63; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'Sx5'H x 56'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Yes, flooding due to "snow curb" during melt, incorporates drainage improvements. Dry stack stones failing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.81 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6.25 miles, 70 homes, 1 business
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	travel to/from Orono disrupted flooding damage, photos - narrow roadway, high crash location, fatal accident in 2019

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

DATE: December 30, 2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes, not yet completed Topo survey, conceptual design
Yes
Type of bottom - MDOT Type D Gravel Banks? - Yes
No Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1094
No contact with fisheries agencies
0 miles ATC CH, 21.13 ATS Modelled habitat rearing units
none listed, impaired

III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Emclency and Enectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$250000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$125000, 50%,
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$300 for riprap
costs over previous 10 years	
Construction year	2023

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

DATE: December 30, 2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

Feasibility for success;	\$10,000 in engineering-city staff
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Not a fish passage problem. Perhaps a water quality benefit? Quite a
Other comments	bit of design work left to complete. 4-sided box;

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bangor
Road name	Essex Street
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Penjajawoc Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5.5'Wx3.5xHx27'L OB-concrete, stone
Crossing Age	65+
Bankfull width and method	6.63; Multiple Field Average, Small Stream
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'Sx5'H x 56'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: No   Output  DOT: No  Output  DO

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Yes, flooding due to "snow curb" during melt, incorporates drainage improvements. Dry stack stones failing (so really NO)
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.81 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6.25 miles, 70 homes, 1 business
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	travel to/from Orono disrupted flooding damage, photos - narrow roadway, high crash location, fatal accident in 2019

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes, not yet completed Topo survey, conceptual design (More information wwould help)
Yes
Type of bottom - MDOT Type D Gravel Banks? - Yes
No Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1094
No contact with fisheries agencies (2)
0 miles ATC CH, 21.13 ATS Modelled habitat rearing units
none listed, impaired (no fish)

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$250000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$125000, 0.5,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$300 for riprap
Construction year	2023

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-02- Bangor, Essex Street

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$10,000 in engineering-city staff
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Not a high priority stream, poor habitate, not really flooding, town funded, not a barrier

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

DATE: 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Biddeford
Road name	Landry Street
Stream Name	Richardson Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	~3'Wx2'Hx70'L CMP
Crossing Age	25+
Bankfull width and method	4.38; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	6'Sx6'Hx70'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding or damage reported, undersized
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 1 Mile; 10 Homes, 14 Businesses, 3 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	culvert is located approximately 0.5 miles from the City's public works facility, approximately 1,000 feet from the Regional Airport and approximately 1 mile from the public schools

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

DOT paving moratorium after work planned in 2 years

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes, not yet completed existing conditions and plan view sketch
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Natural Stream Bed Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 10137
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contacted IFW ER - general recommendations
Habitat Opened/Improved	.09 miles ATS Modelled Habitat listed, but not present (-1.00)
Fish present, source of info	none listed, impaired

### **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match;	Total: \$161000 Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$36000, 22.3%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Costs over previous 10 years	\$7,500.00
Construction year	2022, 4 weeks
Feasibility for success;	\$12000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME: Jim Stahlnecker** 

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Biddeford
Road name	Landry Street
Stream Name	Richardson Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	~3'Wx2'Hx70'L CMP
Crossing Age	25+
Bankfull width and method	4.38; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	6'Sx6'Hx70'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	3-5 Perhaps longer, looks fairly stable?
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding or damage reported, undersized <i>Not particularly high risk or damage?</i>
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No Not a big issue?
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 1 Mile; 10 Homes, 14 Businesses, 3 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	culvert is located approximately 0.5 miles from the City's public works facility, approximately 1,000 feet from the Regional Airport and approximately 1 mile from the public schools <i>Three important sites</i>

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME: Jim Stahlnecker** 

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

nearby but short detour and few homes, some businesses, high traffic
(3k).
DOT paving moratorium after work planned in 2 years

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, not yet completed
profile?	existing conditions and plan view sketch
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Natural Stream Bed
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 10137
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted IFW ER - general recommendations
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	OO mailes
Habitat Opened/Improved	.09 miles
	ATS Modelled Habitat listed, but not present (-1.00)
Fish present, source of info	none listed, impaired <i>This is a WQ project from a habitat perspective,</i>
	very short stretch opened and fisheries not specified except modelled
	ATS habitat. Could have fish, no data. No comment from DMR. Score
	0.

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$161000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$36000, 22.3%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch, no profile yet but plan to do SS profile. Multiple field average width, larger size than required.

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME: Jim Stahlnecker** 

Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$7,500.00
Construction year	2022, 4 weeks
Feasibility for success;	\$12000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Fairly modest overall cost project, reasonable engineering cost, money in place and so likely to proceed.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Biddeford
Road name	Landry Street
Stream Name	Richardson Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	~3'Wx2'Hx70'L CMP
Crossing Age	25+
Bankfull width and method	4.38; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	6'Sx6'Hx70'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding or damage reported, undersized
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 1 Mile; 10 Homes, 14 Businesses, 3 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	culvert is located approximately 0.5 miles from the City's public works facility, approximately 1,000 feet from the Regional Airport and approximately 1 mile from the public schools

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

DOT paving moratorium after work planned in 2 years

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes, not yet completed existing conditions and plan view sketch
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Natural Stream Bed Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 10137
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contacted IFW ER - general recommendations
Habitat Opened/Improved	.09 miles ATS Modelled Habitat listed, but not present (-1.00)
Fish present, source of info	none listed, impaired

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$161000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$36000, 22.3%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

Costs over previous 10 years	\$7,500.00
Construction year	2022, 4 weeks
Feasibility for success;	\$12000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	"The stream is not in a Maine environment". Probably meant to say it isn't in a Marine environment. It's a barrier but there are no fish. Letter from IFW?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Biddeford
Road name	Landry Street
Stream Name	Richardson Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	~3'Wx2'Hx70'L CMP
Crossing Age	25+
Bankfull width and method	4.38; Multiple Field Average, Small Stream
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	6'Sx6'Hx70'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding or damage reported, undersized
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 1 Mile; 10 Homes, 14 Businesses, 3 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	culvert is located approximately 0.5 miles from the City's public works facility, approximately 1,000 feet from the Regional Airport and approximately 1 mile from the public schools

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

DOT paving moratorium after work planned in 2 years

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes, not yet completed existing conditions and plan view sketch Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Natural Stream Bed Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 10137
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contacted IFW ER - general recommendations
Habitat Opened/Improved	.09 miles ATS Modelled Habitat listed, but not present (-1.00)
Fish present, source of info	none listed, impaired

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$161000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$36000, 22.3% Low match
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-03- Biddeford, Landry Street

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Costs over previous 10 years	\$7,500.00
Construction year	2022, 4 weeks
Feasibility for success;	\$12000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	319 Projects on Richardson and Thatcher, No longitudinal information, Small match, no brook trout

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bradford
Road name	Lagrange Road
Stream Name	Middle Branch Dead Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	16'Wx7.5'Hx27'L OB Concrete Box Bridge?
Crossing Age	84
Bankfull width and method	23.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	29'Sx7'Hx28'L Bridge DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No Flooding or damage reported, The wing walls have been repaired 2 times. The water rises up over the banks but not over the crossing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.8125 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 8.8 miles; 53 Homes, 1 business, 1 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	The Fire Dept would have to detour for a little over 6 miles for structure fires, school bus detours Summary of 2018 MDOT inspection report: "concrete slab

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

is in serious conditionwith exposed and corroded main
reinforcingthere is widespread map cracking at the bottom of the
deckAbutment walls are in poor conditioneast abutment and
wingwall footings are exposed for the entire lengthmonolithic
wingwalls are in poor condition. All wingwalls have heavy spalling and
delamination."

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Yes
	90%+ plans, survey, longitudinal profile
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations performed	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
Nov. Charactura considerations	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1644
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted IFW/DMR - DMR:possibility of a
Water quality improvements;	stray adult and possible juveniles if the conditions allow for survival;
Support letters, other notable	that would
benefits	be if the habitat was "riffle/run and the thermal conditions were
	favorable". IFW-1.2 for improvement to BKT Habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles
	ATS Class 2 Modelled habitat 15.54 units; Brook Trout Habitat
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout, per IFW

### **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$286500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$161500, 56.3%,

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ Plans
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022, 1 month
Feasibility for success;	not intending to turn over to DOT; Cost savings in designover simlar
Additional efficiencies;	structures
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bradford
Road name	Lagrange Road
Stream Name	Middle Branch Dead Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	16'Wx7.5'Hx27'L OB Concrete Box
Crossing Age	84
Bankfull width and method	23.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	29'Sx7'Hx28'L Bridge DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No Flooding or damage reported, The wing walls have been repaired 2 times. The water rises up over the banks but not over the crossing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.8125 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 8.8 miles; 53 Homes, 1 business, 1 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	The Fire Dept would have to detour for a little over 6 miles for structure fires, school bus detours Summary of 2018 MDOT inspection report: "concrete slab

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

is in serious conditionwith exposed and corroded main
reinforcingthere is widespread map cracking at the bottom of the
deckAbutment walls are in poor conditioneast abutment and
wingwall footings are exposed for the entire lengthmonolithic
wingwalls are in poor condition. All wingwalls have heavy spalling and
delamination."

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Yes
	90%+ plans, survey, longitudinal profile
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1644
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted IFW/DMR - DMR:possibility of a
Water quality improvements;	stray adult and possible juveniles if the conditions allow for survival;
Support letters, other notable	that would be if the habitat was "riffle/run and the thermal conditions
benefits	were favorable". IFW-1.2 for improvement to BKT Habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles
	ATS Class 2 Modelled habitat 15.54 units; Brook Trout Habitat
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout, per IFW. DMR indicates "modeled ATS rearing habitat;
	tributary to Pushaw Stream." Score 4.
	<u> </u>

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$286500 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$161500, 56.3%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ Plans

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022, 1 month
Feasibility for success;	not intending to turn over to DOT; Cost savings in designover simlar
Additional efficiencies;	structures
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bradford
Road name	Lagrange Road
Stream Name	Middle Branch Dead Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	16'Wx7.5'Hx27'L OB Concrete Box
Crossing Age	84
Bankfull width and method	23.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	29'Sx7'Hx28'L Bridge DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No Flooding or damage reported, The wing walls have been repaired 2 times. The water rises up over the banks but not over the crossing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.8125 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 8.8 miles; 53 Homes, 1 business, 1 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	The Fire Dept would have to detour for a little over 6 miles for structure fires, school bus detours Summary of 2018 MDOT inspection report: "concrete slab

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

is in serious conditionwith exposed and corroded main
reinforcingthere is widespread map cracking at the bottom of the
deckAbutment walls are in poor conditioneast abutment and
wingwall footings are exposed for the entire lengthmonolithic
wingwalls are in poor condition. All wingwalls have heavy spalling and
delamination."

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Yes
	90%+ plans, survey, longitudinal profile
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations performed	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
Nav. Charachara a a sida actiona	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1644
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted IFW/DMR - DMR:possibility of a
Water quality improvements;	stray adult and possible juveniles if the conditions allow for survival;
Support letters, other notable	that would
benefits	be if the habitat was "riffle/run and the thermal conditions were
	favorable". IFW-1.2 for improvement to BKT Habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles
	ATS Class 2 Modelled habitat 15.54 units; Brook Trout Habitat
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout, per IFW

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$286500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$161500, 56.3%,

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ Plans
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022, 1 month
Feasibility for success;	not intending to turn over to DOT; Cost savings in designover simlar
Additional efficiencies;	structures
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Existing structure is a bridge not a culvert

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bradford (Not reviewed)
Road name	Lagrange Road
Stream Name	Middle Branch Dead Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	16'Wx7.5'Hx27'L OB Concrete Box
Crossing Age	84
Bankfull width and method	23.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	29'Sx7'Hx28'L Bridge DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No Flooding or damage reported, The wing walls have been repaired 2 times. The water rises up over the banks but not over the crossing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.8125 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 8.8 miles; 53 Homes, 1 business, 1 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	The Fire Dept would have to detour for a little over 6 miles for structure fires, school bus detours Summary of 2018 MDOT inspection report: "concrete slab

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

is in serious conditionwith exposed and corroded main
reinforcingthere is widespread map cracking at the bottom of the
deckAbutment walls are in poor conditioneast abutment and
wingwall footings are exposed for the entire lengthmonolithic
wingwalls are in poor condition. All wingwalls have heavy spalling and
delamination."

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Yes
	90%+ plans, survey, longitudinal profile
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations performed	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
N 6: 1 11	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1644
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted IFW/DMR - DMR:possibility of a
Water quality improvements;	stray adult and possible juveniles if the conditions allow for survival;
Support letters, other notable benefits	that would
benefits	be if the habitat was "riffle/run and the thermal conditions were
	favorable". IFW-1.2 for improvement to BKT Habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles
	ATS Class 2 Modelled habitat 15.54 units; Brook Trout Habitat
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout, per IFW

### **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$286500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$161500, 56.3%,

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-04- Bradford, Lagrange Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ Plans
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022, 1 month
Feasibility for success;	not intending to turn over to DOT; Cost savings in designover simlar
Additional efficiencies;	structures
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bridgton
Road name	Wildwood Road
Stream Name	Sucker Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5'Rx25'L RCP
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	12.17; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15'Sx5'Hx25'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT:

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Flooded 2x in last 10 years, requires regular cleaning. Damage documented
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes?
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	every few months in summer during ehavy rain events
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 8 Homes, 1 business, 1 critical infratructure Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	no alternate route exists, affects Camp Wildwood, Wastewater treatment plant

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Delicing to Fish & W	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes None
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - ?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8571
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	information pending from agencies at time of application
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.14 miles Wild Brook Trout Habitat
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout, per MSHV

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Emcichey and Encouveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$231264
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$106264, 45.9%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: None
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
. ,	
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Feasibility for success;	no plans or sketch included, performance criteria not completed
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bridgton
Road name	Wildwood Road
Stream Name	Sucker Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5'Rx25'L RCP
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	12.17; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15'Sx5'Hx25'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT:

Estimated time to failure	1-3 Has it gotten worse recently, as appears better in photos?
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Flooded 2x in last 10 years, requires regular cleaning. Damage documented <i>Documented flooding</i> .
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes?
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	every few months in summer during heavy rain events <i>Due to debris and</i> so requires cleaning?
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 8 Homes, 1 business, 1 critical infratructure Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	no alternate route exists, affects Camp Wildwood, Wastewater treatment plant <i>Stuck with cutoff possibility.</i>

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	None
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes No 1.2 analysis,
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count <i>proposed count, not performed yet.</i>
Banks within structure?	
Danks Within Structure:	Banks? - ? Did not answer question? Solid bottom makes banks tough
	to construct.
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8571
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	information pending from agencies at time of application
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.14 miles
	Wild Brook Trout Habitat MSHViewer does not list BKT presence,
	rather warm temps, perched culvert and so opened a potential barrier.
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout, per MSHV. DMR no comment. Score 0.
,,	2.00

#### **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$231264 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$106,264, 45.9%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: None
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Feasibility for success;	no plans or sketch included, performance criteria not completed
Additional efficiencies;	Makes assessment difficult with closed bottom and little info provided.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Higher engineering cost, fairly detailed itemized budget, however no engineering plans at present, funding from in house, substantial 46% match. Total costs appear to exceed total stated.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bridgton
Road name	Wildwood Road
Stream Name	Sucker Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5'Rx25'L RCP
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	12.17; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15'Sx5'Hx25'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT:

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Flooded 2x in last 10 years, requires regular cleaning. Damage documented
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes?
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	every few months in summer during ehavy rain events
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 8 Homes, 1 business, 1 critical infratructure Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	no alternate route exists, affects Camp Wildwood, Wastewater treatment plant

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? : Yes None
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - ?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8571
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	information pending from agencies at time of application
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.14 miles Wild Brook Trout Habitat
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout, per MSHV

III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$231264
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$106264, 45.9%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: None
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

Feasibility for success;	no plans or sketch included, performance criteria not completed;
Additional efficiencies;	closed bottom box?
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Propose to use material excavated from stream for streambed construction? Closed bottom box. Not sure how much of a barrier that
	pipe really is. Warm water; no salmonids.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

#### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Bridgton
Road name	Wildwood Road
Stream Name	Sucker Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5'Rx25'L RCP
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	12.17; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge  Program if 10' or greater?	15'Sx5'Hx25'L 4 Sided Concrete Box 😕
Program if 10' or greater?	DOT:

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Flooded 2x in last 10 years, requires regular cleaning. Damage documented
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes?
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	every few months in summer during ehavy rain events
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 8 Homes, 1 business, 1 critical infratructure Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	no alternate route exists, affects Camp Wildwood, Wastewater treatment plant

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average meaured values different from modeled Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes None
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - ? Unknown
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8571
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	information pending from agencies at time of application
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.14 miles Wild Brook Trout Habitat
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout, per MSHV

#### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii ooot Emoloney aha Emocuveneee	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$231264
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$106264, 45.9%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: None
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
· · ·	
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-05- Bridgton, Wildwood Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

Feasibility for success;	no plans or sketch included, performance criteria not completed
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	High engineering costs, 2 or more miles of habitat opened.
Other comments	
other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Brooklin
Road name	Hale's Hill Road
Stream Name	Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	8.5'Wx5'Hx20'L Bridge? Stone/Concrete box?
Crossing Age	50+
Bankfull width and method	11.1; Field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 12' (Stream Stats)
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15'Sx5'Hx28'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding or damage noted, undersized; The High Tide Elevation extends through the crossing to a point approximately 150' south of the crossing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.76 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 5.7 miles; no # affected Detours: ? Unknown, delays between towns, increased traffic during Blue Hill Falls Bridge work planned

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

affects Fire assitance/mutual aid

roadway with only 20 ft, narrow for 2 vehicles. Height less than mapped

FEMA 100 year flood elevation

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 12' (Stream Stats)  Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:  Yes  Field BFW, longitudinal profile not included?
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - not listed Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 50651
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	no fisheries concerns with project, full support from Greg Burr (IFW)
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles Wild Brook Trout Habitat, TWWH, Tidal marsh
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout per BwH

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$181050
Total Match;	Requested: \$100000
% Match proposed	Match: \$81050, 44.7%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	tidal below crossing
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Brooklin
Road name	Hale's Hill Road
Stream Name	Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	8.5'Wx5'Hx20'L Bridge? Stone/Concrete box?
Crossing Age	50+
Bankfull width and method	11.1; Field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 12' (Stream Stats)
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15'Sx5'Hx28'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding or damage noted, undersized; The High Tide Elevation extends through the crossing to a point approximately 150' south of the crossing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.76 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 5.7 miles; no # affected Detours: ? Unknown, delays between towns, increased traffic during Blue Hill Falls Bridge work planned

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	affects Fire assitance/mutual aid
affected critical infrastructure,	roadway with only 20 ft, narrow for 2 vehicles. Height less than mapped
other safety issues, traffic	FEMA 100 year flood elevation

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Field average upstream only; used higher
method, & confidence; skew	calculated value of 12' (Stream Stats)
matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
profile?	Yes
	Field BFW, longitudinal profile not included?
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - not listed
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 50651
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	no fisheries concerns with project, full support from Greg Burr (IFW)
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles
	Wild Brook Trout Habitat, TWWH, Tidal marsh
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout per BwH. DMR indicates "smelt habitat." Score 4.

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii ooot Eiiioioiioy ana Eiiootivoiiooo	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$181050
Total Match;	Requested: \$100000
% Match proposed	Match: \$81050, 44.7%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	tidal below crossing
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Brooklin
Road name	Hale's Hill Road
Stream Name	Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size &	8.5'Wx5'Hx20'L Bridge? Stone/Concrete box?
Material	
Crossing Age	50+
Bankfull width and method	11.1; Field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 12'
	(Stream Stats)
New Structure size & type.	15'Sx5'Hx28'L Open Bottom Concrete Box
Contacted DOT Bridge	DOT: No
Program if 10' or greater?	

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding or damage noted, undersized; The High Tide Elevation extends through the crossing to a point approximately 150' south of the crossing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.76 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 5.7 miles; no # affected Detours: ? Unknown, delays between towns, increased traffic during Blue Hill Falls Bridge work planned

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	affe
affected critical infrastructure,	road
other safety issues, traffic	FEIV

ects Fire assitance/mutual aid

dway with only 20 ft, narrow for 2 vehicles. Height less than mapped

MA 100 year flood elevation

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 12' (Stream Stats)  Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:  Yes  Field BFW, longitudinal profile not included?
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - not listed Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 50651
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	no fisheries concerns with project, full support from Greg Burr (IFW)
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles Wild Brook Trout Habitat, TWWH, Tidal marsh
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout per BwH

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

	iiii Goot Emilionoly and Emocritomose	
	Total Project Cost;	Total: \$181050
	Total Match;	Requested: \$100000
	% Match proposed	Match: \$81050, 44.7%
I		To be stamped?: Yes
	Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
	Army Corp Permit info	Contact
L		

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	tidal below crossing
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Existing structure is a bridge not a culvert

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Brooklin (Not Reviewed)
Road name	Hale's Hill Road
Stream Name	Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	8.5'Wx5'Hx20'L Bridge? Stone/Concrete box?
Crossing Age	50+
Bankfull width and method	11.1; Field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 12' (Stream Stats)
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15'Sx5'Hx28'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding or damage noted, undersized; The High Tide Elevation extends through the crossing to a point approximately 150' south of the crossing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.76 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 5.7 miles; no # affected Detours: ? Unknown, delays between towns, increased traffic during Blue Hill Falls Bridge work planned

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	affects Fire assitance/mutual aid
affected critical infrastructure,	roadway with only 20 ft, narrow for 2 vehicles. Height less than mapped
other safety issues, traffic	FEMA 100 year flood elevation

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

ii. Deficits to Fish & Whalie		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal	Bankfull width method: Field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 12' (Stream Stats)  Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:	
profile?	Yes Field BFW, longitudinal profile not included?	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes	
sizing, considerations	res	
performed		
performed		
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - not listed	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	No Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 50651	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	no fisheries concerns with project, full support from Greg Burr (IFW)	
Water quality improvements;		
Support letters, other notable		
benefits		
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles	
	Wild Brook Trout Habitat, TWWH, Tidal marsh	
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout per BwH	
	·	

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$181050
Total Match;	Requested: \$100000
% Match proposed	Match: \$81050, 44.7%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-06- Brooklin, Hale's Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	tidal below crossing
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Brownfield
Road name	Phen Road
Stream Name	Cole Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2 - 5.5Sx3.5'Hx31'L oval metal pipe
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	13.84; field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 14'
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.8'Sx5.4'Hx21'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The road was overtopped at this culvert in October of 2011 and October of 2017. undersized-hydraulic modelling. Significant rusting/deterioration, holes in culvert
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.61 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, 1x per year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 6.21 miles; 3 detoured homes, 11 businesses, 3 crit infrastructure Detours: 0

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	emergency response delays
affected critical infrastructure,	
other safety issues, traffic	

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Benefits to 1 ion & Whalife		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 14'	
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :	
projne:	Yes	
	full profile, survey, restoration plan included	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Pottom information	Time of hottom. Dobble count	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 10399	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Assistance from USFWS, IFW \$ as match; "MDIFW has sampled the	
Water quality improvements;	Shephards River drainage extensively and counts it one of the best	
Support letters, other notable	wild Brook	
benefits	Trout resources in southern Oxford County."	
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.14 miles	
	Wild Brook Trout Habitat, historic ATS habitat -Cold water refugia,	
	spawning, and	
	nursery habitat for wild Brook Trout	
Fish present, source of info	Wild brook trout	
,,		

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

····· coor = ··································	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$135299
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$10299, 7.61%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/7/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$1,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10,000 engineering costs, restoration plan included
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Brownfield
Road name	Phen Road
Stream Name	Cole Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2 - 5.5Sx3.5'Hx31'L oval metal pipe
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	13.84; field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 14'
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.8'Sx5.4'Hx21'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The road was overtopped at this culvert in October of 2011 and October of 2017. undersized-hydraulic modelling. Significant rusting/deterioration, holes in culvert <i>Two overtoppings, but headwalls appear solid.</i>
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.61 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, 1x per year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6.21 miles; 3 detoured homes, 11 businesses, 3 crit infrastructure Low traffic, is a detour, quite a few businesses.

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	emergency response delays
affected critical infrastructure,	
other safety issues, traffic	

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 14' Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, restoration plan included
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 10399
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Assistance from USFWS, IFW \$ as match; "MDIFW has sampled the Shephards River drainage extensively and counts it one of the best wild Brook Trout resources in southern Oxford County."
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.14 miles Wild Brook Trout Habitat, historic ATS habitat -Cold water refugia, spawning, and nursery habitat for wild Brook Trout
Fish present, source of info	Wild brook trout Good support from IF&W, project important to other restoration in watershed as a last barrier removal. Next upstream barrier already replaced, so 1.14 miles is significanly more (+1.69 mi). DMR no comment, score 0.

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

im cool Emoloney and Emocaronico	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$135299
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$10299, 7.61%

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$1,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10,000 engineering costs, restoration plan included
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Only upstream average width but used rounded up, higher 14 ft. value.
Other comments	Footings well below scour depth, >2ft. Good plans.
Other comments	7.6% match, 10k engineering reasonable, good plan, good feasibility,
	limited town budget, will happen with this match.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Brownfield
Road name	Phen Road
Stream Name	Cole Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2 - 5.5Sx3.5'Hx31'L oval metal pipe
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	13.84; field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 14'
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.8'Sx5.4'Hx21'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The road was overtopped at this culvert in October of 2011 and October of 2017. undersized-hydraulic modelling. Significant rusting/deterioration, holes in culvert
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.61 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, 1x per year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 6.21 miles; 3 detoured homes, 11 businesses, 3 crit infrastructure Detours: 0

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	emergency response delays
affected critical infrastructure,	
other safety issues, traffic	

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

= 0.101.10 10 1.101.10 1.101.10		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 14'	
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:	
profile:	Yes	
	full profile, survey, restoration plan included	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 10399	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Assistance from USFWS, IFW \$ as match; "MDIFW has sampled the	
Water quality improvements;	Shephards River drainage extensively and counts it one of the best	
Support letters, other notable	wild Brook	
benefits	Trout resources in southern Oxford County."	
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.14 miles	
	Wild Brook Trout Habitat, historic ATS habitat -Cold water refugia,	
	spawning, and	
	nursery habitat for wild Brook Trout	
Fish present, source of info	Wild brook trout	

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

im coor = more j ima = moon onco	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$135299
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$10299, 7.61%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$1,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10,000 engineering costs, restoration plan included.
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Capstone project for restoration efforts in watershed. Working with
Other comments	TU and IFW to enhance brook trout habitat area in watershed.
Other comments	Culverts are last barrier. Wish every project was like this one. It's a
	win for public safety and the environment.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Brownfield
Road name	Phen Road
Stream Name	Cole Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2 - 5.5Sx3.5'Hx31'L oval metal pipe
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	13.84; field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 14'
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.8'Sx5.4'Hx21'L Open Bottom Concrete Box © DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The road was overtopped at this culvert in October of 2011 and October of 2017. undersized-hydraulic modelling. Significant rusting/deterioration, holes in culvert
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.61 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, 1x per year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6.21 miles; 3 detoured homes, 11 businesses, 3 crit infrastructure moderate detour

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	emergency response delays
affected critical infrastructure,	
other safety issues, traffic	

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations	Bankfull width method: field average upstream only; used higher calculated value of 14' Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, restoration plan included Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 10399
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Assistance from USFWS, IFW \$ as match; "MDIFW has sampled the Shephards River drainage extensively and counts it one of the best wild Brook Trout resources in southern Oxford County."
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.14 miles Wild Brook Trout Habitat, historic ATS habitat -Cold water refugia, spawning, and nursery habitat for wild Brook Trout
Fish present, source of info	Wild brook trout

#### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Cost Emclericy and Enectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$135299
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$10299, 7.61%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-07- Brownfield, Phen Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$1,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10,000 engineering costs, restoration plan included
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Barrier with brook trout, Top priority for IF&W
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Buckfield
Road name	Bear Pond Road
Stream Name	Drew Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'x31'L CMP, 2 - 1.5'x31' HDPE metal and plastic
Crossing Age	20, 2, 2
Bankfull width and method	13.5; field average 2 up 2 downstream,
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.5'Sx8'Hx34'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The road completely washed out around 2013 and was damaged again around 2015. Road overtopping 2-3 times over last 10 years, requires annual cleaning. Culvert is rooting and peeling up on inside, photos.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 15 miles; 11 homes, 25-30 businesses, 3 cirtical infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	long detour, emergency delays
Affordad modidonto business	significant amount of commercial (logging,
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure,	delivery, paving, well drilling, septic pumpers, agricultural support
other safety issues, traffic	businesses etc.) vehicles use this road. It is a major thoroughfare
other sarcty issues, traffic	between Turner, Hartford and Buckfield. The current crossing has no
	guard rails for safety, a new crossing as proposed would include these.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

ii. Deficits to Fish & Whalie		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: field average 2 up 2 downstream,	
matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:	
profile?	Yes	
	full profile, survey, restoration plan included	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
Name Characteristic and a state state and		
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 22239	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Paul Christman of MDMR does not	
Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable	consider this site to be a high priority for his office due to the number of	
benefits	downstream dams currently limiting access to wild Atlantic salmon.	
	This stream has known wild Eastern brook trout habitat, represents an	
	area of cold water streams likely to	
	remain resilient in the face of climate change, and this crossing	
	represents the most important barrier in this	
	catchment with over four miles upstream limited.	
Habitat Opened/Improved	4.15 miles	
	ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.5 units upstream, brook trout	
	habitat, IWWH	
Fish present, source of info	brook trout, blacknosed dace,	
	creek chub, and white suckers per IFW survey. Contact with DMR &	
	IFW.	

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$125000 Requested: \$120000 Match: \$5000, 4.00%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$7500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Buckfield
Road name	Bear Pond Road
Stream Name	Drew Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'x31'L CMP, 2 - 1.5'x31' HDPE metal and plastic
Crossing Age	20, 2, 2
Bankfull width and method	13.5; field average 2 up 2 downstream,
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.5'Sx8'Hx34'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The road completely washed out around 2013 and was damaged again around 2015. Road overtopping 2-3 times over last 10 years, requires annual cleaning. Culvert is rooting and peeling up on inside, photos. Failure documented, regular overtopping and cleaning required.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 15 miles; 11 homes, 25-30 businesses, 3 cirtical infrastructure Major travel route, wood industry? Or other?, 328 cars day, what is infrastructure? Not explained, long detour.

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

		long detour, emergency delays
ACC	significant amount of commercial (logging,	
	ffected residents, business, fected critical infrastructure,	delivery, paving, well drilling, septic pumpers, agricultural support
	ther safety issues, traffic	businesses etc.) vehicles use this road. It is a major thoroughfare
Other se	ther surety issues, trume	between Turner, Hartford and Buckfield. The current crossing has no
		guard rails for safety, a new crossing as proposed would include these.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: field average 2 up 2 downstream,
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Yes
projile:	full profile, survey, restoration plan included
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 22239
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Paul Christman of MDMR does not
Water quality improvements;	consider this site to be a high priority for his office due to the number
Support letters, other notable	of downstream dams currently limiting access to wild Atlantic salmon.
benefits	This stream has known wild Eastern brook trout habitat, represents an
	area of cold water streams likely to remain resilient in the face of
	climate change, and this crossing represents the most important
	barrier in this catchment with over four miles upstream limited.
Habitat Opened/Improved	4.15 miles
	ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.5 units upstream, brook trout
	habitat, IWWH Above many dams for ATS, but cold water BKT habitat,
	4 miles opened if potential barrier.
Fish present, source of info	brook trout, blacknosed dace,
	creek chub, and white suckers per IFW survey. Contact with DMR &
	IFW. DMR indicates no comments, score 0.

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$125000 Requested: \$120000 Match: \$5000, 4.00%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design Level of design is good, only two width average US & DS so went with 1.3 factor, 17.8 ft. width. Footers well below scour depth. 80% of 100 yr. storm.
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$7500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Low match and erosion and sedimentation costs might be low estimate, design good, overall low project cost, money from budget in place w/IF&W split too.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

DATE: 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Buckfield
Road name	Bear Pond Road
Stream Name	Drew Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'x31'L CMP, 2 - 1.5'x31' HDPE metal and plastic
Crossing Age	20, 2, 2
Bankfull width and method	13.5; field average 2 up 2 downstream,
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.5'Sx8'Hx34'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The road completely washed out around 2013 and was damaged again around 2015. Road overtopping 2-3 times over last 10 years, requires annual cleaning. Culvert is rooting and peeling up on inside, photos.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 15 miles; 11 homes, 25-30 businesses, 3 cirtical infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

DATE: 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

		long detour, emergency delays
	Control or side at a level or a	significant amount of commercial (logging,
	Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure,	delivery, paving, well drilling, septic pumpers, agricultural support
	other safety issues, traffic	businesses etc.) vehicles use this road. It is a major thoroughfare
Oti		between Turner, Hartford and Buckfield. The current crossing has no
		guard rails for safety, a new crossing as proposed would include these.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: field average 2 up 2 downstream, Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, restoration plan included Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 22239
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits  Habitat Opened/Improved	Paul Christman of MDMR does not consider this site to be a high priority for his office due to the number of downstream dams currently limiting access to wild Atlantic salmon. This stream has known wild Eastern brook trout habitat, represents an area of cold water streams likely to remain resilient in the face of climate change, and this crossing represents the most important barrier in this catchment with over four miles upstream limited.  4.15 miles
	ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.5 units upstream, brook trout habitat, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout, blacknosed dace, creek chub, and white suckers per IFW survey. Contact with DMR & IFW.

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

DATE: 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match;	Total: \$125000 Requested: \$120000
% Match proposed	Match: \$5000, 4.00%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$7500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Maybe a partial barrier. 2 miles of habitat upstream opened; brook trout; Good design.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Buckfield
Road name	Bear Pond Road
Stream Name	Drew Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'x31'L CMP, 2 - 1.5'x31' HDPE metal and plastic "overflow" culverts
Crossing Age	20, 2, 2
Bankfull width and method	13.5; field average 2 up 2 downstream, 😊
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.5'Sx8'Hx34'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The road completely washed out around 2013 and was damaged again around 2015. Road overtopping 2-3 times over last 10 years, requires annual cleaning. Culvert is rotting and peeling up on inside, photos.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 15 miles; 11 homes, 25-30 businesses, 3 cirtical infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	long detour, emergency delays
Affected residents business	significant amount of commercial (logging,
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure,	delivery, paving, well drilling, septic pumpers, agricultural support
other safety issues, traffic	businesses etc.) vehicles use this road. It is a major thoroughfare
other sarety issues, traine	between Turner, Hartford and Buckfield. The current crossing has no
	guard rails for safety, a new crossing as proposed would include these.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Bankfull width method: field average 2 up 2 downstream, Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, restoration plan included Yes
sizing, considerations performed	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 22239
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Paul Christman of MDMR does not consider this site to be a high
Water quality improvements;	priority for his office due to the number of downstream dams
Support letters, other notable benefits	currently limiting access to wild Atlantic salmon. This stream has known wild Eastern brook trout habitat, represents an area of cold water streams likely to remain resilient in the face of climate change, and this crossing represents the most important barrier in this catchment with over four miles upstream limited.
Habitat Opened/Improved	4.15 miles ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.5 units upstream, brook trout habitat, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout, blacknosed dace, creek chub, and white suckers per IFW survey. Contact with DMR & IFW.

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-08- Buckfield, Bear Pond Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$125000
Total Match;	Requested: \$120000
% Match proposed	Match: \$5000, 4.00%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$7500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Long detour with high impact, Road has washed out completely before.
Other comments	Brook trout.
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Burnham
Road name	Pond Rd
Stream Name	Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	11'Sx7.5'Hx56'L oval metal pipe
Crossing Age	56
Bankfull width and method	15.2; 12' chosen for structure width due to stone walls from mill DS that are 11 feet wide
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	12'S x9.5'Hx54'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	crossing caused flooding in 2016 (road closed), annual cleaning and beaver issues. Culvert ends have rusted off, erosion under & behind culvert
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.09 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
Cut-ons or detours	Detours: 5.26 mile detour; 15 homes, 1 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: 11' chosen for structure width due to stone walls from mill DS that are 11 feet wide Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	No
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Visual analysis Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16567
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted IFW/DMR-no response
Habitat Opened/Improved	20.32 miles IWWH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout,alewives DS?

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii Goot Emolonoy and Emoduvonoco	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$200000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$75000, 37.5%,
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site Specific 90%+ Plans
	·
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over provious 10 years	\$2,700 road repairs, \$20,000 debris removal over 10 years
Costs over previous 10 years	
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Feasibility for success;	\$16000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
011	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Burnham
Road name	Pond Rd
Stream Name	Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	11'Sx7.5'Hx56'L oval metal pipe
Crossing Age	56
Bankfull width and method	15.2; 12' chosen for structure width due to stone walls from mill DS that are 11 feet wide
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	12'S x9.5'Hx54'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	crossing caused flooding in 2016 (road closed), annual cleaning and beaver issues. Culvert ends have rusted off, erosion under & behind culvert <i>One previous flooding event, debris/cleaning and maintenance.</i>
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.09 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.26 mile detour; 15 homes, 1 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: 11' chosen for structure width due to stone
	walls from mill DS that are 11 feet wide
matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
profile?	Yes
	full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	No
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Visual analysis
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Looks like barrier depending on flow in project photos.
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16567
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted IFW/DMR-no response
Water quality improvements;	,
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	20.32 miles Huge mileage gain with opened habitat, but not the best
	CW fisheries habitat, IF&W says warmer water habitat.
	IWWH
Fish present, source of info	
risii present, source of fillo	brook trout, alewives DS in Unity Pd., alewives would not use with no
	pond habitat upstream, warm water habitat and is barrier so opens up
	20 miles. DMR indicates "trib to Unity Pd.", Score 2.

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$200000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$75000, 37.5%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site Specific 90%+ Plans Visual natural bottom analysis weaker, 90%+ plans, cross section does not really show scour pools, slope, not stream smart profile type. Chose DS width based on a constricted width, compared to measured 17, 29 & 11 BFW. Models at 22' or 19'. But used 11'.

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$2,700 road repairs, \$20,000 debris removal over 10 years
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$16000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Saving, money set aside in fund, \$200k total cost, engineering \$16k reasonable/low, match 37.5%.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

DATE: 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Burnham
Road name	Pond Rd
Stream Name	Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	11'Sx7.5'Hx56'L oval metal pipe
Crossing Age	56
Bankfull width and method	15.2; 12' chosen for structure width due to stone walls from mill DS that are 11 feet wide
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	12'S x9.5'Hx54'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	crossing caused flooding in 2016 (road closed), annual cleaning and beaver issues. Culvert ends have rusted off, erosion under & behind culvert
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.09 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
	Detours: 5.26 mile detour; 15 homes, 1 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

DATE: 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

# II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: 11' chosen for structure width due to stone walls from mill DS that are 11 feet wide
matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
profile?	Yes
	full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	No
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Visual analysis
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16567
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted IFW/DMR-no response
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	20.32 miles
Habitat Opened/Improved	IWWH
	IVV VV II
Fish present, source of info	brook trout,alewives DS?

# III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii 000t Emolonoy and Emocaronece	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$200000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$75000, 37.5%,
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site Specific 90%+ Plans
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$2,700 road repairs, \$20,000 debris removal over 10 years
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

DATE: 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

Feasibility for success;	\$16000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Not bankful width, why can't old foundations be moved? Doesn't look
	like it should be an alewife streamit's upstream of Unity pond and I
	don't see another pond in the watershed. There would be an awful lot
	of upstream habitat opened.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Burnham
Road name	Pond Rd
Stream Name	Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	11'Sx7.5'Hx56'L oval metal pipe
Crossing Age	56
Bankfull width and method	15.2; 12' chosen for structure width due to stone walls from mill DS that are 11 feet wide
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	12'S x9.5'Hx54'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	5-10 Honest
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	crossing caused flooding in 2016 (road closed), annual cleaning and beaver issues. Culvert ends have rusted off, erosion under & behind culvert Needs to be replaced
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.09 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
	Detours: 5.26 mile detour; 15 homes, 1 critical infrastructure Moderate
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

nume
Bankfull width method: 11' chosen for structure width due to stone walls from mill DS that are 11 feet wide Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans
No
Type of bottom - Visual analysis Banks? - Yes
Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16567
contacted IFW/DMR-no response
20.32 miles
brook trout, alewives DS?

# III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii 000t Emolonoy and Emocaronece	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$200000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$75000, 37.5%,
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site Specific 90%+ Plans
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$2,700 road repairs, \$20,000 debris removal over 10 years
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-09- Burnham, Pond Rd

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$16000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Not bank full width, known flooding, repair costs 22700, large amount of concerted habitat but marginal value

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Chapman
Road name	West Chapman Road
Stream Name	Alder Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4.5'Rx60'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	18.5; modelled value chosen over measured field values as
	"representative",
New Structure size & type.	22'Sx8'Hx60'L 4 Sided Concrete Box
Contacted DOT Bridge	DOT: No, indicated contact upon award
Program if 10' or greater?	

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping of road, beaver issues-screen installed, damage to roadway reported from flooding. In 2017 inlet side pklugged and 6 foot section was destroyed.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 4.88 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleaning & beavers
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 15 homes, 1 business Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	cut offs Floods residences nearby when culvert backs up. Potential impacts to recreation are (Scopan Mountain)

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

# II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	=	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: modelled value chosen over measured field values as "representative", Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:? preliminary survey, BFW	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	yes, but unclear if BFW is accurate	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - recommendation from agencies Banks? - ?	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 30817	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	no contact with DMR, contacted IFW, 1975 survey, brook trout, suckers, various "baitfish"	
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.11 miles Brook trout habitat, IWWH	
Fish present, source of info	brook trout	

III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Emclency and Emectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$540000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$415000, 76.85 %
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$\$500 cleaning, \$2500 repairs to structure, \$5000 road claures
,	
Construction year	2023

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Feasibility for success;	\$50,000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Chapman
Road name	West Chapman Road
Stream Name	Alder Brook
Existing Culvert Size &	4.5'Rx60'L CMP
Material	
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	18.5; modelled value chosen over measured field values as
	"representative",
New Structure size & type.	22'Sx8'Hx60'L 4 Sided Concrete Box
Contacted DOT Bridge	DOT: No, indicated contact upon award
Program if 10' or greater?	

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping of road, beaver issues-screen installed, damage to roadway reported from flooding. In 2017 inlet side plugged and 6 foot section was destroyed. <i>Previous damage, partial failure, screen is functioning as a dam. Poor condition, seem opening.</i>
Change in culvert width	Approx. 4.88 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleaning & beavers
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 15 homes, 1 business 170 cars/day nearby road, low traffic but these are cut offs if culvert fails.  Detours: 0

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

cut offs

Floods residences nearby when culvert backs up. Potential impacts to

recreation area (Scopan Mountain)

# II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: modelled value chosen over measured field values as "representative", Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:? preliminary survey, BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	yes, but unclear if BFW is accurate
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - recommendation from agencies Banks? - ?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 30817
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	no contact with DMR, contacted IFW, 1975 survey, brook trout, suckers, various "baitfish"
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.11 miles ??? Brook trout habitat, IWWH Seasonal BKT habitat? and a barrier on MSHV with significant 5+ mi.??? opened upstream. Does not look like much for tribs based on MSHV but does say 5 mi. upstream.
Fish present, source of info	brook trout Seasonal BKT habitat at best, if warm water seasonally?  DMR no comment, score 0.

# III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Emolency and Encouveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$540000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$415000, 76.85 %
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$\$500 cleaning, \$2500 repairs to structure, \$5000 road claures
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$50,000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	High engineering cost for work shown, 75% match, funding partner
Design concerns or	NRBC possible w/application, and high proportion of total cost.
clarification required	
Other comments	BF width accuracy?, measured should be outside backwatered area.  Not shown to be representative? Probably could have been done further DS, and looks narrower there. Close to 1.2 for width used.  Natural bottom in closed bottom box as per agency input, no longitudinal profile and not clear if they plan to do one? Probably not with already existing design. Slope questionable due to short length.  Not SS design.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Chapman
Road name	West Chapman Road
Stream Name	Alder Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4.5'Rx60'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	18.5; modelled value chosen over measured field values as
	"representative",
New Structure size & type.	22'Sx8'Hx60'L 4 Sided Concrete Box
Contacted DOT Bridge	DOT: No, indicated contact upon award
Program if 10' or greater?	

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping of road, beaver issues-screen installed, damage to roadway reported from flooding. In 2017 inlet side pklugged and 6 foot section was destroyed.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 4.88 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleaning & beavers
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 15 homes, 1 business Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	cut offs Floods residences nearby when culvert backs up. Potential impacts to recreation are (Scopan Mountain)

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

# II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

in Bononto to Fion & Friamo	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: modelled value chosen over measured field values as "representative", Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:? preliminary survey, BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	yes, but unclear if BFW is accurate
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - recommendation from agencies Banks? - ?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 30817
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	no contact with DMR, contacted IFW, 1975 survey, brook trout, suckers, various "baitfish"
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.11 miles Brook trout habitat, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout

# III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Emcicity and Encouveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$540000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$415000, 76.85 %
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
	·
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$\$500 cleaning, \$2500 repairs to structure, \$5000 road claures
Construction year	2023

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

Feasibility for success;	\$50,000 in engineering? 4 sided box complicates installation
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Looks like it might impound water above structure. Alder Lake is an
	IWWH. 4-sided box; bank full width measurements taken upstream in
	a flowed area, not sure how accurate they are. Modeled brook trout
	habitat too generous?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Chapman
Road name	West Chapman Road
Stream Name	Alder Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4.5'Rx60'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	18.5; modelled value chosen over measured field values as "representative",
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	22'Sx8'Hx60'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping of road, beaver issues-screen installed, damage to roadway reported from flooding. In 2017 inlet side plugged and 6 foot section was destroyed.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 4.88 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleaning & beavers
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 15 homes, 1 business Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	cut offs Floods residences nearby when culvert backs up. Potential impacts to recreation are (Scopan Mountain)

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

# II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: modelled value chosen over measured field values as "representative", Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:? preliminary survey, BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	yes, but unclear if BFW is accurate
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - recommendation from agencies Banks? - ?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 30817
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	no contact with DMR, contacted IFW, 1975 survey, brook trout, suckers, various "baitfish"
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.11 miles Brook trout habitat, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout

# III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Emcichey and Encouveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$540000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$415000, 76.85 %
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$\$500 cleaning, \$2500 repairs to structure, \$5000 road closures
Construction year	2023

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-10- Chapman, West Chapman Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$50,000 in engineering High
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Clogging due to beaver grate, no banks in design, 15 homes cut off, brook trout, stream measurements seem funky.

RFP #: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	China
Road name	Ingraham Road
Stream Name	Hewitt Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2 culverts - 2.75'Rx52'L; 2'Sx52'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	7.25; Field measured, 1 upstream, 1 downstream only. Is siginificantly lower than modelled values
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx7'Hx36'L Metal arch on concrete footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping reported in last 10 years, no wash outs. Undersized with 2 culverts. Culverts are rusted out in bad shape
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleaning & beavers
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 3.3 mile detour; 19 homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency response delays Ingraham Road is a through road that connects Route 32 and Kidder Road. If the crossing were to fail, it would

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

take emergency responders longer to reach citizens. Hewitt Brook
crosses under two more roads (Tyler Road
and Shuman Road) before joining the Sheepscot River and debris from
the failure could cause damage to
these downstream crossings.

# II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Field measured, 1 upstream, 1 downstream only. Is siginificantly lower than modelled values Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans yes, but unclear if BFW is accurate
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 3772
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted DMR, no response. IFW- no impacts of concern
Habitat Opened/Improved	4.58 miles Brook trout habitat, ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout

# III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$150000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$25000, 16.6%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	China
Road name	Ingraham Road
Stream Name	Hewitt Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2 culverts - 2.75'Rx52'L; 2'Sx52'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	7.25; Field measured, 1 upstream, 1 downstream only. Is siginificantly lower than modelled values
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx7'Hx36'L Metal arch on concrete footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3 Pretty rough shape, disconnection and rust out bottom.
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping reported in last 10 years, no wash outs. Undersized with 2 culverts. Culverts are rusted out in bad shape
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleaning & beavers
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 3.3 mile detour; 19 homes <i>Shorter detour, loop to get end to end of culvert section.</i>

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency response delays
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Ingraham Road is a through road that connects Route 32 and Kidder
	Road. If the crossing were to fail, it would
	take emergency responders longer to reach citizens. Hewitt Brook
	crosses under two more roads (Tyler Road
	and Shuman Road) before joining the Sheepscot River and debris from
	the failure could cause damage to
	these downstream crossings. 130 cars/day, not high traffic.

# II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Field measured, 1 upstream, 1 downstream only. Is siginificantly lower than modelled values Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	yes, but unclear if BFW is accurate
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 3772
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted DMR, no response. IFW- no impacts of concern
Habitat Opened/Improved	4.58 miles Brook trout habitat, ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout BKT "habitat"??? IF&W indicated warm water species.  Good distance opened U.S. DMR comment "looks like headwaters to Sheepscot trib. Very far upstream." Score 2.

# III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Total Project Cost; Total Match;	Total: \$150000 Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$25000, 16.6%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	16.6% match, reasonable project cost, engineering cost OK.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	BFW lower than modelled values and chose lower value (9.37), but proposed structure width is only slightly wider. Slope not correct with no US values included, can't determine if elevation is correct/not set.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	China
Road name	Ingraham Road
Stream Name	Hewitt Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2 culverts - 2.75'Rx52'L; 2'Sx52'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	7.25; Field measured, 1 upstream, 1 downstream only. Is siginificantly lower than modelled values
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx7'Hx36'L Metal arch on concrete footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping reported in last 10 years, no wash outs. Undersized with 2 culverts. Culverts are rusted out in bad shape
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleaning & beavers
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 3.3 mile detour; 19 homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency response delays Ingraham Road is a through road that connects Route 32 and Kidder Road. If the crossing were to fail, it would

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

take emergency responders longer to reach citizens. Hewitt Brook
crosses under two more roads (Tyler Road
and Shuman Road) before joining the Sheepscot River and debris from
the failure could cause damage to
these downstream crossings.

# II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Beliefits to I isli & W	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: Field measured, 1 upstream, 1 downstream only. Is siginificantly lower than modelled values
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? : Yes
	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	yes, but unclear if BFW is accurate
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 3772
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted DMR, no response. IFW- no impacts of concern
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	4.58 miles
	Brook trout habitat, ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout

# III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$150000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$25000, 16.6%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 12/30/2021

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

n
in engineering
box; says brookies but looks doubtful; modeled Atlantic salmon Only 2 bankfull width measurements; needs more engineering

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	China
Road name	Ingraham Road
Stream Name	Hewitt Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2 culverts - 2.75'Rx52'L; 2'Sx52'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	7.25; Field measured, 1 upstream, 1 downstream only. Is siginificantly lower than modelled values
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx7'Hx36'L Metal arch on concrete footers © DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping reported in last 10 years, no wash outs. Undersized with 2 culverts. Culverts are rusted out in bad shape No flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleaning & beavers
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 3.3 mile detour; 19 homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency response delays Ingraham Road is a through road that connects Route 32 and Kidder Road. If the crossing were to fail, it would

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	take emergency responders longer to reach citizens. Hewitt Brook
	crosses under two more roads (Tyler Road
	and Shuman Road) before joining the Sheepscot River and debris from
	the failure could cause damage to
	these downstream crossings.

# II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: Field measured, 1 upstream, 1 downstream only. Is siginificantly lower than modelled values Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans yes, but unclear if BFW is accurate
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 3772
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted DMR, no response. IFW- no impacts of concern
Habitat Opened/Improved	4.58 miles Brook trout habitat, ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout

# III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$150000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$25000, 16.6%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-11- China, Ingraham Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10000 in engineering 😊
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Connector road, short detour and not many trips, Contech design, no banks, Bottom is gone on culvert.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Clinton
Road name	True Road
Stream Name	Beaver Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3 - (2) 5'Rx32'L; (1) 6'Rx30'L CMP
Crossing Age	58, 58, 40
Bankfull width and method	15.8; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	22'Sx10'Hx56'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping of road, annual cleaning, flooding damage reported with photos included. HY-8 analysis overtops at Q50. The bottom has rotted out of the two CMP culverts This is causing the crossing to sag away from the road surface which has eroded the ro
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 2 homes, 1 business Detours: 0

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

cut offs

Damage happens about once annually with high flows; most recent was on Oct. 31, 2021 with a 1.5" rain.

# II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16601
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for restoration and protection. (See attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	9.15 miles ATS Modelled habitat 55.64 units class 1
Fish present, source of info	assumed present

# **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$232500 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$107500, 46.23%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/8/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$500.00
Construction year	2022 (assumed, indicates 2021)
Feasibility for success;	\$17,500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

# I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Clinton
Road name	True Road
Stream Name	Beaver Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3 - (2) 5'Rx32'L; (1) 6'Rx30'L CMP
Crossing Age	58, 58, 40
Bankfull width and method	15.8; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	22'Sx10'Hx56'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping of road, annual cleaning, flooding damage reported wit photos included. HY-8 analysis overtops at Q50. The bottom has rotted out of the two CMP culverts This is causing the crossing to sag away from the road surface which has eroded the road. Very poor condition, failing bottom, wash out and piping between and under twin culverts. Flooding event.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 2 homes, 1 business Low use, few homes, one business (farm or woodlot?) 100+ vehicles/day??? True?  Detours: 0

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

cut offs

Damage happens about once annually with high flows; most recent was on Oct. 31, 2021 with a 1.5" rain.

# II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

<u></u>	
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
banks within structure.	ballks: - les
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16601
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage
Water quality improvements;	Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier
Support letters, other notable	Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high
benefits	priority for restoration and protection. (See
	attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	9.15 miles
	ATS Modelled habitat 55.64 units class 1
Fish present, source of info	assumed present <i>Temps suitable for BKT, presence not documented,</i>
,	long stretch above opened, ATS modelled habitat?, are I-95 culverts
	passable? DMR comments "not much for salmon but probably has
	eels, etc." Score 3.
	2010, 2001 201

# **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$232500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$107500, 46.23%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

DATE: 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$500.00
Construction year	2022 (assumed, indicates 2021)
Feasibility for success;	\$17,500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	46% match, moderate engineering includes bidding and permitting, in
Design concerns or	town budget, 15k erosion control.
clarification required	
Other comments	Open bottom CB, banks, longitudinal profile, one of TNC projects, approach is SS design, going beyond 1.2 BFW in design, becomes DOT crossing.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Clinton
Road name	True Road
Stream Name	Beaver Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3 - (2) 5'Rx32'L; (1) 6'Rx30'L CMP
Crossing Age	58, 58, 40
Bankfull width and method	15.8; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	22'Sx10'Hx56'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping of road, annual cleaning, flooding damage reported with photos included. HY-8 analysis overtops at Q50. The bottom has rotted out of the two CMP culverts This is causing the crossing to sag away from the road surface which has eroded the ro
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 2 homes, 1 business Detours: 0

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

cut offs

Damage happens about once annually with high flows; most recent was on Oct. 31, 2021 with a 1.5" rain.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16601
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for restoration and protection. (See attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	9.15 miles ATS Modelled habitat 55.64 units class 1
Fish present, source of info	assumed present

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$232500 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$107500, 46.23%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$500.00
Construction year	2022 (assumed, indicates 2021)
Feasibility for success;	\$17,500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Doesn't look like great fish habitat and it's a potential barrier, but there's clearly a safety issue. Good design.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Clinton
Road name	True Road
Stream Name	Beaver Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3 - (2) 5'Rx32'L; (1) 6'Rx30'L CMP
Crossing Age	58, 58, 40
Bankfull width and method	15.8; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	22'Sx10'Hx56'L Open bottom concrete box ©  DOT: Yes, design reviewed ©

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping of road, annual cleaning, flooding damage reported with photos included. HY-8 analysis overtops at Q50. The bottom has rotted out of the two CMP culverts This is causing the crossing to sag away from the road surface which has eroded the road.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 2 homes, 1 business Detours: 0

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

cut offs

Damage happens about once annually with high flows; most recent was on Oct. 31, 2021 with a 1.5" rain.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
James Willim Scraecare.	Dailys: - 162
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16601
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage
Water quality improvements;	Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier
Support letters, other notable	Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high
benefits	priority for restoration and protection. (See
	attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	9.15 miles
	ATS Modelled habitat 55.64 units class 1
Fish present serves of infe	
Fish present, source of info	assumed present

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$232500 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$107500, 46.23%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-12- Clinton, True Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$500.00
Construction year	2022 (assumed, indicates 2021)
Feasibility for success;	\$17,500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Good Design, support from TNC, stream realignment,

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

DATE: 12/6/21, 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Cornville
Road name	Molunkus Road
Stream Name	Mill Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	14.4'S x9'Hx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	55
Bankfull width and method	34; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	59'Sx9.9'Hx28'L 24' channel width Bridge DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, annual debris cleaning, damage to roadway from flooding reported. Partial failures/collapsing of the culvert and buckling of the road have occurred each spring for the last 2-3 years.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 8 miles; 50 homes, 4 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency response delays
	Aging and damage done to the culvert as well as a
Affected residents, business,	lack of stormwater and runoff management at the site
affected critical infrastructure,	has led to the continued degradation of the culvert and
other safety issues, traffic	caused buckling of the road. Resurfacing and patching
	projects have been required to maintain safe passage
	of vehicles over the stream crossing.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Deficits to Fish & Whalle		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: No BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26695	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	1985 survey sound blacknose dace, common shiner, sunfish, eels, and sucker, Electrofishing data from neighboring streams has confirmed adult wild brook trout in Paine Brook (upstream), and nursery habitat for young brook trout in Cold Brook (downstream).	
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.23 miles ATS modelled habitat 93.62 units, drainage of alewife pond	
Fish present, source of info	American eels, brook trout likely	

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$498000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$373000, 74.89%,

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$5000-10000
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	12000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Cornville
Road name	Molunkus Road
Stream Name	Mill Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	14.4'S x9'Hx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	55
Bankfull width and method	34; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	59'Sx9.9'Hx28'L 24' channel width Bridge DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, annual debris cleaning, damage to roadway from flooding reported. Partial failures/collapsing of the culvert and buckling of the road have occurred each spring for the last 2-3 years. Does not look to be in bad condition, really will only last <1 yr? No poor condition photos evident?
Change in culvert width	Approx. 4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 8 miles; 50 homes, 4 businesses (275 cars/day), quite a few homes but moderate detour distance.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency response delays
	Aging and damage done to the culvert as well as a
Affected residents, business,	lack of stormwater and runoff management at the site
affected critical infrastructure,	has led to the continued degradation of the culvert and
other safety issues, traffic	caused buckling of the road. Resurfacing and patching
	projects have been required to maintain safe passage
	of vehicles over the stream crossing.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	No
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26695
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	1985 survey sound blacknose dace, common shiner, sunfish, eels, and
Water quality improvements;	sucker, Electrofishing data from neighboring streams has confirmed
Support letters, other notable	adult wild brook trout in Paine Brook (upstream), and nursery habitat
benefits	for young
	brook trout in Cold Brook (downstream).
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.23 miles
	ATS modelled habitat 93.62 units, drainage of alewife pond
	documented potential? DMR stocked, no commercial harvest.
Fish present, source of info	American eels, brook trout likely. DMR, no comment, score 0.
	·

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$498000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$373000, 74.89%,

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$5000-10000
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success; Additional efficiencies; Design concerns or clarification required	12000 in engineering 75% match, loaned money >\$100k, likely to occur, price from bid in place, 32ft questionable need and DOT approval? Could do more measurement DS to establish BFW requirement & appropriate size. May need some redesign, extra work to make it happen correctly
Other comments	15 US barriers, 3 DS barriers, not currently accessible, lots of modelled salmon habitat, one barrier in many. BKT present in prior survey.  Oversized with US and DS measurements taken in culvert influence area. Should have been further US and further DS. Width is inflated and thus costs too, can span be made without central supports?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Cornville
Road name	Molunkus Road
Stream Name	Mill Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	14.4'S x9'Hx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	55
Bankfull width and method	34; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	59'Sx9.9'Hx28'L 24' channel width Bridge DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, annual debris cleaning, damage to roadway from flooding reported. Partial failures/collapsing of the culvert and buckling of the road have occurred each spring for the last 2-3 years.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 8 miles; 50 homes, 4 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency response delays
	Aging and damage done to the culvert as well as a
Affected residents, business,	lack of stormwater and runoff management at the site
affected critical infrastructure,	has led to the continued degradation of the culvert and
other safety issues, traffic	caused buckling of the road. Resurfacing and patching
	projects have been required to maintain safe passage
	of vehicles over the stream crossing.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Beliefits to Fish & Whalife		
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average	
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :	
matches stream, longitudinal	No	
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26695	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	1985 survey sound blacknose dace, common shiner, sunfish, eels, and	
Water quality improvements;	sucker, Electrofishing data from neighboring streams has confirmed	
Support letters, other notable	adult wild brook trout in Paine Brook (upstream), and nursery habitat	
benefits	for young	
	brook trout in Cold Brook (downstream).	
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.23 miles	
	ATS modelled habitat 93.62 units, drainage of alewife pond	
Fish present, source of info	American eels, brook trout likely	

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$498000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$373000, 74.89%,

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$5000-10000
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	12000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Existing structure appears to provide fish passage in what is primarily a warm water stream. Alewives in the system; brookies; new structure to be 60' in width? Doesn't seem correct.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Cornville
Road name	Molunkus Road
Stream Name	Mill Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	14.4'S x9'Hx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	55
Bankfull width and method	34; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	59'Sx9.9'Hx28'L 24' channel width Bridge © DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1 Overstated
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, annual debris cleaning, damage to roadway from flooding reported. Partial failures/collapsing of the culvert and buckling of the road have occurred each spring for the last 2-3 years. No flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 8 miles; 50 homes, 4 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

emergency response delays Aging and damage done to the culvert as well as a lack of stormwater and runoff management at the site has led to the continued degradation of the culvert and caused buckling of the road. Resurfacing and patching projects have been required to maintain safe passage of vehicles over the stream crossing.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: No BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26695	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	1985 survey sound blacknose dace, common shiner, sunfish, eels, and sucker, Electrofishing data from neighboring streams has confirmed adult wild brook trout in Paine Brook (upstream), and nursery habitat for young brook trout in Cold Brook (downstream).	
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.23 miles ATS modelled habitat 93.62 units, drainage of alewife pond	
Fish present, source of info	American eels, brook trout likely	

III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$498000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$373000, 74.89%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-13- Cornville, Molunkus Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$5000-10000
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	12000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Seems like < 1 year to failure is overstated. Needs to meet MDOT standards. Brook Trout likely.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

DATE: 12/6/21, 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Deer Isle
Road name	Sunshine Road
Stream Name	Meadow Brook (tidal)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Rx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	8.4; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	12'Sx12'Hx40'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: Yes, and consulted with Region Engineer

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping but damage from flooding in last 10 years. During extreme storm events the culvert has been undermined. The most recent occurrence was 10/30/21.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 11.5 mile detour; 368 homes, 8 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency response delays
Affected residents, business,	A food processing facility, our only road construction company and the
affected critical infrastructure,	Haystack Mountain
other safety issues, traffic	School of Crafts are on the Sunshine side of Meadowbrook and would
	also be forced to detour.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes, Tidal exceeds 1.2xBFW
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Umaine analysis Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 51137
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	outreach to DEP, DOT staff
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.63 miles TWWH, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	sea run rainbow smelt, eels likely

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

ini ooot Emolonoy and Emocutonooo	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$400000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$275000, 68.75%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022 (assumed, says 2021)
Feasibility for success;	University of Maine Student Engineering Team. 58 page report
Additional efficiencies;	includes extensive calculations justifying their recommendation for a
Design concerns or	12 foot ID box culvert. ~150k in engineering donated
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Deer Isle
Road name	Sunshine Road
Stream Name	Meadow Brook (tidal)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Rx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	8.4; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	12'Sx12'Hx40'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: Yes, and consulted with Region Engineer

Estimated time to failure	<1 Questionable, is it 1-3 or less than 1?
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping but damage from flooding in last 10 years. During extreme storm events the culvert has been undermined. The most recent occurrence was 10/30/21. Damage, recent storm event damage in fall 2021, sink holes in road.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 11.5 mile detour; 368 homes, 8 businesses Very high home count, 8 businesses, pretty high local impacts, traffic.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency response delays
Affected residents, business,	A food processing facility, our only road construction company and the
affected critical infrastructure,	Haystack Mountain
other safety issues, traffic	School of Crafts are on the Sunshine side of Meadowbrook and would
	also be forced to detour.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes, Tidal exceeds 1.2xBFW
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Umaine analysis Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 51137
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	outreach to DEP, DOT staff
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.63 miles TWWH, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	sea run rainbow smelt, eels likely. DMR comment "Smelts, excellent location to conduct smelt restoration project", score 5.

## III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

	III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness		
	Total Project Cost;	Total: \$400000	
	Total Match;	Requested: \$125000	
	% Match proposed	Match: \$275000, 68.75%	
	Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes	
		Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans	
		69% match, matching 275k from upcoming town mtg/budget, more	
		detail on longitudinal profile needed.	

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022 (assumed, says 2021)
Feasibility for success;	University of Maine Student Engineering Team. 58 page report
Additional efficiencies;	includes extensive calculations justifying their recommendation for a
Design concerns or	12 foot ID box culvert. ~150k in engineering donated
clarification required	
	Historic smelt, may not be accessible spawning habitat due to this
Other comments	culvert. Project opens up spawning habitat segment. Design could be
other comments	better, open bottom? real longitudinal profile?, match slope,
	embedded properly?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Deer Isle
Road name	Sunshine Road
Stream Name	Meadow Brook (tidal)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Rx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	8.4; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	12'Sx12'Hx40'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: Yes, and consulted with Region Engineer

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping but damage from flooding in last 10 years. During extreme storm events the culvert has been undermined. The most recent occurrence was 10/30/21.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 11.5 mile detour; 368 homes, 8 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency response delays
Affected residents, business,	A food processing facility, our only road construction company and the
affected critical infrastructure,	Haystack Mountain
other safety issues, traffic	School of Crafts are on the Sunshine side of Meadowbrook and would
	also be forced to detour.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Yes
p. 65c.1	full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes, Tidal exceeds 1.2xBFW
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Umaine analysis
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 51137
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	outreach to DEP, DOT staff
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.63 miles
	TWWH, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	sea run rainbow smelt, eels likely

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii ooot Eiiioioiioy aii	d Elicotivolicos
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$400000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$275000, 68.75%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022 (assumed, says 2021)
Feasibility for success;	University of Maine Student Engineering Team. 58 page report
Additional efficiencies;	includes extensive calculations justifying their recommendation for a
Design concerns or	12 foot ID box culvert. ~150k in engineering donated
clarification required	
	Head of tide is just beyond the structure. Smelt spawning area just
Other comments	above head of tide. Not BFW in the traditional sense, but it's a crossing
Other comments	in a tidal area. Holes in culvert causing sinkholes in road surface. I like
	the idea of involving University of Maine engineering students.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Deer Isle
Road name	Sunshine Road
Stream Name	Meadow Brook (tidal)
Existing Culvert Size &	4'Rx40'L CMP
Material	
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	8.4; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type.	12'Sx12'Hx40'L 4 Sided Concrete Box (Open Bottom would be better, but
Contacted DOT Bridge	tidal crossing)
Program if 10' or greater?	DOT: Yes, and consulted with Region Engineer 😊

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping but damage from flooding in last 10 years. During extreme storm events the culvert has been undermined. The most recent occurrence was 10/30/21.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 11.5 mile detour; 368 homes, 8 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency response delays
Affected residents, business,	A food processing facility, our only road construction company and the
affected critical infrastructure,	Haystack Mountain
other safety issues, traffic	School of Crafts are on the Sunshine side of Meadowbrook and would
	also be forced to detour.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	·
matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:
profile?	Yes
p. 05c.	full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes, Tidal exceeds 1.2xBFW
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Umaine analysis
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 51137
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	outreach to DEP, DOT staff
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.63 miles
	TWWH, IWWH
5:1	·
Fish present, source of info	sea run rainbow smelt, eels likely

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii 000t Emolonoy and Emoduvonoco	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$400000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$275000, 68.75%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-14- Deer Isle, Sunshine Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022 (assumed, says 2021)
Feasibility for success;	University of Maine Student Engineering Team. 58 page report
Additional efficiencies;	includes extensive calculations justifying their recommendation for a
Design concerns or	12 foot ID box culvert. ~150k in engineering donated 😊
clarification required	
Other comments	Tidal Crossing, long detour lots of homes, questions on Bankfull crossing area due to tidal, No Brook Trout but Smelts.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

DATE: 12/6/21, 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Dixmont
Road name	South Road
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Olney Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3.5'Rx44'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	8; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'S8'Hx50'L Metal arch on concrete footers DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping but does occasionally catch logs requiring cleaning. Undersized. The existing crossing is a corrugated metal structure and is showing signs of deterioration. Photos included.During recent heavy and repeated rain events in fall 2021, the und
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, occasionally
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.4 miles; 13 homes

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Afficial and another the state of	emergency response delays
Affected residents, business,	The crossing has no safety barriers to prevent vehicles from leaving the
affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	roadway and plunging into
other sarcty issues, traffic	the stream.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1642
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	IFW letter fo support, Olney Brook is a tributary to Marsh Stream, which is considered a priority habitat for restoration by The Nature Conservancy in partnership with NOAA Fisheries; funding from 1) The Nature Conservancy (private)  2) National Fish Passage Program grant (federal)  3) Eastern Brook Trout Joint Venture grant (federal)
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.14 miles Inland Wading Bird and Waterfowl Habitat Deer Wintering Areas, numerous special concern species
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii ooot Emolonoy aha Enootivonooo	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$250000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$125000, 50%,

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/10/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Dixmont
Road name	South Road
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Olney Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3.5'Rx44'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	8; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'S8'Hx50'L Metal arch on concrete footers DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping but does occasionally catch logs requiring cleaning. Undersized. The existing crossing is a corrugated metal structure and is showing signs of deterioration. Photos included.During recent heavy and repeated rain events in fall 2021, the undersized
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, occasionally. Erosion, pictures 7, 8, recent rain damage.
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.4 miles; 13 homes 50 cars/day, few homes, no business, average detour.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected assistants business	emergency response delays
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure,	The crossing has no safety barriers to prevent vehicles from leaving the
other safety issues, traffic	roadway and plunging into
other surety issues, trume	the stream.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1642
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	IFW letter fo support, Olney Brook is a tributary to Marsh Stream,
Water quality improvements;	which is considered a priority habitat for restoration by The Nature
Support letters, other notable	Conservancy in partnership with NOAA Fisheries; funding from 1) The
benefits	Nature Conservancy (private)
	2) National Fish Passage Program grant (federal)
	3) Eastern Brook Trout Joint Venture grant (federal)
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.14 miles
	Inland Wading Bird and Waterfowl Habitat
	Deer Wintering Areas, numerous special concern species
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout
	Wild BKT, IF&W support letter/priority conservaton BKT habitat ranked
	4, several partners in TNC, NOAA, two grants, barrier, moderate gain
	of 2.14 mi. US habitat. DMR comment "Adjacent to surveyed ATS
	spawning and rearing habitat; Tributary to Marsh Stream", Score 4.

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Total Project Cost; Total Match;	Total: \$250000 Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$125000, 50%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Plans look feasible, longitudinal profile, prelim plans, pebble counts, terrestrial banks, overall pretty good. Still need more funding. Resubmission.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Dixmont
Road name	South Road
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Olney Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3.5'Rx44'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	8; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'S8'Hx50'L Metal arch on concrete footers DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping but does occasionally catch logs requiring cleaning. Undersized. The existing crossing is a corrugated metal structure and is showing signs of deterioration. Photos included.During recent heavy and repeated rain events in fall 2021, the und
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, occasionally
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.4 miles; 13 homes

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Affantad vasidanta businasa	emergency response delays
	Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	The crossing has no safety barriers to prevent vehicles from leaving the
		roadway and plunging into
	other safety issues, traffic	the stream.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1642
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	IFW letter fo support, Olney Brook is a tributary to Marsh Stream, which is considered a priority habitat for restoration by The Nature Conservancy in partnership with NOAA Fisheries; funding from 1) The Nature Conservancy (private)  2) National Fish Passage Program grant (federal)  3) Eastern Brook Trout Joint Venture grant (federal)
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.14 miles Inland Wading Bird and Waterfowl Habitat Deer Wintering Areas, numerous special concern species
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$250000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$125000, 50%,

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Definitely a barrier. 3 sided box; nice letter of support from IFW; brookies are present?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Dixmont
Road name	South Road
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Olney Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3.5'Rx44'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	8; Multiple Field Average 😊
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'S8'Hx50'L Metal arch on concrete footers DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10 realistic
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping but does occasionally catch logs requiring cleaning. Undersized. The existing crossing is a corrugated metal structure and is showing signs of deterioration. Photos included. During recent heavy and repeated rain events in fall 2021, the und
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, occasionally
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.4 miles; 13 homes

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

ACC	eı
Affected residents, business,	т
affected critical infrastructure,	
other safety issues, traffic	rc
other sarety issues, traine	+1

mergency response delays

he crossing has no safety barriers to prevent vehicles from leaving the

oadway and plunging into

the stream.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average	
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :	
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes	
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Bottom information;	Tuno of hottom. Dobblo count	
Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count	
banks within structure:	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
New Strategic Considerations		
Barrier status, source	Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1642	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	IFW letter fo support, Olney Brook is a tributary to Marsh Stream,	
Water quality improvements;	which is considered a priority habitat for restoration by The Nature	
Support letters, other notable	Conservancy in partnership with NOAA Fisheries; funding from 1) The	
benefits	Nature Conservancy (private)	
	2) National Fish Passage Program grant (federal)	
	3) Eastern Brook Trout Joint Venture grant (federal)	
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.14 miles	
	Inland Wading Bird and Waterfowl Habitat	
	Deer Wintering Areas, numerous special concern species ©	
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout	
rish present, source of fillo	wild brook trout	

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$250000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$125000, 50%,

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-15- Dixmont, South Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering ©
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	IF&W support, TNC support, Previously submitted,

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Ellsworth
Road name	Red Bridge Road
Stream Name	Branch Lake Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	17'Sx13'Hx68'L Pipe Arch
Crossing Age	47
Bankfull width and method	46.3; Stream Stats and MSHV model
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	50'Sx18'Hx75'L Metal arch on concrete footers DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Pipe is near the end of its lifespan based on inspections and life spans of similar structures.  Pictures attached. 1/2 of BFW
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.9 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 9 miles
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	no listed homes, businesses emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: Stream Stats and MSHV model Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Yes, TBD Preliminary plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Almost (1.07 x modelled BFW)
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - "Natural Earthen Substrate bottom" Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 50879
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contacted IFW/DMR; input from Greg Burr- no fisheries concerns,
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles Brook trout habitat, within drainage of alewife pond, ATS rearing habitat (modelled) 4.4 units
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$725000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$600000, 82.75%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Feasibility for success;	\$46K in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Ellsworth
Road name	Red Bridge Road
Stream Name	Branch Lake Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	17'Sx13'Hx68'L Pipe Arch
Crossing Age	47
Bankfull width and method	46.3; Stream Stats and MSHV model
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	50'Sx18'Hx75'L Metal arch on concrete footers DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Pipe is near the end of its lifespan based on inspections and life spans of similar structures.  Pictures attached. 1/2 of BFW some perforation noted, lower end?
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.9411764705882355 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Detours: 9 miles  no listed homes, businesses emergency services Some traffic volume (400+ cars/day) due to shortcut frequently used. Not much in area for homes, etc.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Stream Stats and MSHV model
method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? : Yes, TBD
projne:	Preliminary plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Almost (1.07 x modelled BFW)
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - "Natural Earthen Substrate bottom" Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 50879
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted IFW/DMR; input from Greg Burr- no fisheries concerns,
Water quality improvements;	IF&W said no need for passage here, not a priority apparently.
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.9 miles?? To upstream dam
	Brook trout habitat, within drainage of alewife pond, ATS rearing
	habitat (modelled) 4.4 units, suggested historic alewife habitat in
51.5	Branch Lake. Moderate distance opened to other species like BKT.
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout, <i>DMR comment "A remnant dam was removed at the</i>
	confluence of this stream with the Union River two years ago.
	Restoring connectivity at this location will build upon that work."
	Score 4.

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$725000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$600000, 82.75%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$46K in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	Significant eng. cost, planned for '22 construction season, 83% match
Design concerns or	but cost estimate seems low for 50' and requires DOT approval for
clarification required	span/ownership.
Other comments	Does not show stream banks in cross section plan. No other plans besides cross section plan, no plan view sketch. Calculated BFW 44+, does not meet 1.2 for design. MSHV or SS indicates 41 upstream barriers. Extremely long span proposed (50' 2"), workable & with DOT? Low confidence is DOT support, cost attainment.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Ellsworth
Road name	Red Bridge Road
Stream Name	Branch Lake Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	17'Sx13'Hx68'L Pipe Arch
Crossing Age	47
Bankfull width and method	46.3; Stream Stats and MSHV model
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	50'Sx18'Hx75'L Metal arch on concrete footers DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Pipe is near the end of its lifespan based on inspections and life spans of similar structures.  Pictures attached. 1/2 of BFW
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.9411764705882355 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 9 miles
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	no listed homes, businesses emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Stream Stats and MSHV model
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, TBD
profile?	Preliminary plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Almost (1.07 x modelled BFW)
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - "Natural Earthen Substrate bottom"
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
	Datiks: 1C3
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 50879
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted IFW/DMR; input from Greg Burr- no fisheries concerns,
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles
	Brook trout habitat, within drainage of alewife pond, ATS rearing
	habitat (modelled) 4.4 units
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout

**III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness** 

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$725000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$600000, 82.75%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Feasibility for success;	\$46K in engineering; can they build this large a structure for \$700,000?
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Barrier during low flows? I don't believe that there's a lot of support
Other comments	for passage through the dam at Branch Lake. Not sure what is going to
	happen to the dam at Leonard's Lake

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Ellsworth
Road name	Red Bridge Road
Stream Name	Branch Lake Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	17'Sx13'Hx68'L Pipe Arch
Crossing Age	47
Bankfull width and method	46.3; Stream Stats and MSHV model WOW
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	50'Sx18'Hx75'L Metal arch on concrete footers DOT: Yes big crossing

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Pipe is near the end of its lifespan based on inspections and life spans of similar structures.  Pictures attached. 1/2 of BFW No flooding.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.9411764705882355 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 9 miles
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	no listed homes, businesses emergency services Lack of information

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

ii. Beliefits to Fish & Whalie		
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Stream Stats and MSHV model	
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :	
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, TBD	
profile?	Preliminary plans 😐	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Almost (1.07 x modelled BFW)	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - "Natural Earthen Substrate bottom"	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 50879	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted IFW/DMR; input from Greg Burr- no fisheries concerns,	
Water quality improvements;		
Support letters, other notable		
benefits		
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles 😕	
	Brook trout habitat, within drainage of alewife pond, ATS rearing	
	habitat (modelled) 4.4 units	
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout	

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii Goot Emoioney and Emocuroness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$725000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$600000, 82.75%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-16- Ellsworth, Red Bridge Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$46K in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	This seems like a project that will need discussion.
Other comments	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Enfield
Road name	Mohawk Rd South
Stream Name	unnamed stream (Polloack Brook WS)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Rx60'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	5.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	8'WxTBD'Hx60'L Open bottom arch on footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	undersized based on BFW measurments. Current culvert was repaired recently after a hole eroded in the top. Culvert dips in the middle, backwater upstream
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 54 homes detoured
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	residenitial emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

ii. Deficites to 1 ish & Whalife	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes Plan view
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier per photos Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	no contact with fisheries agencies
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat, pygmy snaketail
Fish present, source of info	likely present, none listed, eels

III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$157000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$32000, 20.38%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,000.00
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Enfield
Road name	Mohawk Rd South
Stream Name	unnamed stream (Pollock Brook WS) Actually Penobscot R watershed
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Rx60'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	5.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	8'WxTBD'Hx60'L Open bottom arch on footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	undersized based on BFW measurments. Current culvert was repaired recently after a hole eroded in the top. Culvert dips in the middle, backwater upstream
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 54 homes detoured 100 cars/day, low traffic volume.
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	residenitial emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed Yes Plan view  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed  Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed Yes Plan view	?:
matches stream, longitudinal yes Plan view  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations  Yes  Yes  Yes	
profile? Plan view  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations Yes	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis Yes sizing, considerations	
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information; Type of bottom - TBD	
Banks within structure? Banks? - Yes	
Bullio. Tes	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source Barrier per photos	
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; no contact with fisheries agencies	
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved 0 miles 0.7 mi. as upstream culvert is currently passable?	
Anadromous fish move brook floater,	
brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat, pygmy	
snaketail.	
Fish present, source of info likely present, none listed, eels	
No contact with fishery agencies, IF&W ranked 1, barrier based on	
photos provided in application. DMR comment "Not managed for s	ea-
run species, but is in critical ATS habitat and is a tributary to the	
Penobscot River." Score 3.	

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$157000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$32000, 20.38%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	20% match, likely to proceed, contractor estimate, engineering may be high for this project scope.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Enfield
Road name	Mohawk Rd South
Stream Name	unnamed stream (Polloack Brook WS)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Rx60'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	5.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	8'WxTBD'Hx60'L Open bottom arch on footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	undersized based on BFW measurments. Current culvert was repaired recently after a hole eroded in the top. Culvert dips in the middle, backwater upstream
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 54 homes detoured
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	residenitial emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Delicitis to 1 isii a 11	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? : Yes
profile?	Plan view
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - TBD
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier per photos
,	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	no contact with fisheries agencies
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits Habitat Opened/Improved	O mail an
павітат Орепец/Піргоуец	0 miles
	brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat, pygmy snaketail
Fish present, source of info	likely present, none listed, eels

III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Emelency and Emeditedes	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$157000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$32000, 20.38%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,000.00
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering;
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	This is the nicer of the two streams on Mohawk Road; second time we've reviewed a proposal for this crossing; much better than last time

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Enfield
Road name	Mohawk Rd South
Stream Name	unnamed stream (Polloack Brook WS)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Rx60'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	5.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	8'WxTBD'Hx60'L Open bottom arch on footers © DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	undersized based on BFW measurments. Current culvert was repaired recently after a hole eroded in the top. Culvert dips in the middle, backwater upstream No flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 54 homes detoured
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	residenitial emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	Plan view
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - TBD
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier per photos
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	no contact with fisheries agencies 😕
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles
	brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat, pygmy snaketail
Fish present, source of info	likely present, none listed, eels

III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$157000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$32000, 20.38%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,000.00
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-17- Enfield, Mohawk Rd South

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering (—)
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Moderate detour and 50+ homes,
Other comments	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Enfield
Road name	Mohawk Rd North
Stream Name	unnamed stream (Polloack Brook WS)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4.5'Rx56'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	7.2'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'SxTBD'Hx65'L Open bottom arch on footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Overtopped road approximately 4 times in last 10 years, regularly obstructed. Flood damage photos included. Road closed for 3 days in 2019 due to flooding. Culvert was damaged/curled up, roadway slumping
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 54 homes detoured
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	residenitial emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

name
Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes Plan view
Yes
Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - Yes
Barrier per photos Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0
no contact with fisheries agencies
0 miles brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat
likely present, none listed, eels

III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Emelency and Emectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$175000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$50000, 28.5%,
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Contraction 10	\$15,000.00
Costs over previous 10 years	
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Enfield
Road name	Mohawk Rd North
Stream Name	unnamed stream (Pollock Brook WS) actually direct trib to Penobscot River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4.5'Rx56'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	7.2'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'SxTBD'Hx65'L Open bottom arch on footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Overtopped road approximately 4 times in last 10 years, regularly obstructed. Flood damage photos included. Road closed for 3 days in 2019 due to flooding. Culvert was damaged/curled up, roadway slumping
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 54 homes detoured 100 cars/day low traffic volume.

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

residenitial

emergency services

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average <i>Good</i> .  Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:  Yes  Plan view
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes Based on field measurements. Scour & footings not explained.
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier per photos Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	no contact with fisheries agencies
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles <i>Not much for habitat above</i> . brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat
Fish present, source of info	likely present, none listed, eels  Photos suggest barrier, brook floater require anadromous fish for life cycle/access to habitat. DMR comment "Not a mapped stream and no information on management. In critical ATS habitat and is a tributary to the Penobscot River." Score 3.

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

im occi miliono, and miliono	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$175000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$50000, 28.5%,
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Not final design but on the right track, plus with open bottom. Similar feasibility to other Enfield project.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Enfield
Road name	Mohawk Rd North
Stream Name	unnamed stream (Polloack Brook WS)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4.5'Rx56'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	7.2'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'SxTBD'Hx65'L Open bottom arch on footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Overtopped road approximately 4 times in last 10 years, regularly obstructed. Flood damage photos included. Road closed for 3 days in 2019 due to flooding. Culvert was damaged/curled up, roadway slumping
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 54 homes detoured
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	residenitial emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Yes
	Plan view
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - TBD
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier per photos
barrier states, source	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	
Water quality improvements;	no contact with fisheries agencies
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles
	brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat
Fish present, source of info	likely present, none listed, eels

III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$175000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$50000, 28.5%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,000.00
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	I have visited this site in the past. It's more of a backwater than a stream. Much better proposal than last year's.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Enfield
Road name	Mohawk Rd North
Stream Name	unnamed stream (Polloack Brook WS)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4.5'Rx56'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	7.2'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'SxTBD'Hx65'L Open bottom arch on footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Overtopped road approximately 4 times in last 10 years, regularly obstructed. Flood damage photos included. Road closed for 3 days in 2019 due to flooding. Culvert was damaged/curled up, roadway slumping
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 54 homes detoured
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	residenitial emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

in Bononto to Fion & Triumo		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes Plan view	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Barrier per photos Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	no contact with fisheries agencies	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles brook floater habitat within 1 mile, ATS modelled habitat	
Fish present, source of info	likely present, none listed, eels 😕	

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$175000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$50000, 28.5%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Plan View Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,000.00
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-18- Enfield, Mohawk Rd North

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering $\stackrel{\square}{\hookrightarrow}$
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Moderate detour and 50+ homes,
Other comments	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Garland
Road name	Campbell Road
Stream Name	Otis Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx44'L; 3'Dx44'L CMP
Crossing Age	50+, 36
Bankfull width and method	15.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	22'Sx11.5'Hx35'L Bridge DOT: Yes, Design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Although the culvert does not overflow, it frequently backs up and floods the upstream area, inundating low lying areas near the road. The existing culvert pipes are corroded and breaking up. There are holes in the inverts and sides of the culverts. The e
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6 miles; 40 homes detoured

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,
affected critical infrastructure,
other safety issues, traffic

emergency services

Occurrences of increased torrential rain and spring flow will likely

increase, and will over flow the road.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Yes
sizing, considerations performed	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1513
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for restoration and protection. (See attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	3.55 miles ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 &3 49.12 units, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	"This stream had blacknose dace, creek chub, and white sucker." Jason Seiders, Fisheries Resource Supervisor, Maine Dept of Inland Fisheries & Wildlife

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$187500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$62500, 33.3%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$17500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Garland
Road name	Campbell Road
Stream Name	Otis Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx44'L; 3'Dx44'L CMP
Crossing Age	50+, 36
Bankfull width and method	15.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	22'Sx11.5'Hx35'L Bridge DOT: Yes, Design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	3-5 Interior falling apart in photos, corroded, bad backfill suspected?
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Although the culvert does not overflow, it frequently backs up and floods the upstream area, inundating low lying areas near the road. The existing culvert pipes are corroded and breaking up. There are holes in the inverts and sides of the culverts. Squatting.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6 miles; 40 homes detoured <i>Moderate detour, quite a few homes.</i>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

emergency services

Occurrences of increased torrential rain and spring flow will likely

increase, and will over flow the road.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
N 6:	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1513
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage
Water quality improvements;	Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier
Support letters, other notable benefits	Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high
benefits	priority for restoration and protection. (See
	attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	3.55 miles Good distance opened, little fish resource, no CW fisheries,
	no documented BKT.
	ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 &3 49.12 units, IWWH
	Within ATS distint pop. Segment, moderate number of hab units,
Fish present, source of info	"This stream had blacknose dace, creek chub, and white sucker."
	Jason Seiders, Fisheries Resource Supervisor, Maine Dept of Inland
	Fisheries & Wildlife Not much for species of import. DMR comment
	"Not managed for sea-run species, but is within critical habitat and
	adjacent to management. Above Garland Pond." Score 2.

III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$187500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$62500, 33.3%

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$17500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	33% match, engineering reasonable, funding uncertain in app.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Good plans, looks pretty workable, one question re: upstream wing walls??

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Garland
Road name	Campbell Road
Stream Name	Otis Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx44'L; 3'Dx44'L CMP
Crossing Age	50+, 36
Bankfull width and method	15.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	22'Sx11.5'Hx35'L Bridge DOT: Yes, Design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Although the culvert does not overflow, it frequently backs up and floods the upstream area, inundating low lying areas near the road. The existing culvert pipes are corroded and breaking up. There are holes in the inverts and sides of the culverts. The e
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6 miles; 40 homes detoured

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

emergency services

Occurrences of increased torrential rain and spring flow will likely

increase, and will over flow the road.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Yes
sizing, considerations performed	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1513
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for restoration and protection. (See attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	3.55 miles ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 &3 49.12 units, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	"This stream had blacknose dace, creek chub, and white sucker." Jason Seiders, Fisheries Resource Supervisor, Maine Dept of Inland Fisheries & Wildlife

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$187500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$62500, 33.3%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$17500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Moderate value IWWH upstream; good thorough design; warmwater fishery

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Garland
Road name	Campbell Road
Stream Name	Otis Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx44'L; 3'Dx44'L CMP
Crossing Age	50+, 36
Bankfull width and method	15.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	22'Sx11.5'Hx35'L Bridge © DOT: Yes, Design reviewed ©

Estimated time to failure	3-5
	Although the culvert does not overflow, it frequently
Previous flooding or failure	backs up and floods the upstream area, inundating low lying areas near
events, documentation,	the road. The existing culvert pipes are corroded and breaking up. There
culvert condition, age	are holes in the inverts and sides of the
	culverts. The e
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or	No
maintenance required	
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
cut-ons or detours	Detours: 6 miles; 40 homes detoured

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,
affected critical infrastructure,
other safety issues, traffic

emergency services

Occurrences of increased torrential rain and spring flow will likely

increase, and will over flow the road.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
Yes
BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
Yes
Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks? - Yes
Barrier
Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1513
This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage
Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier
Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high
priority for restoration and protection. (See
attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
3.55 miles
ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 &3 49.12 units, IWWH
"This stream had blacknose dace, creek chub, and white sucker."
Jacob Caidaga Fishagias Dassaguas Caramisan Maine Dant of Jaland
Jason Seiders, Fisheries Resource Supervisor, Maine Dept of Inland

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii Goot Eiliololloy all	d 21100tt v011000
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$187500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$62500, 33.3%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-19- Garland, Campbell Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$17500 in engineering 😐
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Design looks good, Support from TNC, Concerns over upstream armouring

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Garland
Road name	Oliver Hill Road
Stream Name	Unnamed trib to Kenduskag Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	13.9; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	18'Sx10.4'Hx49'L Bridge DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding indicated but HW/D of 1.0 (i.e. full flowing culvert) is 200 cfs, less than Q25. Currently erosion on the road bank above the inlet, signs of corrosion, and squatting.  Downstream channel is undercutting road embankment.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 4.7 miles; 50 homes, 1 business

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency services. This roadway is used as a bypass from Garland
Affected residents, business,	center to Dover-Foxcroft.
affected critical infrastructure,	Concerns that the existing culvert is significantly undersized and will
other safety issues, traffic	eventually wash out as storm intensities
	increase.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field works Bondefull width	Pankfull width mothod: Multipla Field Average
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Yes
projile:	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Not al Ballancia formation	T (1 11 D 111
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
Nav. Charatura apraidorationa	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1293
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage
Water quality improvements;	Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier
Support letters, other notable	Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high
benefits	priority for restoration and protection. (See
	attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	3.1 miles
,	ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 19.58 units, IWWH,
	Brook trout habitat
Eich procent source of info	
Fish present, source of info	"This stream had blacknose dace, creek chub, and white sucker."
	Jason Seiders, Fisheries Resource Supervisor, Maine DIFW
	5.

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$207500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$82500, 39.75%

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$17500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Garland
Road name	Oliver Hill Road
Stream Name	Unnamed trib to Kenduskag Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	13.9; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	18'Sx10.4'Hx49'L Bridge DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding indicated but HW/D of 1.0 (i.e. full flowing culvert) is 200 cfs, less than Q25. Currently erosion on the road bank above the inlet, signs of corrosion, and squatting. Downstream channel is undercutting road embankment. No flooding but demostrated need re: condition of existing.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 4.7 miles; 50 homes, 1 business <i>Moderate detour, 50 homes,</i> 900 cars/day traffic count (calculated), upstream beaver dam issue.

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency services. This roadway is used as a bypass from Garland
Affected residents, business,	center to Dover-Foxcroft.
affected critical infrastructure,	Concerns that the existing culvert is significantly undersized and will
other safety issues, traffic	eventually wash out as storm intensities
	increase.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1293
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for restoration and protection. (See attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	3.1 miles ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 19.58 units, IWWH, Brook trout habitat Temps could support BKT, good amount of habitat, no BKT surveyed by IF&W, but good potential. Connected to DS project on same stream. Makes habitat opened more than 6 mi., upstream east branch may be unobstructed much of the time (US barrier only partial?). DMR comment "Not managed for sea-run species, but is within critical habitat and adjacent to management. Above Garland Pond." Score 2.
Fish present, source of info	"This stream had blacknose dace, creek chub, and white sucker." Jason Seiders, Fisheries Resource Supervisor, Maine DIFW 5.

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$207500 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$82500, 39.75%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$17500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	40% match, matching project funds planned/in place.
Design concerns or clarification required	
Other comments	Good attempt to address skew, coffer dams noted which is great, plans seem to address specific site conditions. Plan to address beaver dam too.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Garland
Road name	Oliver Hill Road
Stream Name	Unnamed trib to Kenduskag Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	13.9; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	18'Sx10.4'Hx49'L Bridge DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding indicated but HW/D of 1.0 (i.e. full flowing culvert) is 200 cfs, less than Q25. Currently erosion on the road bank above the inlet, signs of corrosion, and squatting.  Downstream channel is undercutting road embankment.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 4.7 miles; 50 homes, 1 business

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency services. This roadway is used as a bypass from Garland
Affected residents, business,	center to Dover-Foxcroft.
affected critical infrastructure,	Concerns that the existing culvert is significantly undersized and will
other safety issues, traffic	eventually wash out as storm intensities
	increase.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field works Bondefull width	Pankfull width mothod: Multipla Field Average
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Yes
projile:	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Not al Ballancia formation	T (1 11 D 111
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
Nav. Charatura apraidorationa	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1293
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage
Water quality improvements;	Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier
Support letters, other notable	Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high
benefits	priority for restoration and protection. (See
	attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	3.1 miles
,	ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 19.58 units, IWWH,
	Brook trout habitat
Eich procent source of info	
Fish present, source of info	"This stream had blacknose dace, creek chub, and white sucker."
	Jason Seiders, Fisheries Resource Supervisor, Maine DIFW
	5.

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$207500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$82500, 39.75%

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Enginooring	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$17500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	No trout although it looks "trouty"; good thorough design
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Garland
Road name	Oliver Hill Road
Stream Name	Unnamed trib to Kenduskag Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	13.9; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	18'Sx10.4'Hx49'L Bridge DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	3-5
	No flooding indicated but HW/D of 1.0 (i.e. full flowing culvert) is
Previous flooding or failure	200 cfs, less than Q25. Currently erosion on the road
events, documentation,	bank above the inlet, signs of corrosion, and squatting.
culvert condition, age	Downstream channel is undercutting road
	embankment.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or	No
maintenance required	
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
cut-ons of detodis	Detours: 4.7 miles; 50 homes, 1 business

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency services. This roadway is used as a bypass from Garland
Affected residents, business,	center to Dover-Foxcroft.
affected critical infrastructure,	Concerns that the existing culvert is significantly undersized and will
other safety issues, traffic	eventually wash out as storm intensities
	increase.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
,	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1293
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage
Water quality improvements;	Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier
Support letters, other notable	Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high
benefits	priority for restoration and protection. (See
	attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	3.1 miles
	ATS DPS, ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat Type 1 19.58 units, IWWH,
	Brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	"This stream had blacknose dace, creek chub, and white sucker."
	Jason Seiders, Fisheries Resource Supervisor, Maine DIFW
	5.

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$207500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$82500, 39.75%

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-20- Garland, Oliver Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$17500 in engineering 😐
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Design looks good, Support from TNC, pump around shown, alignment??

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Gorham
Road name	Westcott Road
Stream Name	North Branch Little River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	10'Dx52'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	16.7'; unable to complete field work, used modelled 16.7' for sizing
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	20'Sx10'Hx52'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding but is stream "pinch point". This year (2021), the MDOT posted a weight restriction of 22 tons as the culvert invert is deteriorating and the top of culvert is deflected.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 8.1 miles; 7 homes, 1 business detoured
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services heavy truck traffic, increasing development

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Delients to Fish & Whalle	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: unable to complete field work, used modelled
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	16.7' for sizing  Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:  Yes, TBD  Plan view
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes, based on MSHV, need more info
sizing, considerations performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8722
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	No Fisheries agency contact, but "According to Matt Craig of the Casco Bay Estuary Partnership, addressing this crossing would remove a potential barrier to aquatic organisms identified in the Maine Stream Habitat Viewer which would improve habitat connectivity in this subwatershed of the Presumpscot River with anticipated benefits to wild Eastern Brown Trout and anadromous species."
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.08 miles Wild brook trout
Fish present, source of info	brook trout

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$500000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$375000, 75%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site Plan Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,000.00
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$35,000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Gorham
Road name	Westcott Road
Stream Name	North Branch Little River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	10'Dx52'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	16.7'; unable to complete field work, used modelled 16.7' for sizing
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	20'Sx10'Hx52'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3, No pics of culvert condition, so no sign of deterioration.
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding but is stream "pinch point". This year (2021), the MDOT posted a weight restriction of 22 tons as the culvert invert is deteriorating and the top of culvert is deflected. These problems are not shown in pics. No flooding documented.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 8.1 miles; 7 homes, 1 business detoured Few homes, one business, no cuts, mid detour.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	emergency services
affected critical infrastructure,	heavy truck traffic, increasing development 308 cars/day,
other safety issues, traffic	

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: unable to complete field work, used modelled 16.7' for sizing Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes, TBD Plan view
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes, based on MSHV, need more info
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8722
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	No Fisheries agency contact, but "According to Matt Craig of the Casco Bay Estuary Partnership, addressing this crossing would remove a potential barrier to aquatic organisms identified in the Maine Stream Habitat Viewer which would improve habitat connectivity in this subwatershed of the Presumpscot River with anticipated benefits to wild Eastern Brown Trout and anadromous species."
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.08 miles Wild brook trout
Fish present, source of info	brook trout  Just over one mile of habitat opened, potential barrier?, potential BKT  benefit, M Craig CBEP comments. IF&W comments indicate good BKT  habitat. DMR comment "Not managed for sea-run species. Tributary  below Sebago Lake." Score 0.

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$500000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$375000, 0.75,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site Plan Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,000.00
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$35,000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	75% match, somewhat light application in detail/field work. 35k eng.
Design concerns or	fairly high (10%), funds need to come from town budget/meeting.
clarification required	
Other comments	Design plan is very light, "stylized", not much detail. Open bottom, no pebble count, just sketch, banks proposed, no longitudinal profile, BFW was modelled value. Little field work.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Gorham
Road name	Westcott Road
Stream Name	North Branch Little River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	10'Dx52'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	16.7'; unable to complete field work, used modelled 16.7' for sizing
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	20'Sx10'Hx52'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding but is stream "pinch point". This year (2021), the MDOT posted a weight restriction of 22 tons as the culvert invert is deteriorating and the top of culvert is deflected.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 8.1 miles; 7 homes, 1 business detoured
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services heavy truck traffic, increasing development

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Deficites to Fish & Whalife		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: unable to complete field work, used modelled 16.7' for sizing Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes, TBD Plan view	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes, based on MSHV, need more info	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8722	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	No Fisheries agency contact, but "According to Matt Craig of the Casco Bay Estuary Partnership, addressing this crossing would remove a potential barrier to aquatic organisms identified in the Maine Stream Habitat Viewer which would improve habitat connectivity in this subwatershed of the Presumpscot River with anticipated benefits to wild Eastern Brown Trout and anadromous species."	
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.08 miles Wild brook trout	
Fish present, source of info	brook trout	

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii cccc =iiiciciic) uiiu =iiccuii ciiccc	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$500000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$375000, 0.75,
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site Plan Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,000.00
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$35,000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Brook trout and it's southern Maine; crossing posted by MDOT

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Gorham
Road name	Westcott Road
Stream Name	North Branch Little River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	10'Dx52'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	16.7; unable to complete field work, used modelled 16.7' for sizing
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	20'Sx10'Hx52'L Open bottom concrete box © DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No flooding but is stream "pinch point". This year (2021), the MDOT posted a weight restriction of 22 tons as the culvert invert is deteriorating and the top of culvert is deflected.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
	Detours: 8.1 miles; 7 homes, 1 business detoured
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services heavy truck traffic, increasing development

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

ii. Deficits to Fish & Whalie		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: unable to complete field work, used modelled 16.7' for sizing	
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :	
projuc.	Yes, TBD	
	Plan view	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes, based on MSHV, need more info	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8722	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	No Fisheries agency contact, but "According to Matt Craig of the Casco	
Water quality improvements;	Bay Estuary Partnership, addressing this crossing would remove a	
Support letters, other notable benefits	potential barrier to aquatic organisms identified in the Maine Stream	
benefits	Habitat Viewer which would improve habitat connectivity in this sub-	
	watershed of the Presumpscot River with anticipated benefits to wild	
	Eastern Brown Trout and anadromous species."	
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.08 miles 😊	
	Wild brook trout	
Fish present, source of info	brook trout	

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$500000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$375000, 0.75,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site Plan Sketch
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-21- Gorham, Westcott Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,000.00
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$35,000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Support from Casco Bay Estuary Partnership, pedestrian bridge looks undersized too,

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Hartland
Road name	Beans Corner Road II
Stream Name	Thompson Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3's'4'Hx28'L Concrete Box
Crossing Age	96
Bankfull width and method	9.2; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	11.5'Sx9.3'Hx48'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding but crossing is undersized. Concrete headwalls are cracked; downstream headwall is leaning out, with one granite block. Culvert is barely longer than road width and has steep slope from road grade to top of headwall with no shoulder on which
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 100 homes, 3 critical infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	emergency services Central Maine Power has a sub-station located approximately 0.8 miles south of the site on Beans Corner Road. Distribution lines from this are a primary source of 3-phase power to the town of
	Hartland, including a nursing home and mill complex

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16699
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted IFW, no info available
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.4 miles
	Atlantic salmon modelled habitat class 1 5.61 units, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	unknown

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$143500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$18500, 12.9%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$3,000.00
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$18500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jjim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Hartland
Road name	Beans Corner Road II
Stream Name	Thompson Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3's'4'Hx28'L Concrete Box
Crossing Age	96
Bankfull width and method	9.2; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	11.5'Sx9.3'Hx48'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding but crossing is undersized. Concrete headwalls are cracked; downstream headwall is leaning out, with one granite block. Culvert is barely longer than road width and has steep slope from road grade to top of headwall with no shoulder. 96 yrs. age, narrow road above/guardrail questionable. Not in terrible condition for age.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 100 homes, 3 critical infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jjim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency services
Affected residents, business,	Central Maine Power has a sub-station located approximately 0.8 miles
affected critical infrastructure,	south of the site on Beans Corner Road. Distribution lines from this are a
other safety issues, traffic	primary source of 3-phase power to the town of Hartland, including a
	nursing home and mill complex. 188 cars/day, lower traffic.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
Bariks Within Stracture:	Baliks: - tes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
·	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16699
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted IFW, no info available
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.4 miles
	Atlantic salmon modelled habitat class 1 5.61 units, IWWH
	Partial blockage DS at next crossing, not BKT value via IF&W, but just
	modelled habitat for ATS & few units too.
Fish present, source of info	Unknown
	Only modelled ATS, IF&W score 1, no IF&W fish info/known. DMR
	comment "Not managed for sea-run species, but is a tributary to the
	Sebasticook River." Score 1.

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$143500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$18500, 12.9%

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jjim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$3,000.00
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$18500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	Town engineering, cost from in kind and road budget.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Good multiple field average, but went 11.5 ft., meets 1.2, no constriction problems, some channel straightening, scour line and gradient, utilizing silt curtains.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Hartland
Road name	Beans Corner Road II
Stream Name	Thompson Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3's'4'Hx28'L Concrete Box
Crossing Age	96
Bankfull width and method	9.2; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	11.5'Sx9.3'Hx48'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding but crossing is undersized. Concrete headwalls are cracked; downstream headwall is leaning out, with one granite block. Culvert is barely longer than road width and has steep slope from road grade to top of headwall with no shoulder on which
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 100 homes, 3 critical infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

		emergency services
	Afficial advantage to the attention	Central Maine Power has a sub-station located approximately 0.8 miles
	Affected residents, business,	south of the site on Beans
	affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Corner Road. Distribution lines from this are a primary source of 3-phase
		power to the town of
		Hartland, including a nursing home and mill complex

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
profile?	Yes
, -	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations performed	
periorified	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
Name Charachara a sancida actions	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16699
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted IFW, no info available
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.4 miles
	Atlantic salmon modelled habitat class 1 5.61 units, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	unknown

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

000. =	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$143500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$18500, 12.9%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$3,000.00
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$18500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Barrier less than a mile downstream; crossing 100 years old? Looks bomb proof; Pretty little stream. Match?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Hartland
Road name	Beans Corner Road II
Stream Name	Thompson Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3's'4'Hx28'L Concrete Box
Crossing Age	96
Bankfull width and method	9.2; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	11.5'Sx9.3'Hx48'L Open bottom concrete box © DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding but crossing is undersized. Concrete headwalls are cracked; downstream headwall is leaning out, with one granite block. Culvert is barely longer than road width and has steep slope from road grade to top of headwall with no shoulder on which
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5 miles; 100 homes, 3 critical infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

		emergency services
	Affactad racidants husinass	Central Maine Power has a sub-station located approximately 0.8 miles
		south of the site on Beans
	other safety issues, traffic	Corner Road. Distribution lines from this are a primary source of 3-phase
	ther sarcty issues, traine	power to the town of
		Hartland, including a nursing home and mill complex

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

ii. Deficits to Fish & Wilding		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 16699	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted IFW, no info available	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.4 miles Atlantic salmon modelled habitat class 1 5.61 units, IWWH	
Fish present, source of info	Unknown 😕	

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

000. =	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$143500
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$18500, 12.9%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-22- Hartland, Beans Corner Road II

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$3,000.00
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$18500 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Good design, pump locations shown on plan, Old structure,
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Levant
Road name	Horseback Road
Stream Name	Black Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	16.5'Wx9.1'Hx66'L Pipe arch
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	21.9; Stream Stats and MSHV model
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	26'Sx11'Hx66'L Multiplate Pipe Arch DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding annual cleaning required. Culvert deteriorating with small holes a rusting, deformation
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes?
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 10 miles; 37 homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services sagging indicatesissues under road. Major connector in Levant and Stetson, multiple crossings before entering Kenduskeag Stream

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Deficites to 1 isit & Wilding	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Stream Stats and MSHV model Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes Site plan view
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes, based on Stream Stats, need more info
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - "natural stone" Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1582
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted angencies, no IFW response. DMR: not managed for salmon, but have had some in watershed, not expected to use area above Hermon Pond
Habitat Opened/Improved	13.67 miles Brook trout habitat, IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat
Fish present, source of info	brook trout

III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Eniciency and Enectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$200000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$75000, 37.5%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,300.00
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Feasibility for success;	\$15000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Levant
Road name	Horseback Road
Stream Name	Black Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	16.5'Wx9.1'Hx66'L Pipe arch
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	21.9; Stream Stats and MSHV model
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	26'Sx11'Hx66'L Multiplate Pipe Arch DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding annual cleaning required. Culvert deteriorating with small holes a rusting, deformation Road noted as sagging. Arch appears in fair condition, some rust in invert, beaver dam debris issue.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes?
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 10 miles; 37 homes Fairly average detour, moderate homes, but 665 cars/day for traffic (connector road).

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

emergency services

sagging indicatesissues under road. Major connector in Levant and Stetson, multiple crossings before entering Kenduskeag Stream

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Bankfull width method: Stream Stats and MSHV model Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes Site plan view Yes, based on Stream Stats, need more info
sizing, considerations performed	res, sasea en eu eun etats, neca mere une
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - "natural stone" Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1582
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted angencies, no IFW response. DMR: not managed for salmon, but have had some in watershed, not expected to use area above Hermon Pond
Habitat Opened/Improved	13.67 miles Brook trout habitat, IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat
Fish present, source of info	brook trout Not priority with IF&W, no ATS expected above pond, minimal fisheries benefits but long stretch opened at 13.67 mi. so significant benefit to potential fish movement. DMR comments "Think the ID is off. Eels. Downstream lakes were once Eel study area." Score 2.

#### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$200000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$75000, 37.5%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,300.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Much wider structure, 16 – 26 ft. Multiplate, (closed bottom) pipe arch
Other comments	proposed but looks than different design shown, not much detail in
	design. Modelled width, maybe more info for appropriate sizing?
	Natural stone? No fines to hold that together.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Levant
Road name	Horseback Road
Stream Name	Black Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	16.5'Wx9.1'Hx66'L Pipe arch
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	21.9; Stream Stats and MSHV model
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	26'Sx11'Hx66'L Multiplate Pipe Arch DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding annual cleaning required. Culvert deteriorating with small holes a rusting, deformation
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes?
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 10 miles; 37 homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services sagging indicatesissues under road. Major connector in Levant and Stetson, multiple crossings before entering Kenduskeag Stream

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Stream Stats and MSHV model Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes Site plan view
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes, based on Stream Stats, need more info
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - "natural stone" Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1582
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted angencies, no IFW response. DMR: not managed for salmon, but have had some in watershed, not expected to use area above Hermon Pond
Habitat Opened/Improved	13.67 miles Brook trout habitat, IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat
Fish present, source of info	brook trout

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

ini occi Emolono y ana Emocaronece	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$200000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$75000, 37.5%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
	Level of plan included. Freminiary Besign
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
т, сограния	
Costs over previous 10 years	\$15,300.00
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Feasibility for success;	\$15000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Not a barrier; good design; not a cold water fishery?
Other comments	
other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Levant
Road name	Horseback Road
Stream Name	Black Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	16.5'Wx9.1'Hx66'L Pipe arch
Crossing Age	60
Bankfull width and method	21.9; Stream Stats and MSHV model
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	26'Sx11'Hx66'L Multiplate Pipe Arch DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding annual cleaning required. Culvert deteriorating with small holes a rusting, deformation
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes?
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
	Detours: 10 miles; 37 homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services sagging indicatesissues under road. Major connector in Levant and Stetson, multiple crossings before entering Kenduskeag Stream

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Delicing to Fish & W	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Stream Stats and MSHV model Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes Site plan view
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes, based on Stream Stats, need more info
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - "natural stone" Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 1582
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted angencies, no IFW response. DMR: not managed for salmon, but have had some in watershed, not expected to use area above Hermon Pond
Habitat Opened/Improved	13.67 miles Brook trout habitat, IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat ©
Fish present, source of info	brook trout

III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

m. Oost Emolency and Emocutoricss	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$200000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$75000, 37.5%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Conta 10	\$15,300.00
Costs over previous 10 years	
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-23- Levant, Horseback Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$15000 in engineering 😊
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Lewiston
Road name	Old Webster Road
Stream Name	No Name Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	12'D x 30'L CMP
Crossing Age	52
Bankfull width and method	18.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	25'Sx11'Hx25'L open bottom arch DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding, overtopping reported. Extensive corrosion along the waterline of crossing, channel banks eroded downstream
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No, but debris in photo
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 3.4 miles; no number affected listed
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:  "flood survey profile", profile TBD during design BFW
Yes
Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - Yes
Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 20291
contacted IFW, no response
7.22 miles ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 50.56 units
alewife, ATS?, eels

III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Emelency and Enectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$570000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$445000, 78.07%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
	\$-
Costs over previous 10 years	T
Construction year	2023

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Feasibility for success;	\$50k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Lewiston
Road name	Old Webster Road
Stream Name	No Name Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	12'D x 30'L CMP
Crossing Age	52
Bankfull width and method	18.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	25'Sx11'Hx25'L open bottom arch DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding, overtopping reported. Extensive corrosion along the waterline of crossing, channel banks eroded downstream Older age, some corrosion but no holes or heavy pitting shown, perhaps obscured by turbid water?
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No, but debris in photo
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 3.4 miles; no number affected listed <i>No homes/businesses to assess impact? Detour is not excessive.</i>

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	emergency services
affected critical infrastructure,	
other safety issues, traffic	

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:  "flood survey profile", profile TBD during design BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 20291
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted IFW, no response  Primary interest is anadromous alewife, only modelled ATS with fair amount of habitat units. No salmonids currently, sampled. No IF&W priority, DMR would be for alewife.
Habitat Opened/Improved	7.22 miles ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 50.56 units
Fish present, source of info	alewife, ATS?, eels. Modelled ATS only. DMR comment "current river herring restoration area. DMR currently stocks this location." Score 5.

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$570000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$445000, 78.07%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

DATE: 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$50k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	50k engineering a little high, bond funding/borrowing, likely to
Design concerns or	proceed. Potential DOT issues?
clarification required	
Other comments	Is it open bottom arch or aluminum box culvert (25' clear span — abutment to abutment, 31' overall?? These seem to contradict for an aluminum "box" culvert), DOT will not allow al. box over 20 ft., no longitudinal profile. Or is it an arch as is checked off? Very confusing mix of info.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Lewiston
Road name	Old Webster Road
Stream Name	No Name Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	12'D x 30'L CMP
Crossing Age	52
Bankfull width and method	18.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	25'Sx11'Hx25'L open bottom arch DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding, overtopping reported. Extensive corrosion along the waterline of crossing, channel banks eroded downstream
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No, but debris in photo
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 3.4 miles; no number affected listed
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

name
Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:  "flood survey profile", profile TBD during design BFW
Yes
Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - Yes
Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 20291
contacted IFW, no response
7.22 miles ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 50.56 units
alewife, ATS?, eels

III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Cost Emclency and Enectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$570000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$445000, 78.07%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
costs over previous 10 years	
Construction year	2023

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Feasibility for success;	\$50k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Impassable dam downstream; crossing itself doesn't appear to be a barrier; a piece of the puzzle I suppose if the downstream dam is ever removed

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Lewiston
Road name	Old Webster Road
Stream Name	No Name Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	12'D x 30'L CMP
Crossing Age	52
Bankfull width and method	18.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	25'Sx11'Hx25'L open bottom arch © DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding, overtopping reported. Extensive corrosion along the waterline of crossing, channel banks eroded downstream
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No, but debris in photo
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
	Detours: 3.4 miles; no number affected listed
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:  "flood survey profile", profile TBD during design BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 20291
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted IFW, no response
Habitat Opened/Improved	7.22 miles
Fish present, source of info	alewife, ATS?, eels

III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Eniciency and Enectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$570000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$445000, 78.07%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
	\$-
Costs over previous 10 years	·
Construction year	2023

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-24- Lewiston, Old Webster Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$50k in engineering 😕
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	No Brook Trout, Alewives present. Engineering seems high but it is in town budget.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Milo
Road name	Medford Road
Stream Name	Rapid Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5'Dx58'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	11.8; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.2'Sx8.8'Hx44'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding reported. Culvert has started to collapse, bottom is rusted out, sink hole has started in roadway.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.04 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 30x year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 19 miles; 25 homes, 1 business
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

in Bononto to Fion & Triamo	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? : Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 2586
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contacted agencies. DMR: " the habitat does not look favorable for Atlantic Salmon; mostly flat water and lacking riffle/run habitat. But, since it is in the Pleasant River drainage there could be chance. This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for restoration and protection. (See attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	4.28 miles ATS, CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.35 units class 1 & 3
Fish present, source of info	brook trout

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$192000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$67000, 34.89%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Milo
Road name	Medford Road
Stream Name	Rapid Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5'Dx58'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	11.8; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.2'Sx8.8'Hx44'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding reported. Culvert has started to collapse, bottom is rusted out, sink hole has started in roadway. <i>DS end is rusted out in photos</i> ,
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.04 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 30x year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 19 miles; 25 homes, 1 business
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services Fewer homes, 1 business, but longer detour to consider. 366 cars/day??? Due to proximity to state route? Seems high traffic count.

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 2586
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contacted agencies. DMR: " the habitat does not look favorable for Atlantic Salmon; mostly flat water and lacking riffle/run habitat. But, since it is in the Pleasant River drainage there could be chance. This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for restoration and protection. (See attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	4.28 miles ATS, CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.35 units class 1 & 3
Fish present, source of info	brook trout  BKT more important due to issues mentioned for salmon by DMR? Fair amount of habitat opened >4 mi. IF&W gave it a 2. Synergy, second old concrete box adjacent/upstream coming out too, so a bit of a double benefit. DMR comment "Adjacent to surveyed ATS spawning and rearing habitat." Score 5.

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$192000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$67000, 34.89%

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	20k engineering OK, cost reasonable for project, 35% match, likely.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	11.8 BFW multiple field measurements, meets 1.2, be good to attain that on the second concrete box removal as well. Overall, good design/approach.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Milo
Road name	Medford Road
Stream Name	Rapid Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5'Dx58'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	11.8; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.2'Sx8.8'Hx44'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding reported. Culvert has started to collapse, bottom is rusted out, sink hole has started in roadway.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.04 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 30x year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 19 miles; 25 homes, 1 business
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

in Bononto to Fion & Friamo	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average  Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 2586
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted agencies. DMR: " the habitat does not look favorable for
Water quality improvements;	Atlantic Salmon; mostly flat water and lacking
Support letters, other notable benefits	riffle/run habitat. But, since it is in the Pleasant River drainage there
Zenenes	could be chance. This crossing has been identified as an important
	Fish Passage Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier Prioritization Tool and is located in a watershed identified by as high
	priority for restoration and protection. (See
	attached letter from The Nature Conservancy.)
Habitat Opened/Improved	4.28 miles
	ATS, CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.35 units class 1 & 3
Fish present, source of info	brook trout

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$192000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$67000, 34.89%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Fisheries? Proposing to dewater a large flowage by removing a concrete box culvert just upstream. Should check with IFW about timing at the very least. Whole area is a moderate value IWWH. Wildlife impacts?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Milo
Road name	Medford Road
Stream Name	Rapid Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5'Dx58'L CMP
Crossing Age	40
Bankfull width and method	11.8; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.2'Sx8.8'Hx44'L Open bottom concrete box ODT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no flooding reported. Culvert has started to collapse, bottom is rusted out, sink hole has started in roadway.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.04 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 30x year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
	Detours: 19 miles; 25 homes, 1 business
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Deficits to Fish & Withine		
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average	
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :	
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes	
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 2586	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted agencies. DMR: " the habitat does not look favorable for	
Water quality improvements;	Atlantic Salmon; mostly flat water and lacking riffle/run habitat.	
Support letters, other notable	But, since it is in the Pleasant River drainage there could be chance.	
benefits	This crossing has been identified as an important Fish Passage	
	Restoration project by the Maine Aquatic Barrier Prioritization Tool	
	and is located in a watershed identified by as high priority for	
	restoration and protection. (See attached letter from The Nature	
	Conservancy.)	
Habitat Opened/Improved	4.28 miles	
	ATS, CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat 40.35 units class 1 & 3	
Fish present, source of info	brook trout	

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$192000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$67000, 34.89%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-25- Milo, Medford Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Costs over previous 10 years	\$10,000.00
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$20000 in engineering $\stackrel{\square}{\hookrightarrow}$
Additional efficiencies;	_
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Good design , Long detour, No flooding mid-ly engineering costs, TNC support

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Norridgewock
Road name	Red Barn Road
Stream Name	Turner Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	(3) 4.5'Dx38, 3.75'Dx38, 4.5'Dx38' CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	8.75; single upstream downstream measurements
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx6.25'Hx40.5'L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Clearing is needed at least annually, largely due to beaver damage. No overtopping of road. Two out of three of the culverts are deteriorating at the outlet end.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.05 x – multiple to single increased capacity and passage
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 11.4 miles; 4 homes, 1 business

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	emergency services
affected critical infrastructure,	
other safety issues, traffic	

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	No, also need more measurements
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26663
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted angenicies, no concerns
Habitat Opened/Improved	3.92 miles ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 28.03 units
Fish present, source of info	brook trout (anecodotal)

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$153000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$28000, 18.3%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown for clearing culverts
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Norridgewock
Road name	Red Barn Road
Stream Name	Turner Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	(3) 4.5'Dx38, 3.75'Dx38, 4.5'Dx38' CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	8.75; single upstream downstream measurements
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx6.25'Hx40.5'L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Clearing is needed at least annually, largely due to beaver damage. No overtopping of road. Two out of three of the culverts are deteriorating at the outlet end. <i>Condition does not seem too bad.</i>
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.05 x – multiple to single increased capacity and passage
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 11.4 miles; 4 homes, 1 business (53 cars/day, so low count and homes with moderately high detour).

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	emergency services
affected critical infrastructure,	
other safety issues, traffic	

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	No, also need more measurements
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26663
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted angenicies, no concerns
Habitat Opened/Improved	3.92 miles ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 28.03 units
Fish present, source of info	brook trout (anecodotal). No fisheries agency comments, fair US habitat opened at almost 4 mi., in crit. ATS habitat. DS at Kennebec may not be barrier at most flows, so long total stretch opening. DMR comments "Trib to Kennebec. probably has eels. Not much for salmon." Score 2.

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

ini odot Emolonoy and Emodification	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$153000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$28000, 18.3%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary design

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown for clearing culverts
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	Likely, good cost estimates, planned fund source.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Cross section not great, one US one DS width, terrestrial passage benches mentioned, not shown? Footings not below scour potential.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

**DATE:** January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Norridgewock
Road name	Red Barn Road
Stream Name	Turner Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	(3) 4.5'Dx38, 3.75'Dx38, 4.5'Dx38' CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	8.75; single upstream downstream measurements
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx6.25'Hx40.5'L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Clearing is needed at least annually, largely due to beaver damage. No overtopping of road. Two out of three of the culverts are deteriorating at the outlet end.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.05 x – multiple to single increased capacity and passage
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 11.4 miles; 4 homes, 1 business

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	emergency services
affected critical infrastructure,	
other safety issues, traffic	

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	No, also need more measurements
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26663
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted angenicies, no concerns
Habitat Opened/Improved	3.92 miles ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 28.03 units
Fish present, source of info	brook trout (anecodotal)

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii Goot Emololoy and Emocuronoco	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$153000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$28000, 18.3%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown for clearing culverts
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Appears to be the only barrier on the stream? Need work on engineering.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Norridgewock
Road name	Red Barn Road
Stream Name	Turner Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	(3) 4.5'Dx38, 3.75'Dx38, 4.5'Dx38' CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	8.75; single upstream downstream measurements
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx6.25'Hx40.5'L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Clearing is needed at least annually, largely due to beaver damage. No overtopping of road. Two out of three of the culverts are deteriorating at the outlet end. No flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.05 x – multiple to single increased capacity and passage
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
	Detours: 11.4 miles; 4 homes, 1 business
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	No, also need more measurements
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26663
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted angenicies, no concerns
Habitat Opened/Improved	3.92 miles ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 28.03 units ©
Fish present, source of info	brook trout (anecodotal)

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Goot Emoioney and Emootiveness	
Total Project Cost; Total Match;	Total: \$153000 Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$28000, 18.3%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown for clearing culverts
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-26- Norridgewock, Red Barn Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$10000 in engineering ©
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	IFW support ??, St. Germain / Contech design. 😐
Other comments	
other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Norridgewock
Road name	Winding Hill Road
Stream Name	Bombazee Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx63'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	14; single upstream downstream measurements
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	14.9' Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
	Clearing is needed at least annually, largely due to beaver
Previous flooding or failure	damage. No overtopping of road. The culvert has started to fail – the
events, documentation,	bottom
culvert condition, age	has rusted significantly and is ripping apart in one
	section
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut offer an determine	Cut Offs: 0
Cut-offs or detours	Detours: 11.6 miles; 25 homes, 2 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

Winding Hill Road has several private residences, a church, and a business that would require a five mile/tenminute detour be made by fire and medical responders in an emergency.

steep grade

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	No, also need more measurements
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26690
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted angenicies, no concerns, TNC Support letter
Habitat Opened/Improved	12.72 miles ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 23.89 units
Fish present, source of info	brook trout (anecodotal)

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$195000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$70000, 35.9%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21 12/13/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Norridgewock
Road name	Winding Hill Road
Stream Name	Bombazee Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx63'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	14; single upstream downstream measurements
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	14.9' Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Clearing is needed at least annually, largely due to beaver damage. No overtopping of road. The culvert has started to fail – the bottom has rusted significantly and is ripping apart in one section.  Evident in DS view of culvert pic.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 11.6 miles; 25 homes, 2 businesses. <i>Traffic count fair, moderately high detour, moderate # homes, two businesses.</i>

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

Winding Hill Road has several private residences, a church, and a business that would require a five mile/tenminute detour be made by fire and medical responders in an emergency.

steep grade

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Beliefits to 1 isin a Wilding		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	No, also need more measurements	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26690	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted angenicies, no concerns, TNC Support letter	
Habitat Opened/Improved	12.72 miles. Good distance opened US, with some modelled ATS hab. ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 23.89 units	
Fish present, source of info	brook trout (anecodotal). New appearing plastic culvert DS between project and river appears impassable at low or higher flows, probalby be there for years (looks new new). DMR comments "Trib to Kennebec. probably has eels. Not much for salmon." Score 2.	

III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

III. Oost Efficiency and Effectiveness		
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$195000	
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000	
% Match proposed	Match: \$70000, 35.9%	
	To be stamped?: Yes	
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary design	

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Army Corp Permit info	No Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	Likely, planned funding.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	14 ft BFW, going to 16.8 and does not meet 1.2, used modelled value for width against our judgement. Do plans show footing depth? Some concerns about footing design. Check BFW and footings/grade.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Norridgewock
Road name	Winding Hill Road
Stream Name	Bombazee Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx63'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	14; single upstream downstream measurements
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	14.9' Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
	Clearing is needed at least annually, largely due to beaver
Previous flooding or failure	damage. No overtopping of road. The culvert has started to fail – the
events, documentation,	bottom
culvert condition, age	has rusted significantly and is ripping apart in one
	section
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
	Cut Offs: 0
Cut-offs or detours	Detours: 11.6 miles; 25 homes, 2 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

Winding Hill Road has several private residences, a church, and a business that would require a five mile/tenminute detour be made by fire and medical responders in an emergency.

steep grade

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

in Pononio (o i ion o i inamo		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	No, also need more measurements	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26690	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted angenicies, no concerns, TNC Support letter	
Habitat Opened/Improved	12.72 miles ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 23.89 units	
Fish present, source of info	brook trout (anecodotal)	

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$195000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$70000, 35.9%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Culvert downstream of this crossing is a smoothbore plastic pipe that looks relatively new? Opens up a decent amount of stream, but that first pipe looks impassable. Needs engineering work?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Norridgewock
Road name	Winding Hill Road
Stream Name	Bombazee Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx63'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	14; single upstream downstream measurements
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	14.9' Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers © DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Clearing is needed at least annually, largely due to beaver damage. No overtopping of road. The culvert has started to fail – the bottom has rusted significantly and is ripping apart in one section. No flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0  Detours: 11.6 miles; 25 homes, 2 businesses

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

Winding Hill Road has several private residences, a church, and a business that would require a five mile/tenminute detour be made by fire and medical responders in an emergency.

steep grade

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

m soliono to i ion a finamo		
Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans		
No, also need more measurements 🙁		
Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes		
Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26690		
contacted angenicies, no concerns, TNC Support letter		
12.72 miles ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 23.89 units		
brook trout (anecodotal)		

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$195000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$70000, 35.9%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	No Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-27- Norridgewock, Winding Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	IFW support ??, St. Germain / Contech design. 😐
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	North Yarmouth
Road name	Sweetser Road
Stream Name	Toddy Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx54'L, 15"Dx54'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	12.3; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.2'Sx10'Hx64'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no over topping but flooding damage reported. Rusted bottom of metal pipe, crushing of pipe at band connection, most likely a broken/corroded band clamp, water running under pipe. possible sink holes
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.7 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 2 miles; 50 homes, 1 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Public water supply for Town of North Yarmouth, 12-inch supply line runs over the culvert. If crossing fails, it

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

could interrupt public water supply. There would be no other water main
connection between Yarmouth water
district water supply wells.
Water on outlet side of pipe flows with high velocity
directly into a clay bank undermining large hemlock
and pine trees. Currently trees have fallen into brook
and forced. water flow into road way bank. Causing
erosion along road.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8670
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted IFW
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.34 miles
	brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	Stream has wild brook trout, Stickleback, and Blacknosed Dace.
	- James Pellerin, Regional Fisheries Biologist, Maine DIFW

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$277000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$152000, 54.8%

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$22k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	North Yarmouth
Road name	Sweetser Road
Stream Name	Toddy Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx54'L, 15"Dx54'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	12.3; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.2'Sx10'Hx64'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no over topping but flooding damage reported. Rusted bottom of metal pipe, crushing of pipe at band connection, most likely a broken/corroded band clamp, water running under pipe. possible sink holes Some piping flowing back into DS end through rust out holes.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.7 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 2 miles; 50 homes, 1 critical infrastructure Short detour, fairly high number of homes, but water main between wells and town heightens concern.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Public water supply for Town of North Yarmouth, 12-inch supply line runs
	over the culvert. If crossing fails, it could interrupt public water supply.
Affected residents, business,	There would be no other water main connection between Yarmouth
affected critical infrastructure,	water district water supply wells. Water on outlet side of pipe flows with
other safety issues, traffic	high velocity directly into a clay bank undermining large hemlock
	and pine trees. Currently trees have fallen into brook and forced water
	flow into road way bank, causing erosion along road.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Delicilis to Fish & W	name
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8670
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted IFW Wild BKT habitat, 0.34 mi. only US opened, tough potential barriers below & above. IF&W support for wild brook trout in an intown location in S. Maine. May be WQ benefits with significant erosion around site, perhaps nearby driveway contributing in photos?
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.34 miles brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	Stream has wild brook trout, Stickleback, and Blacknosed Dace James Pellerin, Regional Fisheries Biologist, Maine DIFW. DMR comments "Far from main stem Royal River, maybe eels." Score 1.

iiii ooot Emolonoy aha Enoduvonooo	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$277000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$152000, 54.8%
	Total Project Cost; Total Match;

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$22k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Some realignment, permitting issue in cutting off stream, good plan on pumping for WQ protection, two phased approach with two US coffer dams, good thought/input into prelim design.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	North Yarmouth
Road name	Sweetser Road
Stream Name	Toddy Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx54'L, 15"Dx54'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	12.3; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.2'Sx10'Hx64'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no over topping but flooding damage reported. Rusted bottom of metal pipe, crushing of pipe at band connection, most likely a broken/corroded band clamp, water running under pipe. possible sink holes
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.7 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 2 miles; 50 homes, 1 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Public water supply for Town of North Yarmouth, 12-inch supply line runs over the culvert. If crossing fails, it

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

could interrupt public water supply. There would be no other water main
connection between Yarmouth water
district water supply wells.
Water on outlet side of pipe flows with high velocity
directly into a clay bank undermining large hemlock
and pine trees. Currently trees have fallen into brook
and forced. water flow into road way bank. Causing
erosion along road.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Yes
sizing, considerations performed	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8670
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted IFW
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.34 miles brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	Stream has wild brook trout, Stickleback, and Blacknosed Dace James Pellerin, Regional Fisheries Biologist, Maine DIFW

0001 =0	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$277000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$152000, 54.8%

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$22k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Brook Trout in Southern Maine. Re-alignment? Taking a bend out of the stream which might require an individual NRPA permit

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	North Yarmouth
Road name	Sweetser Road
Stream Name	Toddy Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx54'L, 15"Dx54'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	12.3; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.2'Sx10'Hx64'L Open bottom concrete box © DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no over topping but flooding damage reported. Rusted bottom of metal pipe, crushing of pipe at band connection, most likely a broken/corroded band clamp, water running under pipe. possible sink holes
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.7 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut offe on determs	Cut Offs: 0
Cut-offs or detours	Detours: 2 miles; 50 homes, 1 critical infrastructure
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Public water supply for Town of North Yarmouth, 12-inch supply line runs over the culvert. If crossing fails, it

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

could interrupt public water supply. There would be no other water main
connection between Yarmouth water district water supply wells.
Water on outlet side of pipe flows with high velocity directly into a clay
bank undermining large hemlock and pine trees. Currently trees have
fallen into brook and forced. water flow into road way bank. Causing
erosion along road.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8670
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted IFW
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.34 miles brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	Stream has wild brook trout, Stickleback, and Blacknosed Dace James Pellerin, Regional Fisheries Biologist, Maine DIFW

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$277000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$152000, 54.8%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-28- North Yarmouth, Sweetser Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success; Additional efficiencies; Design concerns or clarification required	\$22k in engineering 😐
Other comments	Dirigo Design, moderate engineering costs, using existing overflow culvert for pump around, Strange alignment.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Old Orchard Beach
Road name	Old Orchard Road
Stream Name	Cold Water Brook (drains to Goosefare Brook)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3'Dx65'L CMP
Crossing Age	46
Bankfull width and method	7.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'Sx4'Hx65'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: n/a May be on State Aid Road?

Estimated time to failure	1-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Town staff were consulted, and they did not report overtopping, however, based on H&H modeling, flooding of the culvert is potential during the 2-year, 24-hour storm. The H&H model does not indicate overtopping of the road. pipe has continued to deteriora
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 0.3 miles; + or - 100 homes, 7 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Old Orchard Road is a major collector in an urban area and while the
	detour is not a long distance, it would
	potentially reroute a significant number of trips through a residential
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure,	neighborhood located on Wilbur Ave and
other safety issues, traffic	Melvin Ave.
	There is a RV Resort and Campground approximately 700 feet from the
	culvert that would be
	affected by a detour.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:
profile?	Yes
	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes (not tidal or expected ot be)
sizing, considerations performed	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
Now Characture as a side actions	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 55485
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted agencies, no DMR response, IFW provided letter.Alex
Water quality improvements;	Abbott indicated that the project would help ecological connection,
Support letters, other notable benefits	specifically for
belletits	brook trout and potentially sea-run brook trout. He also indicated that
	the stream could have traditionally been a
	site for sea-run rainbow smelt spawning.
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.05 miles
	brook trout habitat, state listed animal, saltmarsh sparrow nearby
Fish present, source of info	unsure, no survey data

**RFP#:** 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$366000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$241000, 65.8%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$38400 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Old Orchard Beach
Road name	Old Orchard Road
Stream Name	Cold Water Brook (drains to Goosefare Brook)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3'Dx65'L CMP
Crossing Age	46
Bankfull width and method	7.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'Sx4'Hx65'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Town staff were consulted, and they did not report overtopping, however, based on H&H modeling, flooding of the culvert is potential during the 2-year, 24-hour storm. The H&H model does not indicate overtopping of the road. pipe has continued to deteriorate. Some corrosion/perforation at inlet end.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 0.3 miles; + or - 100 homes, 7 businesses. Short detour, no cuts, heavy home density and very high trips/day (4,100 trips/day). Is this state aid road??

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

Old Orchard Road is a major collector in an urban area and while the detour is not a long distance, it would potentially reroute a significant number of trips through a residential neighborhood located on Wilbur Ave and Melvin Ave. There is a RV Resort and Campground approximately 700 feet from the culvert that would be affected by a detour.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes (not tidal or expected ot be)
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
	Barno. Tes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 55485
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted agencies, no DMR response, IFW provided letter.Alex
Water quality improvements;	Abbott indicated that the project would help ecological connection,
Support letters, other notable	specifically for
benefits	brook trout and potentially sea-run brook trout. He also indicated that
	the stream could have traditionally been a
	site for sea-run rainbow smelt spawning.
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.05 miles
	brook trout habitat, state listed animal, saltmarsh sparrow nearby
Fish present, source of info	unsure, no survey data. DMR comments "Tributary above potential
	smelt habitat on Goosefare Brook." Score 3.
	1

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$366000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$241000, 65.8%

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$38400 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Old Orchard Beach
Road name	Old Orchard Road
Stream Name	Cold Water Brook (drains to Goosefare Brook)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3'Dx65'L CMP
Crossing Age	46
Bankfull width and method	7.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'Sx4'Hx65'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Town staff were consulted, and they did not report overtopping, however, based on H&H modeling, flooding of the culvert is potential during the 2-year, 24-hour storm. The H&H model does not indicate overtopping of the road. pipe has continued to deteriora
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 0.3 miles; + or - 100 homes, 7 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Old Orchard Road is a major collector in an urban area and while the	ı
	detour is not a long distance, it would	ı
Affected assistants business	potentially reroute a significant number of trips through a residential	Ì
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure,	neighborhood located on Wilbur Ave and	Ì
other safety issues, traffic	Melvin Ave.	ì
other safety issues, traffic	There is a RV Resort and Campground approximately 700 feet from the	ì
	culvert that would be	ı
	affected by a detour.	ì

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:
profile?	Yes
	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes (not tidal or expected ot be)
sizing, considerations performed	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
Now Characture as a side actions	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 55485
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted agencies, no DMR response, IFW provided letter.Alex
Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable	Abbott indicated that the project would help ecological connection,
benefits	specifically for
belletits	brook trout and potentially sea-run brook trout. He also indicated that
	the stream could have traditionally been a
	site for sea-run rainbow smelt spawning.
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.05 miles
	brook trout habitat, state listed animal, saltmarsh sparrow nearby
Fish present, source of info	unsure, no survey data

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Total Project Cost; Total Match;	Total: \$366000 Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$241000, 65.8%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$38400 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Doesn't appear to be a significant fisheries improvement; culvert definitely undersized and deteriorating; public safety priority?

RFP #: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Old Orchard Beach
Road name	Old Orchard Road
Stream Name	Cold Water Brook (drains to Goosefare Brook)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3'Dx65'L CMP
Crossing Age	46
Bankfull width and method	7.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'Sx4'Hx65'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Town staff were consulted, and they did not report overtopping, however, based on H&H modeling, flooding of the culvert is potential during the 2-year, 24-hour storm. The H&H model does not indicate overtopping of the road. pipe has continued to deteriora
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 0.3 miles; + or - 100 homes, 7 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Old Orchard Road is a major collector in an urban area and while the
	detour is not a long distance, it would
	potentially reroute a significant number of trips through a residential
	neighborhood located on Wilbur Ave and
	Melvin Ave.
	There is a RV Resort and Campground approximately 700 feet from the
	culvert that would be
	affected by a detour.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:
profile?	Yes
•	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations	Yes (not tidal or expected ot be)
performed	
·	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
,	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 55485
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Contacted agencies, no DMR response, IFW provided letter.Alex
Water quality improvements;	Abbott indicated that the project would help ecological connection,
Support letters, other notable	specifically for
benefits	brook trout and potentially sea-run brook trout. He also indicated that
	the stream could have traditionally been a
	site for sea-run rainbow smelt spawning.
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.05 miles
	brook trout habitat, state listed animal, saltmarsh sparrow nearby
Fish present, source of info	unsure, no survey data

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-29- Old Orchard Beach, Old Orchard Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$366000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$241000, 65.8%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	none reported
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success; Additional efficiencies; Design concerns or clarification required	\$38400 in engineering 🙁
Other comments	No flooding, design has banks but hard to build, lack of info on fish

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

DATE: 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Peru
Road name	Dickvale Rd
Stream Name	unnamed trib to Spear Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Sx4'Hx33'L per stream viewer Concrete Box  Bridge by definition?
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	8.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	12'Sx6-7'Hx22'L Open bottom concrete DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopping due to clogging, requires cleangin "couple times a year". No damage reported
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes? Not indicated
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 2 x
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 7.2 miles; 80+ homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	heavy truck travel, gravel pit, heavy logging traffic seasonally

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	No
profile:	Preliminary design sizing, BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - TBD
Banks within structure?	Banks? - No?
No. Charles and the state of	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 23140
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted agenices, but no contact returned
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.34 miles
	brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	brook trout up and downstream of site reported

iii. Oost Emclericy and Emectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$61000
Total Match;	Requested: \$48000
% Match proposed	Match: \$13000, 21.3%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$minimal
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Feasibility for success;	unknown engineering, small ask
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Peru
Road name	Dickvale Rd
Stream Name	unnamed trib to Spear Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Sx4'Hx33'L per stream viewer Concrete Box, appears to be bridge with deck and abutments.
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	8.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	12'Sx6-7'Hx22'L Open bottom concrete DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopping due to clogging, requires cleangin "couple times a year". No damage reported
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes? Not indicated
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 2 x
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 7.2 miles; 80+ homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	heavy truck travel, gravel pit, heavy logging traffic seasonally

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Delicits to 1 isii a 11	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:
matches stream, longitudinal	No
profile?	Preliminary design sizing, BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - TBD
Banks within structure?	Banks? - No?
New Structure considerations	
The structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 23140
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted agenices, but no contact returned
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits Habitat Opened/Improved	1.34 miles
Habitat Opened/Improved	brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	brook trout up and downstream of site reported. <i>DMR comments</i>
	"Outside of critical habitat for ATS; not managed for other sea-run
	species." Score 0.

iii. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness		
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$61000	
Total Match;	Requested: \$48000	
% Match proposed	Match: \$13000, 21.3%	
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary design	
Army Corp Permit info	Contact	
Costs over previous 10 years	\$minimal	
Construction year	2022	

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Feasibility for success;	unknown engineering, small ask
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Othersessess	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Peru
Road name	Dickvale Rd
Stream Name	unnamed trib to Spear Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Sx4'Hx33'L per stream viewer Concrete Box
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	8.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	12'Sx6-7'Hx22'L Open bottom concrete DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopping due to clogging, requires cleangin "couple times a year". No damage reported
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes? Not indicated
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 2 x
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 7.2 miles; 80+ homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	heavy truck travel, gravel pit, heavy logging traffic seasonally

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	No
profile?	Preliminary design sizing, BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - TBD
Banks within structure?	Banks? - No?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 23140
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted agenices, but no contact returned
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.34 miles
	brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	brook trout up and downstream of site reported

iii. 003t Emclericy and Emcetiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$61000
Total Match;	Requested: \$48000
% Match proposed	Match: \$13000, 21.3%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
, , ,	
Costs over previous 10 years	\$minimal
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Feasibility for success;	unknown engineering, small ask
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Crossing looks like a bridge not a culvert
Other comments	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Peru
Road name	Dickvale Rd
Stream Name	unnamed trib to Spear Stream
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Sx4'Hx33'L per stream viewer Concrete Box
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	8.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	12'Sx6-7'Hx22'L Open bottom concrete ODT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopping due to clogging, requires cleangin "couple times a year". No damage reported flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes? Not indicated
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 2 x
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
	Detours: 7.2 miles; 80+ homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	heavy truck travel, gravel pit, heavy logging traffic seasonally

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width	Pankfull width mothod: Multiple Field Average
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	No
profile?	Preliminary design sizing, BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - TBD
Banks within structure?	Banks? - No?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 23140
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted agenices, but no contact returned
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.34 miles Good
	brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	brook trout up and downstream of site reported

iii. Oost Eniciency and Enectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$61000
Total Match;	Requested: \$48000
% Match proposed	Match: \$13000, 21.3%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$minimal
costs over previous 10 years	
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-30- Peru, Dickvale Rd

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	unknown engineering, small ask
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Design / estimate by contractor??
Other comments	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Phillips
Road name	East Madrid Road
Stream Name	Black brook tributary (Sandy River WS)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2'Dx46'L CMP
Crossing Age	20
Bankfull width and method	12.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.3'Sx9'Hx44'L Open bottom concrete DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure	no overtopping opver last 10 years, frequent clogging. Damage has occurred from flooding. The inlet is currently deformed slightly, and has
events, documentation,	been
culvert condition, age	subject to inundation in the past, with the embankment
	fill above subsided and eroded.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 7.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 1-2x
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.5 miles; >20 homes, >20 businesses, >5 infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Approximately 16 Phillips residences rely immediately on access to state
	Route 142 along the East Madrid Road, and would be forced to detour
	approximately 5 miles if this culvert were to fail. Further, Madrid
Affected residents, business,	Township residences along this road would be a public and private access
affected critical infrastructure,	would be similarly limited, including fire, police and ambulances, school
other safety issues, traffic	buses, public works, commercial traffic and delivery services.
	Additionally, the heavyweight traffic from the nearby asphalt plant has
	an impact on the viability of the
	current culvert.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Yes
sizing, considerations performed	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15063
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Attached letter from Paul Christman of DMR – key tributary to provide coldwater refuge for juvenile Atlantic salmon moving up from spawning sites in the Sandy River downstream from this site.  Attached letter of support from Elizabeth Thorndike of IFW – historic brook trout stream with cold-water habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.96 miles ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.5 Units ATS high priority modelled habitat, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout, possible Atlantic salmon

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$144000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$19000, 13.1%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success; Additional efficiencies; Design concerns or	Prelim design, engineer TBD
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Phillips
Road name	East Madrid Road
Stream Name	Black brook tributary (Sandy River WS)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2'Dx46'L CMP
Crossing Age	20
Bankfull width and method	12.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.3'Sx9'Hx44'L Open bottom concrete DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping opver last 10 years, frequent clogging. Damage has occurred from flooding. The inlet is currently deformed slightly, and has been subject to inundation in the past, with the embankment fill above subsided and eroded. Lower end hanging terribly, very undersized, surprising it has not failed.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 7.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 1-2x
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.5 miles; >20 homes, >20 businesses, >5 infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Approximately 16 Phillips residences rely immediately on access to state
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Route 142 along the East Madrid Road, and would be forced to detour
	approximately 5 miles if this culvert were to fail. Further, Madrid
	Township residences along this road would be a public and private access
	would be similarly limited, including fire, police and ambulances, school
	buses, public works, commercial traffic and delivery services.
	Additionally, the heavyweight traffic from the nearby asphalt plant has
	an impact on the viability of the current culvert.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
·	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15063
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Attached letter from Paul Christman of DMR – key tributary to provide
Water quality improvements;	coldwater refuge for juvenile Atlantic salmon moving up from
Support letters, other notable	spawning sites in the Sandy River downstream from this site.
benefits	Attached letter of support from Elizabeth Thorndike of IFW – historic
	brook trout stream with cold-water habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.96 miles
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.5 Units ATS high priority modelled habitat, IWWH
Fish	
Fish present, source of info	brook trout, possible Atlantic salmon.
	Very good location/habitat for salmon (DMR) and BKT (IF&W),
	moderate amount of ATS high priority (class I) and DS from surveyed
	spawning and rearing habitat. DMR comments "Modeled ATS habitat
	and managed for ATS immediately downstream." Score 5.

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Total Project Cost; Total Match;	Total: \$144000 Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$19000, 13.1%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	Prelim design, engineer TBD
Additional efficiencies;	13% match, town meeting funding? Not much match to come up with,
Design concerns or	likelihood?
clarification required	
Other comments	Plans very complete for substrate (comp. table included), good feasibility.,

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Phillips
Road name	East Madrid Road
Stream Name	Black brook tributary (Sandy River WS)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2'Dx46'L CMP
Crossing Age	20
Bankfull width and method	12.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.3'Sx9'Hx44'L Open bottom concrete DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure	no overtopping opver last 10 years, frequent clogging. Damage has occurred from flooding. The inlet is currently deformed slightly, and has
events, documentation,	been
culvert condition, age	subject to inundation in the past, with the embankment
	fill above subsided and eroded.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 7.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 1-2x
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.5 miles; >20 homes, >20 businesses, >5 infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Approximately 16 Phillips residences rely immediately on access to state
	Route 142 along the East Madrid
	Road, and would be forced to detour approximately 5 miles if this culvert
	were to fail. Further, Madrid Township
Affected residents, business,	residences along this road would be a
affected critical infrastructure,	public and private access would be similarly limited, including fire, police
other safety issues, traffic	and ambulances, school buses, public
	works, commercial traffic and delivery services. Additionally, the
	heavyweight traffic from the nearby asphalt plant has an impact on the
	viability of the
	current culvert.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15063
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	Attached letter from Paul Christman of DMR – key tributary to provide
Water quality improvements;	coldwater refuge for juvenile Atlantic salmon moving up from
Support letters, other notable	spawning sites in the Sandy River downstream from this site.
benefits	Attached letter of support from Elizabeth Thorndike of IFW – historic
	brook trout stream with cold-water habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.96 miles
	ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.5 Units ATS high priority modelled habitat, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout, possible Atlantic salmon
	1

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$144000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$19000, 13.1%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	Prelim design, engineer TBD
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Atlantic salmon, spawning habitat immediately downstream in the Sandy River; good design; match? Hits a lot of the buttons.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Phillips
Road name	East Madrid Road
Stream Name	Black brook tributary (Sandy River WS)
Existing Culvert Size & Material	2'Dx46'L CMP
Crossing Age	20
Bankfull width and method	12.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.3'Sx9'Hx44'L Open bottom concrete © DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping over last 10 years, frequent clogging. Damage has occurred from flooding. The inlet is currently deformed slightly, and has been subject to inundation in the past, with the embankment fill above subsided and eroded. No flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 7.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 1-2x
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0  Detours: 5.5 miles; >20 homes, >20 businesses, >5 infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Approximately 16 Phillips residences rely immediately on access to state
	Route 142 along the East Madrid Road, and would be forced to detour
	approximately 5 miles if this culvert were to fail. Further, Madrid
Affected residents, business,	Township residences along this road would be a public and private access
affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	would be similarly limited, including fire, police and ambulances, school
other safety issues, traffic	buses, public works, commercial traffic and delivery services.
	Additionally, the heavyweight traffic from the nearby asphalt plant has
	an impact on the viability of the current culvert.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15063
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Attached letter from Paul Christman of DMR – key tributary to provide coldwater refuge for juvenile Atlantic salmon moving up from spawning sites in the Sandy River downstream from this site.  Attached letter of support from Elizabeth Thorndike of IFW – historic brook trout stream with cold-water habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.96 miles Good ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.5 Units ATS high priority modelled habitat, IWWH
Fish present, source of info	brook trout, possible Atlantic salmon

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-31- Phillips, East Madrid Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Total Project Cost; Total Match;	Total: \$144000 Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$19000, 13.1%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	Prelim design, engineer TBD
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	A.Abbott Design, Atlantic Salmon Federation support, IF&W support, DMR Support,

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Pittston
Road name	Blodgett Road
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Eastern River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	7'Dx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	21.5; single upstream downstream measurements, chose modelled value of significantly less. Measured value: 21.5'
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx6'Hx40'L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	>1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, beaver damage/cleaning every year. water flows beneath and around the structure from one side to the other. Rusted out and not functioning as designed
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	yes?, but unsure based on BFW measurements, need to calculate
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 12 Homes Detours: n/a, cut-off

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

Twelve homes down Blodgett Road would be completely isolated from critical public services and all town amenities should the crossing fail.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements,
method, & confidence; skew	chose modelled value of significantly less. Measured value: 21.5'
matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
profile?	Yes
	Longitudinal profile, prelimes design
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	No
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15975
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted agencies, no salmon habitat or fisheries concerns with
Water quality improvements;	project
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.86 miles
	IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 35.81 units
Fish present, source of info	Yes, observed

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$153000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$28000, 18.3 %
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10k in engineering. Low confidence in bankfull width and structure
Additional efficiencies;	sizing
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Pittston
Road name	Blodgett Road
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Eastern River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	7'Dx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	21.5; single upstream downstream measurements, chose modelled value of significantly less. Measured value: 21.5'
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx6'Hx40'L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	>1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, beaver damage/cleaning every year. water flows beneath and around the structure from one side to the other. Rusted out and not functioning as designed
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	yes?, but unsure based on BFW measurements, need to calculate
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 12 Homes Detours: n/a, cut-off
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Twelve homes down Blodgett Road would be completely isolated from critical public services and all town amenities should the crossing fail. So, cut off/isolation of 12 residences, 143 cars/day traffic count.

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements, chose modelled value of significantly less. Measured value: 21.5' Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes Longitudinal profile, prelimes design
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	No
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15975
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted agencies, no salmon habitat or fisheries concerns with project
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.86 miles IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 35.81 units
Fish present, source of info	Yes, observed. Species unknown. US from Eastern R, perhaps only smelt usage, no input from fisheries concerns from agencies, IF&W 0, modelled ATS only. DMR comments "Adjacent to (upstream of) high priority Smelt habitat." Score 4.

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$153000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$28000, 18.3 %
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10k in engineering. Low confidence in bankfull width and structure
Additional efficiencies;	sizing
Design concerns or	18% match/28k.
clarification required	
Other comments	BFW questionable (di not us 3 up/downstream), used smaller modelled number, too small?? Calls sizing into question. More detail in figures would be useful, very simplistic diagrams.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Pittston
Road name	Blodgett Road
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Eastern River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	7'Dx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	21.5; single upstream downstream measurements, chose modelled value of significantly less. Measured value: 21.5'
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx6'Hx40'L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	>1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, beaver damage/cleaning every year. water flows beneath and around the structure from one side to the other. Rusted out and not functioning as designed
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	yes?, but unsure based on BFW measurements, need to calculate
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 12 Homes Detours: n/a, cut-off

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

Twelve homes down Blodgett Road would be completely isolated from critical public services and all town amenities should the crossing fail.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

iii Bollotto to i lott a triidiii o		
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements,	
method, & confidence; skew	chose modelled value of significantly less. Measured value: 21.5'	
matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :	
profile?	Yes	
	Longitudinal profile, prelimes design	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	No	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15975	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted agencies, no salmon habitat or fisheries concerns with	
Water quality improvements;	project	
Support letters, other notable		
benefits		
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.86 miles	
	IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 35.81 units	
Fish present, source of info	Yes, observed	

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$153000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$28000, 18.3 %
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10k in engineering. Low confidence in bankfull width and structure
Additional efficiencies;	sizing
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Less than bankfull width; only 1 bankfull width measurement? no coldwater fish; low IFW score; 2.8 miles opened; needs engineering work

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Pittston
Road name	Blodgett Road
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Eastern River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	7'Dx40'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	21.5; single upstream downstream measurements, chose modelled value of significantly less. Measured value: 21.5'
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9.5'Sx6'Hx40'L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers © DOT: No

Estimated time to failure	>1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	No overtopping, beaver damage/cleaning every year. water flows beneath and around the structure from one side to the other. Rusted out and not functioning as designed No flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	yes?, but unsure based on BFW measurements, need to calculate
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 12 Homes Detours: n/a, cut-off
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Twelve homes down Blodgett Road would be completely isolated from critical public services and all town amenities should the crossing fail.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements, chose modelled value of significantly less. Measured value: 21.5'
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? : Yes
	Longitudinal profile, prelimes design
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	No
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15975
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted agencies, no salmon habitat or fisheries concerns with
Water quality improvements;	project
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	2.86 miles
	IWWH, ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 35.81 units 😊
Fish present, source of info	Yes, observed KIND??

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Gost Emolericy and Emeditations	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$153000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$28000, 18.3 %
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$unknown
Costs over previous to years	
Construction year	2022

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-32- Pittston, Blodgett Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$10k in engineering. Low confidence in bankfull width and structure
Additional efficiencies;	sizing 😕
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	12 cut off homes, TNC support, St. Germain/ Contech design,
Other comments	
other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Pittston
Road name	Pinkham Road
Stream Name	Stony Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	8'Dx60'L CMP
Crossing Age	32
Bankfull width and method	25; single upstream downstream measurements, chose single DS measurment for sizing, modelled value (typically underextimated) is ~20'
New Structure size & type.	31'2"Sx10'Hx33'L Open bottom concrete arch
Contacted DOT Bridge	DOT: Yes, Plans reviewed
Program if 10' or greater?	

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The crossing overtops 2-3 feet annually and causes a full road closure every spring for approximately a week. On Oct 31, 2021, heavy rains flooded the road and required a three-day road closure. Significant portions of the metal culvert have eroded and it
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, frequently
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6 miles; >65 homes, 2 critical infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Pinkham Road is a through road that connects Route 126 and Route 226.
	Those commuting to Togus VA
	Hospital frequently use this road. The Pittston Central Fire Station is
	located on Route 126, when this Pinkham
	Road crossing overtops, all the houses to the
	The culvert's current condition has warranted the road weight limit to be
	reduced to 13 tons. Signs of significant
	damage to the structure were observed during the stream survey.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements, chose single DS measurment for sizing, modelled value (typically underextimated) is ~20' Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? : Yes Longitudinal profile, prelimes design
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15996
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Denis-Marc Nault of MDMR indicated "Atlantic Salmon parr have been stocked upstream but in low numbers for a research project" and "parts of the tributary have been surveyed and has limited juvenile salmon habitat." Contaced IFW: No fisheries concerns
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.26 miles ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat 56.66 units
Fish present, source of info	Atlantic salmon

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$375000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$250000, 66%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10k in engineering. design reviewed by DOT
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Pittston
Road name	Pinkham Road
Stream Name	Stony Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	8'Dx60'L CMP
Crossing Age	32
Bankfull width and method	25; single upstream downstream measurements, chose single DS measurment for sizing, modelled value (typically underextimated) is ~20'
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	31'2"Sx10'Hx33'L Open bottom concrete arch DOT: Yes, Plans reviewed

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The crossing overtops 2-3 feet annually and causes a full road closure every spring for approximately a week. On Oct 31, 2021, heavy rains flooded the road and required a three-day road closure. Significant portions of the metal culvert have eroded. Pics/looks like nearly total blockage due to peeled metal sheet, not sure of origin from pictures. Major, frequent flooding.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, frequently
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6 miles; >65 homes, 2 critical infrastructure

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Pinkham Road is a through road that connects Route 126 and Route 226.
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Those commuting to Togus VA Hospital frequently use this road. The
	Pittston Central Fire Station is located on Route 126, when this Pinkham
	Road crossing overtops, all the houses to the
	The culvert's current condition has warranted the road weight limit to be
	reduced to 13 tons. Signs of significant damage to the structure were
	observed during the stream survey. Weight limit and major damage
	indicate need.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Delicins to I isii & W	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements, chose single DS measurment for sizing, modelled value (typically underextimated) is ~20' Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? : Yes Longitudinal profile, prelimes design
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15996
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Denis-Marc Nault of MDMR indicated "Atlantic Salmon parr have been stocked upstream but in low numbers for a research project" and "parts of the tributary have been surveyed and has limited juvenile salmon habitat." Contaced IFW: No fisheries concerns
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.26 miles ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat 56.66 units
Fish present, source of info	Atlantic salmon  Some ATS stocking (parr), good long stretch opened at >5 mi. NO  IF&W inland fisheries interest/concern. No barriers DS to Togus Str., 3  US barriers. DMR comments "Surveyed ATS habitat immediately downstream; Tributary to Togus Stream." Score 4.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

DATE: 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$375000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$250000, 0.66
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10k in engineering. design reviewed by DOT
Additional efficiencies;	Planned funding. Probability good.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Stream profile somewhat hard to understand, size of span proposed very large, questionable approval DOT.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Pittston
Road name	Pinkham Road
Stream Name	Stony Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size &	8'Dx60'L CMP
Material	
Crossing Age	32
Bankfull width and method	25; single upstream downstream measurements, chose single DS
	measurment for sizing, modelled value (typically underextimated) is ~20'
New Structure size & type.	31'2"Sx10'Hx33'L Open bottom concrete arch
Contacted DOT Bridge	DOT: Yes, Plans reviewed
Program if 10' or greater?	

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The crossing overtops 2-3 feet annually and causes a full road closure every spring for approximately a week. On Oct 31, 2021, heavy rains flooded the road and required a three-day road closure. Significant portions of the metal culvert have eroded and it
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, frequently
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 6 miles; >65 homes, 2 critical infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Pinkham Road is a through road that connects Route 126 and Route 226.
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Those commuting to Togus VA
	Hospital frequently use this road. The Pittston Central Fire Station is
	located on Route 126, when this Pinkham
	Road crossing overtops, all the houses to the
	The culvert's current condition has warranted the road weight limit to be
	reduced to 13 tons. Signs of significant
	damage to the structure were observed during the stream survey.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements, chose single DS measurment for sizing, modelled value (typically underextimated) is ~20' Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? : Yes Longitudinal profile, prelimes design
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15996
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Denis-Marc Nault of MDMR indicated "Atlantic Salmon parr have been stocked upstream but in low numbers for a research project" and "parts of the tributary have been surveyed and has limited juvenile salmon habitat." Contaced IFW: No fisheries concerns
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.26 miles ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat 56.66 units
Fish present, source of info	Atlantic salmon

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$375000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$250000, 0.6666666666666663,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success; Additional efficiencies; Design concerns or clarification required	\$10k in engineering. design reviewed by DOT
Other comments	5.5 miles of stream opened up; Atlantic salmon research project; Crossing overtops regularly;

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Pittston
Road name	Pinkham Road
Stream Name	Stony Meadow Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	8'Dx60'L CMP
Crossing Age	32
Bankfull width and method	25; single upstream downstream measurements, chose single DS measurment for sizing, modelled value (typically underextimated) is ~20'
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	31'2"Sx10'Hx33'L Open bottom concrete arch DOT: Yes, Plans reviewed

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The crossing overtops 2-3 feet annually and causes a full road closure every spring for approximately a week. On Oct 31, 2021, heavy rains flooded the road and required a three-day road closure. Significant portions of the metal culvert have eroded and it
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, frequently
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0  Detours: 6 miles; >65 homes, 2 critical infrastructure

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Pinkham Road is a through road that connects Route 126 and Route 226.
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Those commuting to Togus VA
	Hospital frequently use this road. The Pittston Central Fire Station is
	located on Route 126, when this Pinkham
	Road crossing overtops, all the houses to the
	The culvert's current condition has warranted the road weight limit to be
	reduced to 13 tons. Signs of significant
	damage to the structure were observed during the stream survey.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: single upstream downstream measurements, chose single DS measurment for sizing, modelled value (typically underextimated) is ~20' Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? : Yes Longitudinal profile, prelimes design
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15996
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Denis-Marc Nault of MDMR indicated "Atlantic Salmon parr have been stocked upstream but in low numbers for a research project" and "parts of the tributary have been surveyed and has limited juvenile salmon habitat." Contaced IFW: No fisheries concerns
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.26 miles © ATS CH, ATS Modelled habitat 56.66 units
Fish present, source of info	Atlantic salmon

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-33- Pittston, Pinkham Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$375000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$250000, 0.6666666666666663,
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10k in engineering. design reviewed by DOT 😊
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	TNC support, St. Germain/ Contech design,
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Richmond
Road name	Beedle Road (West)
Stream Name	Abagadasset River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	(2) 4.5'Dx80'L CMP
Crossing Age	35+
Bankfull width and method	13.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17'Sx6'Hx60'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The crossing has caused flooding six times over the past 2 years and over 30 times over the past 10 years. The road is showing significant erosion at the crossing at both the inlet and outlet. Road closures during flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 1-2x per year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 7 miles; 10 homes, 5 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency services
Afficial advantage to the stress	The erosion at both the inlet and outlet has resulted in excessive debris
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure,	that is plugging culverts. The roadway
other safety issues, traffic	is undermined at each flood event, which will ultimately lead to
other safety issues, traffic	complete failure of the crossing. If the road
	continues to flood it is in danger of collapsing.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15907
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Wes Ashe MDIFW did say that a larger structure would certainly benefit this site by limiting erosion, enhancing road integrity, providing natural flow, and reducing any artificial ponding on the upstream. This crossing is on the Abadagasset River, which is designated as a critical habitat for Atlantic Salmon, Alewife, Sea-Run Rainbow Smelt, Wild Eastern Brook Trout, and Sea-run Rainbow Smelt.
Habitat Opened/Improved	8.4 miles ATS CH, Brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	creek chub, pumkinseed sunfish -IFW survey. Contacted DMR, no response, brook trout

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$374000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$249000, 66.57%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$4000 in 2020, unsure of other years
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

**DATE:** 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Richmond
Road name	Beedle Road (West)
Stream Name	Abagadasset River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	(2) 4.5'Dx80'L CMP
Crossing Age	35+
Bankfull width and method	13.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17'Sx6'Hx60'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The crossing has caused flooding six times over the past 2 years and over 30 times over the past 10 years. The road is showing significant erosion at the crossing at both the inlet and outlet. Road closures during flooding. Culvert clogged?, no light visible thru in photos, frequent flooding.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 1-2x per year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 7 miles; 10 homes, 5 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

**DATE:** 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

emergency services The erosion at both the inlet and outlet has resulted in excessive debris that is plugging culverts. The roadway is undermined at each flood event, which will ultimately lead to complete failure of the crossing. If the road continues to flood it is in danger of collapsing. *Traffic moderate*.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

<u></u>	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15907
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Wes Ashe MDIFW did say that a larger structure would certainly benefit this site by limiting erosion, enhancing road integrity, providing natural flow, and reducing any artificial ponding on the upstream. This crossing is on the Abadagasset River, which is designated as a critical habitat for Atlantic Salmon, Alewife, Sea-Run Rainbow Smelt, Wild Eastern Brook Trout, and Sea-run Rainbow Smelt.
Habitat Opened/Improved	8.4 miles <i>May be impassible at 295 crossings?</i> ATS CH, Brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	creek chub, pumkinseed sunfish -IFW survey. Contacted DMR, no response, brook trout  Questionable passage under I-295 which is just upstream, Aby R. is crit. Hab for 5 anadromous species, but questionable how far up. Is listed as BKT habitat but temps may be quite warm. Erosion improvement is major impact, as old site is terribly eroded. DMR comments "no managed sea-run species in immediate area." Score 2.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

**DATE:** 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$374000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$249000, 66.57%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$4000 in 2020, unsure of other years
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	67% match, fairly expensive project for town.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Very minimal overhead clearance, proposed stream profile looks like it is essentially flat. Good field work but question elevation.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Richmond
Road name	Beedle Road (West)
Stream Name	Abagadasset River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	(2) 4.5'Dx80'L CMP
Crossing Age	35+
Bankfull width and method	13.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17'Sx6'Hx60'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The crossing has caused flooding six times over the past 2 years and over 30 times over the past 10 years. The road is showing significant erosion at the crossing at both the inlet and outlet. Road closures during flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 1-2x per year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 7 miles; 10 homes, 5 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency services	
Affactad assistants business	The erosion at both the inlet and outlet has resulted in excessive debris	
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure,	that is plugging culverts. The roadway	
other safety issues, traffic	is undermined at each flood event, which will ultimately lead to	
other sarety issues, traffic	complete failure of the crossing. If the road	
	continues to flood it is in danger of collapsing.	

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15907
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Wes Ashe MDIFW did say that a larger structure would certainly benefit this site by limiting erosion, enhancing road integrity, providing natural flow, and reducing any artificial ponding on the upstream. This crossing is on the Abadagasset River, which is designated as a critical habitat for Atlantic Salmon, Alewife, Sea-Run Rainbow Smelt, Wild Eastern Brook Trout, and Sea-run Rainbow Smelt.
Habitat Opened/Improved	8.4 miles ATS CH, Brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	creek chub, pumkinseed sunfish -IFW survey. Contacted DMR, no response, brook trout

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$374000 Requested: \$125000
Total Match; % Match proposed	Match: \$249000, 66.57%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$4000 in 2020, unsure of other years
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Warmwater fish; kind of a bathtub; overtops on a regular basis; looks like it opens a fair amount of upstream habitat provided the crossing under I-95 is adequate.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Richmond
Road name	Beedle Road (West)
Stream Name	Abagadasset River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	(2) 4.5'Dx80'L CMP
Crossing Age	35+
Bankfull width and method	13.6; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17'Sx6'Hx60'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	The crossing has caused flooding six times over the past 2 years and over 30 times over the past 10 years. The road is showing significant erosion at the crossing at both the inlet and outlet. Road closures during flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.8 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 1-2x per year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0  Detours: 7 miles; 10 homes, 5 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

		emergency services
	The erosion at both the inlet and outlet has resulted in excessive debris	
	Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	that is plugging culverts. The roadway
		is undermined at each flood event, which will ultimately lead to
other safety issues, train	other safety issues, traffic	complete failure of the crossing. If the road
		continues to flood it is in danger of collapsing.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15907
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Wes Ashe MDIFW did say that a larger structure would certainly benefit this site by limiting erosion, enhancing road integrity, providing natural flow, and reducing any artificial ponding on the upstream. This crossing is on the Abadagasset River, which is designated as a critical habitat for Atlantic Salmon, Alewife, Sea-Run Rainbow Smelt, Wild Eastern Brook Trout, and Sea-run Rainbow Smelt.
Habitat Opened/Improved	8.4 miles ATS CH, Brook trout habitat
Fish present, source of info	creek chub, pumkinseed sunfish -IFW survey. Contacted DMR, no response, brook trout ?????

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-34- Richmond, Beedle Road (West)

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Total Project Cost; Total Match;	Total: \$374000 Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$249000, 66.57%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$4000 in 2020, unsure of other years
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering 😊
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Pinetree design

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Richmond
Road name	Beedle Road (East)
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Abagadasset River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx68'L CMP
Crossing Age	35+
Bankfull width and method	7.1'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'sx8'Hx45'L 5 Sided Concrete Box DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopping over road approx. 2x year, damage reported, undersized. The culvert inlet is damaged. There is considerable road erosion at the crossing that puts the integrity of the road at risk. This culvert is over 35 years old, is split at a joint, and i
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.1 miles; 10 homes, 5 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency services
Affected residents, business,	Approximately twice a year the crossing overtops and drains into
affected critical infrastructure,	the fields of Roseberry Farm, causing damage to crops and
other safety issues, traffic	impacting the farmer's livelihood. Currently the crossing does not have
	any guardrails

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans Yes
performed	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	barrier, perched Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted agencies, no response from DMR
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	creek chub, brook trout might be present- Wes Ashe @ IFW

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii 000t Emolonoy and Emocuronoco	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$226000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$101000, 44.69%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/14/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$none
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Richmond
Road name	Beedle Road (East)
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Abagadasset River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx68'L CMP
Crossing Age	35+
Bankfull width and method	7.1'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'sx8'Hx45'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopping over road approx. 2x year, damage reported, undersized. The culvert inlet is damaged. There is considerable road erosion at the crossing that puts the integrity of the road at risk. This culvert is over 35 years old, is split at a joint Serious erosion, major failure, piping, etc.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.1 miles; 10 homes, 5 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency services
Affected residents, business,	Approximately twice a year the crossing overtops and drains into
affected critical infrastructure,	the fields of Roseberry Farm, causing damage to crops and
other safety issues, traffic	impacting the farmer's livelihood. Currently the crossing does not have
	any guardrails

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	barrier, perched Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted agencies, no response from DMR Rated 0 by IF&W.
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles (1.05 mi. based on estimate from DOT map viewer) ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	creek chub, brook trout might be present- Wes Ashe @ IFW Trib to Aby R., little habitat and likely wam water except for IFW comment that "BKT may be present." This is currently impassable, but little probable fisheries benefit. Habitat questionable. Good WQ benefit due to horrible erosion at old site. DMR comments "no managed sea-run species in immediate area." Score 2.

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$226000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$101000, 44.69%

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$none
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	Fairly expensive project, high match 45%.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Alignment looks like it could be improved with an angle change.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen** 

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Richmond
Road name	Beedle Road (East)
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Abagadasset River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx68'L CMP
Crossing Age	35+
Bankfull width and method	7.1'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'sx8'Hx45'L 5 Sided Concrete Box DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopping over road approx. 2x year, damage reported, undersized. The culvert inlet is damaged. There is considerable road erosion at the crossing that puts the integrity of the road at risk. This culvert is over 35 years old, is split at a joint, and i
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.1 miles; 10 homes, 5 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen** 

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency services
Affected residents, business,	Approximately twice a year the crossing overtops and drains into
affected critical infrastructure,	the fields of Roseberry Farm, causing damage to crops and
other safety issues, traffic	impacting the farmer's livelihood. Currently the crossing does not have
	any guardrails

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:
profile?	Yes
	full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	barrier, perched
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted agencies, no response from DMR
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles
	ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	creek chub, brook trout might be present- Wes Ashe @ IFW

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

ini ooot Emolonoy and Emocutonooo	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$226000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$101000, 44.69%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

DATE: January 3, 2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$none
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	About 1 mile opened up; brookies?; doesn't seem likely perhaps upstream where the stream has a wooded buffer; Nice design

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

**DATE:** 1/3/22

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Richmond
Road name	Beedle Road (East)
Stream Name	Unnamed tributary to Abagadasset River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx68'L CMP
Crossing Age	35+
Bankfull width and method	7.1'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	9'sx8'Hx45'L 4 Sided Concrete Box ODT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopping over road approx. 2x year, damage reported, undersized. The culvert inlet is damaged. There is considerable road erosion at the crossing that puts the integrity of the road at risk. This culvert is over 35 years old, is split at a joint, and i
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.1 miles; 10 homes, 5 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

**DATE:** 1/3/22

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency services
Affected residents, business,	Approximately twice a year the crossing overtops and drains into
affected critical infrastructure,	the fields of Roseberry Farm, causing damage to crops and
other safety issues, traffic	impacting the farmer's livelihood. Currently the crossing does not have
	any guardrails

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes full profile, survey, 90% plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	barrier, perched Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 0
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted agencies, no response from DMR
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	creek chub, brook trout might be present- Wes Ashe @ IFW

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii 000t Emolonoy and Emocurronoco	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$226000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$101000, 44.69%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site specific 90%+ plans

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-35- Richmond, Beedle Road (East)

**DATE:** 1/3/22

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A Waddell

Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	\$none
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering 😊
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Pinetree design
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rockport
Road name	West Street Extension
Stream Name	Oyster River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5.02'Sx5.4'Hx33'L (MSHV) (2nd overflow also) CMP, Plastic
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	14; Multiple Field Average (11.5', went with higher calculated value
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.75'x7.5'Hx40'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopped 5 out last 10 years, cleaning 3x per year, flooding damage photos provided.31 October 2021, the road was almost washed out. Slightly damaged to a point where it will be replaced in 2022. Road closure
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.1 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 3 x year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 2.6 mile detour

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

unknown affect to homes, businesses emergency response

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

= 00 1000	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average (11.5', went with higher calculated value  Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:?  BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - ?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 4421
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contact with USFWS, IFW, designated Critical Habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.87 miles ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	assumed, ATS unlikely per Wende Mahaney USFWS

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$473000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$348000, 73.5%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Costs over previous 10 years	unsure
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rockport
Road name	West Street Extension
Stream Name	Oyster River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5.02'Sx5.4'Hx33'L (MSHV) (2nd overflow also) CMP, Plastic
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	14; Multiple Field Average (11.5', went with higher calculated value
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.75'x7.5'Hx40'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopped 5 out last 10 years, cleaning 3x per year, flooding damage photos provided. 31 October 2021, the road was almost washed out. Slightly damaged to a point where it will be replaced in 2022. Road closure. Frequent flooding, but flood damage photos not evident or included. Not well detailed but seems to be a regular problem based on text.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.1 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 3 x year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 2.6 mile detour <i>Little info on homes or businesses, not much corroboration, just detour distance.</i>

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

unknown affect to homes, businesses

emergency response

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average (11.5', went with higher calculated value Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:?
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - ?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 4421
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contact with USFWS, IFW, designated Critical Habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.87 miles, DS is one passable crossing and an impassible pond outlet dam. ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	assumed, ATS unlikely per Wende Mahaney USFWS IF&W score 0, very flat gradient/wetland area, below barriers DS is Oyster R but not connected due to dam/pond. DMR comments "Tributary to St. George River." Score 2.

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$473000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$348000, 73.5%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unsure
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	74% match, expensive project, high erosion/sedimenation costs, town
Design concerns or	capability good.
clarification required	
Other comments	BFW in shown cross section is 13', rather than the 16' span due to
	boulders and bank construction. Banks not checked off but appear in
	cross section plan. Did do field BFW but went with a calculated value
	for sizing. No profile and pebble count TBD.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

**BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rockport
Road name	West Street Extension
Stream Name	Oyster River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5.02'Sx5.4'Hx33'L (MSHV) (2nd overflow also) CMP, Plastic
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	14; Multiple Field Average (11.5', went with higher calculated value
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.75'x7.5'Hx40'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopped 5 out last 10 years, cleaning 3x per year, flooding damage photos provided.31 October 2021, the road was almost washed out. Slightly damaged to a point where it will be replaced in 2022. Road closure
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.1 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 3 x year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 2.6 mile detour

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

unknown affect to homes, businesses emergency response

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average (11.5', went with higher calculated value  Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:?  BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - ?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 4421
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contact with USFWS, IFW , designated Critical Habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.87 miles ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	assumed, ATS unlikely per Wende Mahaney USFWS

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$473000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$348000, 73.5%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Costs over previous 10 years	unsure
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Some sort of fish present; no cold water species; overtops; say that stream profile has been completed but they didn't include results.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rockport
Road name	West Street Extension
Stream Name	Oyster River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	5.02'Sx5.4'Hx33'L (MSHV) (2nd overflow also) CMP, Plastic
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	14; Multiple Field Average (11.5', went with higher calculated value
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	15.75'x7.5'Hx40'L Open bottom concrete box © DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtopped 5 out last 10 years, cleaning 3x per year, flooding damage photos provided.31 October 2021, the road was almost washed out. Slightly damaged to a point where it will be replaced in 2022. Road closure
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.1 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, 3 x year
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0  Detours: 2.6 mile detour

**RFP #:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

unknown affect to homes, businesses

emergency response

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average (11.5', went with higher calculated value Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:?  BFW
Yes
Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - ?
Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 4421
Contact with USFWS, IFW , designated Critical Habitat
0.87 miles
assumed, ATS unlikely per Wende Mahaney USFWS ????

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$473000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$348000, 73.5%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-36- Rockport, West Street Extension

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Costs over previous 10 years	unsure
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering 😊
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Gartley & Dorsky design,
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rockport
Road name	Robinson Drive
Stream Name	Oyster River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	12'Sx8'Hx56'L CMP, PLastic
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	31; Multiple Field Average (26.5', went with higher calculated value
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	31' spanx10'H x31'L? Open bottom aluminum arch DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	previous failures, extremely bad condition, collapsing photos included of damage. 31 October 2021. Road washed out due to torrential rain.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes,
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 28 homes, 1 business Detours: no detour
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency response

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average (26.5', went with higher calculated value Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:?  BFW  Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - ?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 4523
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contact with USFWS, IFW, designated Critical Habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	assumed, ATS unlikely per Wende Mahaney USFWS

III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Eniciency and Enectiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$772000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$647000, 83.8 %
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$300,000.00
,	
Construction year	2023 assumed

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Feasibility for success;	
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rockport
Road name	Robinson Drive
Stream Name	Oyster River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	12'Sx8'Hx56'L CMP, PLastic
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	31; Multiple Field Average (26.5', went with higher calculated value
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	31' spanx10'H x31'L? Open bottom aluminum arch DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	previous failures, extremely bad condition, collapsing photos included of damage. 31 October 2021. Road washed out due to torrential rain.  Interior of CMP looks badly folded, nearly blocked, in need of replacement. May be a barrier to passage with ongoing failure nearer inlet.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes,
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 28 homes, 1 business Detours: no detour

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Cut off issue significant for residential area, one business, major problem to access or for EMT response.
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency response

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

ii. Deficits to 1 isii & Wilding	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average (26.5', went with higher calculated value Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:?
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - ?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier <i>Pics look like partial barrier.</i> Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 4523
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contact with USFWS, IFW , designated Critical Habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	assumed, ATS unlikely per Wende Mahaney USFWS IF&W rank 1, looks like major sedimentation and erosion improvement. Replacement culvert looks very poor. DMR comments "Tributary to St. George River." Score 2.

III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$772000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$647000, 83.8 %

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$300,000.00
Construction year	2023 assumed
Feasibility for success;	84% match, expensive project, town capability good.
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Good measurements BFW, so could go with 27' over 31' to save \$? Two spans noted and no plans to show which, more detail. Design somewhat less detail, stream restoration area unclear. Some information omitted from app. Aluminum construction is DOT concern, may not be suitable or be acceptable.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rockport
Road name	Robinson Drive
Stream Name	Oyster River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	12'Sx8'Hx56'L CMP, PLastic
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	31; Multiple Field Average (26.5', went with higher calculated value
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	31' spanx10'H x31'L? Open bottom aluminum arch DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	previous failures, extremely bad condition, collapsing photos included of damage. 31 October 2021. Road washed out due to torrential rain.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes,
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 28 homes, 1 business Detours: no detour
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency response

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average (26.5', went with higher calculated value Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? : ? BFW
Yes
Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - ?
No Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 4523
Contact with USFWS, IFW, designated Critical Habitat
0 miles ATS CH
assumed, ATS unlikely per Wende Mahaney USFWS

III Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iii. Oost Emelency and Encetiveness	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$772000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$647000, 83.8 %
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$300,000.00
Costs over previous 10 years	
Construction year	2023 assumed

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Feasibility for success;	
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Existing culvert is a bandaid after a washout. Large piece of the culvert is folded back on itself. Fish present, IFW says brook trout found nearby. Needs engineering work; stream profile?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rockport
Road name	Robinson Drive
Stream Name	Oyster River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	12'Sx8'Hx56'L CMP, PLastic
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	31; Multiple Field Average (26.5', went with higher calculated value
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	31' spanx10'H x31'L? Open bottom aluminum arch DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	previous failures, extremely bad condition, collapsing photos included of damage. 31 October 2021. Road washed out due to torrential rain.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.5 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes,
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 28 homes, 1 business
	Detours: no detour
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency response

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

ii. Beliefits to 1 ish a Whalife	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average (26.5', went with higher calculated value Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:? BFW
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - ?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	No Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 4523
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	Contact with USFWS, IFW, designated Critical Habitat
Habitat Opened/Improved	0 miles  ATS CH
Fish present, source of info	assumed, ATS unlikely per Wende Mahaney USFWS 😕

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii Goot Emolonoy and Emocuronoco	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$772000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$647000, 83.8 %
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
	, ,
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$300,000.00 Major rebuild
Construction year	2023 assumed

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-37- Rockport, Robinson Drive

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Gartley & Dorsky design, 28 cutoff homes
Other comments	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rumford
Road name	Prospect Avenue
Stream Name	Unnamed trib to Androscoggin River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx42'L Concrete pipe
Crossing Age	60+
Bankfull width and method	8.3'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'Sx8'Hx45'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtops 1x year on average, cleaning every spring, deteriorating culvert 60+ years old
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 0.7 mile detour , 24 homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency response Prospect Avenue is a heavily used road. The Flooding/Damage has not been severe enough to fully

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

close the road to date, but it does increase
maintenance costs for the Town and if not addressed
the culvert is at risk of failing.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Yes
sizing, considerations performed	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble Count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 23187
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted agencies, limited infomration available on drainage
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.2 miles Wild brook trout habitat,
Fish present, source of info	likely, brook trout habitat mapped, not much info available

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$244000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$119000, 48.77%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site Specific 90%+
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Costs over previous 10 years	none
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rumford
Road name	Prospect Avenue
Stream Name	Unnamed trib to Androscoggin River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx42'L Concrete pipe
Crossing Age	60+
Bankfull width and method	8.3'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'Sx8'Hx45'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtops 1x year on average, cleaning every spring, deteriorating culvert 60+ years old Older but does look fairly sound, annual flooding and debris issue, flooding due to sizing or debris buildup?
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 0.7 mile detour , 24 homes

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	emergency response
ACC and a discount of the state of	Prospect Avenue is a heavily used road. The Flooding/Damage has not
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure,	been severe enough to fully close the road to date, but it does increase
other safety issues, traffic	maintenance costs for the Town and if not addressed the culvert is at risk
	of failing. No maintenance costs provided, short detour, moderate
	amount of houses.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble Count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 23187
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted agencies, limited infomration available on drainage
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.2 miles Wild brook trout habitat <i>mapped</i> .
Fish present, source of info	Fish likely, brook trout habitat mapped, not much info available.  Small segment opened US, potential barrier, looks to be dry or impassible in app photo, little fish habitat opportunity. DMR comments "Above anadromy for all species except eels." Score 0.

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$244000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$119000, 48.77%

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site Specific 90%+
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted
Costs over previous 10 years	none
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	49% match, 90% planned, budgeting very detailed, likely.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Design OK, low gradient, slow stream flow 1-2 cfs. Scour lines not
Other comments	present but not essential with low gradient.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rumford
Road name	Prospect Avenue
Stream Name	Unnamed trib to Androscoggin River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx42'L Concrete pipe
Crossing Age	60+
Bankfull width and method	8.3'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'Sx8'Hx45'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtops 1x year on average, cleaning every spring, deteriorating culvert 60+ years old
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 0.7 mile detour , 24 homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency response Prospect Avenue is a heavily used road. The Flooding/Damage has not been severe enough to fully

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

close the road to date, but it does increase
maintenance costs for the Town and if not addressed
the culvert is at risk of failing.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes
profile?	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble Count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 23187
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted agencies, limited infomration available on drainage
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.2 miles
	Wild brook trout habitat,
Fish present, source of info	likely, brook trout habitat mapped, not much info available

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$244000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$119000, 48.77%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site Specific 90%+
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Costs over previous 10 years	none
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Fish perhaps, but no cold water species. Stream profile? Design looks fine.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Rumford
Road name	Prospect Avenue
Stream Name	Unnamed trib to Androscoggin River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx42'L Concrete pipe
Crossing Age	60+
Bankfull width and method	8.3'; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	10'Sx8'Hx45'L 4 Sided Concrete Box DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	overtops 1x year on average, cleaning every spring, deteriorating culvert 60+ years old
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1 x 😕
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0
	Detours: 0.7 mile detour, 24 homes
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	emergency response Prospect Avenue is a heavily used road. The Flooding/Damage has not been severe enough to fully

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

close the road to date, but it does increase
maintenance costs for the Town and if not addressed
the culvert is at risk of failing.

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble Count Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 23187
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted agencies, limited infomration available on drainage
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.2 miles  Wild brook trout habitat,
Fish present, source of info	likely, brook trout habitat mapped, not much info available ???

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match;	Total: \$244000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$119000, 48.77%
% Match proposed  Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site Specific 90%+
Army Corp Permit info	Application submitted

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-38- Rumford, Prospect Avenue

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Costs over previous 10 years	none
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$15k in engineering ©
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Pinetree Design

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Skowhegan
Road name	Steward Hill Road
Stream Name	Cold Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	18'Sx7'Hx45'L; 5'Dx45'L pipe arch, CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	23.05; field measured, 1 US, DS each, matches models
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	30'S(26'CS)x8'Hx26'L Open bottom concrete arch DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	significant rust damage noted (2 crossings), no overtopping or flood damage noted
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 7 homes Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	cut offs emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Delicino to i isii & W	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: field measured, 1 US, DS each, matches models
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:
	Yes
	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Visual analysis
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26673
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted agencies, no impacts indicated
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	6.62 miles
	ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 91 units
	· ·
Fish present, source of info	none listed, assumed, "Cold Brook is a tributary of the Kennebec River,
	a river with known Atlantic salmon populations."
	I .

## III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

iiii oodi Emoleney ana Emodivenede	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$378000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$253000, 66.9 %
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
т, сограсилисти	
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
costs over previous 10 years	
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

\$10k in engineering

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

## I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Skowhegan
Road name	Steward Hill Road
Stream Name	Cold Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	18'Sx7'Hx45'L; 5'Dx45'L pipe arch, CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	23.05; field measured, 1 US, DS each, matches models
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	30'S(26'CS)x8'Hx26'L Open bottom concrete arch DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	significant rust damage noted (2 crossings), no overtopping or flood damage noted Severely rusted through, sagging, debris bar inside, plus beaver debris issue.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 7 homes Detours: 0 123 cars/day, cut off of a few homes.

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

cut offs

emergency services

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

	<u>,                                    </u>
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: field measured, 1 US, DS each, matches models Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Visual analysis Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26673
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted agencies, no impacts indicated
Habitat Opened/Improved	6.62 miles <i>opened, 16 miles US available</i> ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 91 units
Fish present, source of info	none listed, assumed, "Cold Brook is a tributary of the Kennebec River, a river with known Atlantic salmon populations." <i>IF&amp;W</i> spawning/nursery for BKT, water temps good, IF&W rank 2/5, quite a bit of modelled ATS hab. DMR comments "Tributary to Wesserunset Stream." Score 2.

III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$378000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$253000, 66.9 %

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$10k in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	67% match, funding planned, total budget reasonable? Yes, probably.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	BFW measures 1 US + 1 DS, matches modelled, stream profile shows slope but based on valleys, more like a scour profile. Gradient should be from peaks.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Skowhegan
Road name	Steward Hill Road
Stream Name	Cold Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	18'Sx7'Hx45'L; 5'Dx45'L pipe arch, CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	23.05; field measured, 1 US, DS each, matches models
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	30'S(26'CS)x8'Hx26'L Open bottom concrete arch DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	significant rust damage noted (2 crossings), no overtopping or flood damage noted
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 7 homes Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	cut offs emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: field measured, 1 US, DS each, matches models Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Visual analysis Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26673
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted agencies, no impacts indicated
Habitat Opened/Improved	6.62000000000001 miles ATS CH, ATS modelled habitat 91 units
Fish present, source of info	none listed, assumed, "Cold Brook is a tributary of the Kennebec River, a river with known Atlantic salmon populations."

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$378000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$253000, 66.9 %
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
costs over previous 10 years	
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

\$10k in engineering; good match;
Brook trout habitat; Needs engineering work; only has 2 bankfull width
measurements; it's a cutoff

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Skowhegan
Road name	Steward Hill Road
Stream Name	Cold Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	18'Sx7'Hx45'L; 5'Dx45'L pipe arch, CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	23.05; field measured, 1 US, DS each, matches models
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	30'S(26'CS)x8'Hx26'L Open bottom concrete arch © DOT: Yes, design reviewed

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	significant rust damage noted (2 crossings), no overtopping or flood damage noted No flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.4 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 7 homes Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	cut offs emergency services

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Delicitis to I isii & W	
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: field measured, 1 US, DS each, matches
method, & confidence; skew	models
matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
profile?	Yes
	BFW, Longitudinal profile, prelim plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Visual analysis ????
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
Bariks Within Structure:	Ballks: - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 26673
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted agencies, no impacts indicated
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	6.62miles ??? 😊 ATS CH, ATS
	modelled habitat 91 units
Fish present, source of info	none listed, assumed, "Cold Brook is a tributary of the Kennebec River,
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	a river with known Atlantic salmon populations."
	a river with known Atlantic samfon populations.

iiii Goot Emololog and Emocritonoco	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$378000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$253000, 66.9 %
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
5 5	,
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	unknown
costs over previous to years	
Construction year	2022

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-39- Skowhegan, Steward Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$10k in engineering 😊
Additional efficiencies;	_
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	St.Germain /Contech Design, tnc support
Other comments	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Standish
Road name	Moody Road
Stream Name	Sticky River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx30'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	11'; measured in 2019 (no data), matches modelled values
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	13.5'Sx4'Hx30'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-5 years
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping reported, regular cleaning. Undersized only 30% of 1.2xBFW sizing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.375 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleanining
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.64 mile detour
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	none reported The Town of Standish Public Works Facility is located adjacent to the culvert. Access to the facility would be

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

limited

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Deficites to 1 ion a W	ii. Deficits to Fish & Whalie		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: measured in 2019 (no data), matches modelled values Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes, TBD none		
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes		
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble Count Banks? - Yes		
New Structure considerations			
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8707		
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	no contact with fisheries agencies		
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.83 miles Brook Trout Habitat, Documented Alewife Habitat		
Fish present, source of info	wildl brook trout, alewives?		

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$237050
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$112050, 47.2%,
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	No contact

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Costs over previous 10 years	none
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$18,000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Standish
Road name	Moody Road
Stream Name	Sticky River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx30'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	11'; measured in 2019 (no data), matches modelled values
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	13.5'Sx4'Hx30'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-5 years
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping reported, regular cleaning. Undersized only 30% of 1.2xBFW sizing  No flooding but regular cleaning required, erosion in photos. Source of erosion from flooding or road surface discharge? No overtopping so erosion from sheet flow off road? Stream viewer erosion photo from 2010.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.375 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleaning.
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.64 mile detour

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected weeklends business	none reported
Affected residents, business,	The Town of Standish Public Works Facility is located adjacent to the
affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	culvert. Access to the facility would be limited.
other safety issues, traffic	Detour for PU garage but little other issue documented.

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

in Bononio to Fion & Friding		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: measured in 2019 (no data), matches modelled values	
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :	
projite:	Yes, TBD	
	none	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble Count	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8707	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	no contact with fisheries agencies	
Water quality improvements;		
Support letters, other notable		
benefits		
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.83 miles	
	Brook Trout Habitat, Documented Alewife Habitat (is that LL or	
	anadromous?)	
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout, alewives? LL alewives??	
	IF&W gave this a 4/5, brook trout habitat, almost 2 mi. opened. DMR	
	comments "Above managed range for all species except maybe eels;	
	Tributary above Sebago Lake." Score 0.	

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$237050
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$112050, 47.2%

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
	No contact
Army Corp Permit info	No contact
Costs over previous 10 years	none
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$18,000 in engineering
Additional efficiencies;	Funding uncertain?
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Open bottom, pebble count, banks within proposed, 2019
	measurements of BFW which is not presented in detail, matches
Other comments	modelled?? No info. Cross section is not really 1.2 with smaller BFW in
Other comments	between footers and bank areas. More like 11.5' and not 13.5', making
	channel < BFW. Scour not really considered, is the invert set low
	enough, tough without longitudinal profile??

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Standish
Road name	Moody Road
Stream Name	Sticky River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx30'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	11'; measured in 2019 (no data), matches modelled values
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	13.5'Sx4'Hx30'L Open bottom concrete box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-5 years
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping reported, regular cleaning. Undersized only 30% of 1.2xBFW sizing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.375 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleanining
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 5.64 mile detour
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	none reported The Town of Standish Public Works Facility is located adjacent to the culvert. Access to the facility would be

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

limited

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

ii. Deficits to Fish & Wilding		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: measured in 2019 (no data), matches modelled values Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes, TBD none	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble Count Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8707	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	no contact with fisheries agencies	
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.83 miles Brook Trout Habitat, Documented Alewife Habitat	
Fish present, source of info	wildl brook trout, alewives?	

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$237050
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$112050, 47.2%,
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	No contact

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Costs over previous 10 years	none
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	\$18,000 in engineering;
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Wild brook trout; 1.8 miles in a priority brook trout area; brook trout in southern Maine

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Standish
Road name	Moody Road
Stream Name	Sticky River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	4'Dx30'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	11'; measured in 2019 (no data), matches modelled values
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	13.5'Sx4'Hx30'L Open bottom concrete box © DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-5 years
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	no overtopping reported, regular cleaning. Undersized only 30% of 1.2xBFW sizing No flooding
Change in culvert width	Approx. 3.375 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, regular cleanining
Cut affe an datauns	Cut Offs: 0
Cut-offs or detours	Detours: 5.64 mile detour Lacks detail
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	none reported The Town of Standish Public Works Facility is located adjacent to the culvert. Access to the facility would be limited

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Deficits to Fish & Whalie		
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: measured in 2019 (no data), matches modelled values Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes, TBD	
	none	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble Count	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Potential Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 8707	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	no contact with fisheries agencies	
Water quality improvements;		
Support letters, other notable		
benefits		
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.83 miles 😊	
	Brook Trout Habitat, Documented Alewife Habitat	
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout, alewives?	

iii ooci Emoleney ana Enocuronece	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$237050
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$112050, 47.2%,
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual Plan
Army Corp Permit info	No contact
	none
Costs over previous 10 years	
Construction year	2023

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-40- Standish, Moody Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Feasibility for success;	\$18,000 in engineering 😐
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Ransom Design, gravel banks built beside footers
Other comments	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Starks
Road name	Locke Hill Road
Stream Name	Duley Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3'Dx60'L HDPE
Crossing Age	1
Bankfull width and method	13; Multiple Field Average, upstream only ( higher than models)
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.8'Sx8'HX40L Open bottom concrete box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Overtops 1x per year, requires cleaning, sever damage noted in photos (2020); number of 36 inch culverts have been tried and failed over years
Change in culvert width	Approx. 5.2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 15 homes, 10 businesses
	Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	homes & businesses town water line, no guard rails, emergency access & deliveries cut off

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Deficites to Fish & Whalife		
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average, upstream only ( higher	
method, & confidence; skew	than models)	
matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :	
profile?	Yes	
	Bankfull width, longitudinal profile, restoration plan	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Battana information	The official and Building of the	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble Count	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15724	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	support from IFW (Liz Thorndike), brook trout known directly	
Water quality improvements;	downstream. Support from DMR-important slamon priority watershed	
Support letters, other notable		
benefits		
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.86 miles	
	Brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat class 1 &	
	2 25 units	
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout, IFW confirmed, salmon presnt in watershed	
	, , , , ,	

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$198675 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$73675, 37.08%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$25-30k "minimum"

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	2018-concrete arch installed nearby Watson Stream
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Starks
Road name	Locke Hill Road
Stream Name	Duley Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3'Dx60'L HDPE
Crossing Age	1
Bankfull width and method	13; Multiple Field Average, upstream only ( higher than models)
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.8'Sx8'HX40L Open bottom concrete box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Overtops 1x per year, requires cleaning, severe damage noted in photos (2020); number of 36 inch culverts have been tried and failed over years. Flooding photos indicate major problem, major road gravel deposit on lower side.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 5.2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 15 homes, 10 businesses Detours: 0

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

homes & businesses

town water line, no guard rails, emergency access & deliveries cut off

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

II. Belients to Fish & Whalie	
Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average, upstream only ( higher than models)
matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
projile:	Yes
	Bankfull width, longitudinal profile, restoration plan
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble Count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15724
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	support from IFW (Liz Thorndike), brook trout known directly
Water quality improvements;	downstream. Support from DMR-important slamon priority watershed
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.86 miles
	Brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat class 1 &
	2 25 units
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout, IFW confirmed, salmon present in watershed.
	DMR support for salmon, IF&W BKT, culvert replaced DS, good 5-6 mi.
	of habitat opened in coldwater fishery w/multiple species. DMR
	and the second of the second o
	comments "Modeled ATS rearing habitat downstream; Tributary to

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$198675
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$73675, 37.08%

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
	zever or plan moradear reminary zeolgi.
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$25-30k "minimum"
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	2018-concrete arch installed nearby Watson Stream.
Additional efficiencies;	Town cut of funding via town meeting approval 2022.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Good feasibility for success, water line in play, much deeper depth
Oth or somewhat	below current culvert for new invert. Some stream work required to
Other comments	address work out in stream but can be addressed in permit by rule.
	Similar project nearby on Watson, same contractor?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Starks
Road name	Locke Hill Road
Stream Name	Duley Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3'Dx60'L HDPE
Crossing Age	1
Bankfull width and method	13; Multiple Field Average, upstream only ( higher than models)
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.8'Sx8'HX40L Open bottom concrete box DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Overtops 1x per year, requires cleaning, sever damage noted in photos (2020); number of 36 inch culverts have been tried and failed over years
Change in culvert width	Approx. 5.2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 15 homes, 10 businesses Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	homes & businesses town water line, no guard rails, emergency access & deliveries cut off

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

## II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average, upstream only ( higher than models) Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes
	Bankfull width, longitudinal profile, restoration plan
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble Count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15724
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	support from IFW (Liz Thorndike), brook trout known directly
Water quality improvements;	downstream. Support from DMR-important slamon priority watershed
Support letters, other notable	admission Support from Divin important signion priority watershed
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.86 miles
	Brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat class 1 &
	2 25 units
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout, IFW confirmed, salmon presnt in watershed
	,,,

## **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$198675 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$73675, 37.08%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$25-30k "minimum"

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	2018-concrete arch installed nearby Watson Stream;
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	The road overtops and it's a cutoff; brook trout historic Atlantic salmon habitat in the watershed of Sandy Stream; high priority for the town; good design

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Starks
Road name	Locke Hill Road
Stream Name	Duley Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	3'Dx60'L HDPE
Crossing Age	1
Bankfull width and method	13; Multiple Field Average, upstream only ( higher than models)
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	17.8'Sx8'HX40L Open bottom concrete box © DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	1-3
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Overtops 1x per year, requires cleaning, sever damage noted in photos (2020); number of 36 inch culverts have been tried and failed over years
Change in culvert width	Approx. 5.2 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	Yes, annually
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 15 homes, 10 businesses
cut ons or actours	Detours: 0
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	homes & businesses town water line, no guard rails, emergency access & deliveries cut off

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

m Pononio to i fon a finante		
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average, upstream only ( higher	
method, & confidence; skew	than models)	
matches stream, longitudinal	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :	
profile?	Yes	
	Bankfull width, longitudinal profile, restoration plan	
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes	
sizing, considerations		
performed		
Natural Bottom information	Turns of hottom. Dobble Count	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble Count	
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes	
New Structure considerations		
New Structure considerations		
Barrier status, source	Barrier Barrier	
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15724	
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	support from IFW (Liz Thorndike), brook trout known directly	
Water quality improvements;	downstream. Support from DMR-important slamon priority watershed	
Support letters, other notable	. ,	
benefits		
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.86 miles 😊	
	Brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, ATS modelled habitat class 1 &	
	2 25 units	
Fish present, source of info	wild brook trout, IFW confirmed, salmon presnt in watershed	
,,,	and brooks, and bostonical salinon production traceronical	

iiii Goot Emolonoy and Emocuronoss	
Total Project Cost;	Total: \$198675
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$73675, 37.08%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Preliminary Design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$25-30k "minimum"
costs over previous 10 years	

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-41- Starks, Locke Hill Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	2018-concrete arch installed nearby Watson Stream 😊
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	A.Abbott design, DMR support, IFW support
Other comments	
Cener comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Temple
Road name	Mitchell Brook Road
Stream Name	Henry Mitchell Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	9'Sx6'Hx41'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	14.9; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge	19'Sx9'Hx40'6"L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers. ~16' clear
Program if 10' or greater?	span DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	This elliptical, closed-bottom culvert is undersized and a short distance from a downstream bridge on Intervale Road. Should the culvert fail in an extreme event it could take out the bridge. The road shoulders adjacent to the crossing wash out every year
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.1 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 5 Detours: 0

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

5 homes cut off

Every year erosion of the road shoulder is repaired at an approximate

cost of \$2,500.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, TBD
profile?	Bankfull width, longitudinal profile
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes based on total span, but unsure with clear span
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble Count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15726
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	"Paul Christman indicated the project is located a ¼ mile from active
Water quality improvements;	egg planting sites and the downstream Walton's Mill Dam will be
Support letters, other notable benefits	removed in 2022. This is a high priority project for MDMR and they
benefits	fully support the funding request. Refer to attached letter of support.
	Becca Settele of MDIFW indicated that this project area intersects
	with Northern Spring Salamander habitat, which is considered a state
	special concern species. Establishing a stream crossing that follows
	Stream Smart guidelines will benefit this rare species."
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.75 miles
	Wild brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.22 units ATS modelled
	habitat, northern spring salamander habitat, existing ATS rearing
	habitat 1/4 downstream, largely undeveloped high quality habitats
Fish present, source of info	Wild brook trout, DS salmon rearing habitat

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$170000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$45000, 26.47%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site Specific Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$25000, \$2500 per year
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success; Additional efficiencies; Design concerns or	almost 16' clear span indicated, is that at stream grade?
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	Temple
Road name	Mitchell Brook Road
Stream Name	Henry Mitchell Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	9'Sx6'Hx41'L CMP (aluminum??)
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	14.9; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	19'Sx9'Hx40'6"L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers. ~16' clear span DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	This elliptical, closed-bottom culvert is undersized and a short distance from a downstream bridge on Intervale Road. Should the culvert fail in an extreme event it could take out the bridge. The road shoulders adjacent to the crossing wash out every year DS end seems to be squating and separating from mid section at seam. Major shoulder erosion from Sept. 2021 photos. Appears to need replacement and heavy stream gradient upstream sheds high water volume off extreme slopes.
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.1 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 5
	Detours: 0
Affected medial ante leveliness	5 homes cut off
Affected residents, business,	Every year erosion of the road shoulder is repaired at an approximate
affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	cost of \$2,500.
other salety issues, traffic	Cut offs, regular repair estimates of costs.

#### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

III Bollotto to Flori & Wilding	
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, TBD
profile?	Bankfull width, longitudinal profile
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes based on total span, but unsure with clear span
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of hottom Dobble Count
Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Pebble Count
Banks within structure:	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
24.1.6. 3.4.4.3, 334.33	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15726
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	"Paul Christman indicated the project is located a ¼ mile from active
Water quality improvements;	egg planting sites and the downstream Walton's Mill Dam will be
Support letters, other notable	removed in 2022. This is a high priority project for MDMR and they
benefits	fully support the funding request. Refer to attached letter of support.
	Becca Settele of MDIFW indicated that this project area intersects
	with Northern Spring Salamander habitat, which is considered a state
	special concern species. Establishing a stream crossing that follows
	Stream Smart guidelines will benefit this rare species."
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.75 miles
	Wild brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.22 units ATS modelled
	habitat, northern spring salamander habitat, existing ATS rearing
	habitat 1/4 downstream, largely undeveloped high quality habitats
Fish present, source of info	Wild brook trout, DS salmon rearing habitat
	Dam removal DS, synergistic gain w/two projects, egg planting, ATS
	successes here, good water temp/quality, N. Str. Salamander habitat
	(special concern), fair amount (1.75 mi. opened). DMR comments

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

"Surveyed ATS habitat immediately downstream; Tributary to Temple
Stream." Score 5.

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$170000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$45000, 26.47%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site Specific Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$25000, \$2500 per year
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	almost 16' clear span indicated, is that at stream grade?
Additional efficiencies;	26% match, planned funding, plus salmon federation funding.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	Synergy with Walton's Mill removal project, 17.88 BFW and proposed 19' but footing looks to be inside the 19' "width" (since elevation not shown, hard to discern). Banks need to be appropriate for salamander passage. Probably need to extend up over footers to attain 1.2 BFW. Flow line (18'?) seems to indicate banks will extend above footers, somewhat encouraging. Get IF&W review for salamander.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Temple
Road name	Mitchell Brook Road
Stream Name	Henry Mitchell Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	9'Sx6'Hx41'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	14.9; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	19'Sx9'Hx40'6"L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers. ~16' clear span DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	This elliptical, closed-bottom culvert is undersized and a short distance from a downstream bridge on Intervale Road. Should the culvert fail in an extreme event it could take out the bridge. The road shoulders adjacent to the crossing wash out every year
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.1 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 5 Detours: 0

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

5 homes cut off

Every year erosion of the road shoulder is repaired at an approximate

cost of \$2,500.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, TBD
profile?	Bankfull width, longitudinal profile
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes based on total span, but unsure with clear span
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble Count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15726
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	"Paul Christman indicated the project is located a ¼ mile from active
Water quality improvements;	egg planting sites and the downstream Walton's Mill Dam will be
Support letters, other notable	removed in 2022. This is a high priority project for MDMR and they
benefits	fully support the funding request. Refer to attached letter of support.
	Becca Settele of MDIFW indicated that this project area intersects
	with Northern Spring Salamander habitat, which is considered a state
	special concern species. Establishing a stream crossing that follows
	Stream Smart guidelines will benefit this rare species."
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.75 miles
	Wild brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.22 units ATS modelled
	habitat, northern spring salamander habitat, existing ATS rearing
	habitat 1/4 downstream, largely undeveloped high quality habitats
Fish present, source of info	Wild brook trout, DS salmon rearing habitat

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

**RFP#**: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$170000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$45000, 26.47%
	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Site Specific Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$25000, \$2500 per year
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success;	almost 16' clear span indicated, is that at stream grade?
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Atlantic salmon; brook trout; northern spring salamander; hits all the
Others	right buttons as far as biology goes; letters of support from DMR and
Other comments	Atlantic Salmon Federation; salmon egg planting site nearby; only two
	bankfull width measurements; needs engineering

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

EVALUATOR NAME: David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Temple
Road name	Mitchell Brook Road
Stream Name	Henry Mitchell Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	9'Sx6'Hx41'L CMP
Crossing Age	unknown
Bankfull width and method	14.9; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	19'Sx9'Hx40'6"L Open bottom metal arch on concrete footers. ~16' clear span © DOT: Yes

Estimated time to failure	<1
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	This elliptical, closed-bottom culvert is undersized and a short distance from a downstream bridge on Intervale Road. Should the culvert fail in an extreme event it could take out the bridge. The road shoulders adjacent to the crossing wash out every year
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.1 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 5 Detours: 0

**RFP#:** 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

5 homes cut off

Every year erosion of the road shoulder is repaired at an approximate

cost of \$2,500.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Cialal conductor (C.H. 1991	Doubted to date weather at Northing Field Access
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, TBD
profile?	Bankfull width, longitudinal profile
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes based on total span, but unsure with clear span
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Pebble Count
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
Now Structure considerations	
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 15726
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	"Paul Christman indicated the project is located a ¼ mile from active
Water quality improvements;	egg planting sites and the downstream Walton's Mill Dam will be
Support letters, other notable	removed in 2022. This is a high priority project for MDMR and they
benefits	fully support the funding request. Refer to attached letter of support.
	Becca Settele of MDIFW indicated that this project area intersects
	with Northern Spring Salamander habitat, which is considered a state
	special concern species. Establishing a stream crossing that follows
	Stream Smart guidelines will benefit this rare species."
Habitat Opened/Improved	1.75 miles 😊
	Wild brook trout habitat, ATS CH, ATS DPS, 25.22 units ATS modelled
	habitat, northern spring salamander habitat, existing ATS rearing
	habitat 1/4 downstream, largely undeveloped high quality habitats
Fish present, source of info	Wild brook trout, DS salmon rearing habitat ©

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-42- Temple, Mitchell Brook Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A. Waddell

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$170000 Requested: \$125000 Match: \$45000, 26.47%
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Site Specific Preliminary design
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$25000, \$2500 per year
Construction year	2023
Feasibility for success; Additional efficiencies; Design concerns or clarification required	almost 16' clear span indicated, is that at stream grade?
Other comments	St Germain,/ Contech Design Atlantic Salmon Federation support, TNC support, DMR suppoprt

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Tremont
Road name	Dix Point Road
Stream Name	Tinker Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx55'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	6.4; no measurements, MSHV
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	8'Sx8'Hx55'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	March 21st 2019 storm combined high tide with surge. This elevation reached 4 feet above the highest annual tide in this area. The road is approximately 5 feet above the current HAT line, with storm surge and wave conditions it is reasonable that this eve
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 33 Homes Detours: no alt route

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

all residences cut off

FEMA Flood maps and Maine Dept. of Ag Slosh Map shows the potential future flood issues for this section of road.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: no measurements, MSHV
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	No, none intended,
profile?	None
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes, based on MSHV, need more info
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Cobbles
Banks within structure?	Banks? - No?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 13898
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted DMR, no input provided, no IFW contact
Water quality improvements;	
Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.32 miles
	TWWH, Modelled ATS habitat 1 unit, Rainbow smelt habitat
Fish present, source of info	alewives, sea run smelt, Atl. Salmon habitat-not likely present (per
	stream viewer)

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$85000 Requested: \$42500 Match: \$42500, 50.0%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual plan/ plan view
Army Corp Permit info	No contact

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

**DATE:** 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$6000 for engineering; No real justification for sizing, seems to back up
Additional efficiencies;	water currently, how can we be sure passage will happen here? Basing
Design concerns or	sizing on tidal flows is a legitimate metric for sizing but it doesn't
clarification required	discount the other aspects of the grant p
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Tremont
Road name	Dix Point Road
Stream Name	Tinker Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx55'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	6.4; no measurements, MSHV
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	8'Sx8'Hx55'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	3-5
	March 21st 2019 storm combined high tide with surge.
Previous flooding or failure	This elevation reached 4 feet above the highest annual
events, documentation,	tide in this area. The road is approximately 5 feet
culvert condition, age	above the current HAT line, with storm surge and
	wave conditions it is reasonable that this event??
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year	Yes
flood standard	
Regularly obstruction or	No
maintenance required	
	Cut Offs: 33 Homes
Cut-offs or detours	Detours: no alt route
	Significant cut offs, Caty Cove rd. too, high tide/storm flood potential.

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

all residences cut off

FEMA Flood maps and Maine Dept. of Ag Slosh Map shows the potential future flood issues for this section of road.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: no measurements, MSHV
method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?:
	No, none intended, None
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes, based on MSHV, need more info
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - Cobbles
Banks within structure?	Banks? - No?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 13898
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	contacted DMR, no input provided, no IFW contact
Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable	
benefits	
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.32 miles
	TWWH, Modelled ATS habitat 1 unit, Rainbow smelt habitat
Fish present, source of info	alewives, sea run smelt, Atl. Salmon habitat-not likely present (per stream viewer)
	Short stretch opened and problematic culvert above, smelt habitat
	may be above next culvert, but sea run smelt will benefit from this
	passage improvement. Proposed 8' concrete open bottom still
	undersized (at least with little for measurements provided to support design), likely will scour some as still quite undersized. Boulders in
	streambed proposed may sieve fish at some flows. Tidal culvert would
	probably need to be much larger, exceeding 1.2 for more bidirectional
	flow. Appropriate fix needed now to address adequate sizing. DMR
	comments "Google Earth image clearly shows a gravel stream bed
	above the crossing. MSHV lists as sea-run smelt habitat. Also can see
	outwash from perched culvert onto delta below crossing." Score 5.

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$85000 Requested: \$42500 Match: \$42500, 50.0%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual plan/ plan view 50% match, not an expensive project, money secured already, needs major redesign work to be a successful environmental project due to tidal nature and misapplication of freshwater sizing approach to tidal project. Misdesigned.
Army Corp Permit info	No contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success; Additional efficiencies; Design concerns or clarification required	\$6000 for engineering; No real justification for sizing, seems to back up water currently, how can we be sure passage will happen here? Basing sizing on tidal flows is a legitimate metric for sizing but it doesn't discount the other aspects of the grant p
Other comments	Should be longer, wider, elevation may drop w/scour, velocities if narrow impact passage, no field-based channel size measurements, boulders/stone in channel will sieve fish at lower flows, feasibility of success as designed questionable? Did not intend to do a profile?

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Tremont
Road name	Dix Point Road
Stream Name	Tinker Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx55'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	6.4; no measurements, MSHV
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	8'Sx8'Hx55'L Open Bottom Concrete Box DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	3-5
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	March 21st 2019 storm combined high tide with surge. This elevation reached 4 feet above the highest annual tide in this area. The road is approximately 5 feet above the current HAT line, with storm surge and
,	wave conditions it is reasonable that this eve
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 33 Homes Detours: no alt route

**RFP#:** 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic

all residences cut off

FEMA Flood maps and Maine Dept. of Ag Slosh Map shows the potential future flood issues for this section of road.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: no measurements, MSHV Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: No, none intended, None
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes, based on MSHV, need more info
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Cobbles Banks? - No?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 13898
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted DMR, no input provided, no IFW contact
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.32 miles TWWH, Modelled ATS habitat 1 unit, Rainbow smelt habitat
Fish present, source of info	alewives, sea run smelt, Atl. Salmon habitat-not likely present (per stream viewer)

### **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost; Total Match; % Match proposed	Total: \$85000 Requested: \$42500 Match: \$42500, 50.0%,
Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual plan/ plan view
Army Corp Permit info	No contact

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$6000 for engineering; No real justification for sizing, seems to back up
Additional efficiencies;	water currently, how can we be sure passage will happen here? Basing
Design concerns or	sizing on tidal flows is a legitimate metric for sizing but it doesn't
clarification required	discount the other aspects of the grant p
Other comments	Rainbow Smelt potential particularly if MDOT replaces the culvert on
	102. Proposed structure doesn't look big enough to make an
	appreciable difference. The only town that didn't request the full
	amount. These tidal crossings always seem to cause a bottleneck. I
	can think of a couple others on the island that should be replaced as
	well

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	Tremont
Road name	Dix Point Road
Stream Name	Tinker Brook
Existing Culvert Size & Material	6'Dx55'L CMP
Crossing Age	Unknown
Bankfull width and method	6.4; no measurements, MSHV
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	8'Sx8'Hx55'L Open Bottom Concrete Box © DOT: n/a

Estimated time to failure	3-5
	March 21st 2019 storm combined high tide with surge.
Previous flooding or failure	This elevation reached 4 feet above the highest annual
events, documentation,	tide in this area. The road is approximately 5 feet
culvert condition, age	above the current HAT line, with storm surge and
	wave conditions it is reasonable that this eve Tidal crossing
Change in culvert width	Approx. 1.3 x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or	No
maintenance required	
Cost offered determine	Cut Offs: 33 Homes
Cut-offs or detours	Detours: no alt route

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Affected residents, business,	all residences cut off
affected critical infrastructure,	FEMA Flood maps and Maine Dept. of Ag Slosh Map shows the potential
other safety issues, traffic	future flood issues for this section of road.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?	Bankfull width method: no measurements, MSHV Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: No, none intended, None
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis sizing, considerations performed	Yes, based on MSHV, need more info
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - Cobbles ?????  Banks? - No?
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 13898
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	contacted DMR, no input provided, no IFW contact
Habitat Opened/Improved	0.32 miles  TWWH, Modelled ATS habitat 1 unit, Rainbow smelt habitat
Fish present, source of info	alewives, sea run smelt, Atl. Salmon habitat-not likely present (per stream viewer)

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost; Total Match;	Total: \$85000 Requested: \$42500 Match: \$42500, 50.0%,
% Match proposed  Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual plan/ plan view
Army Corp Permit info	No contact

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-43- Tremont, Dix Point Road

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

Costs over previous 10 years	\$-
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$6000 for engineering; No real justification for sizing, seems to back up
Additional efficiencies;	water currently, how can we be sure passage will happen here? Basing
Design concerns or	sizing on tidal flows is a legitimate metric for sizing but it doesn't
clarification required	discount the other aspects of the grant p
Other comments	Significant Cutoffs, Questions on sizing ??

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-44, York, Parsons Rd

**DATE:**, 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	York
Road name	Parsons Road
Stream Name	Josias River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	9'Sx7'Hx60'L; 4'Dx60'L pipe arch, CMP
Crossing Age	unknown, 15
Bankfull width and method	15.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	20'Sx6'Hx56'L Open bottom arch DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Culvert fully washed-out in 2006 and was reconstructed, no other overtopping/flooding events noted in last 10 years. Crossing is backwatered upstream
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.2x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 3.1 miles; 50-60 homes, 2 businesses
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Greenleaf Parsons Road is a connector to Clay Hill Road and other roads off of Clay Hill Road immediately west of the Maine Turnpike.

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-44, York, Parsons Rd

**DATE:**, 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

The culvert is located approximately 1,600 feet upstream of the crossing
at the Maine Turnpike, and a
failure at the Greenleaf Parsons Road could potentially cause damage at
the turnpike crossing.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field and a remain	Double H. Chile worth on Ad Int. to Etable A common
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, TBD
profile?	bankfull width, concept plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - TBD
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 55791
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	The Region A Fisheries Biologist was contacted and indicated support
Water quality improvements;	for
Support letters, other notable	the improved aquatic connectivity to benefit wild and stocked brook
benefits	trout.
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.09 miles
	Brook trout habitat, Riparian connector; undeveloped habitat blocks,
	I Rare animal threatened species
	Rare animal threatened species within 1 mile of site
Fish present source of info	within 1 mile of site
Fish present, source of info	•

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$330000	
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000	
% Match proposed	Match: \$0, 62.1%	
	To be stamped?: Yes	
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual plan/ plan view & cross section	

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-44, York, Parsons Rd

**DATE:**, 12/6/21, 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** John Maclaine

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$39,769 was spent to repair the road and culverts after the Mother's Day Storm of 2006. none spend since repairs
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$30,000 in engineering including bidding/permitting
Additional efficiencies;	
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
Other comments	

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2021R-44-; York; Parsons Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	York
Road name	Parsons Road
Stream Name	Josias River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	9'Sx7'Hx60'L; 4'Dx60'L pipe arch, CMP
Crossing Age	unknown, 15
Bankfull width and method	15.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	20'Sx6'Hx56'L Open bottom arch DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Culvert fully washed-out in 2006 and was reconstructed, no other overtopping/flooding events noted in last 10 years. Crossing is backwatered upstream. Major flooding photos on two occassions, same sizing was replaced?
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.2x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 3.1 miles; 50-60 homes, 2 businesses High traffic count, nearby turnpike crossing DS, risk of impact, plus flooding photos document issues and the interruption of access.

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2021R-44-; York; Parsons Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

	Greenleaf Parsons Road is a connector to Clay Hill Road and other roads
Affected residents, business,	off of Clay Hill Road immediately west of the Maine Turnpike.
affected critical infrastructure,	The culvert is located approximately 1,600 feet upstream of the crossing
other safety issues, traffic	at the Maine Turnpike, and a failure at the Greenleaf Parsons Road could
	potentially cause damage at the turnpike crossing.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width, method, & confidence; skew matches stream, longitudinal profile?  1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed?: Yes, TBD bankfull width, concept plans Yes
sizing, considerations performed	
Natural Bottom information; Banks within structure?	Type of bottom - TBD Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 55791
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife; Water quality improvements; Support letters, other notable benefits	The Region A Fisheries Biologist was contacted and indicated support for the improved aquatic connectivity to benefit wild and stocked brook trout.
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.09 miles Brook trout habitat, Riparian connector; undeveloped habitat blocks, Rare animal threatened species within 1 mile of site
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout, american eels Good segment opened US, but probably passage issues DS with turnpike crossing although large enough stream it may be watered, IF&W general support with rating of 4, is BKT habitat. DMR comments "no known nearby resources; above culverts on 95." Score 0.

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$330000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: 62%

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2021R-44-; York; Parsons Road

DATE: 12/15/21

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jim Stahlnecker

	To be stamped?: Yes
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual plan/ plan view & cross section
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Casts over provious 10 years	\$39,769 was spent to repair the road and culverts after the Mother's
Costs over previous 10 years	Day Storm of 2006. none spend since repairs
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success;	\$30,000 in engineering including bidding/permitting
Additional efficiencies;	62% match & total \$330k, large culvert and may be more expensive.
Design concerns or	
clarification required	
	Design is 1.2, open bottom, will do profile but not yet completed, profile
Other comments	looks good, cross section details low flow channel, material is not
	specified? Width 15.5 by multiple field measurements, good.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: 2121R-44-York, Greenleaf Parsons Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

### I. Public Infrastructure Information/Public Safety

Town Name	York
Road name	Parsons Road
Stream Name	Josias River
Existing Culvert Size & Material	9'Sx7'Hx60'L; 4'Dx60'L pipe arch, CMP
Crossing Age	unknown, 15
Bankfull width and method	15.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type. Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	20'Sx6'Hx56'L Open bottom arch DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Culvert fully washed-out in 2006 and was reconstructed, no other overtopping/flooding events noted in last 10 years. Crossing is backwatered upstream
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.2x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0 Detours: 3.1 miles; 50-60 homes, 2 businesses
Affected residents, business, affected critical infrastructure, other safety issues, traffic	Greenleaf Parsons Road is a connector to Clay Hill Road and other roads off of Clay Hill Road immediately west of the Maine Turnpike.

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: 2121R-44-York, Greenleaf Parsons Road

DATE: January 3, 2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Jonathan Cullen

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

The culvert is located approximately 1,600 feet upstream of the crossing
at the Maine Turnpike, and a
failure at the Greenleaf Parsons Road could potentially cause damage at
the turnpike crossing.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field and a remain	Double H. Chile worth and NA JiCole Elected A conservation
Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, TBD
profile?	bankfull width, concept plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - TBD
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 55791
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	The Region A Fisheries Biologist was contacted and indicated support
Water quality improvements;	for
Support letters, other notable	the improved aquatic connectivity to benefit wild and stocked brook
benefits	trout.
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.09 miles
	Brook trout habitat, Riparian connector; undeveloped habitat blocks,
	Rare animal threatened species
	within 1 mile of site
the state of the s	I WILLIII I IIIIE OI SILE
Fish present source of info	
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout, american eels

### III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$330000	
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000	
% Match proposed	Match: \$0, 0.6211999999999997,	
	To be stamped?: Yes	
Engineering	Level of plan included: Conceptual plan/ plan view & cross section	

RFP#: 202106082

**RFP TITLE:** 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements **BIDDER NAME:** 2121R-44-York, Greenleaf Parsons Road

DATE: January 3, 2022
EVALUATOR NAME: Jonathan Cullen

Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$39,769 was spent to repair the road and culverts after the Mother's Day Storm of 2006. none spend since repairs
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success; Additional efficiencies; Design concerns or clarification required	\$30,000 in engineering including bidding/permitting
Other comments	Brookies and it's southern Maine; long-nosed dace; Engineering not bad. There's a low flow channel in the proposed streambed; crossing failed in 2007.

RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements

BIDDER NAME: York, Parsons Road, Josias River

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

#### **Individual Evaluator Comments:**

Town Name	York
Road name	Parsons Road
Stream Name	Josias River
Existing Culvert Size &	9'Sx7'Hx60'L; 4'Dx60'L pipe arch, CMP
Material	
Crossing Age	unknown, 15. Photos show this crossing under extrteme duress in earlier
	storms.
Bankfull width and method	15.5; Multiple Field Average
New Structure size & type.	20'Sx6'Hx56'L Open bottom arch 😊
Contacted DOT Bridge Program if 10' or greater?	DOT: No, indicated contact upon award

Estimated time to failure	5-10
Previous flooding or failure events, documentation, culvert condition, age	Culvert fully washed-out in 2006 and was reconstructed, no other overtopping/flooding events noted in last 10 years. Crossing is backwatered upstream
Change in culvert width	Approx. 2.2x
Design meets DOT 100-year flood standard	Yes
Regularly obstruction or maintenance required	No
Cut-offs or detours	Cut Offs: 0  Detours: 3.1 miles; 50-60 homes, 2 businesses

RFP#: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: York, Parsons Road, Josias River

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Environmental Protection

		Greenleaf Parsons Road is a connector to Clay Hill Road and other roads
Affected residents, bus affected critical infrastructure other safety issues, tra		off of Clay Hill Road immediately
		west of the Maine Turnpike.
	•	The culvert is located approximately 1,600 feet upstream of the crossing
	sucs, traine	at the Maine Turnpike, and a failure at the Greenleaf Parsons Road could
		potentially cause damage at the turnpike crossing. This is worry some.

### II. Benefits to Fish & Wildlife

Field work: Bankfull width,	Bankfull width method: Multiple Field Average
method, & confidence; skew	Longitudinal profile of stream beyond culvert influence completed? :
matches stream, longitudinal	Yes, TBD
profile?	bankfull width, concept plans
1.2 x BFW or Tidal analysis	Yes
sizing, considerations	
performed	
Natural Bottom information;	Type of bottom - TBD
Banks within structure?	Banks? - Yes
New Structure considerations	
Barrier status, source	Barrier
	Maine Stream Habitat Viewer ID: 55791
Benefits to Fish & Wildlife;	The Region A Fisheries Biologist was contacted and indicated support
Water quality improvements;	for
Support letters, other notable	the improved aquatic connectivity to benefit wild and stocked brook
benefits	trout.
Habitat Opened/Improved	5.09 miles 😊
	Brook trout habitat, Riparian connector; undeveloped habitat blocks,
	Rare animal threatened species
	within 1 mile of site
Fish present, source of info	Brook trout, american eels

### **III. Cost Efficiency and Effectiveness**

Total Project Cost;	Total: \$330000
Total Match;	Requested: \$125000
% Match proposed	Match: \$0, 0.62119999999999997,

**RFP#**: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Infrastructure Improvements BIDDER NAME: York, Parsons Road, Josias River

**DATE:** 1/3/2022

**EVALUATOR NAME:** David A.Waddell

Engineering	To be stamped?: Yes Level of plan included: Conceptual plan/ plan view & cross section
Army Corp Permit info	Contact
Costs over previous 10 years	\$39,769 was spent to repair the road and culverts after the Mother's Day Storm of 2006. none spend since repairs Photos provided.
Construction year	2022
Feasibility for success; Additional efficiencies; Design concerns or clarification required	\$30,000 in engineering including bidding/permitting
Other comments	Wells National Estuarine Research Reserve support, Fuss & O,Neill design

# STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Janet T. Mills

Melanie Loyzim Commissioner

Governor	AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  RFP #: 202106082	ne
RFP TITLE	2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvements	
Maine Department o	member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has	
in the bidders whose former ownership in employment with the paid consultant); and	mber of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current of he bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example) for current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be the a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a terest).	or ole
	onsulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.	in
bias or prejudice. In circumstances that we event a good faith ch	ee that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without his regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no ould reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in targe of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified he evaluation process.	
presented during th	fidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals e review process until such time as the Department formally releases the ces for public distribution.	
Jefor	12/6/21	
Signature	Date	

## STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Janet T. Mills Governor Melanie Loyzim Commissioner

# AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITI F: 2021 Gr	ants for Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvements	
	ants for otream crossing rubine infrastructure improvements	
Department of Environmental	accept the offer to lest for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AN or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted	
in the bidders whose proposal former ownership in the bidder employment with the bidder; c paid consultant); and/or currer	y immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect or will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current is company; current or former Board membership; current or former urrent or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example to former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be contracted to finterest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as	t or nple:
	vith or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.	d in
bias or prejudice. In this regard circumstances that would reas	e evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without I, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no conably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in as is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified tion process.	the
	Il information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals process until such time as the Department formally releases the ublic distribution.	
Jeens S Hardan		
	12/10/21	•
Signature	Date	

### STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Janet T. Mills Governor

I, (print name at right)

has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Melanie Loyzim Commissioner

# AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvements

accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State

agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who

of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this

David A. Waddell

Signature	1/11/2022 Date
award decision notices for public distri	
	ion related to the contents of Requests for Proposals ntil such time as the Department formally releases the
bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby c circumstances that would reasonably supp	n process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no port a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified as.
	sted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in d a letter of support or similar endorsement.
in the bidders whose proposals I will be reformer ownership in the bidder's company employment with the bidder; current or for paid consultant); and/or current or former	re family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, eviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or y; current or former Board membership; current or former remer personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be st (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a

# Janet T. Mills

Governor

I, (print name at right) \_Clen

### STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Melanie Loyzim Commissioner

### AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 202106082

RFP TITLE: 2021 Grants for Stream Crossing Public Infrastructure Improvements

accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State

of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this

has submitted a proposal to this RFP.
Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).
I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.
I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.
I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.
Jahr a. all 2/1/2022
Signature Date /